

The Drax Power (Generating Stations) Order

Land at, and in the vicinity of, Drax Power Station, near Selby, North Yorkshire

Habitats Regulations Assessment Report (Submitted for Deadline 6)



The Planning Act 2008
The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure)
Regulation 5(1)(2)(g)

Drax Power Limited

Drax Repower Project

Applicant: DRAX POWER LIMITED
Date: January 2019
Document Ref: 6.6
PINS Ref: EN010091

Document History

Document Ref	6.6
Revision	003
Author	Philip Davidson
Signed	Date 29/01/2018
Approved By	Ursula Digby
Signed	Date 29/01/2018
Document Owner	WSP UK Limited

Table of Contents

1	INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.2	Proposed Scheme Description	1-1
1.3	Habitats Regulations Assessment	1-1
1.4	Consultation	1-3
2	SCREENING FOR LIKELY SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS	2-1
2.1	Approach to HRA Screening	2-1
2.2	Findings of HRA Screening	2-1
3	APPROPRIATE ASSESSMENT	3-1
3.1	Structure of Assessment	3-1
3.2	Assumptions for Appropriate Assessment	3-2
3.3	In-Combination Effects on Natura 2000 and Ramsar Sites	3-3
4	RELEVANT EUROPEAN SITES	4-1
4.1	European Site Description	4-1
5	EFFECTS OF IMPACTS TO FUNCTIONALLY LINKED HABITAT	5-1
5.1	Introduction	5-1
5.2	Relevant European Sites	5-1
5.3	Information to inform appropriate assessment	5-3
6	EFFECTS OF CHANGES TO AIR QUALITY	6-1
6.1	Introduction	6-1
6.2	Relevant European Sites	6-1
6.3	Information to Inform Appropriate Assessment	6-4
6.4	Summary	6-29
7	CONCLUSION	7-1
8	REFERENCES	8-1
FIGURES		
APPENDICES		
APPENDIX 1 – SCREENING MATRICES		
APPENDIX 2 – HRA INTEGRITY MATRICES		
APPENDIX 3 – SSSI CONDITION ASSESSMENT		
APPENDIX 4 – PHOSPHATE LIMITATION NOTE		

List of Tables

Table 2-1 - Results of HRA Screening for Lower Derwent Valley SAC	2-4
Table 2-2 - Results of HRA Screening for Lower Derwent Valley SPA	2-6
Table 2-3 - Results of HRA Screening for Lower Derwent Valley Ramsar site	2-12
Table 2-4 - Results of HRA Screening for River Derwent SAC	2-17
Table 2-5 - Results of HRA Screening for Humber Estuary SAC	2-19
Table 2-6 - Results of HRA Screening for Humber Estuary SPA	2-26
Table 2-7 Results of HRA Screening for Humber Estuary Ramsar site	2-49
Table 2-8 - Results of HRA Screening for Skipwith Common SAC	2-54
Table 2-9 - Results of HRA Screening for Thorne and Hatfield Moor SPA	2-55
Table 2-10 - Results of HRA Screening for Thorne Moor SAC	2-56
Table 3-1 - Screening of Other Projects and Plans for Potential In-Combination Effects	3-4
Table 4-1 – Relevant European Sites	4-2
Table 5-1 - Relevant European Sites and Disturbance Pathway	5-1
Table 6-1 - Relevant European Sites and Impact Pathways	6-2
Table 6-2 - Maximum Operational Impact at Ecological Receptors – Annual Mean NH ₃	6-6
Table 6-3 - Maximum Operational Impact at Ecological Receptors – Annual Mean NO _x	6-7
Table 6-4 - Maximum Operational Impact at Ecological Receptors – Daily Mean NO _x	6-8
Table 6-5 - Maximum Operational Impact at Ecological Receptors – Nitrogen Deposition	6-9
Table 6-6 - Maximum Operational Impact at Ecological Receptors – Acid Deposition	6-10
Table 6-7 - Maximum Cumulative Operational Impact at Ecological Receptors – Annual Mean NH ₃	6-11
Table 6-8 - Maximum Cumulative Operational Impact at Ecological Receptors – Annual Mean NO _x	6-12
Table 6-9 - Maximum Cumulative Operational Impact at Ecological Receptors – Daily Mean NO _x	6-13
Table 6-10 - Maximum Cumulative Operational Impact at Ecological Receptors – Nitrogen Deposition	6-14
Table 6-11 - Maximum Cumulative Operational Impact at Ecological Receptors – Acid Deposition	6-15
Table 6-12 – Summary of changes in NO _x levels (cumulative)	6-16

1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1.1. This report provides information to enable an appropriate assessment under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (the Habitats Regulations) of the Drax Repower Project, hereafter referred to as the 'Proposed Scheme'. This version of the report is submitted at Deadline 6, and provides an update to the assessment provided at Deadline 3 (Examination Library Reference REP3-017). The matrices at Appendices 1 and 2 and Tables 2-1 to 2-10 in this report have been further updated in response to the Examining Authority's (ExA) second round of Written Questions (Examination Library Reference PD-014), specifically question BHR2.3, reflecting the non-material amendments made to the Proposed Scheme (see Assessment of Non-Material Amendments to Proposed Scheme REP3-022).
- 1.1.2. The Proposed Scheme is located within Drax Power Station in Selby, North Yorkshire.
- 1.1.3. The Proposed Scheme is a nationally significant infrastructure project (NSIP), as defined within the Planning Act 2008, Section 14(1)(a) and 15(2). As such, it will be necessary to obtain a Development Consent Order (DCO) in order to construct and operate the Proposed Scheme. In addition, the Proposed Scheme falls under Schedule 1 paragraph 2(1) of the Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017 (hereafter referred to as EIA Regulations 2017) – Thermal power stations and other combustion installations with a heat output of 300 megawatts or more. Therefore, the DCO Application is supported by an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), which is presented in an Environmental Statement (ES) (Examination Library Ref APP-069 – APP-136).
- 1.1.4. This report cross-references reports and assessments (and their associated figures and appendices) provided to support the DCO Application. Particular reference is made to ES Chapter 9 (Biodiversity), Chapter 6 (Air Quality), Chapter 17 (Cumulative Effects) and Chapter 12 (Water Resources, Quality and Hydrology). For the Deadline 6 update, reference is also made to the Air Quality Technical Note submitted by the Applicant at Deadline 5 (Examination Library Reference REP5-019), which reflects the non-material amendments to the Proposed Scheme submitted at Deadline 3.

1.2 Proposed Scheme Description

- 1.2.1. The Proposed Scheme is described in detail in Chapter 3 (Site and Project Description) of the Environmental Statement (Applicant's document reference 6.1.3), an updated version (now Rev 002) of which is submitted into the Examination at Deadline 6 (to reflect the non-material amendments made to the Proposed Scheme, as set out in the Assessment of Non-Material Amendments to Proposed Scheme REP3-022) alongside this updated version of the HRA Report.

1.3 Habitats Regulations Assessment

- 1.3.1. Under the requirements of European Council Directive 92/43/EEC 'The Habitats Directive' (Ref 1) and the Council Directive 2009/147/EEC 'The Birds Directive' (Ref 2) it is necessary to consider whether the Proposed Scheme is likely to have significant effects upon areas of nature conservation importance designated/classified under the Directives ('Natura 2000

Sites'). Should likely significant effects be identified it would be necessary to further consider the potential impacts by way of an 'appropriate assessment' (AA).

- 1.3.2. The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 ('The Habitats Regulations', Ref 3) implements the Habitats Directive. Regulation 24 of the Habitats Regulations provides that where a project is likely to have a significant effect on a European site (either alone or in combination with others plans or projects), an appropriate assessment of the implications for that site in view of that site's conservation objectives must be made. The 'appropriate assessment' is described within this document as the Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA). Regulation 24 further provides that in light of the conclusions of the HRA, consent may only be given for the project if it will not adversely affect the integrity of the site.
- 1.3.3. The Habitats Regulations define "European site" as:
- a) *A special area of conservation;*
 - b) *A site of Community importance which has been placed on the list referred to in the third sub-paragraph of Article 4(2) of the Habitats Directive (list of sites of Community importance);*
 - c) *A site hosting a priority natural habitat type or priority species protected in accordance with Article 5(4) of the Habitats Directive (a site in respect of which consultation has been initiated under Article 5(1) of that Directive, during the consultation period or pending a decision of the Council under Article 5(3));*
 - d) *An area classified pursuant to Article 4(1) or (2) of the old Wild Birds Directive or the new Wild Birds Directive (classification of special protection areas); or*
 - e) *A site which has been proposed to the European Commission under regulation 12, until such time as —*
 - i. *The site is placed on the list of sites of Community importance referred to in the third sub-paragraph of Article 4(2) of the Habitats Directive; or*
 - ii. *Agreement is reached or a decision is taken pursuant to Article 4(2) of that Directive not to place the site on that list.*
- 1.3.4. The Habitats Regulations reflects the Directives in this respect. Natura 2000 is a network of areas designated/classified to conserve natural habitats and species that are rare, endangered, vulnerable or endemic within the European Community, listed under the Birds Directive or Habitats Directive. This includes Special Areas of Conservation (SAC) designated under the Habitats Directive for their habitats and/or species of European importance and Special Protection Areas (SPA) classified under the Conservation of Wild Birds Directive to protect the habitats for rare, vulnerable and regularly occurring migratory bird species. In addition, it is a matter of law that candidate SAC (cSAC) are considered in this process. It is a matter of UK Government policy (Ref 14) that sites designated under the 1971 Ramsar Convention for their internationally important wetlands (commonly known as Ramsar sites), and potential SACs (pSACs) and potential SPAs (pSPA) are also considered. All such sites considered in the HRA process are collectively termed 'European sites' in this report.

1.3.5. The European commission guidance on the Habitats Directive (Ref 15) sets out four distinct stages for HRA. These are:

- Stage 1 Screening¹: the process which initially identifies the likely effects of a plan or project (either alone or in combination with other plans/projects) upon a Natura 2000 site, and considers whether these potential effects are likely to be significant. Following the recent European Court of Justice case in *People Over Wind and Sweetman v Coillte Teoranta* (Case 323/17; Ref 4), the Stage 1 screening has been carried out without taking account of the measures intended to avoid or reduce the harmful effects of the project on European sites.
- Stage 2 Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA): the more detailed consideration of the potential effects of a plan or project (either alone or in combination with other plans/projects), required if Stage 1 screening has concluded likely significant effects on European sites. The HRA examines whether such effects could constitute an adverse effect on the integrity of the Natura 2000 sites, having regard to the site's conservation objectives, structure and function. The HRA should determine whether adverse effects on the integrity of the site can be ruled out or mitigated, on the basis of information that is available or can be reasonably obtained. Unlike the Stage 1 screening stage, proposed mitigation measures are taken into account for the purposes of the HRA.
- Stage 3 Assessment of alternative solutions: Where adverse effects on the integrity of a Natura 2000 site cannot be ruled out, this stage requires an examination of alternative ways of achieving the objectives of the plan or project that can avoid such adverse impacts.
- Stage 4 Assessment: where no alternative solutions exist and where adverse effects remain: an assessment of whether the plan or project is necessary for imperative reasons of overriding public interest (IROPI) and, if so, of the compensatory measures needed to maintain the overall coherence of the Natura 2000 network.

1.4 Consultation

1.4.1. The scope of the HRA was also developed in consultation with Natural England. It was agreed with NE prior to submission of the DCO Application that:

- Designated sites for assessment in the air quality modelling would include European sites within 15 km of the Proposed Scheme stack locations;
- The most sensitive habitat feature of each relevant European site would be used to determine critical levels and loads unless robust evidence suggested that this was not appropriate;
- The use of the 1% of critical load threshold for Project emissions remained appropriate for screening out the potential for likely significant effects; and
- Sensitivity testing should be used to support the in-combination assessment of air quality impacts. This would include sensitivity testing of the predicted improvements in baseline nitrogen deposition that would result from the forthcoming closure of the Eggborough coal-fired power station.

¹ In the case of *R (Champion) v North Norfolk DC* [2015] 1 W.L.R. 3710 the Supreme Court ruled that “the Habitats Directive and Regulations contain no equivalent to “screening” under the EIA Regulations” and that “there is nothing in the language of the Habitats Directive to support a separate stage of “screening” in any formal sense.” The first stage under *Article 6(3)* is best seen as a “trigger” e.g. where there is a likely significant effect an appropriate assessment is triggered. Despite this, given the Commission guidance, in this document the use of the words “screening” and “screened” will continue to be used but bearing in mind what was said about this by the Supreme Court.

- 1.4.2. In accordance with best practice, Natural England (NE) has been consulted over the findings of this report since submission of the DCO Application. The submission version of this report, (version 001 dated May 2018, Examination Library Ref. APP-134) was submitted to NE for comment with a meeting taking place on the 26 June 2018. That version, 002 submitted at Deadline 2 (Examination Library Reference: REP3-017), took into account their comments on version 001 of this report.
- 1.4.3. During the meeting held with NE on the 26 June 2018, it was agreed that the submission HRA Report would be updated with additional information requested by NE. In summary, the additional information requested was:
- For the avoidance of doubt, confirmation that the Proposed Scheme operating without SCR would not lead to any emissions of ammonia (NH₃);
 - Additional text summarising the findings of the HRA in relation to changes in concentrations of NO_x, including confirmation that the Proposed Scheme would not lead to any exceedances of critical levels of NO_x, either alone or in combination with other plans and projects;
 - Additional information setting out why the predicted ammonia impacts from the Proposed Scheme operating with SCR in relation to the River Derwent SAC were not expected to lead to adverse effects on its integrity; and
 - Additional information providing evidence to support the assertion in the submission HRA report that the River Derwent is phosphate-limited and hence would be relatively insensitive to the effects of additional nitrogen inputs.
- 1.4.4. The information referred to in the paragraph above and inserted into Revision 002 of the HRA Report has also been included within this iteration (Revision 003) of the HRA report.
- 1.4.5. The Applicant continued to liaise with NE after the 26 June meeting including agreeing a Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) with NE. The SoCG, signed by both NE and the Applicant, was submitted to the Examination at Deadline 1 (Examination Library Reference REP1-004). The Applicant and NE have agreed that the Proposed Scheme will not lead to any adverse effects on the integrity of any European Site, either alone or in-combination with other plans or projects.

2 SCREENING FOR LIKELY SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS

2.1 Approach to HRA Screening

- 2.1.1. The Proposed Scheme has been subject to Stage 1: HRA screening (refer to paragraph 1.3.2) to assess the potential for likely significant effects (LSE)². This involved considering whether there were any clear cause-effect pathways between the Proposed Scheme and European sites.
- 2.1.2. In accordance with recent case law (Ref 4), the HRA screening stage was completed without taking into account mitigation measures designed to avoid or reduce harm to European Sites. Specifically, the following mitigation measures were not considered in the HRA screening:
- Implementation of a Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) during construction.
 - Implementation of a Decommissioning Environmental Management Plan (DEMP) during decommissioning.
 - The use, where practicable, of trenchless construction techniques for installation of the gas pipeline.
 - Targeted mitigation measures to avoid or minimise disturbance of otters that may form part of the River Derwent SAC or Lower Derwent Valley SAC populations.
 - Pollution control measures that would be incorporated into the Surface Water Drainage Scheme for the operational Proposed Scheme, secured by a requirement to the DCO.
 - An ecologically sensitive lighting design for the Proposed Scheme, secured by a requirement to the draft DCO.
 - Combustion control processes during operation of the Gas Generating Stations, in order to achieve low NOx emissions.
 - The setting of an annualised ammonia (NH₃) budget to limit emissions of this pollutant, should the Proposed Scheme operate with Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR).
- 2.1.3. The HRA screening assessment identified an initial zone of influence (Zoi) within which the Proposed Scheme could conceivably impact European Sites, either alone or in-combination with other policies, plans and projects.
- 2.1.4. The zone of influence for potential impacts on European sites was set at 15 km from the centre of the stacks of the proposed gas turbines (within the boundary of the Proposed Scheme). This corresponded to the maximum extent of air quality modelling, with air quality impacts predicted to have the largest zone of influence of all potential impacts. Beyond 15 km, the air quality impacts of the Project become effectively indiscernible from background air quality.

