| Name/Organisation                              | Is Consultation required?  |
|--|--|
| Anglian Water Services Limited                 | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.                         |
| Attlebridge Parish Council                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.                         |
| Aylsham (Town Council)                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.                         |
| Baconsthorpe Parish Council                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the  |
| Barford & Wramplingham Parish Council          | compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the                        |
| Barnham Broom Parish Council                   | compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the                        |
| Bawburgh Parish Council                        | compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Bawdeswells Parish Council                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the  |
| Bixley Parish Council                          | compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the                        |
| Blickling Parish Council                       | compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the                        |
| Blue Transmission Sheringham Shoal Limited     | compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the                        |
| Bodham Parish Council                          | compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the                        |
| Booton Parish Council                          | compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the                        |
| Bracon Ash Parish Council                      | compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the                        |
| Brandiston Parish Council                      | compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the                        |
| Briston Parish Council                         | compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the                        |
| Brooke Parish Council                          | compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the                        |
| Cadent Gas Limited                             | compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the                        |
| Caistor St. Edmund Parish Council              | compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Cawston Parish Council                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.                         |
| Colney Parish Meeting                          | Consted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.                        |
| Corpusty and Saxthorpe Parish Council          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.                         |
| Costessey Town Council                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the  |
| Cringleford Parish Council                     | compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the                        |
| East Beckham Parish Council                    | compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the                        |
| East Carleton & Ketteringham Parish Council    | as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the  |
| East of England Ambulance Service NHS<br>Trust | compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the                        |
| East Tuddenham Parish Council                  | compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the                        |
| Easton Parish Council                          | compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the                        |
| Edgefield Parish Council                       | compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the                        |
| Energetics Electricity Limited                 | compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the                        |
| Energetics Gas Limited                         | compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the                        |
| Energy Assets Pipelines Limited                | compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| ES Pipelines Ltd                               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.                         |
| ESP Connections Ltd                            | Consted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.                        |
| ESP Electricity Limited                        | compensation measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity  |

| ESP Networks Ltd                            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
|---|--|
| ESP Pipelines Ltd                           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Felthorpe Parish Council                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Forestry Commission East and East Midlands  | s Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Foxley Parish Council                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Framingham Earl Parish Council              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Great Melton Parish Council                 | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Great Witchingham Parish Council            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| GTC Pipelines Limited                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Guestwick Parish Council                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Haveringland Parish Council                 | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Health and Safety Executive                 | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Hempstead Parish Council                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Hethersett Parish Council                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Hevingham (Parish Council)                  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Heydon Parish Council                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| High Kelling Parish Council                 | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Highways England                            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Highways England - East                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Highways England Historical Railways Estate |  |
| Historic England                            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Hockering Parish Council                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Holt Town Council                           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Honingham Parish Council                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Howe Parish Council                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Independent Pipelines Limited               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Independent Power Networks Limited          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Itteringham Parish Council                  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Joint Nature Conservation Committee         | The Applicant proposes to consult this organisation, as they have played an active role in developing the ANS, including their location, design, monitoring and adaptive management. There has been regular engagement between the Applicant and this organisation in relation to the ANS, including in the context of the Kittiwake Compensation Plan and the implementation of compensatory measures, including dissolors relating to the relevant number of breeding seasons.   |
| Kelling Parish Council                      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Keswick and Intwood Parish Council          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| LEEP Electricity Networks Limited           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Little Barningham Parish Council            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Little Melton Parish Council                | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| LNG Portable Pipeline Services Limited20    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| London and Continental Railways Limited     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |

| Lyng Parish Council  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
|--|--|
| Maritime and Coastguard Agency - Regional Office             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Marlingford and Colton Parish Council                        | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Marsham Parish Council                                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Matlask Parish Council                                       | Contect does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Ministry of Defence (MoD)                                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Morton on the Hill Parish Council                            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Mulbarton Parish Council                                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| National Air Traffic Services (NATS)                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| National Grid Electricity Transmission plc                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| National Grid Gas plc  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| NATS En-Route Safeguarding                                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Natural England  | The Applicant proposes to consult this organisation, as they have played an active role in developing the ANS, including their location, design, monitoring and adaptive management. There has been regular engagement between the Applicant and this organisation in relation to the ANS, including in the context of the Kittiwake Compensation Plan and the implementation of compensatory measures, including discious relating to the relevant number of breeding seasons.  |
| Network Rail Infrastructure Limited                          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Newton Flotman Parish Council                                | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| NHS Clinical Commissioners                                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| NHS England  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| NHS Midlands & East  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| NHS Trust Development Authority                              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Norfolk & Suffolk NHS Foundation Trust                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Norfolk and Norwich University Hospitals<br>Foundation Trust | Orsited does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Norfolk County Council (Highway Authority)                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Norfolk Fire and Rescue Service                              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Norfolk Police and Crime Commissioner                        | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Norfolk Prepared - Norfolk Resilience Forum                  | Orsited does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning Group                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Oulton Parish Council  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Plumstead Parish Council                                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
|  |  |
| Poringland Parish Council                                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |

| Quadrant Piplines Limited                                      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
|--|--|
| Reepham Parish Council   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Ringland Parish Council  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Royal Mail Group   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Royal National Lifeboat Institution (RNLI)                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.                         |
| Salle Parish Council   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Salthouse Parish Council                                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Scotland Gas Networks Pic                                      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Sheringham Town Council  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Shotesham Parish Council                                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the  |
| South Norfolk Clinical Commissioning Group                     | compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the  |
| Southern Gas Networks plc                                      | compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the  |
| Sparham Parish Council   | compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Stoke Holy Cross Parish Council                                | compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Swainsthorpe Parish Council                                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Swannington with Alderford & Little Witchingham Parish Council | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Swardeston Parish Council                                      | Consted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Taverham Parish Council  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the  |
| The Civil Aviation Authority (CAA)                             | compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| The Coal Authority   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| The Crown Estate   | The Applicant proposes to consult this organisation, as they have played an active role in developing the ANS, including their location, design, monitoring and adaptive management. There has been regular engagement between the Applicant and this organisation in relation to the ANS, including in the context of the Kittiwake Compensation Plan and the implementation of compensatory measures, including discussions relating to the relevant number of breeding seasons.   |
| The Electricity Network Company Limited                        | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| The Environment Agency   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.                         |
| Themelthorpe Parish Council                                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Thurning Parish Council  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Trinity House Lighthouse Service                               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.                         |
| Trowse with Newton Parish Council                              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| UK Chamber of Shipping   | Consted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.                        |
| UK Power Distribution Limited                                  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Upper Sheringham Parish Council                                | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Utility Assets Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Wales and West Utilities Limited                               | compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| West Beckham Parish Council                                    | Consider does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
|  |  |

| Weston Longville Parish Council | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Weybourne Parish Council        | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Wickmere Parish Council         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Wood Dalling Parish Council     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Wymondham Town Council          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |

| Name/Organisation                    | Is Consultation required?   |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Marine Management Organisation (MMO) | their location, design, monitoring and adaptive management. There has been regular engagement between the Applicant and this organisation in relation to the ANS, including in the context of the Kittiwake Compensation Plan and the implementation of compensatory measures, including discussions relating to the relevant number of breeding seasons. |

| Name/Organisation                            | Is Consultation required?  |
|--|--|
| Breckland District Council                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Broadland District Council                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Cambridgeshire County Council                | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Forest Heath District Council                | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Great Yarmouth Borough Council               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| King's Lynn and West Norfolk Borough Council | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Lincolnshire County Council                  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Mid Suffolk District Council                 | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Norfolk County Council                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| North Norfolk District Council               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Norwich City Council                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| South Norfolk District Council               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| St Edmundsbury Borough Council               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Suffolk County Council                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| The Broads National Park Authority           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Waveney District Council                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |

| Name/Organisation  |   |
|--|---|
| A V Youngs (Farms) Limited                               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Abacus Trust Company Limited                             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Airwave Mmo2 Limited                                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Amber Real Estate Investments (Industrial)<br>Limited    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant requarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Anglian Water Services Limited                           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the  |
| Asta Properties (Norfolk) Limited                        | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Bank of Scotland PLC                                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Beckhithe Farms Limited                                  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Bernard Matthews Foods Limited                           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Bernard Matthews Wind Farm (Weston) LLP                  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Blue Transmission Sheringham Shoal Limited               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Homsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| British Gas Limited                                      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |
| Broadban Housing Association Limited                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| BT Group PLC   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Bullen Developments Limited                              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| C J C Lee (Saxthorpe) Limited                            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Cornerstone Telecommunications Infrastructure<br>Limited | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Crusaders Rugby Club                                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Dudgeon Offshore Wind Limited                            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Homsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| Eastern Power Networks PLC                               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Easton & Otley College                                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Easton Estates Limited                                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Ebony Holdings Limited                                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| EDF Energy Networks EPN PLC                              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Homsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| EirGrid PLC  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Emmie Wright 1984 Settlement Trust                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Energis Communications Limited                           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| G W Harrold Deceased Will Trust                          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Gardline Shipping Limited                                | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |

| Gateway Building Society   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit  |
|--|--|
|  | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensation measures.   |
| GN Store Nord A/S  | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit   |
| 5.1. 5.5.10 Hold / VO  | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
|  | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Great Melton Farms Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit  |
|  | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Green Label Poultry Limited  | Applicant registring use and of the compensation, the satisfies.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit  |
| Green Laber Found y Emilied  | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
|  | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Gressingham Foods Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit  |
|  | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Grs (Bagging) Limited  | Applicant regarding use Avis or use compensation; measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit   |
| ore (Dagging) Emilion  | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
|  | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| GW Harold and Partners   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit  |
|  | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Harold Jones (Farms) Limited                                       | Applicant regarding use Avis or use compensation; measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit   |
| riarda deride (r. arrile) Ellintea                                 | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
|  | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Highdeal Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit  |
|  | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
| Highways England Company Limited                                   | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit   |
| riigiiways England Company Eliliilod                               | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
|  | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| HSBC Bank PLC  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit  |
|  | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Intwood Properties Limited   | Applicant regarding the ANS of the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit   |
|  | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
|  | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Ipswich Hospital NHS Trust   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit  |
|  | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| John Innes Centre  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit  |
|  | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
|  | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Kelling Estate LLP   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit  |
|  | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Kelling Fuel & Pasture Charity                                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit  |
|  | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
|  | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Kelling Heath Trustees   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their consults, as calculated and appropriate the seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their consults, as calculated and appropriate the seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their consults are calculated as a season for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their consults are calculated as a season for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their consults are calculated as a season for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to the calculated as a season for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to the calculated as a season for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to the calculated as a season for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to the calculated as a season for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to the calculated as a season for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to the calculated as a season for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to the calculated as a season for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to the calculated as a season for the ANS does not fall within the calculated as a season for the ANS does not fall within the calculated as a season for the ANS does not fall within the calculated as a season for the ANS does not fall within the calculated as a season for the ANS does not fall within the calculated as a season for the ANS does not fall within the calculated as a season for the ANS does not fall within the calculated as a season for the ANS does not fall within the calculated as a season for the ANS does not fall within the calculated as a season for the ANS does not fall within the calculated as a season for the ANS does not fall within the calculated as a season for the ANS does not fall within the calculated as a season for the ANS does not fall within the calculated as a season for the ANS does not fall within th |
|  | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Lafarge Aggregates Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit  |
| 3 33 3   | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
|  | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Landmark Mortgages Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
|  | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Legal & General Home Finance Limited                               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit  |
|  | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
| Havida Barala DI O   | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit   |
| Lloyds Bank PLC  | Orset udes not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breating seasons for the Arisa codes not rail within their nemit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
|  | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Mainline Pipelines Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit  |
|  | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
| Manaroon Trust   | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit   |
| Mangreen Trust   | Orset does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breating seasons for the Arisa codes not rail within their nemit due to their capacity as sofely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
|  | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Marchwood Power Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit  |
|  | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
| Mcdonald's Restaurants Limited                                     | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit   |
| modernalu s Nestaurants Littilleu                                  | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
|  | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Mills & Reeve Trust Corporation Limited                            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit  |
|  | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensation measures.   |
| Morton Hall Farms Limited  | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit   |
|  | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
|  | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| National Grid Electricity Transmission PLC                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have   |
|  | any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns,  |
|  | regarding the compensationy measures, breeding seasons or ANS, in addition in the attendance, and is organisation due not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held  |
|  | between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |
| National Grid Gas PLC  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit  |
|  | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
| National Grid PLC  | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have  |
|  | any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant   |
|  | regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns,   |
|  | objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held  |
|  | between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |
|  |  |
| National Westminster Bank PLC                                      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their canacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
| National Westminster Bank PLC                                      | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
| National Westminster Bank PLC  Network Rail Infrastructure Limited |  |
|  | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |

| Norfolk County Council                                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
|--|--|
| Norfolk Wildlife Trust                                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applica regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Homsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| North Norfolk District Council                               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| North Norfolk Railway PLC                                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Northern Gas Networks Ltd                                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
| Norwich Church of England Young Men's Society                | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the analysis of the ANS |
| Norwich City Council   | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Norwich Diocesan Board of Finance Limited                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Orange Personal Communications Services<br>Limited           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Persimmon Homes Limited                                      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Salle Farms Company  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Salthouse Heath Trustees                                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Santander UK PLC   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Scira Offshore Energy Limited                                | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not hat any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applica regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| Secretary of State for Environment Food and<br>Rural Affairs | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not hat any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applica regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| SG Hambros Bank Limited                                      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Shell Service Station Properties Limited                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| SLT Trustees Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Social Money Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Tarmac Aggregates Limited                                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Taylor Wimpey UK Limited                                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Telefonica O2 UK Limited                                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| The Coal Authority   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| The Occupier - Emcy Garden Centre                            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| The Occupier - Plot 5 Weybourne Road                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| The Official Custodians for Charities                        | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| The Resident - 1 Church Farm Barns                           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| The Resident - 1 Gibbs Close                                 | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - 1 Gilders Way                                 | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| The Resident - 1 St James Court                              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| The Resident - 102 Bletchley Road                            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |

| The Resident - 11 Hillside         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
|------------------------------------|---|
| The Resident - 11 Kelso Place      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the  |
| The Resident - 15 Wentworth Green  | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
| The Resident - 16 Richmond Rise    | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
| The Resident - 183 Middletons Lane | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
| The Resident - 2 Grasmere          | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| The Resident - 20 School Lane      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - 24 Spindle Road     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - 2A New Street       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - 3 Brigg Street      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - 3 High Street       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - 3 Upper King Street | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - 39A Heriot Row      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - 42 Campion Way      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - 43 Hoadly Road      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - 48 Norwich Road     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - 5 Christchurch Road | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - 5 New Street        | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - 5 Style Loke        | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - 50 Bircham Road     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - 5D Weybourne Road   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - 75 Dereham Road     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - 9 Park View         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - 9 The Knoll         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - 93 Elizabeth Street | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - 95 Woodstock Road   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Abbey Farm          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Abbey Farm House    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - All Saints Close    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Ashbrook Court      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Ashtree Farm        | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Barmingham Hall     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Barningham Hall     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Barwick Hall Farm   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |

| 1   |   |
|---|---|
| The Resident - Bawdeswell Hall                      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Brick Kiln                           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the  |
| The Resident - Bridge Farm                          | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Ansilorator providing the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| The Resident - Broomsthorpe Hall                    | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
| The Resident - Burnt House Farm                     | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
| The Resident - C/O Poul Hovesen Salle Farms Company | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
| The Resident - Capel Hall                           | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
| The Resident - Carbrooke Hall                       | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
| The Resident - Cedar House                          | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
| The Resident - Charnwood                            | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| The Resident - Church Farm                          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Church Farm House                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Corner Croft                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Crabgate Farm                        | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Cruso & Wilkin                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Dairy Farm                           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Denmark House                        | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Dixs House                           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Docking Farm                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Easton Estate Office                 | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Easton Lodge                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Elm Farm                             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Faiers House                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Field Farm                           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Flat 138 Berglen Court               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Foxhills                             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Franklins Farm                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Freshfield                           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Freston Lodge                        | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Fuel Farm                            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Fuel Farm Cottage                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Gladwish Land Sales Limited          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Glebe Farm                           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Gowthorpe Manor                      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |

| The Resident - Great Farm              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
|--|---|
| The Resident - Great Witchingham Hall  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the  |
| The Resident - Green Farm              | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| The Resident - Grove Farm              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Hall Barn               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Hall Road Farm          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Hardingham Hall         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Heronfield              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Heydon Hall             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Highfields              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Hill Farm House         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Hill House              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Hill House Farm Cottage | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Hole Farm               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Hole Farm Barn          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Holly Tree Farm         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures to the ANS does not fall within their remit.  |
| The Resident - Home Farm               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Ontain the propose to execute the propose of the ANS does not fall within their specific proposes.  |
| The Resident - House-on-the-Hill       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Hunters Holt            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Hurn Bungalow           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures to the ANS does not fall within their specific property.  |
| The Resident - Intwood Hall            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit |
| The Resident - Ivy House               | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Jozef Israelslaan 14    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Ontain departing the ANS of the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Keswick Barn            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Long Meadow Barn        | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Mangreen Cottage        | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to compensative the relevant number of breeding consons for the ANS does not fail within their remit.                 |
| The Resident - Mangreen Hall           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Ontaid departing the ANS are applicable to the compensation of the ANS does not fall within their partitions.                                 |
| The Resident - Mangreen Hall South     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Manor Farm              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Manor Farmhouse         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Manor Houes             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Marlingford Hall        | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Meadow Cottage          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Meadow Lodge            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |

| The Resident - Mill House           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the  |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| The Resident - Moat Farm            | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
| The Resident - Morton Hall Estate   | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
| The Resident - Muckleburgh House    | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
| The Resident - North Farm           | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
| The Resident - Oak Farm             | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
| The Resident - Oak Tree Chapel      | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
| The Resident - Old School House     | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| The Resident - Old Vicarage         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Ollands Farm         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Park Farm            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Park Farm House      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Parkview Cottage     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Pear Tree Cottage    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Pimlico              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Pine Farm            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Pitt Farm            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Pond Cottage         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Priors Mesne         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Pudding Lane Cottage | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Rectory Farm         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Red Hall Farm        | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Riverhills           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Rocket House         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Rosedale Farmhouse   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Salle Park           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Selbrigg Cottage     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Speedwell House      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Springs              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Springs Farm         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory meant of the control does not fall within their remit.               |
| The Resident - Stable Cottage       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - The Abattoir         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - The Coach House      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - The Cornfield        | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |

| The Resident - The Cottage  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the  |
|---|---|
| The Resident - The Grove  | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
| The Resident - The Hay Loft   | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit  |
|   | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - The Lodge  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - The Meadows  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - The Mill House   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the  |
| The Resident - The Old Hall   | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
| The Resident - The Old Stables  | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
| The Resident - The Rectory  | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
| The Resident - The Rocket House                                       | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit  |
| The Resident - The Sawmill  | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit   |
| The Resident - The Spinney  | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit   |
| . ,   | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - The Station  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - The Woodlands  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Thickthorn Farm  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Two Hoots  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the  |
| The Resident - Upwood Cottage   | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
| The Resident - Upwood Lodge   | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
| The Resident - Vodafone House   | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
| The Resident - Walnut Tree Farm                                       | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
| The Resident - Warren House Farm                                      | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the   |
| The Resident - Wattle Cottage   | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit  |
| The Resident - White House Farm                                       | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit   |
| The Resident - Wood   | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit   |
|   | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Woodlands  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Resident - Wychwood House   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Right Honorable John Clive Third Viscount Mackintosh              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Secretary of State for Defence                                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applican regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| The Secretary of State for Transport                                  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Trustee's of Booton poor's allotment                              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Trustees of Norwich Church of England<br>Young Mens Society       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| The Trustees of The Educational Foundation of Alderman John Norman    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the  |
| The Weston Longville, Morton on the Hill and Attlebridge Hall For All | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the  |
| The Weston Longville, Morton on the Hill and                          | Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit  |

| Timewell Properties Limited                | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
|--|---|
| Town Lands (Sendal's And Bell's Charities) | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Trustees of The Morton Townlands Charity   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| UK Mail Limited                            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| UK Power Networks (Operations) Limited     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Homsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| University of East Anglia                  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Virgin Media Limited                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Virgin Money PLC                           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Vodafone Limited                           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| W.J.F. Ross Limited                        | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Weston Longville G1 Solar Farm Limited     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Wilcon Homes Limited                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| William Young (Dereham) Limited            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |

| Organisation Name                              | Is Consultation required?   |
|--|---|
| Anglian Water Services Limited                 | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with  |
| Attlebridge Parish Council                     | the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Aylsham (Town Council)                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with  |
| Baconsthorpe Parish Council                    | the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Barford & Wramplingham Parish Council          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Barnham Broom Parish Council                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Bawburgh Parish Council                        | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Bawdeswells Parish Council                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Bixley Parish Council                          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Blickling Parish Council                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Blue Transmission Sheringham Shoal<br>Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Bodham Parish Council                          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Booton Parish Council                          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Bracon Ash Parish Council                      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Brandiston Parish Council                      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Briston Parish Council                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Brooke Parish Council                          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Cadent Gas Limited                             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Caistor St. Edmund Parish Council              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Cawston Parish Council                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Colney Parish Meeting                          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Corpusty and Saxthorpe Parish Council          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Costessey Town Council                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Cringleford Parish Council                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| East and West Beckham Parish Council           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| East Carleton & Ketteringham Parish<br>Council | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| East of England Ambulance Service NHS<br>Trust | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| East Tuddenham Parish Council                  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Eastern Power Networks Limited                 | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Easton Parish Council                          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Edgefield Parish Council                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |

| Energetics Electricity Limited                 | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
|--|--|
| Energetics Gas Limited                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Energy Assets Networks Limited                 | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Energy Assets Pipelines Limited                | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Energy Assets Power Networks Limited           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| ES Pipelines Ltd                               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| ESP Connections Ltd                            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| ESP Electricity Limited                        | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| ESP Networks Ltd                               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| ESP Pipelines Ltd                              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Felthorpe Parish Council                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Forestry Commission East and East<br>Midlands  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Foxley Parish Council                          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Framingham Earl Parish Council                 | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Fulcrum Electricity Assets Limited             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Great and Little Plumstead Parish Council      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Great Melton Parish Council                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Great Witchingham Parish Council               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| GTC Pipelines Limited                          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Guestwick Parish Council                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Haveringland Parish Council                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Health and Safety Executive                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Hempstead Parish Council                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Hethersett Parish Council                      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Hevingham (Parish Council)                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Heydon Parish Council                          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| High Kelling Parish Council                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Highways England                               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Highways England - East                        | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Highways England Historical Railways<br>Estate | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Historic England                               | have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |

| Historic England - East of England                  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
|---|---|
| Hockering Parish Council                            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with  |
| Holt Town Council                                   | the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Homes England                                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Honingham Parish Council                            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Howe Parish Council                                 | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Independent Pipelines Limited                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Independent Power Networks Limited                  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Itteringham Parish Council                          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Joint Nature Conservation Committee                 | The Applicant proposes to consult this organisation, as they have played an active role in developing the ANS, including their location, design, monitoring and adaptive management. There has been regular engagement between the Applicant and this organisation in relation to the ANS, including in the context of the Kittliwake Compensation Plan and the implementation of compensatory measures, including discussions relating the selection of the regular purpose of broading accessory.   |
| Kelling Parish Council                              | relating to the relevant number of breeding seasons.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Keswick and Intwood Parish Council                  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| LEEP Electricity Networks Limited                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Little Barningham Parish Council                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Little Melton Parish Council                        | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| London and Continental Railways Limited             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Lyng Parish Council                                 | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Marine Management Organisation (MMO)                | The Applicant proposes to consult this organisation, as they have played an active role in developing the ANS, including their location, design, monitoring and adaptive management. There has been regular engagement between the Applicant and this organisation in relation to the ANS, including in the context of the Kittiwake Compensation Plan and the implementation of compensatory measures, including discussions relating to the relevant number of breeding seasons.  |
| Maritime and Coastguard Agency -<br>Regional Office | have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |
| Marlingford and Colton Parish Council               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Marsham Parish Council                              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Matlask Parish Council                              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Ministry of Defence (MoD)                           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this |
| Morton on the Hill Parish Council                   | Constitution held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Clistofe while Farm DCC and the decision to grant this Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Mulbarton Parish Council                            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Murphy Power Distribution Limited                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| National Air Traffic Services (NATS)                | have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |
| National Grid Electricity Transmission plc          | have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |
| National Grid Gas plc                               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |

| NATS En-Route Safeguarding   | have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an  |
|--|--|
| 1.5-1.5-11   |  |
|  | concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's  |
| Literal Frederick  | consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this   |
| 1.6  | DCO.   |
| Natural England  | The Applicant proposes to consult this organisation, as they have played an active role in developing the ANS, including their location, design  |
| , and the second | monitoring and adaptive management. There has been regular engagement between the Applicant and this organisation in relation to the   |
|  | ANS, including in the context of the Kittiwake Compensation Plan and the implementation of compensatory measures, including discussions  |
|  | relating to the relevant number of breeding seasons.   |
| Network Rail Infrastructure Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit  |
|  | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with   |
|  | the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Newton Flotman Parish Council  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit  |
|  | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with   |
| NHS Clinical Commissioners   | the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit   |
| Ins Clinical Commissioners   | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with   |
|  | the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| NHS England  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit  |
| ii io Liigiaiia  | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with   |
|  | the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| NHS Midlands & East  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit  |
|  | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with   |
|  | the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| NHS Trust Development Authority  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit  |
|  | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with   |
|  | the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Norfolk & Suffolk NHS Foundation Trust   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit  |
|  | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with   |
| Jorfolk and Nanvich University Unavitation   | the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not promose to consult this corrustation is the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit.   |
| Norfolk and Norwich University Hospitals   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with   |
| Foundation Trust   | the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Norfolk County Council (Highway Authority)   |  |
| .oo.x County Country (Figureay Authority   | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with   |
|  | the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Norfolk Fire and Rescue Service  | have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the  |
| ionome include and resource correct  | Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an  |
|  | concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's  |
|  | consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this   |
|  | DCO.   |
| Norfolk Police and Crime Commissioner  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit  |
|  | due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with   |
|  | the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
|  |  |
| Norfolk Prepared - Norfolk Resilience  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit  |
| Norfolk Prepared - Norfolk Resilience<br>Forum   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with   |
| Forum  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| ·  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the  |
| Forum  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an   |
| Forum  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's  |
| Forum  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an   |
| Forum  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this   |
| Forum  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit   |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning  Group  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with  |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning  Group  Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning  Group  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning  Group  Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning Group  Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group  Dulton Parish Council  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning  Group  Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning Group  Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group  Dulton Parish Council  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fal |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning Group  Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group  Dulton Parish Council  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning Group  Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group  Dulton Parish Council  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Homsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall  |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning Group  Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group  Dulton Parish Council  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning Group  Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group  Dulton Parish Council  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning  Group  Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group  Dulton Parish Council  Poringland Parish Council  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning  Broup  Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group  Dulton Parish Council  Poringland Parish Council  Public Health England  Quadrant Piplines Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning  Group  Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group  Dulton Parish Council  Poringland Parish Council  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning  Broup  Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group  Dulton Parish Council  Poringland Parish Council  Public Health England  Quadrant Piplines Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning Broup  Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group  Dulton Parish Council  Poringland Parish Council  Public Health England  Quadrant Piplines Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning  Broup  Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group  Dulton Parish Council  Poringland Parish Council  Public Health England  Quadrant Piplines Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fal |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning Broup  Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group  Dulton Parish Council  Poringland Parish Council  Public Health England  Quadrant Piplines Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fal |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning Broup  Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group  Dulton Parish Council  Public Health England  Quadrant Piplines Limited  Reepham Parish Council  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fal |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning Broup  Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group  Dulton Parish Council  Poringland Parish Council  Public Health England  Quadrant Piplines Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fal |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning Broup  Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group  Dulton Parish Council  Public Health England  Quadrant Piplines Limited  Reepham Parish Council  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fal |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning  Broup  Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group  Dulton Parish Council  Public Health England  Quadrant Piplines Limited  Reepham Parish Council  Ringland Parish Council  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fal |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning Broup  Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group  Dulton Parish Council  Public Health England  Quadrant Piplines Limited  Reepham Parish Council  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fal |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning  Broup  Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group  Dulton Parish Council  Public Health England  Quadrant Piplines Limited  Reepham Parish Council  Ringland Parish Council  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures breeding seasons or ANS. In dadition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onsho |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning  Broup  Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group  Dulton Parish Council  Public Health England  Quadrant Piplines Limited  Reepham Parish Council  Ringland Parish Council  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures.  have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within th |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning  Broup  Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group  Dulton Parish Council  Public Health England  Quadrant Piplines Limited  Reepham Parish Council  Ringland Parish Council  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board  North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning  Broup  Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group  Dulton Parish Council  Public Health England  Quadrant Piplines Limited  Reepham Parish Council  Ringland Parish Council  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fal |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning Group Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group Dulton Parish Council Public Health England Quadrant Piplines Limited Reepham Parish Council Ringland Parish Council Royal Mail Group  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fal |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning Group Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group Dulton Parish Council Poringland Parish Council Public Health England Quadrant Piplines Limited Reepham Parish Council Ringland Parish Council Royal Mail Group Royal National Lifeboat Institution (RNLI)   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Inave any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm 200 and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fa |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning Group Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group Dulton Parish Council Public Health England Quadrant Piplines Limited Reepham Parish Council Ringland Parish Council Royal Mail Group  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or  |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning Group Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group Dulton Parish Council Poringland Parish Council Public Health England Quadrant Piplines Limited Reepham Parish Council Ringland Parish Council Royal Mail Group Royal National Lifeboat Institution (RNLI)   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Homsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm Qoard and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discu |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning Group Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group Dulton Parish Council Poringland Parish Council Public Health England Quadrant Piplines Limited Reepham Parish Council Ringland Parish Council Royal Mail Group Royal National Lifeboat Institution (RNLI) Salle Parish Council  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Homsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm Odo and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning Group Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group Dulton Parish Council Poringland Parish Council Public Health England Quadrant Piplines Limited Reepham Parish Council Ringland Parish Council Royal Mail Group Royal National Lifeboat Institution (RNLI)   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  In a policy of the design of the state |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning Group Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group Dulton Parish Council Poringland Parish Council Public Health England Quadrant Piplines Limited Reepham Parish Council Ringland Parish Council Royal Mail Group Royal National Lifeboat Institution (RNLI) Salle Parish Council  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Homsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCD and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discuss |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning Group Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group Dulton Parish Council Poringland Parish Council Public Health England Quadrant Piplines Limited Reepham Parish Council Ringland Parish Council Royal Mail Group Royal National Lifeboat Institution (RNLI) Salle Parish Council Salthouse Parish Council   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  In the properties of the propose of of t |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning Group Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group Dulton Parish Council Poringland Parish Council Public Health England Quadrant Piplines Limited Reepham Parish Council Ringland Parish Council Royal Mail Group Royal National Lifeboat Institution (RNLI) Salle Parish Council  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise an concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Homsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCD and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discuss |

| Shotesham Parish Council   | One had deep material to a small this association of the state of the  |
|--|--|
|  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| South Norfolk Clinical Commissioning<br>Group  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Southern Gas Networks plc  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Sparham Parish Council   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Spirit Energy North Sea Limited  | have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Homsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |
| Stody Parish Council   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Stoke Holy Cross Parish Council  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Swainsthorpe Parish Council  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Swannington with Alderford & Little<br>Witchingham Parish Council  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Swardeston Parish Council  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Taverham Parish Council  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| The Broads Internal Drainage Board   | have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| The Civil Aviation Authority (CAA)   | have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| The Coal Authority   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| The Crown Estate   | The Applicant proposes to consult this organisation, as they have played an active role in developing the ANS, including their location, design, monitoring and adaptive management. There has been regular engagement between the Applicant and this organisation in relation to the ANS, including in the context of the Kittiwake Compensation Plan and the implementation of compensatory measures, including discussions relating to the relevant number of breeding seasons.   |
| The Electricity Network Company Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| The Environment Agency   | have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this  |
|  | DCO.   |
| The Planning Inspectorate (PINS)   | DCO.  have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| The Planning Inspectorate (PINS)  Themelthorpe Parish Council  | have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's   |
|  | have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with   |
| Themelthorpe Parish Council  | have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this  |
| Themelthorpe Parish Council Thurning Parish Council  | have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Homsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's  |
| Themelthorpe Parish Council  Thurning Parish Council  Trinity House Lighthouse Service   | have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has a |
| Themelthorpe Parish Council  Thurning Parish Council  Trinity House Lighthouse Service  Trinity House Limited  | have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussi |
| Themelthorpe Parish Council  Thurning Parish Council  Trinity House Lighthouse Service  Trinity House Limited  Trowse with Newton Parish Council                         | have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussi |
| Themelthorpe Parish Council  Thurning Parish Council  Trinity House Lighthouse Service  Trinity House Limited  Trowse with Newton Parish Council  UK Chamber of Shipping | have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as  |