2.2 Findings of HRA Screening

- 2.2.1. Ten European sites were located within the 15km zone of influence and were considered during Stage 1: HRA Screening:
- Lower Derwent Valley SAC.
 - Lower Derwent Valley SPA.

² A possible significant effect; one whose occurrence cannot be excluded on the basis of objective information (C-127/02).

- Lower Derwent Valley Ramsar.
- River Derwent SAC.
- Humber Estuary SAC.
- Humber Estuary SPA.
- Humber Estuary Ramsar.
- Skipwith Common SAC.
- Thorne and Hatfield Moors SPA.
- Thorne Moor SAC.

- 2.2.2. Some of the European Sites identified fall entirely within the 15km Zol for air quality effects, whilst only part of some European Sites fall within the 15km Zol. The River Derwent SAC, Lower Derwent Valley SAC, SPA and Ramsar site and The Humber Estuary SAC, SPA and Ramsar site boundaries extend beyond the 15km Zol. The other European Sites (Thorne Moor SAC, Thorne and Hatfield Moor SPA, and Skipwith Common SAC) are entirely within the 15km Zol.
- 2.2.3. The qualifying criteria for designation (the 'qualifying features') of the identified European sites and their Conservation Objectives are described in Section 3 below. In accordance with Articles 4(4), 6.1 and 6.2 of the Habitats Directive, Member States are required to establish Conservation Objectives to support the maintenance or restoration of a European Sites qualifying interests (i.e the Annex I habitats or Annex II species for which a European Site has been designated). Conservation Objectives for European Sites in England are determined by Natural England. Conservation Objectives identify the overall target for the species and/or habitat types for which a European site is designated, in order for it to contribute to maintaining or reaching favourable conservation status (Ref 13). Refer to Figure 2-1 for the locations of the above European sites.
- 2.2.4. Having identified European sites within the Zol and assessed their interest features and Conservation Objectives, the HRA screening discounted a number of potential impacts (for example, direct physical impacts within the boundary of European Sites). The HRA screening also identified a range of impacts from the Proposed Scheme that could give rise to likely significant effects on European sites, as follows:
- Disturbance to qualifying features in functionally-linked habitat (light/noise/vibration/visual).
 - Hydrological changes to European Site habitats and functionally-linked habitat (quality/flow).
 - Air quality changes.
- 2.2.5. Functionally-linked habitats are as described by Natural England (Ref 12). In this context, land is considered 'linked' to the European site in question because it provides an important role in maintaining or restoring the population of qualifying species at favourable conservation status.
- 2.2.6. These impacts have been identified as likely to result in significant effects, either alone or in combination with other plans and projects (as presented in Table 3-1) at one or more of the European Sites. In the absence of avoidance and mitigation measures, all ten European sites were considered to require further assessment either as a result of LSE or due to a lack of certainty in the effects and therefore the potential for LSE:

- Lower Derwent Valley SAC.
- Lower Derwent Valley SPA.
- Lower Derwent Valley Ramsar.
- River Derwent SAC.
- Humber Estuary SAC.
- Humber Estuary SPA.
- Humber Estuary Ramsar.
- Skipwith Common SAC.
- Thorne and Hatfield Moors SPA.
- Thorne Moor SAC.

2.2.7. It was determined that these European sites required further consideration through Stage 2 of the HRA process, to establish if adverse effects on the integrity of these sites from the Proposed Scheme could be ruled out. It was also determined that should the potential for adverse effects be identified, consideration of mitigation would be necessary.

2.2.8. The screening matrices provided as Appendix 1 to this HRA Report detail the potential impacts and LSE resulting from the Proposed Scheme; these are summarised in Tables 2-1 to 2-10 below.

Table 2-1 - Results of HRA Screening for Lower Derwent Valley SAC

Lower Derwent Valley SAC		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects				
Country	England					
Unitary Authority	East Yorkshire and Northern Lincolnshire, North Yorkshire					
Centroid*	SE703441					
Latitude	53.88805556					
Longitude	-0.930555556					
SAC EU code	UK0012844					
Status	Designated Special Area of Conservation (SAC)					
Area (ha)	921.26					
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination	
Annex I Habitat 6510 <u>Lowland hay meadows</u> <u>(<i>Alopecurus pratensis</i>, <i>Sanguisorba officinalis</i>)</u>	The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats and habitats of qualifying species	O	O	X	X	
	The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats	O	O	X	X	
	The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely	O	O	X	X	
Annex I Habitat 91E0 <u>Alluvial forests with <i>Alnus glutinosa</i> and <i>Fraxinus excelsior</i> (<i>Alno-Padion</i>, <i>Alnion</i>)</u>	The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats and habitats of qualifying species	O	O	X	X	
	The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats	O	O	X	X	

Lower Derwent Valley SAC		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	East Yorkshire and Northern Lincolnshire, North Yorkshire				
Centroid*	SE703441				
Latitude	53.88805556				
Longitude	-0.930555556				
SAC EU code	UK0012844				
Status	Designated Special Area of Conservation (SAC)				
Area (ha)	921.26				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
<i>incanae, Salicion albae</i>)	The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely	O	O	X	X
Annex II Species 1355 Otter (<i>Lutra lutra</i>)	The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats and habitats of qualifying species	O	O	O	O
	The structure and function of the habitats of qualifying species	O	X	X	X
	The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely	O	X	X	X
	The populations of qualifying species	X	X	O	O
	The distribution of qualifying species within the site	X	X	O	O

Table 2-2 - Results of HRA Screening for Lower Derwent Valley SPA

Lower Derwent Valley SPA		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects				
Country	England					
Unitary Authority	East Yorkshire and Northern Lincolnshire, North Yorkshire					
SPA Status	Classified 08061993					
Latitude	53 53 04 N					
Longitude	00 55 34 W					
SPA EU code	UK9006092					
Area (ha)	915.45					
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination	
A037 Bewick's Swan (<i>Cygnus columbianus bewickii</i>) (non-breeding).	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O	
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X	
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X	
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X	
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X	
A050 Eurasian wigeon (<i>Anas penelope</i>) (non-breeding).	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O	
	The structure and function of the habitats of the	O	O	X	X	

Lower Derwent Valley SPA		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects				
Country	England					
Unitary Authority	East Yorkshire and Northern Lincolnshire, North Yorkshire					
SPA Status	Classified 08061993					
Latitude	53 53 04 N					
Longitude	00 55 34 W					
SPA EU code	UK9006092					
Area (ha)	915.45					
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination	
	qualifying features					
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X	
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X	
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X	
A052 Eurasian teal (<i>Anas crecca</i>) (non-breeding).	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O	
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X	
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X	

Lower Derwent Valley SPA		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	East Yorkshire and Northern Lincolnshire, North Yorkshire				
SPA Status	Classified 08061993				
Latitude	53 53 04 N				
Longitude	00 55 34 W				
SPA EU code	UK9006092				
Area (ha)	915.45				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X
A056 Northern shoveler (<i>Anas clypeata</i>) (breeding).	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X
		O	O	O	O
A140 European golden plover	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the	O	O	O	O

Lower Derwent Valley SPA		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	East Yorkshire and Northern Lincolnshire, North Yorkshire				
SPA Status	Classified 08061993				
Latitude	53 53 04 N				
Longitude	00 55 34 W				
SPA EU code	UK9006092				
Area (ha)	915.45				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
(Pluvialis apricaria) (non-breeding).	qualifying features				
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X
A151 Ruff (Philomachus pugnax) (non-breeding).	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X

Lower Derwent Valley SPA		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	East Yorkshire and Northern Lincolnshire, North Yorkshire				
SPA Status	Classified 08061993				
Latitude	53 53 04 N				
Longitude	00 55 34 W				
SPA EU code	UK9006092				
Area (ha)	915.45				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X
Waterbird Assemblage	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X

Lower Derwent Valley SPA		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects				
Country	England					
Unitary Authority	East Yorkshire and Northern Lincolnshire, North Yorkshire					
SPA Status	Classified 08061993					
Latitude	53 53 04 N					
Longitude	00 55 34 W					
SPA EU code	UK9006092					
Area (ha)	915.45					
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination	
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site					O O X X

Table 2-3 - Results of HRA Screening for Lower Derwent Valley Ramsar site

Lower Derwent Valley Ramsar		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	East Yorkshire and Northern Lincolnshire, North Yorkshire				
SPA Status	Classified 08061993				
Latitude	53 53 04 N				
Longitude	00 55 34 W				
EU code	UK11037				
Area (ha)	915.45				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
Ramsar Criterion 1: The river and flood meadows play a substantial role in the hydrological and ecological functioning of the Humber Basin	Not Applicable – no Conservation Objectives for Ramsar Sites.	O	O	X	X

Lower Derwent Valley Ramsar	England	Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country					
Unitary Authority	East Yorkshire and Northern Lincolnshire, North Yorkshire				
SPA Status	Classified 08061993				
Latitude	53 53 04 N				
Longitude	00 55 34 W				
EU code	UK11037				
Area (ha)	915.45				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
Ramsar Criterion 2: Rich assemblage of wetland invertebrates including 16 species of dragonfly and damselfly, 15 British Red Data Book wetland invertebrates as well as a leafhopper, <i>Cicadula ornate</i> for which Lower Derwent Valley is the only known site in Great Britain.	Not Applicable – no Conservation Objectives for Ramsar Sites.	O	O	X	X

Lower Derwent Valley Ramsar	England	Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country					
Unitary Authority	East Yorkshire and Northern Lincolnshire, North Yorkshire				
SPA Status	Classified 08061993				
Latitude	53 53 04 N				
Longitude	00 55 34 W				
EU code	UK11037				
Area (ha)	915.45				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
Ramsar Criterion 3: Staging post for passage birds in spring. Of particular note are the nationally important numbers of Ruff, <i>Philomachus pugnax</i> and Whimbrel, <i>Numenius phaeopus</i> .	Not Applicable – no Conservation Objectives for Ramsar Sites.	O	O	X	X

Lower Derwent Valley Ramsar		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	East Yorkshire and Northern Lincolnshire, North Yorkshire				
SPA Status	Classified 08061993				
Latitude	53 53 04 N				
Longitude	00 55 34 W				
EU code	UK11037				
Area (ha)	915.45				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
Ramsar Criterion 4: Regularly supports 20,000 or more waterbirds	Not Applicable – no Conservation Objectives for Ramsar Sites.	O	O	X	X
Ramsar criterion 5: Regularly supports 1% of the individuals	Not Applicable – no Conservation Objectives for Ramsar Sites.				

Lower Derwent Valley Ramsar		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	East Yorkshire and Northern Lincolnshire, North Yorkshire				
SPA Status	Classified 08061993				
Latitude	53 53 04 N				
Longitude	00 55 34 W				
EU code	UK11037				
Area (ha)	915.45				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
in a population of the following species or subspecies of waterbird: Eurasian wigeon, <i>Anas Penelope</i> and Eurasian teal, <i>Anas crecca</i>		O	O	X	X

Table 2-4 - Results of HRA Screening for River Derwent SAC

River Derwent SAC		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects				
Country	England					
Unitary Authority	North Yorkshire					
Centroid*	SE704474					
Latitude	53.9175					
Longitude	-0.927777778					
SAC EU code	UK0030253					
Status	Designated Special Area of Conservation (SAC)					
Area (ha)	397.87					
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination	
Annex I Habitat 3260 Water courses of plain to montane levels with the <i>Ranunculus fluitantis</i> and <i>Callitriche-Batrachion</i> vegetation	The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats and habitats of qualifying species	O	O	X	X	
	The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats	O	X	X	X	
	The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely	O	X	X	X	
Annex II Species 1355 Otter (<i>Lutra lutra</i>)	The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats and habitats of qualifying species	O	O	O	O	
	The structure and function of the habitats of qualifying species	O	X	X	X	
	The supporting processes on which qualifying natural	O	X	X	X	

	habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely				
	The populations of qualifying species	X	X	O	O
	The distribution of qualifying species within the site	X	X	O	O
Annex II Species 1099 River lamprey (<i>Lampetra fluviatilis</i>)	The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats and habitats of qualifying species	O	O	O	O
	The structure and function of the habitats of qualifying species	O	X	X	X
	The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely	O	X	X	X
	The populations of qualifying species	O	X	O	O
	The distribution of qualifying species within the site	O	X	O	O
Annex II Species 1095 Sea Lamprey (<i>Petromyzon marinus</i>)	The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats and habitats of qualifying species	O	O	O	O
	The structure and function of the habitats of qualifying species	O	X	X	X
	The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely	O	X	X	X
	The populations of qualifying species	O	X	O	O
	The distribution of qualifying species within the site	O	X	O	O
Annex II Species 1163 Bullhead (<i>Cottus gobio</i>)	The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats and habitats of qualifying species	O	O	O	O
	The structure and function of the habitats of qualifying species	O	X	X	X
	The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely	O	X	X	X
	The populations of qualifying species	O	X	O	O
	The distribution of qualifying species within the site	O	X	O	O


Table 2-5 - Results of HRA Screening for Humber Estuary SAC

Humber Estuary SAC		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects				
Country	England					
Unitary Authority	East Yorkshire and Northern Lincolnshire, Extra-Region, Lincolnshire					
Centroid*	SE838110					
Latitude	53.58916667					
Longitude	-0.734722222					
SAC EU code	UK0030170					
Status	Designated Special Area of Conservation (SAC)					
Area (ha)	36657.15					
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination	
Annex I Habitat 1130 Estuaries	The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats and habitats of qualifying species	O	O	X	X	
	The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats	O	O	X	X	
	The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely	O	O	X	X	
Annex I Habitat 1140 Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide	The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats and habitats of qualifying species	O	O	X	X	
	The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats	O	O	X	X	
	The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely	O	O	X	X	

Humber Estuary SAC		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	East Yorkshire and Northern Lincolnshire, Extra-Region, Lincolnshire				
Centroid*	SE838110				
Latitude	53.58916667				
Longitude	-0.734722222				
SAC EU code	UK0030170				
Status	Designated Special Area of Conservation (SAC)				
Area (ha)	36657.15				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
Annex I Habitat 1110 Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time	The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats and habitats of qualifying species	O	O	X	X
	The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely	O	O	X	X
Annex I Habitat 1150 Coastal lagoons * Priority feature	The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats and habitats of qualifying species	O	O	X	X
	The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely	O	O	X	X
Annex I Habitat 1310	The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats	O	O	X	X

Humber Estuary SAC		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	East Yorkshire and Northern Lincolnshire, Extra-Region, Lincolnshire				
Centroid*	SE838110				
Latitude	53.58916667				
Longitude	-0.73472222				
SAC EU code	UK0030170				
Status	Designated Special Area of Conservation (SAC)				
Area (ha)	36657.15				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
Salicornia and other annuals colonizing mud and sand	and habitats of qualifying species				
	The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely	O	O	X	X
Annex I Habitat 1330 Atlantic salt meadows (<i>Glaucopuccinellietalia maritimae</i>)	The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats and habitats of qualifying species	O	O	X	X
	The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely	O	O	X	X
Annex I Habitat 2110	The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats and habitats of qualifying species	O	O	X	X

Humber Estuary SAC		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	East Yorkshire and Northern Lincolnshire, Extra-Region, Lincolnshire				
Centroid*	SE838110				
Latitude	53.58916667				
Longitude	-0.734722222				
SAC EU code	UK0030170				
Status	Designated Special Area of Conservation (SAC)				
Area (ha)	36657.15				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
Embryonic shifting dunes	The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely	O	O	X	X
Annex I Habitat 2120 "Shifting dunes along the shoreline with <i>Ammophila arenaria</i> ("white dunes")"	The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats and habitats of qualifying species	O	O	X	X
	The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely	O	O	X	X
Annex I Habitat 2130 "Fixed coastal dunes with	The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats and habitats of qualifying species	O	O	X	X
	The structure and function (including typical species) of	O	O	X	X

Humber Estuary SAC		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects				
Country	England					
Unitary Authority	East Yorkshire and Northern Lincolnshire, Extra-Region, Lincolnshire					
Centroid*	SE838110					
Latitude	53.58916667					
Longitude	-0.734722222					
SAC EU code	UK0030170					
Status	Designated Special Area of Conservation (SAC)					
Area (ha)	36657.15					
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination	
herbaceous vegetation (""grey dunes"")" * Priority feature	qualifying natural habitats					
	The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely	O	O	X	X	
Annex I Habitat 2160 Dunes with <i>Hippophae</i>  <i>rhamnoides</i>	The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats and habitats of qualifying species	O	O	O	O	
	The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats	O	O	X	X	
	The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely	O	O	X	X	
Annex II Species 1364 Grey Seal (<i>Halichoerus grypus</i>)	The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats and habitats of qualifying species	O	O	X	X	
	The structure and function of the habitats of qualifying species	O	O	X	X	

Humber Estuary SAC		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects				
Country	England					
Unitary Authority	East Yorkshire and Northern Lincolnshire, Extra-Region, Lincolnshire					
Centroid*	SE838110					
Latitude	53.58916667					
Longitude	-0.734722222					
SAC EU code	UK0030170					
Status	Designated Special Area of Conservation (SAC)					
Area (ha)	36657.15					
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination	
	The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely	O	O	X	X	
	The populations of qualifying species	O	O	O	O	
	The distribution of qualifying species within the site	O	O	O	O	
Annex II Species 1095 Sea Lamprey (<i>Petromyzon marinus</i>)	The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats and habitats of qualifying species	O	O	O	O	
	The structure and function of the habitats of qualifying species	O	X	X	X	
	The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely	O	X	X	X	
	The populations of qualifying species	O	X	O	O	
Annex II Species 1099	The distribution of qualifying species within the site	O	X	O	O	
	The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats	O	O	O	O	

Humber Estuary SAC		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects				
Country	England					
Unitary Authority	East Yorkshire and Northern Lincolnshire, Extra-Region, Lincolnshire					
Centroid*	SE838110					
Latitude	53.58916667					
Longitude	-0.734722222					
SAC EU code	UK0030170					
Status	Designated Special Area of Conservation (SAC)					
Area (ha)	36657.15					
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination	
River Lamprey (<i>Lampetra fluviatilis</i>)	and habitats of qualifying species					
	The structure and function of the habitats of qualifying species	O	X	X	X	
	The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely	O	X	X	X	
	The populations of qualifying species	O	X	O	O	
	The distribution of qualifying species within the site	O	X	O	O	

Table 2-6 - Results of HRA Screening for Humber Estuary SPA

Humber Estuary SPA		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	City of Kingston-upon-Hull, East Riding of Yorkshire, Lincolnshire, North East Lincolnshire, North Lincolnshire				
SPA Status	Classified 31/08/2007				
Latitude	53.5497				
Longitude	0.0569				
SPA EU code	UK9006111				
Area (ha)	37,630.24 ha				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
A021 <i>Botaurus stellaris</i> ; Great bittern (Non-breeding)	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X
A021 <i>Botaurus stellaris</i> ; Great bittern (Breeding)	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O

Humber Estuary SPA		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	City of Kingston-upon-Hull, East Riding of Yorkshire, Lincolnshire, North East Lincolnshire, North Lincolnshire				
SPA Status	Classified 31/08/2007				
Latitude	53.5497				
Longitude	0.0569				
SPA EU code	UK9006111				
Area (ha)	37,630.24 ha				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X
A048 <i>Tadorna tadorna</i> ; Common shelduck (Non-breeding)	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the	O	O	X	X

Humber Estuary SPA		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	City of Kingston-upon-Hull, East Riding of Yorkshire, Lincolnshire, North East Lincolnshire, North Lincolnshire				
SPA Status	Classified 31/08/2007				
Latitude	53.5497				
Longitude	0.0569				
SPA EU code	UK9006111				
Area (ha)	37,630.24 ha				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
	qualifying features rely				
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X
A081 <i>Circus aeruginosus</i> ; Eurasian marsh harrier (Breeding)	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X

Humber Estuary SPA		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	City of Kingston-upon-Hull, East Riding of Yorkshire, Lincolnshire, North East Lincolnshire, North Lincolnshire				
SPA Status	Classified 31/08/2007				
Latitude	53.5497				
Longitude	0.0569				
SPA EU code	UK9006111				
Area (ha)	37,630.24 ha				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X
A082 <i>Circus cyaneus</i> ; Hen harrier (Non-breeding)	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X
A132 <i>Recurvirostra avosetta</i> ; Pied avocet (Non-breeding);	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O

Humber Estuary SPA		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	City of Kingston-upon-Hull, East Riding of Yorkshire, Lincolnshire, North East Lincolnshire, North Lincolnshire				
SPA Status	Classified 31/08/2007				
Latitude	53.5497				
Longitude	0.0569				
SPA EU code	UK9006111				
Area (ha)	37,630.24 ha				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X
A132 <i>Recurvirostra avosetta</i> ; Pied avocet (Breeding)	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the	O	O	X	X

Humber Estuary SPA		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	City of Kingston-upon-Hull, East Riding of Yorkshire, Lincolnshire, North East Lincolnshire, North Lincolnshire				
SPA Status	Classified 31/08/2007				
Latitude	53.5497				
Longitude	0.0569				
SPA EU code	UK9006111				
Area (ha)	37,630.24 ha				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
	qualifying features rely				
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X
A140 <i>Pluvialis apricaria</i> ; European golden plover (Non-breeding)	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X

Humber Estuary SPA		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	City of Kingston-upon-Hull, East Riding of Yorkshire, Lincolnshire, North East Lincolnshire, North Lincolnshire				
SPA Status	Classified 31/08/2007				
Latitude	53.5497				
Longitude	0.0569				
SPA EU code	UK9006111				
Area (ha)	37,630.24 ha				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X
A143 <i>Calidris canutus</i> ; Red knot (Non-breeding)	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X
A149 <i>Calidris alpina alpina</i> ;	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the	O	O	O	O

Humber Estuary SPA		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	City of Kingston-upon-Hull, East Riding of Yorkshire, Lincolnshire, North East Lincolnshire, North Lincolnshire				
SPA Status	Classified 31/08/2007				
Latitude	53.5497				
Longitude	0.0569				
SPA EU code	UK9006111				
Area (ha)	37,630.24 ha				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
Dunlin (Non-breeding)	qualifying features				
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X
A151 <i>Philomachus pugnax</i> ; Ruff (Non-breeding)	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X

Humber Estuary SPA		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	City of Kingston-upon-Hull, East Riding of Yorkshire, Lincolnshire, North East Lincolnshire, North Lincolnshire				
SPA Status	Classified 31/08/2007				
Latitude	53.5497				
Longitude	0.0569				
SPA EU code	UK9006111				
Area (ha)	37,630.24 ha				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X
Eurasian teal <i>Anas crecca</i> A052	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X

Humber Estuary SPA		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	City of Kingston-upon-Hull, East Riding of Yorkshire, Lincolnshire, North East Lincolnshire, North Lincolnshire				
SPA Status	Classified 31/08/2007				
Latitude	53.5497				
Longitude	0.0569				
SPA EU code	UK9006111				
Area (ha)	37,630.24 ha				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X
Eurasian wigeon <i>Anas Penelope</i> A050	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X
mallard <i>Anas platyrhynchos</i> A053	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X

Humber Estuary SPA		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	City of Kingston-upon-Hull, East Riding of Yorkshire, Lincolnshire, North East Lincolnshire, North Lincolnshire				
SPA Status	Classified 31/08/2007				
Latitude	53.5497				
Longitude	0.0569				
SPA EU code	UK9006111				
Area (ha)	37,630.24 ha				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X
turnstone <i>Arenaria interpres</i> A169	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X
common pochard <i>Aythya farina</i>	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the	O	O	O	O

Humber Estuary SPA		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	City of Kingston-upon-Hull, East Riding of Yorkshire, Lincolnshire, North East Lincolnshire, North Lincolnshire				
SPA Status	Classified 31/08/2007				
Latitude	53.5497				
Longitude	0.0569				
SPA EU code	UK9006111				
Area (ha)	37,630.24 ha				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
A059	qualifying features				
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X
greater scaup <i>Aythya marila</i> A062	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X

Humber Estuary SPA		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	City of Kingston-upon-Hull, East Riding of Yorkshire, Lincolnshire, North East Lincolnshire, North Lincolnshire				
SPA Status	Classified 31/08/2007				
Latitude	53.5497				
Longitude	0.0569				
SPA EU code	UK9006111				
Area (ha)	37,630.24 ha				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X
Brent goose <i>Branta bernicla bernicla</i> A675	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X
common goldeneye <i>Bucephala clangula</i> A067	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O
	The structure and function of the habitats of the	O	O	X	X

Humber Estuary SPA		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects				
Country	England					
Unitary Authority	City of Kingston-upon-Hull, East Riding of Yorkshire, Lincolnshire, North East Lincolnshire, North Lincolnshire					
SPA Status	Classified 31/08/2007					
Latitude	53.5497					
Longitude	0.0569					
SPA EU code	UK9006111					
Area (ha)	37,630.24 ha					
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination	
	qualifying features					
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X	
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X	
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X	
	sanderling <i>Calidris alba</i> A144					
	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O	
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X	
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X	
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X	
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X	

Humber Estuary SPA		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	City of Kingston-upon-Hull, East Riding of Yorkshire, Lincolnshire, North East Lincolnshire, North Lincolnshire				
SPA Status	Classified 31/08/2007				
Latitude	53.5497				
Longitude	0.0569				
SPA EU code	UK9006111				
Area (ha)	37,630.24 ha				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
common ringed plover <i>Charadrius hiaticula</i> A137	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X
Eurasian curlew <i>Numenius arquata</i> A160	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the	O	O	X	X

Humber Estuary SPA		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	City of Kingston-upon-Hull, East Riding of Yorkshire, Lincolnshire, North East Lincolnshire, North Lincolnshire				
SPA Status	Classified 31/08/2007				
Latitude	53.5497				
Longitude	0.0569				
SPA EU code	UK9006111				
Area (ha)	37,630.24 ha				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
	qualifying features rely				
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X
whimbrel <i>Numenius Phaeopus</i> A158	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X
greenshank <i>Tringa nebularia</i> (A164)	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O

Humber Estuary SPA		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	City of Kingston-upon-Hull, East Riding of Yorkshire, Lincolnshire, North East Lincolnshire, North Lincolnshire				
SPA Status	Classified 31/08/2007				
Latitude	53.5497				
Longitude	0.0569				
SPA EU code	UK9006111				
Area (ha)	37,630.24 ha				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X
lapwing <i>Vanellus vanellus</i> (A142)	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X

Humber Estuary SPA		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	City of Kingston-upon-Hull, East Riding of Yorkshire, Lincolnshire, North East Lincolnshire, North Lincolnshire				
SPA Status	Classified 31/08/2007				
Latitude	53.5497				
Longitude	0.0569				
SPA EU code	UK9006111				
Area (ha)	37,630.24 ha				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X
A156 <i>Limosa limosa islandica</i> ; Black-tailed godwit (Non-breeding)	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X
A157 <i>Limosa lapponica</i> ; Bar-tailed godwit (Non-breeding)	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O

Humber Estuary SPA		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	City of Kingston-upon-Hull, East Riding of Yorkshire, Lincolnshire, North East Lincolnshire, North Lincolnshire				
SPA Status	Classified 31/08/2007				
Latitude	53.5497				
Longitude	0.0569				
SPA EU code	UK9006111				
Area (ha)	37,630.24 ha				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X
A162 <i>Tringa totanus</i> ; Common redshank (Non-breeding)	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the	O	O	X	X

Humber Estuary SPA		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	City of Kingston-upon-Hull, East Riding of Yorkshire, Lincolnshire, North East Lincolnshire, North Lincolnshire				
SPA Status	Classified 31/08/2007				
Latitude	53.5497				
Longitude	0.0569				
SPA EU code	UK9006111				
Area (ha)	37,630.24 ha				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
	qualifying features rely				
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X
A195 <i>Sterna albifrons</i> ; Little tern (Breeding)	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X

Humber Estuary SPA		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	City of Kingston-upon-Hull, East Riding of Yorkshire, Lincolnshire, North East Lincolnshire, North Lincolnshire				
SPA Status	Classified 31/08/2007				
Latitude	53.5497				
Longitude	0.0569				
SPA EU code	UK9006111				
Area (ha)	37,630.24 ha				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X
A130 Eurasian oystercatcher <i>Haematopus ostralegus</i> (wintering)	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X
A141 Grey plover <i>Pluvialis squatarola</i>	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O
	The structure and function of the habitats of the	O	O	X	X

Humber Estuary SPA		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects				
Country	England					
Unitary Authority	City of Kingston-upon-Hull, East Riding of Yorkshire, Lincolnshire, North East Lincolnshire, North Lincolnshire					
SPA Status	Classified 31/08/2007					
Latitude	53.5497					
Longitude	0.0569					
SPA EU code	UK9006111					
Area (ha)	37,630.24 ha					
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination	
	qualifying features					
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X	
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X	
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X	
Waterbird assemblage	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O	
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X	
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X	
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X	

Humber Estuary SPA		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	City of Kingston-upon-Hull, East Riding of Yorkshire, Lincolnshire, North East Lincolnshire, North Lincolnshire				
SPA Status	Classified 31/08/2007				
Latitude	53.5497				
Longitude	0.0569				
SPA EU code	UK9006111				
Area (ha)	37,630.24 ha				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	X	X

Table 2-7 Results of HRA Screening for Humber Estuary Ramsar site

Humber Estuary Ramsar Site		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	City of Kingston upon Hull; East Riding of Yorkshire; Humberside; Lincolnshire; North East Lincolnshire; North Lincolnshire				
Site Status	Classified 31072007				
Latitude	53 53 04 N				
Longitude	00 55 34 W				
EU code	UK11037				
Area (ha)	915.45				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
Ramsar Criterion 1: The site is a representative example of a near-natural estuary with the following component habitats: dune systems and humid dune slacks, estuarine waters, intertidal mud and sand flats, saltmarshes, and coastal brackish/saline lagoons.	Not Applicable – no Conservation Objectives for Ramsar Sites.	O	O	X	X

Humber Estuary Ramsar Site		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	City of Kingston upon Hull; East Riding of Yorkshire; Humberside; Lincolnshire; North East Lincolnshire; North Lincolnshire				
Site Status	Classified 31072007				
Latitude	53 53 04 N				
Longitude	00 55 34 W				
EU code	UK11037				
Area (ha)	915.45				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
Ramsar criterion 3 The Humber Estuary Ramsar site supports a breeding colony of grey seals <i>Halichoerus grypus</i> at Donna Nook. It is the second largest grey seal colony in England and the furthest south regular breeding site on the east coast. The dune slacks at Saltfleetby-Theddlethorpe on the southern extremity of the Ramsar site are the most north-easterly breeding site in Great Britain of the natterjack toad <i>Bufo calamita</i> .	Not Applicable – no Conservation Objectives for Ramsar Sites.	O	O	X	X

Humber Estuary Ramsar Site		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	City of Kingston upon Hull; East Riding of Yorkshire; Humberside; Lincolnshire; North East Lincolnshire; North Lincolnshire				
Site Status	Classified 31072007				
Latitude	53 53 04 N				
Longitude	00 55 34 W				
EU code	UK11037				
Area (ha)	915.45				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
Ramsar criterion 5 Assemblages of international importance: 153,934 waterfowl, non-breeding season	Not Applicable – no Conservation Objectives for Ramsar Sites.	O	O	X	X