| Vattenfall Networks Limited      | have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Wales and West Utilities Limited | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Weston Longville Parish Council  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Weybourne Parish Council         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Wickmere Parish Council          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Wood Dalling Parish Council      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Wymondham Town Council           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |

| Organisation Name                               | Is Consultation required?  |
|---|--|
| Breckland District Council                      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Broadland District Council                      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Cambridgeshire County Council                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Forest Heath District Council                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Great Yarmouth Borough Council                  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| King's Lynn and West Norfolk Borough<br>Council | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Lincolnshire County Council                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Mid Suffolk District Council                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Norfolk County Council                          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| North Norfolk District Council                  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Norwich City Council                            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| South Norfolk District Council                  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| St Edmundsbury Borough Council                  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Suffolk County Council                          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| The Broads National Park Authority              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Waveney District Council                        | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |

| Name/Organisation                             |  |
|---|--|
| A.V.Youngs (Farms) Limited                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Adam Buxton                                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Airlie Anne Inglis                            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Airwave Solutions Limited                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Alan Robert Kemp                              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Alan West                                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Albert James Papworth                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Alexander Donald Betlem                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Alexander Gavin Angell Lane                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Alison Julie Williams                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Alistair Fish                                 | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Andrew Alston                                 | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Anglian Water Services<br>Limited             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Ann Marie Knights                             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Anne Morley Fletcher                          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Annemarie Wharton                             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Anthony William Hafford                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Barclays Bank plc                             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Barry Ernst Brooks                            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Barry Frederick Clark                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Beckhithe Farms Limited                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Benjamin Robert Goodfellow                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Blue Transmission<br>Sheringham Shoal Limited | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Brenda Joan Kelsey                            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Brian Lambert Boydell                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| British Sky Broadcasting<br>Group Limited     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Broadland District Council                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Broadland Housing<br>Association Limited      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| BT Group plc                                  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Bullen Developments Limited                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| C.J.C. Lee (Saxthorpe)<br>Limited             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |

| Cadent Gas Limited                 | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Carl Andrew Soames Baker           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Caroline Barratt                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Catherine Sarah Wright             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Charles Edward Clark               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Charles Henry Cook                 | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Charles Jonathan Watt              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Christine Mary Randell             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Christine Wright                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Christopher Futter                 | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Christopher Mark Rampton           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Clair Craig                        | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Colimarc Construction Limited      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Cornerstone                        | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their   |
| Telecommunications                 | capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the  |
| Infrastructure Limited             | ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Dana Wright                        | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| David Acloque                      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| David Edward Brown                 | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| David Hall                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| David John Borrett                 | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| David John Soames Baker            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| David Quintin Gurney               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Deborah Anne Rogers                | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Deborah Harris                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Dereham Fishing Club               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Dudgeon Offshore Wind<br>Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Eastern Power Networks plc         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Easton & Otley College             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Ebony Holdings Limited             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Edith Ann Clark                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
|                                    |  |
| Edward Christopher Evans-<br>Lombe | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |

| Elizabeth Ann Quinn                                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the   |
|---|--|
| Elizabeth Anne Gaymer                                     | ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the  |
| Energis Communications<br>Limited                         | ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the  |
| Felicity Mary Whitley                                     | ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the  |
| Frances Clifford  | ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Frances Marilyn Evans-Lombe                               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Frances Vyvyan Tudor                                      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Gabrielle Frances Evans-<br>Lombe                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| General Lord Francis Richard<br>Dannatt, GCB, CBE, MC, DL | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| George Stephen Arthur<br>Wilson                           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Gerald Frank Bullimore                                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Giles Richard Lovell<br>Spackman                          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Grant Stanley Pilcher                                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Great Melton Farms Limited                                | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| GW Harrold and Partners                                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
|   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Henrietta Katherine Norman                                | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Henry Donald Watt   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Henry John Landis   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Henry Thomas Unthank<br>Darling                           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Highways England Company<br>Limited                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Holt Fishing Club   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Holt Rugby Club Property<br>Limited                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Honingham Aktieselskab                                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| HSBC Bank plc   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| J W Peacock   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| James Peter Needham<br>Learmond                           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Jamie Philip Day  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Jenny Lee Dobson  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| John Baker  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| John Edward Crane   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |

| John Edward Hurst                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
|---|--|
| John Innes Centre                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| John Matthews                           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| John Pidgen                             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| John Stanley Williams                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Jonathan Hayden                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Jonathan James Renton<br>Thursby        | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Joseph Cook                             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Joseph Frederick Burrell                | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Julia Hurst                             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Julia May Dacre                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Juliet James                            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| June Patricia Bailey                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Kate Alice Paul                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Kathryn Frances Rosamond<br>Grandjean   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Keith George Knight                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Kelling Estate LLP                      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Kelling Model Flying Club               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Kelling Parish Council                  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Kerry Ann Gray                          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Kevin Cooper                            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Kyle Dymoke Wilfrid White               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Lady Phillippa Margaret<br>Dannatt, MBE | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Laurence Mark Harris                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Lee Andrew Massingham                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Lee Grandjean                           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Leonard John Gray                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Lisa Lambert                            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Lisa Winner                             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Little Melton Parochial Charity         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Lloyds Bank plc                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Lord Robert Julian Henry<br>Darling     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |

| Louise Anne Savory                            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the   |
|---|--|
| Lympia Johnston                               | ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their  |
| Lynnie Johnston                               | Orside does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Manor Farm Partnership                        | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Margaret Ann Prince-Smith                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Margaret Carter                               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Maria Michelle Wilson                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Marie Susan Lofty                             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the   |
| Mark Rounce                                   | ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the  |
| Martin Paul Kemp                              | ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the  |
| Matthew John Rampton                          | ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the  |
| Melton Edward Harrold                         | ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the  |
| Michael Alan Dewing                           | ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the  |
| Michael Anthony Preston                       | ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Michael Berry Savory                          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Michael Harold Jones                          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Michael Peter Adams                           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Mills & Reeve Trust<br>Corporation Limited    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Monica Barbara Little                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Morton Hall Farms Limited                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Mott-Radclyffe Farms                          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| National Grid Electricity<br>Transmission plc | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| National Grid Gas plc                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| National Grid plc                             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Neil Winner                                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Network Rail Infrastructure<br>Limited        | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Nicholas Edward Evans-<br>Lombe               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Nicholas Evans-Lombe 1997<br>Childrens Trust  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Nicholas Stuart Southward<br>OBE              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Nicholas Youngman                             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Nicola Patricia Mattocks                      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the   |
|   | ANS or the compensatory measures.  |

| Nigel Roland Rogers                              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
|--|--|
| Noel Gray  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Norfolk Angling Club                             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Norfolk County Council                           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Norfolk Rivers Internal<br>Drainage Board        | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| North Norfolk District Council                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| North Norfolk Railway plc                        | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Norwich Church of England<br>Young Men's Society | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Norwich City Council                             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Norwich Diocesan Board of<br>Finance Limited     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Oliver Wales                                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Pamela Eileen Marris                             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Patience Anastasia<br>Backhouse                  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Patra Hall                                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Patricia Eleanor Margaret<br>Gurney              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Patrick Edward Henley Buscall                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Paul Brian Middleton                             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Paul Dawson                                      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Peddars Pigs Limited                             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Penny Jane Oakes                                 | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Peter John George Seaman                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Philip Alexis Quinn                              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Philip Charles Randell                           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Philip George Day                                | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Philip Sands                                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| R.S Baker & Sons Limited                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Rhona Jane Kirwan Bulwer-<br>Long                | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Richard David Gordon                             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Richard George Seaman                            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Richard John Taylor                              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Richard Nicholas Laurence<br>Ross                | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |

| Richard William Bailey   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
|--|--|
| Richard Youngs   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Robert Douglas James Linge                                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Robert Gurney  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Robert Matthews  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Robin Anthony Buxton   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Rory Harrold   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Rose Ferlina Garrett Carver                                      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Rosemary Angela Frances<br>Darling                               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Rosemary Margaret<br>Watkinson                                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Royston John Hafford   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Sadie Brooks   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Salle Farms Company  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Saltcarr Farms Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Salthouse Heath Trustees   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Samuel Charles Legh Barratt                                      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Sandra Dawn Carman   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Santander UK plc   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Sarah Buxton   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Sarah Jane Dyer  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Sarah Jane Haistead  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Sarah Jane Linge   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Sarah Williams   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
|  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Secretary of State for<br>Environment, Food and Rural<br>Affairs | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Shamsher Singh Diu   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Sharon Elizabeth Harrold   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Shell Service Station<br>Properties Limited                      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Sherrill Catherine Bullimore                                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Simon Andrew Cooper  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Simon Henry Back   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |

| Simon Peter Moores  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
|---|--|
| Sir David Robert Macgowan<br>Chapman  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the   |
| Sir John Woolmer White  | ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the  |
| Slt Trustee Limited   | ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the  |
| Solar Century Holdings<br>Limited   | ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Sophia Katharine Wills  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Stephen Harry Wharton   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Stephen John Clifford   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Stephen John Seekings   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Stephen Peter Carman  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Susan Elizabeth Williams  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Susan Mary Shenkman   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Tarmac Aggregates Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Taylor Wimpey UK Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Telefonica O2 UK Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Terence Davies  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| The Honourable Graham<br>Charles Mackintosh   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| The Honourable Mary Watt  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| The Queen's Most Excellent<br>Majesty In Right Of Her Crown                           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| The Right Honourable<br>Gwynneth Charlesworth<br>Viscountess Mackintosh of<br>Halifax | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| The Right Honourable John<br>Clive Third Viscount<br>Mackintosh                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| The Right Honourable Lady<br>Emma Louise Suffield                                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| The Secretary of State for Defence  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| The Trustees of The<br>Educational Foundation Of<br>Alderman John Norman              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Thomas Courtauld  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Thomas Malcolm Seaman   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Teny Cooper   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Tony Victor Cladwich  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Tony Victor Gladwish  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Trudy Baker   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |

| University of East Anglia          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Virgin Media Limited               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Vodafone Limited                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| W.J.F. Ross Limited                | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Wendy Dawn Gaymer                  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Wendy Maria Allsop                 | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| William Anthony Wales              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| William David Winslow Barr         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| William Gaymer                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| William Gaymer (Booton)<br>Limited | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| William Partridge Cubitt           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| William Robert Bartle Edwards      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| William Sewallis Wayne             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| William Young (Dereham)<br>Limited | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Wilson Connolly Limited            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Yvonne Winifred Ross               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |

| Name                           | Is Consultation required?  |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Annemarie Wharton              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Jennifer Catherine Fox         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Jeremy Edwin Fox               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Kerry Ann Gray                 | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Kevin George John Fisher       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Linda Joy Fisher               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Natasha Hannah Hall            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Richard Nicholas Laurence Ross | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Sarah Frances Griggs-Smith     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Sarah Jane Haistead            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Sarah Lyell Bristow            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Stephen Harry Wharton          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Steven James Hall              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Sue Lowther                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |
| Yvonne Winifred Ross           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures. |

| Name/Organisation  | Is Consultation required?  |
|--|--|
| Aggregate Industries Limited                             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their   |
|  | capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Alpha Petroleum Resources Limited                        | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Amphibian and Reptile Conservation<br>Trust              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Anglian Power Generators Ltd                             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Armateurs de France (France)                             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Associate British Ports (ABP)                            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Associate British Ports (ABP) Humber<br>Estuary Services | interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |
| Associate British Ports (ABP) King's<br>Lynn             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Association of Inland Navigation<br>Authorities          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| AWE plc  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Babcock Mission Critical Services<br>Offshore Limited    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| BBL Company  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Bernard Matthews Wind Farm<br>(Weston) LLP               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Blood Hill Wind Farm                                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Blue Transmission London Array<br>Limited                | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Blue Transmission Sheringham Shoal<br>Limited            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| BOC Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| ВР   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| BP plc   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| BP Shipping  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| BPA LTD  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |

| Bristow Helicopters Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the  |
|---|--|
| British Gas Limited   | examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| British Marine Aggregate Producers<br>Association (BMAPA)             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| British Sky Broadcasting Group<br>Limited                             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Broadland Agricultural Water<br>Abstractors Group                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| BSH Bundesamt für Seeschifffahrtund<br>Hydrographie (Germany)         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| BT Group Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| BT Subsea Cables Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| Cadent Gas Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Campaign to Protect Rural England<br>(CPRE) (Norfolk)                 | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Carrington Power Limited (Carrington Gas Pipeline)                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| CATS Management Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding tecompensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| Caythorpe Gas Storage Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| CEMEX UK  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Centre for the Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science (CEFAS) | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| Centrica E&P (Max Rowe)   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| Centrica E&P / Spirit Energy Spirit<br>Energy North Sea Limited       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| Centrica plc  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| Centrica Storage Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| CHC Scotia Helicopters Ltd  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| CLH Pipeline System (CLH-PS) LTD                                      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| CME Organisation de Producteur (France)                               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| Colt Technology Services Group<br>Limited                             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |

| Conoco Philips  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
|---|--|
| ConocoPhillips (UK) Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Conophilips (U.K.) Alpha Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Coryton Energy Company Ltd  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Country Land Association (CLA)  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Danish Agency for Water and Nature<br>Management                                | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Danish Environmental Protection<br>Agency                                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Danish Maritime Authority (Denmark)   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Danish Nature Agency  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Defence Infrastruture Organisation  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| DEME Building Materials Ltd   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Denmarks Fiskeriforening/ Danish<br>Fishermen's Association (Denmark)           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Denmarks Rederiforening (Denmark)   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Department for Business, Energy and<br>Industrial Strategy (BEIS)               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs (DEFRA)                        | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Department for Transport  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Department for Transport (Maritime<br>Safety and Environment)                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Department of Housing, Planning,<br>Community and Local Government<br>(Ireland) | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| DFDS A/S  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Dudgeon Offshore Wind Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Dudgeon Offshore Windfarm Ltd<br>(Statoil)                                      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |

| Dutch Fish Marketing Board  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the  |
|---|--|
| E.On UK CHP Limited   | examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| East Anglia One, One North, Two and Three   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| East Midlands Airport   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| East Riding of Yorkshire Council  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Eastern Inshore Fisheries and<br>Conservation Authorities (IFCA)  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| Eastern Power Networks plc  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Eclipse Power Networks Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Energis Communications Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Enertrag Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Engie E&P Limited (Netherlands)   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| ENI & Himor (Robin Palmer)  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| Equinor Production UK Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| ESP Utilities Group   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| ESSAR   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| Esso Petroleum Company Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| Faroe Petroleum (Paul Barron)   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| Faroe Petroleum Plc   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| Federal Ministry for the Environment (Germany)  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| Federal Public Service Health, Food<br>Chain Safety and Environment<br>(Belguim)                                | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| Federale Overheidsdienst Mobiliteit en<br>Vervoer (Belguim) // Federal Public<br>Service Mobility and Transport | interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |
| Flemish Government - Environment<br>Nature and Energy Department<br>(Belguim)                                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| FROM Nord (France)  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |

| Frontier Power   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
|--|--|
| Gateshead Energy Company Limited                           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Gigaclear plc  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| GNI (UK) Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Grant Emms   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Great Yarmouth and Waveney Clinical<br>Commissioning Group | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Great Yarmouth Harbour                                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Great Yarmouth Port Company Ltd                            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Greenpark Energy Transportation<br>Limited                 | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Grimsby Harbour  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| GTT Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Highways England Company Ltd                               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Homes And Communities Agency                               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Hornsea Project One Offshore Wind Farm                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Hornsea Project Two Offshore Wind Farm                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Humber Local Enterprise Partnership (LEP)                  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Humberside International Airport Ltd                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Humbly Grove Energy  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Icelandic Institute of Natural History                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Independent Oil & Gas plc                                  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| INEOS  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |

| interest to the proposed drappe to the treating research, breading research and the proposed or agreement with the polygonial research and the proposed or agreement with the proposed or agreement and the proposed or agreement and the proposed or agreement with the proposed or agreement and the proposed or |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| interect in the proposed charge to the benefity seasons and/or that set the term involved in any discussions or expansant with the Agricular specified or expensant of the control of the  | INEOS Group AG   | interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the  |
| capacity as obly in an ordinary solicy from control and another in the agreement of the progression of the following the comparison of the progression of the progres | INEOS Group Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.      |
| interest in the programation pressures. Description for the compression pressures are production for a best in review. As a place of the program of the prog |  | capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| interest in the proposed charges in the breiding seasons and/or his not been movived in any discussions or empanement with the Applicant regarding to recommend the proposed of the proposed o | Inovyn Enterprises Limited   | interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the  |
| International Provest Limited  Crited does not propose to consist this organisation. Whilst the organisation is sent related to officion matters, the organisation does not have any interest in the proposed drampts by the breefing sessions and only and to the involved any any discourson or engagement when the Applicant regarding responses in relation to the compensationy measures, breeding sessions or AMS in the Secretary of States consultation held between the end of the compensation of the Herman Propert Three Officines when far motion or and the decinition to grant this D.C.  Origid does not propose to consult this engagement or AMS in the Secretary of States consultation held between the end of the compensation of the Herman Propert Three Officines when far motion or any discussions or compensation of the television of the television of the present than D.C.  Origid does not propose to consult this engagement or AMS in the Secretary of States consultation held between the end of the compensation of the Propert of the Secretary of States consultation held between the end of the compensation of the propert of the Propert of the Secretary of States consultation held between the end of the compensation of the Herman Propert Three Officines with a far the Secretary of States consultation held between the end of the compensation of the Herman Propert Three Officines with a far the propert three Officines with a far the propert three Officines with the proprietation and the secretary of States consultation held between the end of the compensation of the Herman Propert Three Officines with the properties with the properties with the properties with the proposed drampt to the tellor three thre | Interconnector (UK) Limited  | interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the  |
| Interest does not propose to consult this organisation. Which the organisation was made related to offenine males. This organisation does not have any inclinated with the proposed contained to the proposed organisation does not have any inclinated and the proposed organisation of the proposed organisation organisatio | International Power Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the   |
| he ARS of the compensatory measures.  The ARS of the compensatory measures.  An expensatory (UN) Ltd  Critical does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's rentirelates to offstore matters, this organisation does not have any response to recognize the propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation of the internative in the propose to response in relation to the compensatory measures. Internative any compensatory responses in relation to the compensatory pressures. Therefore greater or ARS in the Secretary of States compensation and the development of the examination for the Homes Project Three Offstore Wird Farm DCD and the decision to grant the DCD.  The compensatory measures, breefing seasons or ARS in addition or in the alternative, the organisation had between the end of the examination for the Homes Project Three Offstore Wird Farm DCD and the decision to grant the DCD.  The ARS of the compensatory measures, breefing seasons or ARS in the Socretary of States contently of the compensatory measures. Including seasons or ARS in the Socretary of States contently the compensatory measures. Including the ARS of the compensatory measures, breefing seasons or ARS in the Socretary of States contently the compensatory measures. Including the compensatory measures are already to the ARS of the compensatory measures. The ARS of the compensatory measures are already as a control of the ARS of the compensatory measures. The ARS of the compensatory measures are already as a control of the ARS of the compensatory measures. The ARS of the compensatory measures are already as a control of the ARS of the compensatory measures. The ARS of the compensatory measures are already as a control of the ARS of the compensatory measures. The ARS of the compensatory measures are already as a control of the ARS of the compensatory measures. The ARS of the compensatory measures are already as a control of the ARS of the compensatory measures are already as a control of the ARS of the compensator | Interoute Communications Holdings Limited  Ipswich and East Suffolk Clinical | interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their |
| Jones Saefood Limited  Cristed does not prospose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation for some them in which some present in the prospose of change to the breefing seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation does not have any interest in the prosposed change to the breefing seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation does not prospose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fail within their rent due to the company of the compensatory measures.  Lex Energy Limited  Cristed does not prospose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fail within their rent due to their present of the company of the ANS does not fail within their rent due to their present of the ANS does not fail within their rent due to their present of the ANS does not fail within their rent due to their present of the ANS does not fail within their rent due to their present of the ANS does not fail within their rent due to their present of the ANS does not fail within their rent due to their present of the ANS does not fail within their rent due to their present of the ANS does not fail within their rent due to their present of the ANS does not fail within their rent due to their present of the ANS does not fail within their rent due to their present of the ANS does not fail within their rent due to their present of the ANS does not fail within their rent due to their present of the ANS does not fail within their rent due to their present of | Ithaca Energy (UK) Ltd   | the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the  |
| Advisory Group  and Energy Limited  Christed does not propose to consult this organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding he ANS or the compensatory measures.  Level 3 Communications UK Limited  And the Compensatory measures in the Compensatory measures and the Compensatory measures are consultation for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  And the Limited Compensatory measures in the Compensatory me | Jonas Seafood Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the   |
| capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Level 3 Communications UK Limited  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons are ANS in addition or in the attendance, the organisation has designed in the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Scretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Mamx Limited  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in a strength and the compensatory measures, breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in a strength and the compensatory measures, breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in a strength and the compensatory measures are the strength or the strength and the compensatory measures are the strength or the strength and the compensatory measures are the strength or th | King's Lynn & West Norfolk Joint<br>Advisory Group                           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Crested does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory wearuses, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of States consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation of enter newtres, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the compensatory measures, breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory or proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures or any and the applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons and/or has not been involved | Lark Energy Limited  | capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding   |
| Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation is remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the organisation or the alternative, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant reg | Level 3 Communications UK Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the   |
| Manx Limited  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not NAS. In the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Homsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons and/or has not been involved may discussion or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation does not have any involved in any discussion or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation is remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation does not have any involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this o | Lynx Couriers Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the   |
| interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons or AMS. In addrof has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or AMS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or AMS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Homsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Masdar Energy UK Limited  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation is ment relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons or AMS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the organisation. Whilst the organisation is the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or AMS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Homsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Melbourn Solar Limited  Melbourn Solar Limited  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons or AMS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Homsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory  | Manx Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the   |
| interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  McNicholas Construction (Holdings) Limited  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whits the organisation is remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Melbourn Solar Limited  Melbourn Solar Limited  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Ministère de l'écologie due development durable et de l'éconegie (France) / Ministry of Evitable or a substantable Development and Energy (France) / Ministry of Evitable or a substantable Development durable et de l'éconegie (France) / Ministry of Evitable or a substantable Development and Energy (France) / Ministry of Evitable or a substantable Development durable et de l'éconegie (France) / Ministry of Evitable or a substantable development and Energy (France) / Mi | Marine Scotland  | interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the  |
| interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Melbourn Solar Limited  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation is remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any dievelopment and Energy (France)  Minister de l'écologie due development durable et de development durable et development durable et development durable et development durable et development development development development development durable et development developmen | Masdar Energy UK Limited   | interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the  |
| interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Ministry of Brussels (Belgium)  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation and in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding t | McNicholas Construction (Holdings)<br>Limited                                | interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the  |
| interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any expected interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Ministry of Brussels (Belgium)  Interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons or ANS in addition or in the alternative, this organisation does not have any examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Ministry of Brussels (Belgium)  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, Whilst the organisation or in the alternative, this organisation does not have any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their decisions to grant this DCO.                              | Melbourn Solar Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.      |
| Environnement (Belguim) / Ministry of interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding Public and the Environment (Belgium) the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding   | and Energy (France)  | interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding  | Public and the Environment (Belgium)   | interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
|  | iviiiiistry oi brusseis (Belgium)  | capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding   |