Humber Estuary Ramsar Site		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	City of Kingston upon Hull; East Riding of Yorkshire; Humberside; Lincolnshire; North East Lincolnshire; North Lincolnshire				
Site Status	Classified 31072007				
Latitude	53 53 04 N				
Longitude	00 55 34 W				
EU code	UK11037				
Area (ha)	915.45				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
Ramsar criterion 6 – species/populations occurring at levels of international importance: Eurasian golden plover, <i>Pluvialis apricaria</i> <i>Altilfrons</i> ; Red knot, <i>Calidris canutus</i> ; Dunlin, <i>Calidris alpina</i> Alpine; Black-tailed godwit, <i>Limosa Islandica</i> ; Common redshank, <i>Tringa tetanus</i> ; Common shelduck, <i>Tadorna</i> ; Bar-tailed godwit, <i>Limosa lapponica</i> <i>Lapponica</i> ;	Not Applicable – no Conservation Objectives for Ramsar Sites.	O	O	X	X

Humber Estuary Ramsar Site		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	City of Kingston upon Hull; East Riding of Yorkshire; Humberside; Lincolnshire; North East Lincolnshire; North Lincolnshire				
Site Status	Classified 31072007				
Latitude	53 53 04 N				
Longitude	00 55 34 W				
EU code	UK11037				
Area (ha)	915.45				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
Ramsar criterion 8 The Humber Estuary acts as an important migration route for both river lamprey <i>Lampetra fluviatilis</i> and sea lamprey <i>Petromyzon marinus</i> between coastal waters and their spawning areas.	Not Applicable – no Conservation Objectives for Ramsar Sites.				
		X	X	X	X

Table 2-8 - Results of HRA Screening for Skipwith Common SAC

Skipwith Common SAC		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects				
Country	England					
Unitary Authority	North Yorkshire					
Centroid*	SE668362					
Latitude	53.82777778					
Longitude	-0.9975					
SAC EU code	UK0030276					
Status	Designated Special Area of Conservation (SAC)					
294.6	36657.15					
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination	
Annex I Habitat 4010 Northern Atlantic wet heaths with Erica tetralix; Wet heathland with cross-leaved heath	The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats	O	O	O	O	
	The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats	O	O	X	X	
	The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats rely	O	O	X	X	
Annex I Habitat 4030 European dry heaths	The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats	O	O	O	O	
	The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats	O	O	X	X	
	The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats rely	O	O	X	X	

Table 2-9 - Results of HRA Screening for Thorne and Hatfield Moor SPA

Thorne and Hatfield Moor SPA		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	East Riding of Yorkshire, North Lincolnshire, Doncaster				
SPA Status	Classified 16/08/2000				
Latitude	53 38 16 N				
Longitude	00 53 53 W				
SPA EU code	UK9005171				
Area (ha)	2449.2				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
A224 <i>Caprimulgus europaeus</i> ; European nightjar (Breeding)	The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O
	The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely	O	O	X	X
	The population of each of the qualifying features	O	O	O	O
	The distribution of the qualifying features within the site	O	O	O	O

Table 2-10 - Results of HRA Screening for Thorne Moor SAC

Thorne Moor SAC		Impact with the Potential to Result in LSE O No LSE X Likely Significant Effects			
Country	England				
Unitary Authority	East Yorkshire and Northern Lincolnshire, South Yorkshire				
Centroid*	SE728163				
Latitude	53.63833333				
Longitude	-0.8975				
SAC EU code	UK0012915				
Status	Designated Special Area of Conservation (SAC)				
Area (ha)	1911.02				
Qualifying Interest Feature	Conservation Objectives (to maintain or restore):	Disturbance; Noise Vibration and/or Visual	Hydrological Changes (Quality/ Flow)	Air Quality Changes	In-Combination
Annex I Habitat 7120	The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats	O	O	O	O
Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration	The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats	O	O	X	X
	The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats rely	O	O	X	X

3 APPROPRIATE ASSESSMENT

3.1 Structure of Assessment

- 3.1.1. This HRA considers the potential effects identified during HRA screening in more detail in terms of their nature and extent. The objective of the HRA is to establish whether the Proposed Scheme will adversely affect the integrity of European sites, taking into account mitigation measures and the potential for further in-combination effects that may arise from other plans or projects.
- 3.1.2. The following steps have been incorporated into the HRA:
- Gathering information on, and exploring the reasons for, the relevant European site designations;
 - Determining the nature of the environmental conditions required to maintain the integrity of the European sites and the trends in associated environmental processes;
 - Identifying whether the Proposed Scheme could lead to an impact on any identified processes that support the European sites;
 - Determining whether the identified impact could result in an adverse effect on the integrity of European sites;
 - Identifying other plans and projects that might affect these European sites in-combination with the Proposed Scheme and establishing whether there are any adverse in-combination effects; and
 - Developing mechanisms to enable delivery of measures to avoid or mitigate any identified potential effects.
- 3.1.3. Section 4 of this report provides information on each of the European Sites. This includes:
- Information on the qualifying interests (i.e. the reasons for designation) of each European site;
 - The Conservation Objectives of each European Site (i.e. the target condition for each of the qualifying features, whereby the European Site will be considered to be in favourable condition and contributing to the overall objectives of the Natura 2000 network;
 - A general description of each of the European Sites and their biophysical characteristics;
 - A description of the current / recent condition of each of the European Sites (where condition assessment information was available); and
 - Key Issues and Threats to each European Site, as identified through NE Site Improvement Plans (SIP), condition assessment reports, and European Commission data sheets (again, where available).
- 3.1.4. Section five of this report provides an assessment of the impacts of the Proposed Scheme on functionally-linked habitat. This includes consideration of impacts such as noise, lighting, hydrological (water quality and quantity) and visual disturbance of European Site qualifying features, where these occur outside the boundaries of the European Sites. For example, this section includes an assessment of the potential for otters forming part of the River Derwent and Lower Derwent Valley SAC populations to be disturbed by construction activities associated with the Proposed Scheme.
- 3.1.5. Section 6 of this report assesses the potential for operation of the Proposed Scheme to lead to adverse effects on the integrity of European Sites as a result of air quality impacts. This

includes consideration of changes in ambient levels of nitrous oxides (NO_x) and ammonia (NH₃). It also includes consideration of changes in nitrogen deposition rates and associated potential acidification of European Site habitats. The assessment uses a range of information presented in Chapter 6 (Air Quality) of the ES (Examination Library Reference APP-074) and the Air Quality Technical Note submitted by the Applicant at Deadline 5 (Examination Library Reference REP05-019). Relevant information from the Air Quality chapter and Technical Note has been extracted and is presented in section 6 of this report. This has also been supplemented by revised modelling of cumulative air quality impacts, completed by the Applicant's air quality specialist. The reader is also advised to refer to the Air Quality chapter for full details of the Air Quality impact assessment process.

3.2 Assumptions for Appropriate Assessment

- 3.2.1. In accordance with recent case law (Ref 4), avoidance and mitigation measures designed to reduce harm to European Sites were not considered during the screening for LSE. At this stage in the HRA process (Stage 2: Appropriate Assessment) it is appropriate to consider mitigation measures during the assessment. This assessment has therefore been carried out assuming the implementation of mitigation measures embedded in the Proposed Scheme design and targeted measures identified to address potential effects on European Sites.
- 3.2.2. The following assumptions are therefore relevant:
- A Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) will be implemented during construction, in accordance with a proposed requirement in the draft DCO (Examination Library Reference REP5-011; proposed Requirement 17);
 - Implementation of a Decommissioning Environmental Management Plan (DEMP) during decommissioning, in accordance with a proposed requirement in the draft DCO (Examination Library Reference REP5-011; proposed Requirement 26);
 - The use, where practicable, of trenchless construction techniques for installation of the Gas Pipeline between the GRF and the AGI when crossing watercourses, as secured through requirement 17 of the dDCO which secures the approval and implementation of the CEMP, in substantial accordance with the Outline CEMP (Rev 004 of which is submitted at Examination Deadline 6) with measures to address the use of trenched construction techniques if required;
 - Targeted mitigation measures to avoid or minimise disturbance of otters that may form part of the River Derwent SAC or Lower Derwent Valley SAC populations, the delivery of which would be secured by Requirements 8 (Provision of landscape and biodiversity mitigation) and 17 (Construction Environmental Management Plan) of the draft DCO (Examination Library Reference REP5-011);
 - Pollution control measures that would be incorporated into the Surface Water Drainage Strategy for the operational Proposed Scheme, the delivery of which would be secured by Requirement 17 (Construction Environmental Management Plan) of the draft DCO (Examination Library Reference REP5-011);
 - An ecologically sensitive lighting design for the Proposed Scheme, the delivery of which would be secured by Requirement 10 (External lighting during construction and operation) of the draft DCO (Examination Library Reference REP5-011)
 - Combustion control processes during operation of the Gas Generating Stations, in order to achieve low NO_x emissions equivalent to 50 mg/Nm³; and

- The setting of an annualised ammonia (NH₃) budget to limit emissions of this pollutant to an annualised budget equivalent to 120 tonnes, should the Proposed Scheme operate with Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR).

- 3.2.3. Consideration has also been given in this HRA Report to how baseline air quality is likely to change in the future. Future national emissions ceilings are likely to reduce emissions of both NO_x and ammonia levels and subsequently deposition in the medium to long term. For example, The National Emissions Ceilings Regulations (2018; Ref 16) commit the UK to reducing ammonia emissions by 8% between 2020 and 2029 and by 16% from 2030 onwards (see paragraph 6.5.47 of the ES Air Quality Chapter (Examination Library Reference APP-074)).
- 3.2.4. Government policy and socioeconomic factors are also promoting the uptake of ultra-low and zero emission vehicles. Current government policy is for all new car and van sales from 2040 onwards to be of ultra-low and zero-emission vehicles, with new conventional diesel and petrol-fuelled vehicles banned from sale. It is therefore reasonable to assume that there will be a reduction in emissions from the UK vehicle fleet going forward.

3.3 In-Combination Effects on Natura 2000 and Ramsar Sites

- 3.3.1. It is a requirement of the Habitats Regulations that the impacts and effects of a plan or project are not considered in isolation. Where potential effects could become significant in combination with other plans and projects, these potential effects are also considered within the HRA.
- 3.3.2. ES Chapter 17 identifies a number of development proposals to be considered for in-combination assessment. These were subject to an initial screening to assess whether, given the nature, location and scale of each proposal, there was an objective possibility that they could combine with the effects of the Proposed Scheme to lead to LSE and / or an adverse effect on the integrity of the European Sites considered. Those of relevance are listed in Table 3-1 below and will be considered in this HRA where the potential for adverse effects has been identified.

Table 3-1 - Screening of Other Projects and Plans for Potential In-Combination Effects

Planning reference	Distance from Proposed Scheme	Summary Description	Potential in-combination effects (Y/N)	Notes
2016/0401/REM	554m E	Reserved matters approval is sought for the scale, layout, external appearance and landscaping of 14 dwellings, means of access was approved at outline stage	N	Small-scale development. Vehicle emissions arising from operational use of development likely to be negligible and long term air quality strategy for UK will see ultra-low and zero emissions vehicles making up an increasing proportion of the vehicle fleet over coming years.
2016/1124/COU	4413m SW	Change of Use of land to 20 pitch caravan park and camping area with conversion of existing outbuildings into shower and toilet facilities	N	Small-scale development. Vehicle emissions arising from operational use of development likely to be negligible and long term air quality strategy for UK will see ultra-low and zero emissions vehicles making up an increasing proportion of the vehicle fleet over coming years.
2017/1018/FULM	0m -	Construction of 40 MW battery energy storage barn to provide back-up electricity services to the National Grid for a period of 25 years from the date of commissioning and retention of building thereafter, infrastructure, bund and	N	Within Proposed Scheme boundary, with potential for construction activities to overlap with those associated with Proposed Scheme, with the AGI and gas pipeline being the

Planning reference	Distance from Proposed Scheme	Summary Description	Potential in-combination effects (Y/N)	Notes
		landscaping on paddock and field		closest parts of the Proposed Scheme to this project. The Preliminary Ecological Appraisal prepared for the development predicts no significant effects on ecological resources and that the development will be located within an area of limited ecological interest.
2015/1405/OUT	1443m SW	Outline application including access for the erection of up to 45 dwellings	N	Small-scale development. Vehicle emissions arising from operational use of development likely to be negligible and long term air quality strategy for UK will see ultra-low and zero emissions vehicles making up an increasing proportion of the vehicle fleet over coming years.
2017/0261/FULM	6531m W	Proposed engineering operation comprising the construction of flood alleviation embankment, land engineering works, alteration and partial removal of existing flood embankment and creation of temporary construction access at land north of Temple Hirst flood defences at Street Record Main Road, Temple Hirst	N	Project comprises flood defence embankment and associated infrastructure. Located in excess of 6 km from Site; nature and distance of this development from the Project means significant cumulative effects are unlikely to

Planning reference	Distance from Proposed Scheme	Summary Description	Potential in-combination effects (Y/N)	Notes
				arise.
2017/0822/FULM	465m SW	Proposed construction of new energy centre comprising of new main energy centre building and ancillary tanks, containers and services buildings	N	Minor development, which will not result in any point source emissions to air; hence negligible potential for significant cumulative air quality impacts on designated sites.
2017/0272/FUL	2615m SW	Proposed erection of apartments on brownfield site	N	Small-scale development. Vehicle emissions arising from operational use of development likely to be negligible and long term air quality strategy for UK will see ultra-low and zero emissions vehicles making up an increasing proportion of the vehicle fleet over coming years.
2016/0875/FUL	9939m W	Proposed Erection of 54 units	N	Small-scale development. Vehicle emissions arising from operational use of development likely to be negligible and long term air quality strategy for UK will see ultra-low and zero emissions vehicles making up an increasing proportion of the vehicle fleet over coming years.

Planning reference	Distance from Proposed Scheme	Summary Description	Potential in-combination effects (Y/N)	Notes
2017/0542/OUTM	10619m W	Outline to include access (all other matters reserved) for erection of up to 120 dwellings and associated car parking, garages, landscaping, open space and details of including demolition and removal of all structures, buildings and hard standing to facilitate future development	N	Small-scale development. Vehicle emissions arising from operational use of development likely to be negligible and long term air quality strategy for UK will see ultra-low and zero emissions vehicles making up an increasing proportion of the vehicle fleet over coming years.
2015/1392/EIA	9273m W	Erection of a new single storey production facility for the manufacture of insulation boarding together with associated vehicle movement and parking areas.	N	Project comprises erection of a new single-storey production facility for the manufacture of insulation boarding together with associated vehicle movement and parking areas. Small scale and nature of development and distance from Site means significant cumulative effects are unlikely to arise.
2015/0367/FUL	7330m W	Proposed development of 125 no. dwellings with associated access from Barff Lane, landscaping, new footpath and drainage pond	N	Small-scale development. Vehicle emissions arising from operational use of development likely to be negligible and long term air quality strategy for UK will see ultra-low and zero

Planning reference	Distance from Proposed Scheme	Summary Description	Potential in-combination effects (Y/N)	Notes
				emissions vehicles making up an increasing proportion of the vehicle fleet over coming years.
2016/0978/FULM	7325m W	Proposed residential development of 53 dwellings including access and associated infrastructure	N	Small-scale development. Vehicle emissions arising from operational use of development likely to be negligible and long term air quality strategy for UK will see ultra-low and zero emissions vehicles making up an increasing proportion of the vehicle fleet over coming years.
2015/0389/FUL	7379m W	Proposed erection of 52 residential dwellings including site access	N	Small-scale development. Vehicle emissions arising from operational use of development likely to be negligible and long term air quality strategy for UK will see ultra-low and zero emissions vehicles making up an increasing proportion of the vehicle fleet over coming years.
2017/0577/OUTM	9647m W	Outline application for residential development for up to 68 No. dwellings with all matters reserved	N	Small-scale development. Vehicle emissions arising from operational use of development likely to be negligible and long

Planning reference	Distance from Proposed Scheme	Summary Description	Potential in-combination effects (Y/N)	Notes
				term air quality strategy for UK will see ultra-low and zero emissions vehicles making up an increasing proportion of the vehicle fleet over coming years.
2015/0105/OUT	11176m W	Outline application with all matters reserved for the erection of residential development 119 dwellings	N	Small-scale development. Vehicle emissions arising from operational use of development likely to be negligible and long term air quality strategy for UK will see ultra-low and zero emissions vehicles making up an increasing proportion of the vehicle fleet over coming years.
2014/1028/OUT	8773m W	Outline planning permission for residential development including access. All other matters are reserved for future consideration 276 dwellings	N	Small-scale development. Vehicle emissions arising from operational use of development likely to be negligible and long term air quality strategy for UK will see ultra-low and zero emissions vehicles making up an increasing proportion of the vehicle fleet over coming years.
2015/0676/FUL	11421m W	Proposed installation of 960 ground mounted PV panels	N	Small scale and nature of development and distance from

Planning reference	Distance from Proposed Scheme	Summary Description	Potential in-combination effects (Y/N)	Notes
				Site means significant cumulative effects are unlikely to arise.
2015/0007/FUL	6872m NW	Erection of a two storey building to accommodate new social and leisure facilities	N	Small scale and nature of development and distance from Site means significant cumulative effects are unlikely to arise.
2016/0140/REM	9643m NW	Reserved matters application relating to appearance, landscaping and scale for buildings C,D,E,F and farmhouse of approval 2012/0485/OUT	N	Small-scale development. Vehicle emissions arising from operational use of development likely to be negligible and long term air quality strategy for UK will see ultra-low and zero emissions vehicles making up an increasing proportion of the vehicle fleet over coming years.
2014/0202/OUT	6950m NW	Outline application including access for the erection of 13 No. Dwellings	N	Small-scale development. Vehicle emissions arising from operational use of development likely to be negligible and long term air quality strategy for UK will see ultra-low and zero emissions vehicles making up an increasing proportion of the

Planning reference	Distance from Proposed Scheme	Summary Description	Potential in-combination effects (Y/N)	Notes
				vehicle fleet over coming years.
2015/0517/OUT	9146m N	Outline application to include access and layout for residential and associated development (35 dwellings) on land to the west of York Road (The Paddocks)	N	Small-scale development. Vehicle emissions arising from operational use of development likely to be negligible and long term air quality strategy for UK will see ultra-low and zero emissions vehicles making up an increasing proportion of the vehicle fleet over coming years.
2017/1055/COD	5264m NW	Request for written confirmation of compliance of conditions of planning approval CO/2012/1185 (8/19/1011C/PA) for outline application for the erection of 1200 dwellings (4 existing to be demolished), employment, public open space, shopping and community facilities (including up to 2,000 sq.m. of shops), together with associated footpaths, cycleways, roads, engineering	N	Located in excess of 5 km from Proposed Scheme. Vehicle emissions arising from operational use of development likely to be negligible and long term air quality strategy for UK will see ultra-low and zero emissions vehicles making up an increasing proportion of the vehicle fleet over coming years.
2016/1408/FULM	6493m NW	Conversion of former courthouse building to form 16No. flats with associated management suite/office, external works including works to windows and doors including new openings with associated	N	Small-scale development. Vehicle emissions arising from operational use of development likely to be negligible and long term air quality strategy for UK

Planning reference	Distance from Proposed Scheme	Summary Description	Potential in-combination effects (Y/N)	Notes
		vehicular and cycle parking		will see ultra-low and zero emissions vehicles making up an increasing proportion of the vehicle fleet over coming years.
2015/0341/OUT	7898m NW	Hybrid application comprising outline proposals for the erection of circa 200 new dwellings including the construction of a new junction onto Flaxley Road, the laying out of open space and children's play area, pumping station, siting of electricity substation, landscaping and creation of areas for sustainable drainage including connection to water course and detailed proposals for the conversion of agricultural buildings to form 2 dwellings together with associated works including the creation of curtilages and areas of driveways/hardstanding (including external areas relating to the existing farm house) and demolition at Hempbridge Farm and land	N	Small-scale development. Vehicle emissions arising from operational use of development likely to be negligible and long term air quality strategy for UK will see ultra-low and zero emissions vehicles making up an increasing proportion of the vehicle fleet over coming years.
2016/0178/FUL	5190m NW	Construction of a new glucose syrup plant and associated storage tanks, pipebridges, roads and hardstandings within an existing industrial site	N	Small-scale development. Vehicle emissions arising from operational use of development likely to be negligible and long term air quality strategy for UK

Planning reference	Distance from Proposed Scheme	Summary Description	Potential in-combination effects (Y/N)	Notes
				will see ultra-low and zero emissions vehicles making up an increasing proportion of the vehicle fleet over coming years.
2016/0528/FUL	7689m NW	Section 73 application to vary condition 05 (plans) of planning permission 2014/0685/FUL Proposed installation of 4 x 18 m high floodlights onto existing rugby pitch and training area	N	Small-scale development. Vehicle emissions arising from operational use of development likely to be negligible and long term air quality strategy for UK will see ultra-low and zero emissions vehicles making up an increasing proportion of the vehicle fleet over coming years.
17/01720/STPLF	5004m NE	Erection of 300 dwellings with associated access, open space, landscaping and infrastructure	N	Small-scale development. Vehicle emissions arising from operational use of development likely to be negligible and long term air quality strategy for UK will see ultra-low and zero emissions vehicles making up an increasing proportion of the vehicle fleet over coming years.
17/02265/STOUT	4625m NE	OUTLINE - Erection of Residential Development (up to 175 dwellings) (Access to be considered)	N	Small-scale development. Vehicle emissions arising from operational use of development

Planning reference	Distance from Proposed Scheme	Summary Description	Potential in-combination effects (Y/N)	Notes
				likely to be negligible and long term air quality strategy for UK will see ultra-low and zero emissions vehicles making up an increasing proportion of the vehicle fleet over coming years.
17/03450/CM	7804m NE	Installation of an Anaerobic Digestion (AD) Plant including; AD Digester tanks; a biomethane gas to grid plant; CHP (Combined Heat and Power) unit; flare; buffer and treatment tanks; and a digestate storage lagoon with associated works	N	Development would give rise to operational emissions, which could potentially combine with those from the Propose Scheme leading to cumulative effects. The development is located in excess of 2 km from any Natura 2000 Sites and in excess of 7.5 km from the Site. It is therefore considered unlikely to contribute significantly to air quality impacts on Natura 2000 Sites (the only effect which is considered potentially significant in-combination with The Proposed Scheme).
16/01584/STPLF	7089m N	Erection of a building consisting of 6 aircraft hangers and storage following demolition of existing buildings and creation of a new vehicular access road	N	Small scale and nature of development and distance from Site means significant cumulative effects are unlikely to

Planning reference	Distance from Proposed Scheme	Summary Description	Potential in-combination effects (Y/N)	Notes
				arise. Emissions to air from operational airfield unlikely to contribute significantly to air quality impacts on European Sites
16/00528/PLF	10473m E	Erection of 17 dwellings and associated surface water drainage	N	Small-scale development. Vehicle emissions arising from operational use of development likely to be negligible and long term air quality strategy for UK will see ultra-low and zero emissions vehicles making up an increasing proportion of the vehicle fleet over coming years.
16/02460/OUT	2411m S	Outline - Erection of 10 dwellings with associated access and parking (access and layout to be considered)	N	Small-scale development. Vehicle emissions arising from operational use of development likely to be negligible and long term air quality strategy for UK will see ultra-low and zero emissions vehicles making up an increasing proportion of the vehicle fleet over coming years.
15/03487/STPLF	4810m S	Erection of 94 dwellings with associated open space, drainage infrastructure and	N	Small-scale development. Vehicle emissions arising from

Planning reference	Distance from Proposed Scheme	Summary Description	Potential in-combination effects (Y/N)	Notes
		landscaping		operational use of development likely to be negligible and long term air quality strategy for UK will see ultra-low and zero emissions vehicles making up an increasing proportion of the vehicle fleet over coming years.
17/03359/STPLF	5437m E	Erection of 92 dwellings with associated parking (with access from adopted road for Phase 1)	N	Small-scale development. Vehicle emissions arising from operational use of development likely to be negligible and long term air quality strategy for UK will see ultra-low and zero emissions vehicles making up an increasing proportion of the vehicle fleet over coming years.
17/00144/STREM	5580m E	Erection of 138 dwellings following outline permission 13/00931/STOUT (All matters to be considered)	N	Small-scale development. Vehicle emissions arising from operational use of development likely to be negligible and long term air quality strategy for UK will see ultra-low and zero emissions vehicles making up an increasing proportion of the vehicle fleet over coming years.

Planning reference	Distance from Proposed Scheme	Summary Description	Potential in-combination effects (Y/N)	Notes
16/04220/STREM	6239m E	Erection of 30 dwellings following Outline planning permission 12/04725/STOUT (Appearance, Landscaping and Scale to be considered)	N	Small-scale development. Vehicle emissions arising from operational use of development likely to be negligible and long term air quality strategy for UK will see ultra-low and zero emissions vehicles making up an increasing proportion of the vehicle fleet over coming years.
17/00508/STPLF	6116m SE	Erection of 77 dwellings with associated garages, infrastructure and access	N	Small-scale development. Vehicle emissions arising from operational use of development likely to be negligible and long term air quality strategy for UK will see ultra-low and zero emissions vehicles making up an increasing proportion of the vehicle fleet over coming years.
Eggborough CCGT	8500m W	Eggborough CCGT - The construction and operation of a new CCGT generating station with a capacity of up to 2,500 megawatts, new gas pipeline to the NTS and other associated development	Y	Development would give rise to operational emissions, which could potentially combine with those from the Propose Scheme leading to cumulative effects. During operation, this project would generate a sufficient level of emissions such that cumulative

Planning reference	Distance from Proposed Scheme	Summary Description	Potential in-combination effects (Y/N)	Notes
				effects with the Proposed Scheme could be significant. This project has therefore been included within the cumulative assessment for air quality, which also informs the cumulative assessment for Biodiversity.
Thorpe Marsh Gas Pipeline	8838m SW	Thorpe Marsh Gas Pipeline - The Proposed Gas Pipeline will be a continuously welded buried steel pipeline of approximately 18 km in length	N	Distance of development from the Proposed Scheme. SoS Decision letter identifies that no likely significant effects to European Sites are expected and that this concurs with advice from NE. The decision letter also identifies that positive biodiversity enhancements are predicted.
Thorpe Marsh Power Station		Thorpe Marsh Power Ltd received a Section 36 Consent from the DECC to construct a 1,500MW, with a tolerance of up to 5 per cent, gas-fired power station to be known as Thorpe Marsh Power Station on the former coal-fired Thorpe Marsh Power Station site in October 2011. It is understood the project remains in the planning phase.	Y	Development would give rise to operational emissions, which could potentially combine with those from the Proposed Scheme leading to cumulative effects. During operation, this project would generate a sufficient level of emissions such that cumulative effects with the Proposed

Planning reference	Distance from Proposed Scheme	Summary Description	Potential in-combination effects (Y/N)	Notes
				Scheme could be significant. This project has therefore been included within the cumulative assessment for air quality, which also informs the cumulative assessment for Biodiversity.
Knottingley Power Project	15344m W	Knottingley Power Project - A 1500 MW Combined Cycle Gas Turbine (CCGT) power station and associated infrastructure.	N	Potential for operational emissions to combine with those from the Proposed Scheme. AQ Assessment has confirmed that Project is located so far from the designated sites considered, that any in-combination effects would be imperceptible.
Ferrybridge D Combined Cycle Gas Turbine (CCGT) Power Station Project	19,000m W	A new CCGT generating station of circa 2000 megawatts output capacity and associated development including a gas supply pipeline to the National Transmission Network.	N	Considered due to potential for overlapping effects onto designated sites. AQ Assessment has confirmed that Project is located so far from the designated sites considered, that any cumulative effects would be imperceptible.
2016/0401/REM	10220 m N	Reserved matters approval is sought for the scale, layout, external appearance and landscaping of 14 dwellings, means	N	Small-scale development. Vehicle emissions arising from operational use of development

Planning reference	Distance from Proposed Scheme	Summary Description	Potential in-combination effects (Y/N)	Notes
		of access was approved at outline stage		likely to be negligible and long term air quality strategy for UK will see ultra-low and zero emissions vehicles making up an increasing proportion of the vehicle fleet over coming years.

4 RELEVANT EUROPEAN SITES

4.1 European Site Description

- 4.1.1. Site data for the European sites considered in this report are summarised in Table 4-1 below. Data were collated using information contained within Natura 2000 and Ramsar data forms held by the Joint Nature Conservation Council (JNCC). Site conditions, issues and threats were determined through Natural England's Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) condition reviews and the 2014/15 Site Improvement Plans.

Table 4-1 – Relevant European Sites

Site	Qualifying Feature		Conservation Objectives	Site Description and Current Conditions	Key Issues and Threats
	Habitats	Species			
Lower Derwent Valley SAC	<p>Lowland hay meadows (<i>Alopecurus pratensis</i>, <i>Sanguisorba officinalis</i>)</p> <p>Alluvial forests with <i>Alnus glutinosa</i> and <i>Fraxinus excelsior</i> (<i>Alno-Padion</i>, <i>Alnion incanae</i>, <i>Salicion albae</i>)</p>	<p>Otter <i>Lutra lutra</i></p>	<p>Ensure that the integrity of the site is maintained or restored as appropriate, and ensure that the site contributes to achieving the Favourable Conservation Status of its Qualifying Features, by maintaining and restoring:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats and habitats of qualifying species The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats The structure and function of the habitats of qualifying species The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely on The populations of qualifying species, and The distribution of qualifying species within the site 	<p>The Lower Derwent Valley contains a greater area of high-quality examples of lowland hay meadows than any other site in the UK. The abundance of the rare narrow-leaved water-dropwort <i>Oenanthe silaifolia</i> is a notable feature. Traditional management has ensured that ecological variation is well-developed and in the transition between habitat types including wet and dry grassland, swamp, fen, and damp alder woodland.</p>	<p>H04 (H) air pollution, air-borne pollutants</p> <p>G01 (H) outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities</p> <p>I01 (H) Invasive non-native species</p> <p>K02 (H) Biocenotic evolution, succession</p> <p>A04 (H) grazing</p>
Lower Derwent Valley SPA	N/A	<p>Qualifying species under article 4.1 (regular use by 1% or more of the GB population):</p> <p>Breeding: Northern shoveler</p> <p>Over winter: Eurasian wigeon <i>Anas clypeata</i></p> <p>Bewick's swan <i>Cyngus columbianus bewickii</i></p>	<p>Ensure that the integrity of the site is maintained or restored as appropriate, and ensure that the site contributes to achieving the aims of the Wild Birds Directive, by maintaining or restoring:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The extent and distribution of the 	<p>The Lower Derwent Valley is a major flood plain system in east and north Yorkshire. The valley holds a series of neutral alluvial flood meadows, fens, swamps, valley mires, alder woodlands and other fresh water habitats. It is one of the largest and most important examples of traditionally managed flood meadow habitat in</p>	<p>K02 (H) Biocenotic evolution, succession</p> <p>G01 (H) outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities</p> <p>J02 (H) human induced changes in hydraulic conditions</p> <p>I01 (H) Invasive non-native species</p>