| Ministry of Environment (Norway)  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
|---|--|
| Ministry of Foreign Affairs (Iceland)   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Ministry of Infrastructure and the<br>Environment – Directorate General for<br>Spatial Development and Water<br>Affairs (Netherlands) | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Ministry of Transport, Public Works<br>and Water Management (Netherlands)   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Ministry of Wallonia (Belguim)  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Mobile Broadband Network Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| National Farmers' Union (NFU)   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| National Federation of Fisheries<br>Organisations (NFFO)  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| National Grid Interconnectors Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Nederlandse Visserbond / Dutch<br>Fisheries Association   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Neptune E&P UK Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| New Anglia Local Enterprise<br>Partnership Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| NHV Helicopters   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Norfolk Amphibian & Reptile Group   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Norfolk and Norwich Horticultural<br>Society  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Norfolk and Norwich Naturalists'<br>Society   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Norfolk Archaeological Trust  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Norfolk Badger Protection Group   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Norfolk Badger Trust  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Norfolk Barbastelle Study Group   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Norfolk Boreas Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Norfolk Chamber of Commerce   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Norfolk Coast Partnership (AONB<br>Board)   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Norfolk Constabulary (Police)   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |

| Norfolk Farming and Wildlife Advisory<br>Group (FWAG)               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
|---|--|
| Norfolk Inshore Fishing Association (NIFA)                          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Norfolk Rivers IDB Group: Water<br>Management Alliance              | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Norfolk Rivers Trust  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Norfolk Vanguard  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Homsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |
| Norfolk Vanguard Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Homsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |
| Norfolk Wildlife Trust  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Norges Rederiforbund (Norway) /<br>Norweigen Shipowners Association | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| North Norfolk Community Woodland<br>Trust                           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| North Norfolk Fishermen's Society                                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| North Norfolk Railways plc  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Northumbrian Water Group Limited                                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Norwegian Environment Agency  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Homsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |
| Norwegian Fishing Vessel Owners<br>Union (Norway)                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Homsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |
| Norwegian Maritime Directorate<br>(Norway)                          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Norwich Airport Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Norwich Bat Group   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Npower Group Plc  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| NuGeneration Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Office for Gas and Electricity Markets (OFGEM)                      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Office of Rail and Road   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Office of the Police and Crime<br>Commissioner for Suffolk          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Office of Water Service (Ofwat)                                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Oikos Storage Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |

| Oil & Gas Authority  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |
|--|---|
| Ontario Teachers Pension Plan Board  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| P&O Ferries Holdings Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |
| Parks and Gardens Data Services<br>Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Peel Ports Group Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |
| Perenco (UK) Ltd   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |
| Petroineos Europe Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |
| Policy Officer Nature and Spatial  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the proposed change |
| Planning - Dutch Fish Product Board (Netherlands)                                      | interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| Préfecture Maritime de la Manche et<br>de la Mer du Nord (France)                      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the   |
| Prysmian Cables & Systems Limited  | examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |
| Rederscentrale   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |
| Rijkswaterstaat - Dutch Ministry of<br>Infrastructure and Environment<br>(Netherlands) | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |
| River Glaven Conservation Group  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |
| Royal Association of Netherlands Ship<br>Owners (Netherlands)                          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |
| Royal Belgian Ship-owners<br>Association (Belguim)                                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |
| Royal Yachting Association (RYA)   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |
| RSPB   | The Applicant proposes to consult this organisation, as they have played an active role in developing the ANS, including their location, design, monitoring and adaptive management. There has been regular engagement between the Applicant and this organisation in relation to the ANS, including in the context of the Kittiwake Compensation Plan and the implementation of compensatory measures, including discussions relating to the relevant number of breeding seasons.  |
| RWE Generation UK plc  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Salle Farms Company Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Scira Offshore Energy Ltd<br>(Sheringham Shoal Offshore Wind<br>Farm)                  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |
| Scottish Natural Heritage  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Scottish Power Generation Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |

| Scottish Power Renewables (UK)                             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any  |
|--|---|
| Limited  | interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Homese Project Thee Offshore Wind Farm DCQ and the decision to great this DCQ. |
| Seabank Power Limited                                      | examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any  |
| Scaparity Swer Ellined                                     | interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or   |
|  | responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the  |
| Secrétariat Général de la Mer                              | examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any  |
| (France)   | interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or   |
|  | responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| Severn Gas Transportation Limited                          | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of designed seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their  |
| ·  | capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| SGN Smart Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to thei capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding   |
| Shell International Exploration and                        | the ANS or the compensatory measures.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any   |
| Production   | interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the  |
| 01    11   12   13   14   15   15   15   15   15   15   15 | examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |
| Shell U.K. Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or  |
|  | responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| SP Distribution plc  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any  |
|  | interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding<br>the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or<br>responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the  |
|  | examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |
| SP Gas Transportation Cockenzie<br>Limited                 | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding   |
| Little   | the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or  |
|  | responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| SP Gas Transportation Hatfield                             | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any  |
| Limited  | interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or   |
|  | responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the  |
| SP Manweb plc  | examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their  |
| or Manweb pic  | capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Spalding Energy Company, Limited                           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding  |
| Ot-41  | the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Statkraft UK Limited                                       | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or  |
|  | responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| Suffolk Fire and Rescue Service                            | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their  |
|  | capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Swedish Environmental Protection                           | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any  |
| Agency, Implementation &<br>Enforcement Department         | interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the  |
| Sydvestivsk Fiskeriforening (Denmark)                      | examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any  |
| Systematics (Berman)                                       | interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or   |
|  | responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.   |
| Tampnet  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any  |
|  | interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or   |
|  | responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the  |
| Tampnet UK Limited   | examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any  |
| ramphet OK Limited   | interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the  |
|  | examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |
| Tampnet UK Ltd   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding   |
|  | the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the   |
| TO Linear OFTO 11 11 1                                     | examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |
| TC Lincs OFTO Limited                                      | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding   |
|  | the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the   |
| The Canal and River Trust                                  | examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any  |
| Outland tayor ridot  | interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding  |
|  | the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the   |
| The Cruining Appearing                                     | examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any  |
| The Cruising Association                                   | Orside does not propose to consult this organisation, whilst the organisation is refit related to obstacle matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or   |
|  | responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the  |
| •  | examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |

| The Gas Transportation Company<br>Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
|--|--|
| The Joint Nature Conservation<br>Committee   | The Applicant proposes to consult this organisation, as they have played an active role in developing the ANS, including their location, design, monitoring and adaptive management. There has been regular engagement between the Applicant and this organisation in relation to the ANS, including in the context of the Kittiwake Compensation Plan and the implementation of compensatory measures, including discussions relating to the relevant number of breeding seasons.   |
| The National Trust   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| The Wash and North Norfolk Coast<br>European Marine Site Management  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| The Whale & Dolphin Conservation<br>Society  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| The Wildlife Trusts  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Third Energy   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to thei capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Thrive Renewables (Eye) Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Total (Netherlands)  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Trinity House Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Tullow Oil Plc   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| UK Green Investment Bank   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Uniper UK Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Utility Grid Installations Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Veolia ES SEL CHP Limited  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Verizon UK Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Virgin Media Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| VISNED   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Vodafone Limited   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to thei capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.  |
| Vroon  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Wasser-und Schifffahrtsverwaltung<br>des Bundes (Germany) / Waterway &<br>Shipping Administration of the Federal<br>Government (Germany) | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Waveney, Lower Yare & Lothingland<br>Internal Drainage Board   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Wells and District Inshore Fishermen's<br>Association  | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |

| Wells-next-the-sea Harbour                    | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
|---|--|
| Wensum Alliance                               | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| WINGAS Storage UK Limited                     | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Wintershall                                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |
| Zayo Group UK Limited                         | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation, as the relevant number of breeding seasons for the ANS does not fall within their remit due to their capacity as solely an onshore stakeholder. This organisation has also not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the ANS or the compensatory measures.   |
| Blue Transmission Sheringham Shoal<br>Limited | interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO.  |
| EIRGrid Plc                                   | Orsted does not propose to consult this organisation. Whilst the organisation's remit relates to offshore matters, this organisation does not have any interest in the proposed change to the breeding seasons and/or has not been involved in any discussions or engagement with the Applicant regarding the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS. In addition or in the alternative, this organisation did not raise any concerns, objections or responses in relation to the compensatory measures, breeding seasons or ANS in the Secretary of State's consultation held between the end of the examination for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm DCO and the decision to grant this DCO. |