		<p>Golden plover <i>Pluvialis apricaria</i> Ruff <i>Philomachus pugnax</i></p> <p>Qualifying species under article 4.2 (regular use by 1% or more of the biogeographical populations): Wintering -Teal <i>Anas crecca</i></p> <p>Wintering bird assemblage of international importance including those listed above and Lapwing <i>Vanellus vanellus</i>, Pochard <i>Aythya ferina</i>, Shoveler <i>Anas clypeata</i>, Mallard <i>Anas platyrhynchos</i>, and Wigeon <i>Anas penelope</i></p>	<p>habitats and qualifying features</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features • The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely • The population of each of the qualifying features, and • The distribution of the qualifying features within the site. 	the UK. The site is of outstanding importance for a diverse range of waterbirds throughout the year.	A04 (H) grazing
Lower Derwent Valley Ramsar	<p>Criterion 1 The site represents one of the most important examples of traditionally managed species-rich alluvial flood meadow habitat remaining in the UK. The river and flood meadows play a substantial role in the hydrological and ecological functioning of the Humber Basin.</p>	<p>Criterion 2 The site has a rich assemblage of wetland invertebrates including 16 species of dragonfly and damselfly, 15 British Red Data Book wetland invertebrates as well as a leafhopper, <i>Cicadula ornate</i> for which Lower Derwent Valley is the only known site in Great Britain.</p> <p>Criterion 4 The site qualifies as a staging post for passage birds in spring. Of particular note are the nationally important numbers of Ruff, <i>Philomachus pugnax</i> and Whimbrel, <i>Numenius phaeopus</i>.</p> <p>Criterion 5 Assemblage of international importance – peak counts in winter: 31,942 waterfowl</p> <p>Criterion 6 Species/populations occurring at levels of international importance – peak counts in winter: Eurasian wigeon <i>Anas Penelope</i> 8,350 (2% GB population), Eurasian teal <i>Anas crecca</i> 4,200 (1% population)</p>	N/A	<p>The Lower Derwent Valley represents one of the most important examples of traditionally managed species-rich alluvial flood meadow habitat remaining in the UK. These grasslands, which were formerly widespread, are now very restricted in distribution due to agricultural improvement. The river and these floodlands play a substantial role in the hydrological and ecological functioning of the internationally important Humber basin.</p>	<p>Water diversion for irrigation/domestic/industrial use</p> <p>Reservoir/barrage/dam impact: flooding</p>
River Derwent SAC	<p>Water courses of plain to montane levels with the <i>Ranunculion fluitantis</i> and <i>Callitriche-Batrachion</i> vegetation.</p>	<p>River Lamprey <i>Lampetra fluviatilis</i> Sea lamprey <i>Petromyzon marinus</i> Bullhead <i>Cottus gobio</i></p>	<p>Ensure that the integrity of the site is maintained or restored as appropriate, and ensure that the site</p>	<p>The Yorkshire Derwent is considered to represent one of the best British examples of the classic river profile. This lowland</p>	<p>J02 (H) human induced changes in hydraulic conditions</p> <p>I01 (H) Invasive non-native species</p>

	Rivers with floating vegetation often dominated by water-crowfoot.	Otter <i>Lutra lutra</i>	<p>contributes to achieving the Favourable Conservation Status of its Qualifying Features, by maintaining and restoring:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats and habitats of qualifying species The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats The structure and function of the habitats of qualifying species The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely on The populations of qualifying species, and The distribution of qualifying species within the site 	<p>section, stretching from Ryemouth to the confluence with the Ouse, supports diverse communities of aquatic flora and fauna. Fed from an extensive upland catchment, the lowland course of the Derwent has been considerably diverted and extended as a result of glacial action in the Vale of Pickering.</p> <p>The river supports an aquatic flora uncommon in Northern Britain. Several species, including river water-dropwort <i>Oenanthe fluviatilis</i>, flowering rush <i>Butomus umbellatus</i>, shining pondweed <i>Potamogeton lucens</i>, arrowhead <i>Sagittaria sagittifolia</i>, opposite-leaved pondweed <i>Groenlandia densa</i> and narrow-leaved water-parsnip <i>Berula erecta</i> are more typically found in lowland rivers in southern England</p>	<p>A02 (H) Modification of cultivation practices</p> <p>H02 (H) Pollution to groundwater (point sources and diffuse sources)</p>
Humber Estuary SAC	<p>Estuaries</p> <p>Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide</p> <p>Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time</p> <p>Coastal lagoons</p> <p><i>Salicornia</i> and other annuals colonising mud and sand</p> <p>Atlantic salt meadows</p> <p>Embryonic shifting dunes</p> <p>Shifting dunes along the shoreline with <i>Ammophila arenaria</i> “white dunes”</p> <p>Fixed coastal dunes with herbaceous vegetation “grey</p>	<p>Sea lamprey <i>Petromyzon marinus</i></p> <p>River lamprey <i>Lampetra fluviatilis</i></p> <p>Grey seal <i>Halichoerus grypus</i></p>	<p>Ensure that the integrity of the site is maintained or restored as appropriate, and ensure that the site contributes to achieving the Favourable Conservation Status of its Qualifying Features, by maintaining and restoring:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats and habitats of qualifying species The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural 	<p>The Humber is the second largest coastal plain Estuary in the UK, and the largest coastal plain estuary on the east coast of Britain. The estuary supports a full range of saline conditions from the open coast to the limit of saline intrusion on the tidal rivers of the Ouse and Trent. The range of salinity, substrate and exposure to wave action influences the estuarine habitats and the range of species that utilise them; these include a breeding bird assemblage, winter and passage waterfowl, river and sea lamprey, grey seals, vascular plants and invertebrates.</p>	<p>J02 (H) human induced changes in hydraulic conditions</p> <p>M01 (H) changes in abiotic conditions</p> <p>M02 (H) changes in biotic conditions</p> <p>E02 (H) Industrial or commercial areas</p> <p>K01 (H) Abiotic (slow) natural processes</p>

	dunes” Dunes with <i>Hippopha rhamnoides</i>		<p>habitats</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The structure and function of the habitats of qualifying species • The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely on • The populations of qualifying species, and • The distribution of qualifying species within the site 		
Humber Estuary SPA	N/A	<p>Qualifying species under article 4.1 (regular use by 1% or more of the GB population):</p> <p>Eurasian teal <i>Anas crecca</i>, Eurasian wigeon <i>Anas Penelope</i>, mallard <i>Anas platyrhynchos</i>, turnstone <i>Arenaria interpres</i>, common pochard <i>Aythya farina</i>, greater scaup <i>Aythya marila</i>, Brent goose <i>Branta bernicla bernicla</i>, common goldeneye <i>Bucephala clangula</i>, sanderling <i>Calidris alba</i>, avocet <i>Recurvirostra avosetta</i> Bittern <i>Botaurus stellaris</i>, Hen harrier <i>Circus cyaneus</i>, Golden plover <i>Pluvialis apricaria</i>, Bar-tailed godwit <i>Limosa lapponica</i>, Ruff <i>Philomachus pugnax</i>, Bittern <i>Botaurus stellaris</i>, Marsh harrier <i>Circus aeruginosus</i>, Little tern <i>Sterna albifrons</i>, common ringed plover <i>Charadrius hiaticula</i>, Eurasian curlew <i>Numenius arquata</i>, whimbrel <i>Numenius</i></p> <p><i>Phaeopus</i>, greenshank <i>Tringa nebularia</i>, lapwing <i>Vanellus vanellus</i>.</p> <p>Qualifying species under article 4.2 (regular use by 1% or more of the biogeographical populations):</p> <p>Shelduck <i>Tadorna tadorna</i>, Knot <i>Calidris canutus</i>, Dunlin <i>Calidris alpina</i> (passage and wintering), Redshank <i>Tringa totanus</i>, Black-tailed godwit</p>	<p>Ensure that the integrity of the site is maintained or restored as appropriate, and ensure that the site contributes to achieving the aims of the Wild Birds Directive, by maintaining or restoring:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The extent and distribution of the habitats and qualifying features • The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features • The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely • The population of each of the qualifying features, and • The distribution of the qualifying features within the site. 	<p>The Humber Estuary is located on the east coast of England and comprises extensive wetland and coastal habitats covering 37,630.24 ha. The inner estuary supports extensive areas of reedbed, with areas of saltmarsh, grazing marsh, sand dunes, marshy slacks and brackish pools. The estuary supports important numbers of waterbirds throughout the year.</p>	<p>I01 (H) Invasive non-native species</p> <p>M02 (H) changes in biotic conditions</p> <p>M01 (H) changes in abiotic conditions</p> <p>K01 (H) Abiotic (slow) natural processes</p> <p>G01 (H) outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities</p>

		<p><i>Limosa limosa</i>, Eurasian oystercatcher <i>Haematopus ostralegus</i>, grey plover <i>Pluvialis squatarola</i></p> <p>Assemblage qualification under article 4.2 or use of over 20,000 waterbirds in any season.</p>			
Humber Estuary Ramsar	<p>Criterion 1</p> <p>The site is a representative example of a near-natural estuary with the following component habitats: dune systems and humid dune slacks, estuarine waters, intertidal mud and sand flats, saltmarshes, and coastal brackish/saline lagoons. It is a large macro-tidal coastal plain estuary with high suspended sediment loads, which feed a dynamic and rapidly changing system of accreting and eroding intertidal and subtidal mudflats, sandflats, saltmarsh and reedbeds. Examples of both strandline, foredune, mobile, semi-fixed dunes, fixed dunes and dune grassland occur on both banks of the estuary and along the coast. The estuary supports a full range of saline conditions from the open coast to the limit of saline intrusion on the tidal rivers of the Ouse and Trent. Wave exposed sandy shores are found in the outer/open coast areas of the estuary. These change to the more moderately exposed sandy shores and then to sheltered muddy shores within the main body of the estuary and up into the tidal rivers. The lower saltmarsh of the Humber is dominated by common cordgrass <i>Spartina anglica</i> and annual glasswort <i>Salicornia</i> communities. Low to mid marsh communities are mostly represented by sea aster <i>Aster tripolium</i>, common saltmarsh grass <i>Puccinellia</i></p>	<p>Criterion 3</p> <p>The Humber Estuary Ramsar site supports a breeding colony of grey seals <i>Halichoerus grypus</i> at Donna Nook. It is the second largest grey seal colony in England and the furthest south regular breeding site on the east coast. The dune slacks at Saltfleetby-Theddlethorpe on the southern extremity of the Ramsar site are the most north-easterly breeding site in Great Britain of the natterjack toad <i>Bufo calamita</i>.</p> <p>Criterion 5</p> <p>Assemblages of international importance – 153,934 waterfowl (non-breeding season)</p> <p>Criterion 6</p> <p>Species/populations occurring at levels of international importance</p> <p>Migratory:</p> <p>Eurasian golden plover <i>Pluvialis apricaria altifrons</i> 17,996 (2.2% population)</p> <p>Red knot <i>Calidris canutus islandica</i> 18,500 (4.1% population)</p> <p>Dunlin <i>Calidris alpina alpina</i> 20,269 (1.5% population)</p> <p>Black-tailed godwit <i>Limosa limosa islandica</i> 915 (2.6% population)</p> <p>Redshank <i>Tringa totanus brittanica</i> 7,462 (5.7% population)</p> <p>Wintering:</p> <p>Common shelduck <i>Tadorna tadorna</i> 4,464 (1.5% population)</p> <p>Eurasian golden plover 30,709 (3.8% population)</p> <p>Red knot 28,165 (6.3% population)</p> <p>Dunlin 22,222 (1.7% population)</p> <p>Black-tailed godwit 1,113 (3.2% population)</p>	N/A	<p>The Humber Estuary is the largest macro-tidal estuary on the British North Sea coast. It drains a catchment of some 24,240 square kilometres and is the site of the largest single input of freshwater from Britain into the North Sea. It has the second-highest tidal range in Britain (max 7.4 m) and approximately one-third of the estuary is exposed as mud or sand flats at low tide. The inner estuary supports extensive areas of reedbed with areas of mature and developing saltmarsh backed in places by limited areas of grazing marsh in the middle and outer estuary. On the north Lincolnshire coast the saltmarsh is backed by low sand dunes with marshy slacks and brackish pools. The Estuary regularly supports internationally important numbers of waterfowl in winter and nationally important breeding populations in summer.</p>	<p>Disturbance to vegetation through cutting/clearing – reedbeds cleared for angling</p> <p>Vegetation succession – reed bed loss to scrub encroachment</p> <p>Water diversion for irrigations/domestic/industrial use</p> <p>Overfishing – substantial lamprey by-catch in eel nets in River Ouse</p> <p>Pollution – domestic sewage</p> <p>Pollution – agricultural fertilisers</p> <p>Recreational/tourism disturbance (unspecified) – due to illegal access with motorised vehicles and craft</p> <p>Other factor – coastal squeeze causing loss of intertidal habitats and saltmarsh due to sea level rise and fixed defences.</p>

	<p><i>maritima</i> and sea purslane <i>Atriplex portulacoides</i> communities. The upper portion of the saltmarsh community is atypical, dominated by sea couch <i>Elytrigia atherica</i> (<i>Elymus pycnanthus</i>) saltmarsh community. In the upper reaches of the estuary, the tidal marsh community is dominated by the common reed <i>Phragmites australis</i> fen and sea club rush <i>Bolboschoenus maritimus</i> swamp with the couch grass <i>Elytrigia repens</i> (<i>Elymus repens</i>) saltmarsh community. Within the Humber Estuary Ramsar site there are good examples of four of the five physiographic types of saline lagoon.</p>	<p>Bar-tailed godwit <i>Limosa lapponica lapponica</i> 2,752 (2.3% population) Redshank 4,632 (3.6% population)</p> <p>Criterion 8 The Humber Estuary acts as an important migration route for both river lamprey <i>Lampetra fluviatilis</i> and sea lamprey <i>Petromyzon marinus</i> between coastal waters and their spawning areas.</p>			
Skipwith Common SAC	<p>Northern Atlantic wet heaths with <i>Erica tetralix</i></p> <p>European dry heaths</p>	N/A	<p>Ensure that the integrity of the site is maintained or restored as appropriate, and ensure that the site contributes to achieving the Favourable Conservation Status of its Qualifying Features, by maintaining and restoring:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats • The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats, and • The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats rely 	<p>The wet heath at Skipwith Common is the most extensive of its type in the north of England. The <i>Erica tetralix</i> – <i>Sphagnum compactum</i> community is dominated by cross-leaved heath <i>Erica tetralix</i> and purple moor-grass <i>Molinia caerulea</i>. There is a small population of marsh gentian <i>Gentiana pneumonanthe</i>. The wet heath is part of transitions from open water, fen, reed and swamp to dry heaths and other habitats. The dry heath element is a representative of <i>Calluna vulgaris</i> – <i>Deschampsia flexuosa</i> heath dominated by heather <i>Calluna vulgaris</i>.</p>	<p>K02 (H) Biocenotic evolution, succession</p> <p>J02 (H) human induced changes in hydraulic conditions</p> <p>H04 (H) Air pollution, air-borne pollutants</p> <p>G01 (H) Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities</p>
Thorne and Hatfield Moors	N/A	<p>Qualifying species under Article 4.1 for regular use of at least 1% of the GB population:</p> <p>Nightjar <i>Caprimulgus europaeus</i> 66</p>	<p>Ensure that the integrity of the site is maintained or restored as appropriate, and ensure that the site contributes to achieving the</p>	<p>Thorne and Hatfield Moors SPA is an extensive lowland raised mire system adjacent to the Humber estuary on the north-east coast of England and is the largest</p>	<p>E06 (H) Other urbanization, industrial and similar activities</p> <p>G01 (H) Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational</p>

SPA		breeding pairs (1.9%)	<p>aims of the Wild Birds Directive, by maintaining or restoring:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The extent and distribution of the habitats and qualifying features • The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features • The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely • The population of each of the qualifying features, and • The distribution of the qualifying features within the site. 	<p>remaining lowland peatland in England. Despite a long history of extensive peat extraction since the late nineteenth century, the site retains substantial areas of <i>Sphagnum</i> bog, which has been changed by succession to wet scrub woodland dominated by Birch <i>Betula</i> sp., willows and Alder <i>Alnus glutinosa</i>. Where the peat surface has been removed, subsequent restoration of active bog has depended upon shallow flooding to allow <i>Sphagnum</i> and other bog plants to re-colonise. The mire communities are dominated by Hare's-tail <i>Eriophorum vaginatum</i> and Common Cottongrass <i>E. angustifolium</i>, Cross-leaved Heath <i>Erica tetralix</i>, Soft-rush <i>Juncus effusus</i> and <i>Sphagnum</i> mosses, and include a variety of scarcer bog plants such as Bog-rosemary <i>Andromeda polifolia</i> and Cranberry <i>Vaccinium oxycoccos</i>. Drier heath is dominated by Heather <i>Calluna vulgaris</i>, Bracken <i>Pteridium aquilinum</i> and Purple Moor-grass <i>Molinia caerulea</i>. Birch <i>Betula</i> sp. scrub, some of it dense, occurs throughout both moors. The diverse mosaic of habitats contribute greatly to the ornithological interest, which comprises breeding species, notably Nightjar <i>Caprimulgus europaeus</i>, hen harrier <i>Circus cyaneus</i>, merlin <i>Falco columbarius</i> and short-eared owl <i>Asio flammeus</i>, and hobby <i>Falco subbuteo</i>. Also notable are breeding nightingales <i>Luscinia megarhynchos</i>.</p>	activities
Thorne Moor SAC	Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration	N/A	Ensure that the integrity of the site is maintained or restored as appropriate, and ensure that the site contributes to achieving the	<p>Thorne Moor is England's largest area of raised bog, lying a few kilometres from the smaller Hatfield Moors, both within the former floodplain of the rivers</p>	<p>K02 (H) Biocenotic evolution, succession</p> <p>I01 (H) Invasive non-native species</p>

			<p>Favourable Conservation Status of its Qualifying Features, by maintaining and restoring:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats• The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats, and• The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats rely	<p>feeding the Humber estuary (Humberhead Levels), and includes the sub-components Goole Moors and Crowle Moors. Although management has increased the proportion of active raised bog at Thorne Moors, the inclusion of Goole Moors, where peat-extraction has now ceased, means that the site is still predominantly degraded raised bog. The restored secondary surface is rich in species of bog-mosses <i>Sphagnum</i> spp., common and hare's-tail cotton grasses <i>Eriophorum angustifolium</i> and <i>E. vaginatum</i>, heather <i>Calluna vulgaris</i>, cross-leaved heath <i>Erica tetralix</i>, round-leaved sundew <i>Drosera rotundifolia</i>, cranberry <i>Vaccinium oxycoccos</i> and bog-rosemary <i>Andromeda polifolia</i>.</p>	<p>G05 (H) Other human intrusions and disturbances</p> <p>H04 (H) Air pollution, air-borne pollutants</p> <p>J02 (H) Human induced changes in hydraulic conditions</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

5 EFFECTS OF IMPACTS TO FUNCTIONALLY LINKED HABITAT

5.1 Introduction

- 5.1.1. Qualifying features originating from European sites may occupy functionally linked habitat within and adjacent to the Proposed Scheme. These may therefore be impacted as a result of visual, light, noise and vibration disturbance, habitat loss, habitat modification and habitat degradation.

5.2 Relevant European Sites

- 5.2.1. The qualifying features of the European sites identified in the screening assessment as sensitive to Proposed Scheme impacts within functionally linked habitat are described in Table 5-1 below.

Table 5-1 - Relevant European Sites and Disturbance Pathway

European Site	European Site Vulnerability / Impact Pathway Identified in HRA Screening
Lower Derwent Valley SAC	<p>Otters are a qualifying feature of the SAC.</p> <p>Otters originating from the SAC may utilise the habitats within and adjacent to the Proposed Scheme (both aquatic and associated riparian and bankside areas and terrestrial habitat providing connectivity to such features). Otters may therefore be indirectly impacted as a result of disturbance (light, noise, vibration and visual) where the Proposed Scheme is located in proximity (disturbance may be prevalent up to 30 m from a holt and up to 200 m from a natal den (Ref 17)). Otters utilising such habitats may also be impacted upon by habitat loss and/or habitat modification. However, this is only potentially likely to materialise within riparian/bankside or terrestrial areas, as the Proposed Scheme is expected to employ trenchless techniques to cross all waterbodies and current survey data excludes the presence of potential holts within the ditch network crossed by the Proposed Scheme and within approximately 100 m from it). Pollution arising from the construction of the Proposed Scheme, and drainage from the operation of the Proposed Scheme, may also result in habitat degradation and impact upon the availability of otter food sources.</p> <p>It was determined through HRA screening that LSE may arise at functionally linked habitat as a result of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Habitat loss or degradation in or near water bodies; • Holts and resting places being disturbed; • Light, noise, vibration, visual disturbance to resting and feeding places; and <p>changes to water quality which could also affect food sources (Natural</p>

European Site	European Site Vulnerability / Impact Pathway Identified in HRA Screening
	England, 2014; Ref 18).
River Derwent SAC	<p>Otters are a qualifying feature of the SAC. It was determined through HRA screening that LSE may arise (as described above for the Derwent Valley SAC).</p> <p>Sea lamprey, river lamprey and bullhead fish are qualifying features of the SAC and are sensitive to water quality changes and may also be impacted by disturbance (in particular noise and vibration during spawning).</p> <p>It was determined through screening that (due to their migratory nature) sea and river lamprey may use the River Ouse (c. 85 m to the closest area of construction) and potentially may also be present within connecting watercourses and ditches in closer proximity to the Proposed Scheme footprint.</p> <p>These species may therefore be significantly impacted by changes to water quality / flow potentially arising from the construction and operation of the Proposed Scheme.</p> <p>As a result of the expected use of trenchless techniques across all waterbodies and the c. 85 m distance from the closest area of construction to the River Ouse, it was not considered likely that there would be any impact as a result of disturbance to fish. Even in the event that trenchless techniques are not used for installation of the Gas Pipeline, the distance from the River Ouse means that noise and vibration impacts on the watercourse would be negligible. Furthermore, spawning (the life stage most sensitive to disturbance) in proximity to the Proposed Scheme is unlikely due to the lack of suitable habitat and saline influences of the River Ouse in the area³.</p> <p>LSE were considered unlikely for bullhead, as this species inhabits freshwater habitats and therefore is unlikely to be found in the zone of influence (for water quality or disturbance impacts) of the Proposed Scheme.</p>
Humber Estuary SAC/Ramsar Site	Sea Lamprey and River Lamprey are qualifying features of the SAC and Ramsar site. It was determined through HRA screening that LSE may arise (as described above for the River Derwent SAC).

³ The EA identify saline intrusion as a potential water quality issue for groundwater at the Site (paragraph 12.5.15 of the Water Resources, Quality and Hydrology Chapter of the ES, Examination Library Ref: APP-080). Tidal influences also raise the level of the River Ouse by approximately 4.2 m (paragraph 12.5.12 of the Water Resources, Quality and Hydrology Chapter), further confirming tidal influences in the stretch of the Ouse adjacent to and downstream of the Site.

5.3 Information to inform appropriate assessment

Current Baseline – Annex II species (Otter)

- 5.3.1. Otters are listed as an interest feature of both the River Derwent SAC and Lower Derwent Valley SAC. Within the Lower Derwent Valley SAC, otters utilise the systems of dykes and ditches linking the Ings (areas of wetland) to the River Derwent. These, combined with the abundance of flood plain habitat which include wet woodland, fen, wet grassland, and ponds, provide excellent supporting habitat for the otters. There are many suitable undisturbed areas for shelter and holts and a good fish population available in the River Derwent and its tributaries provide a food source (Natural England, 2016; Ref 18).
- 5.3.2. The River Derwent SAC is located > 600 m from the closest point of the Proposed Scheme and the Lower Derwent Valley is located > 4,500 m distant; however, due to habitat connectivity, it is considered likely that otters originating from the SAC will utilise suitable habitats within and adjacent to the Proposed Scheme site.
- 5.3.3. Surveys undertaken to inform the Proposed Scheme's Environmental Impact Assessment⁴ identified that within the Site Boundary and surrounding 250 m area, the River Ouse and numerous small ditches and watercourses and riparian areas provided suitable commuting, foraging and lying up /resting habitat for otter. No confirmed lying up / resting sites were identified within the boundary of the Proposed Scheme or within 50 m of it during the surveys.
- 5.3.4. Figure 9.5 of the ES Biodiversity Chapter provides a summary of the results of the otter surveys. It is considered likely that this species is at least intermittently present within and in proximity to the Proposed Scheme, associated with the River Ouse and connecting watercourses and ditches.
- 5.3.5. As a result of existing levels of disturbance, in accordance with current understanding (Natural England 2007; Ref 20), it is considered very unlikely that any maternal holt sites (i.e. holts used by female otters to bring up their young) are present within 250 m of the Power Station Site. It is, however, possible (although still relatively unlikely due to the presence of the recreational Trans Pennine Trail along the northern bank of the Ouse) that a maternal holt could be present along the River Ouse within 250 m of the Pipeline Area.
- 5.3.6. On the basis that these habitats within the Site Boundary and surrounding area may provide a role in maintaining or restoring the SAC's otter and fish populations at a favourable conservation status, they are considered to potentially comprise functionally linked habitat.

Current Baseline – Annex II Species (Fish)

- 5.3.7. The sea lamprey and river lamprey are listed as qualifying features of the River Derwent SAC and Humber Estuary SAC. In addition, bullhead is listed as a qualifying feature of the River Derwent SAC.
- 5.3.8. The sea lamprey occurs in estuaries and easily accessible rivers, and is an anadromous species (i.e. spawning in freshwater but completing its life cycle in the sea). Like the other species of lamprey, sea lampreys need clean gravel for spawning, and marginal silt or sand for the burrowing juvenile ammocoetes. Sea lampreys have a preference for warm waters in

⁴ Refer to EIA Chapter 9, Biodiversity.

which to spawn. Features such as weirs and dams, as well as polluted sections of river, may impede migration to spawning grounds. In comparison to the river lamprey, sea lampreys seem to be relatively poor at ascending obstacles to migration, and are frequently restricted to the lower reaches of rivers. The river lamprey is also found in coastal waters, estuaries and accessible rivers. The species is normally anadromous (i.e. spawning in freshwater but completing part of its life cycle in the sea), and pollution or artificial obstacles such as weirs or dams impede migration (JNCC undated; Ref 21).

- 5.3.9. The bullhead is a small bottom-living fish that inhabits a variety of rivers, streams and stony lakes. It appears to favour fast-flowing, clear shallow water with a hard substrate (gravel/cobble/pebble) and is frequently found in the headwaters of upland streams. However, it also occurs in lowland situations on softer substrates so long as the water is well-oxygenated and there is sufficient cover. It is not found in badly polluted rivers or saltwater (JNCC undated; Ref 22).
- 5.3.10. It is considered likely that river and sea lamprey are at least intermittently present within the River Ouse and/or connecting watercourses and ditches (although spawning is not considered likely on the basis of current survey information and likely saline habitat conditions). It is unlikely that any of the SAC species regularly utilise the minor watercourses and ditches crossed by the Proposed Scheme (the Pipeline Area), due to the low water volume and small sizes of these watercourses.

Potential Effects on Integrity due to Changes to Baseline resulting from the Proposed Scheme

- 5.3.11. As a result of the minimum 600 m distance between the Proposed Scheme and the closest of the three SACs, there will be no direct impacts on the Annex II species otters or qualifying fish located within the River Derwent SAC, Lower Derwent Valley SAC or Humber Estuary SAC.
- 5.3.12. In addition, on the basis of current survey information, there will be no direct impacts on potential otter holts or potential fish spawning habitat located within the Site Boundary due to the lack of positive survey results relating to potential otter resting sites and fish spawning habitat.
- 5.3.13. However, during construction and operation there is potential for indirect impacts to otters, sea lamprey and river lamprey occupying functionally-linked habitat located adjacent to the Proposed Scheme as a result of pollution to watercourses. In addition, there is potential for disturbance impacts to otters as a result of light, visual, noise and vibration disturbance.
- 5.3.14. During construction, there is also risk of mortality to otters moving through terrestrial habitat through collision with moving construction vehicles or interaction with construction materials and compounds and excavations.
- 5.3.15. Such impacts may result in the killing or injury of otters, the reduction and degradation of available otter and fish habitat and food sources and/or displacement of otters from areas used for commuting, foraging, resting and breeding. This may impact upon the FCS of otters, sea lamprey and river lamprey and ultimately compromise the ability to achieve the conservation objectives underpinning the integrity of the River Derwent SAC, Derwent Valley SAC and Humber Estuary SAC.

Avoidance and Mitigation Measures

- 5.3.16. Measures will be implemented through the Landscape and Biodiversity Strategy (to be prepared substantially in accordance with the Outline Landscaping and Biodiversity Strategy (Rev 003 of which is submitted at Deadline 6) and approved and implemented as required by a requirement to Schedule 2 of the draft DCO (the latest version of which is at Examination Library Reference REP5-011)) to avoid/minimise the above described impacts:
- Pre-construction surveys to reconfirm the status of otter habitat usage of the Site and surrounding watercourses up to 250 m from the Proposed Scheme.
 - Avoidance of any obstructions to established otter paths and access to open water.
 - Avoidance of work in the vicinity of otter habitat during the hours of darkness and within the period two hours after sunrise and two hours before sunset March to October (inclusive) and due to the more limited daylight between one hour after sunrise and one hour before sunset November to February (inclusive).
 - The marking of, and adherence to, 30 m exclusion zones around any holts and shelters identified as a result of updated survey prior to site clearance and construction activities occurring. If otters are known or suspected to be breeding, the exclusion zone could be extended to at least a 200 m radius. However, it could be reduced to 100 m depending on the nature of the works, topography and natural screening. This will require judgement from an experienced ecologist.
 - If breeding was confirmed and exclusion zones of the size set out above were not possible, works would be undertaken in accordance with a European Protected Species (EPS) Mitigation licence to derogate the legislation protecting otter (except during periods of active breeding). As part of the licence, appropriate compensation would be provided to ensure that alternative habitat is provided in advance of the impact occurring. This would ensure no net loss in available habitat that may be considered to provide functional linkage for the SAC.
 - As a minimum, light spill will be minimised and dark corridors will be maintained to ensure that otters can continue to commute and forage without undue disturbance during construction. In addition, defined site compounds and access roads with slow speed limits, will limit the risk of otter collisions during construction.
 - Screening with fencing or planting of thicket-type vegetation to reduce noise and visual disturbance to otter commuting routes during operation, as per the outline Landscape and Biodiversity Strategy;
 - The use of trenchless techniques where practicable when cutting through watercourses for the Pipeline Area. Update surveys will be completed prior to any open-cut techniques being employed. These surveys will determine the need for further mitigation to be implemented for otters (see paragraph 5.3.20 of this report).
 - The capping of any exposed pipe systems when contractors are off site, and providing exit ramps from any exposed trenches or holes (to prevent otters entering and becoming trapped);
 - Screening with fencing or planting of thicket-type vegetation to reduce noise, lighting and visual disturbance to otter commuting routes;
 - Existing drainage measures during operation have been proposed in ES Chapter 12 as appropriate for the Power Station Site. The Above Ground Installation area and associated access road will be routed through an appropriate oil separator prior to discharge. Such measures have been assessed as appropriate to negate potential drainage-related water quality impacts (ES Chapter 12, Sections 12.6.51 – 12.6.53).

- The use of construction best practice measures to avoid pollution including pollution prevention guidance (DEFRA 2016; Ref 23,) would be followed to prevent pollution of water courses by silt or chemicals.

- 5.3.17. The Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) (to be prepared substantially in accordance with the Outline CEMP (Rev 004 of which is submitted at Deadline 6), and which will be approved and implemented as required by a requirement in Schedule 2 to the draft DCO (the latest version of which is at Examination Library Reference REP5-011)) will identify the construction site management which will be implemented to avoid/minimise generation of excessive litter, dust noise and vibration, pollution control and avoidance of hydrological impacts.
- 5.3.18. The CEMP will also provide detailed method statements as necessary to ensure the protection of otters and fish detailed above. Monitoring and management of the ecologically-related CEMP measures to ensure efficacy will be undertaken by an experienced Environmental Manager and Ecological Clerk of Works (ECoW).
- 5.3.19. The CEMP will identify measures that will be implemented to avoid/minimise the potential for pollution, for example, fuel and chemical spills and spill kits will be ready to hand in the unlikely event of a fluid spill. There will be no storage of potentially contaminating materials in areas of ecological / hydrological sensitivity. A Pollution Incident Response Plan will be included as part of the CEMP to ensure that impacts from any potential accidental spills can be reduced to a minimum.
- 5.3.20. Updated pre-construction and during-construction survey information will inform the need to provide compensation for the destruction of any newly created resting sites within the Proposed Scheme footprint. The destruction of an otter resting site would need to be undertaken under an EPS mitigation licence and likely require the construction of an artificial holt. Such measures would ensure no net loss in available habitat that may be considered to provide functional linkage for the SAC.

Efficacy of Mitigation Measures and Residual Effects

- 5.3.21. The above described mitigation-measures are appropriate, proven avoidance and mitigation measures and no residual, significant effects are envisaged. There may be some minor residual effects on otters' use of habitats within and adjacent to the Proposed Scheme during construction and decommissioning within the Pipeline Area, with reduced use of the minor watercourses / ditches across this location. Given the condition of these watercourses and their lack of connection to major waterbodies other than The Ouse, no perceptible effects on otters are expected to arise. During the operational phase residual effects are expected to be neutral.

Effects in Combination with Other Plans and Projects

- 5.3.22. As a result of a negative assessment, it is not considered that the Proposed Scheme will act in-combination with those development proposals listed in Table 3.1 above.

Conclusion

- 5.3.23. In the context of the known qualifying features vulnerabilities and autecology, it is possible to conclude that there will be no adverse effects on the integrity of the Humber Estuary

SAC, the River Derwent SAC and the Lower Derwent Valley SAC as a result of impacts upon functionally-linked habitat.

6 EFFECTS OF CHANGES TO AIR QUALITY

6.1 Introduction

- 6.1.1. The numerical threshold for discounting the potentially harmful effects of atmospheric nitrogen ('Critical Loads' and 'Critical Levels') have already been exceeded for many European sites in the UK, particularly in terms of critical loads for nitrogen deposition. This is reflected for the European Sites within 15km of the Proposed Scheme, which all experience baseline nitrogen deposition rates that are within or exceed the site-specific critical load range. Potential outcomes of exceedance include changes in species composition, especially in typically nutrient-poor ecosystems with a shift towards species associated with higher nitrogen availability and a reduction in species richness.

6.2 Relevant European Sites

- 6.2.1. The European sites identified in the screening assessment as sensitive to air quality impacts and the potential impact pathways resulting from the Proposed Scheme are provided in Table 6-1 below. Critical levels for NO_x and NH₃ are presented as concentrations of the pollutant per cubic metre of air. For NO_x, the critical levels are independent of the habitat type at 30 µg/m³; for NH₃, the critical level is 3µg/m³ for higher plants, but this decreases to 1µg/m³ if lower plants (such as bryophytes) are present as a critical part of the ecosystem. Critical levels are set at the concentrations above which significant effects on habitats and associated plant species may occur, according to present knowledge.
- 6.2.2. Critical loads for nitrogen deposition are presented as the deposition rates in kilogrammes of nitrogen per hectare per year. Critical loads are assigned to habitat classes of the European Nature Information System (EUNIS) to enable consistency of habitat terminology and understanding across Europe. They are given as ranges (e.g. 10-20 kgN/ha/yr) which reflect variations in ecosystem response and soil types across Europe. In the assessment, a conservative approach is adopted and impacts are compared to the lower limit of the specified range, unless site-specific assessment determines a different critical load is appropriate.
- 6.2.3. Acidification critical loads are specified through the definition of a critical load function (CLF) which identifies the combinations of sulphur and nitrogen deposition that will not cause harmful effects. These are also presented in kilogrammes per hectare per year, but it is the combination of the sulphur and nitrogen deposition rates which determine whether the critical load for acidification has been exceeded. This is explained in further detail in paragraphs 6.3.30 – 6.3.32 of the ES Air Quality chapter (Examination Library Reference APP-074).
- 6.2.4. Diagram 6-1, below, shows how the CLF for acidification is applied.

Diagram 6-1 - Information provided in the ES




Table 6-1 - Relevant European Sites and Impact Pathways

European Site	European Site Vulnerability / Impact Pathway	Baseline Air Quality Conditions**	Site-specific Critical Loads and Levels*
River Derwent SAC	LSE could not be discounted in the screening assessment as a result of the Proposed Scheme's air emissions during operation. Sections of the SAC are located within 15 km of the Proposed Scheme, and may experience increased air quality emissions as a result of the Proposed Scheme. The SAC is not currently identified as vulnerable to nitrogen deposition in the SIP (Natural England, 2014b; Ref 24) and there is no relevant critical load provided for this riverine habitat.	NO _x = 13.1 – 16.3 (µg/m ³) NH ₃ (µg/m ³) = 2.23 – 2.76 Nitrogen deposition (kgN/ha/yr = 14.7 – 19.18) Acid deposition (N Keq/ha/yr) = 1.05 – 1.37 Acid deposition (S Keq/ha/yr) = 0.25 – 0.29	NO _x = 30µg/m ³ NH ₃ = 3µg/m ³ Nitrogen deposition = none assigned Acid deposition = none assigned
Lower Derwent Valley SAC	LSE could not be discounted in the screening assessment as a result of the Proposed Scheme's air emissions during operation. Sections of the SAC/SPA are located within 15 km of the Proposed Scheme, and may	NO _x (µg/m ³) = 13.1 – 15.3	NO _x = 30µg/m ³
Lower Derwent Valley SPA and Ramsar		NH ₃ (µg/m ³) = 2.42 – 2.81 Nitrogen deposition	NH ₃ = 3µg/m ³ Nitrogen deposition = 20

European Site	European Site Vulnerability / Impact Pathway	Baseline Air Quality Conditions**	Site-specific Critical Loads and Levels*
	experience increased air quality emissions as a result of the Proposed Scheme. The SAC/SPA is identified as vulnerable to nitrogen deposition in the SIP (Natural England, 2014c; Ref 25) and is currently in exceedance of the site-relevant critical load.	(kgN/ha/yr = 17.9 – 21.0) Acid deposition (N Keq/ha/yr) = 1.37 – 1.50 Acid deposition (S Keq/ha/yr) = 0.28 – 0.30	(min) 30 (max) Acid deposition = 0.856 (CLminN); 4.856 (CLmaxN); and 4.0 (CLmaxS)
Skipwith Common SAC	LSE could not be discounted in the screening assessment as a result of the Proposed Scheme's air emissions during operation. Sections of the SAC are located within 15 km of the Proposed Scheme, and may experience increased air quality emissions as a result of the Proposed Scheme. The SAC is identified as vulnerable to nitrogen deposition in the SIP (Natural England 2014d; Ref 26) and is currently in exceedance of the site-relevant critical load.	NO _x (µg/m ³) = 13.8 – 14.8 NH ₃ (µg/m ³) = 2.34 – 2.42 Nitrogen deposition (kgN/ha/yr) = 19.2 Acid deposition (N Keq/ha/yr) = 1.37 Acid deposition (S Keq/ha/yr) = 0.28 – 0.29	NO _x = 30µg/m ³ NH ₃ = 1µg/m ³ Nitrogen deposition = 10 (min) 10 (max) Acid deposition = 0.642 (CLminN); 1.524 (CLmaxN); and 0.810 (CLmaxS)
Humber Estuary SAC Humber Estuary SPA and Ramsar	LSE could not be discounted in the screening assessment as a result of the Proposed Scheme's air emissions during operation. Sections of the SAC/SPA/Ramsar site are located within 15 km of the Proposed Scheme, and may experience increased air quality emissions as a result of the Proposed Scheme. The SAC/SPA is identified as vulnerable to nitrogen deposition in the SIP (Natural England 2014f; Ref 28) and is currently in exceedance of the site-relevant critical load.	NO _x (µg/m ³) = 15.0 – 23.2 NH ₃ (µg/m ³) = 2.09 – 2.92 Nitrogen deposition (kgN/ha/yr) = 17.9 – 20.7 Acid deposition (N Keq/ha/yr) = 1.27 – 1.48 Acid deposition (S Keq/ha/yr) = 0.28 – 0.29	NO _x = 30µg/m ³ NH ₃ = 3µg/m ³ Nitrogen deposition = 20 - 30 Acid deposition = not sensitive

European Site	European Site Vulnerability / Impact Pathway	Baseline Air Quality Conditions**	Site-specific Critical Loads and Levels*
Thorne Moor SAC	LSE could not be discounted in the screening assessment as a result of the Proposed Scheme's air emissions during operation. Sections of the SAC/SPA are located within 15 km of the Proposed Scheme, and may experience increased air quality emissions as a result of the Proposed Scheme. The SAC/SPA is identified as vulnerable to nitrogen deposition in the SIP (Natural England, 2014e; Ref 27) and is currently in exceedance of the site-relevant critical load.	<p>NO_x (µg/m³) = 15.1 – 18.6</p> <p>NH₃ (µg/m³) = 1.43 – 2.39</p> <p>Nitrogen deposition (kgN/ha/yr) = 14.7 – 18.9</p> <p>Acid deposition (N Keq/ha/yr) = 1.05 – 1.35</p> <p>Acid deposition (S Keq/ha/yr) = 0.25 – 0.26</p>	<p>NO_x = 30µg/m³</p> <p>NH₃ = 1µg/m³</p> <p>Nitrogen deposition = 5 - 10</p> <p>Acid deposition = 0.321 (CLminN); 0.462 (CLmaxN); and 0.141 (CLmaxS)</p>

* As taken from Tables 6-8 and 6-9 in the ES Air Quality chapter. In most instances, the air quality assessment has used the critical load or level with the lowest (i.e. most stringent) value, in line with the precautionary principle. Less stringent critical loads or levels have only been used where evidence suggests this is appropriate – for example where citation information reports that the most sensitive feature only occurs in parts of the European Site in excess of 15km from the Proposed Scheme.

** As taken from Table 6-12 in the ES Air Quality Chapter.

6.3 Information to Inform Appropriate Assessment

- 6.3.1. Table 6-1 and the accompanying text above and Chapter 6 of the ES, Air Quality describe the current baseline for the European sites assessed in this report for air quality impacts.

Potential Effects of Changes to Baseline Resulting from the Construction and Operation of the Proposed Scheme

- 6.3.2. A qualitative assessment of construction dust during the construction of the Proposed Scheme was undertaken as part of the air quality assessment, reported in the ES Chapter 6 (Air Quality). This was informed by a specific Construction Dust Assessment, which forms Appendix 6.2 of the ES Air Quality chapter. The assessment concluded that there were no European Sites sufficiently close to the Proposed Scheme, that they could experience significant construction dust impacts. As such, construction phase dust impacts would not lead to any LSE or adverse effects on the integrity of any European Site.
- 6.3.3. A quantitative assessment of emissions of NO_x, NO₂, ammonia (NH₃), CO, SO₂, PM₁₀ and HCl from the operation of the Power Station Site was also undertaken to inform the Proposed Scheme's ES (Chapter 6 (Air Quality)). The assessment considered both OCGT

and CCGT operation of the proposed Gas Generating Stations. Furthermore, with CCGT operation, operation without and with the use of exhaust gas treatment to reduce NO_x emissions (Selective Catalytic Reduction, SCR) was modelled.

- 6.3.4. It should be noted that under those scenarios where SCR is not used, no NH₃ would be emitted by the Proposed Scheme during operation.
- 6.3.5. To ensure a realistic worst-case scenario, the generating units were assumed to run at full load continuously. Combined cycle is the more likely operating scenario and the results presented in the ES Air Quality chapter for operation without exhaust gas treatment assume operation at all times in this mode. This is the worst-case scenario for emissions-related impacts on designated sites without exhaust gas treatment. For operation with exhaust gas treatment, to meet the ammonia emissions budget cap (of 120 tonnes NH₃ per year), the plant is assumed to operate in open cycle for 1,500 hours and the remainder in combined cycle. This is the worst-case scenario for emissions-related impacts on designated sites with exhaust gas treatment. The atmospheric emissions from the operation of the Proposed Scheme were quantified by obtaining information from relevant plant suppliers.
- 6.3.6. The air quality modelling methodology and the original results for each of the different modelled scenarios are presented in Sections 6.3 and 6.5 of the ES Air Quality chapter respectively. Tables 6-18 to 6-22 of the ES Air Quality chapter (Examination Library Reference APP-074) set out the realistic worst case impacts from the Proposed Scheme alone on designated sites, including European Sites. Tables 6-23 to 6-27 of the ES Air Quality chapter set out the realistic worst case cumulative air quality impacts of the Proposed Scheme, which has been used to inform the assessment of in-combination air quality effects on European Sites. This version of the HRA Report has been updated to reflect the revised air quality modelling submitted in the Applicant's Air Quality Technical Note, submitted at Deadline 5 (Examination Library Reference REP5-019) (to reflect the Applicant's non-material amendment application set out in the Assessment of Non-Material Amendments to Proposed Scheme, REP3-022). The changes in the air quality modelling outputs are very minor, and have no material effect on the conclusions of the HRA Report. Further detail on the air quality modelling revisions is presented in the Applicant's Air Quality Technical Note. The findings of the air quality modelling for each scenario are presented and referred to in the following sections of this report, where appropriate.
- 6.3.7. The Air Quality modelling includes a quantitative assessment of potential cumulative effects from emissions of NO_x and ammonia from the Eggborough Power Station and Thorpe Marsh Power Station. These developments were included in the quantitative air quality modelling as it was concluded that Eggborough and Thorpe Marsh Power Stations were the only processes with significant potential for in-combination air quality impacts with the Proposed Scheme on ecological receptors from those detailed in Table 3.1. In addition to those short-listed developments within 15 km, due to their scale and nature, Knottingley Power Project and Ferrybridge D CCGT (located beyond 15 km from the Proposed Scheme but located within 15 km of European Sites located within 15 km of the Proposed Scheme) have been considered qualitatively within the air quality assessment.
- 6.3.8. For the purpose of this HRA, the realistic worst-case outcomes from the air quality assessment are presented only (rather than detailed analysis of all potential scenarios) and

these have been taken forward for the conclusions made with regard to adverse effects below. It follows that where it can be concluded that there would be no adverse effect for the worst-case scenario assessed, any scenario generating reduced levels of emissions, would also not lead to adverse effects. Full analysis of each scenario is presented in the ES Chapter 6 (Air Quality), Section 6.5. The analysis and conclusions of the air quality modelling have not been materially affected by the revisions to the air quality modelling submitted at Deadline 5, see Examination Library Reference REP5-019.

- 6.3.9. The results of the air quality modelling for European Sites are presented in Tables 6-2 to 6-11, below.

Table 6-2 - Maximum Operational Impact at Ecological Receptors – Annual Mean NH₃

Receptor	Critical Level	Back-ground (µg/m ³)	PC (µg/m ³)	PC as % of Obj.	PEC (µg/m ³)	PEC as % of Obj.
Scenario A1 – Combined cycle operation with low NO _x emissions (50mg/m ³)						
River Derwent SAC	3	2.76	0.00	0.0%	2.76	92%
Lower Derwent SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	3	2.81	0.00	0.0%	2.81	94%
Thorne Moor SAC & Thorne and Hatfield Moor SPA	1	2.39	0.00	0.0%	2.39	239%
Skipwith Common SAC	1	2.42	0.00	0.0%	2.42	242%
Humber Est. SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	3	2.92	0.00	0.0%	2.92	97%
Scenario B – Combined cycle operation with SCR (NO _x emissions at 30mg/Nm ³)						
River Derwent SAC	3	2.76	0.03	1.1%	2.79	93%
Lower Derwent SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	3	2.81	0.02	0.6%	2.83	94%
Thorne Moor SAC & Thorne and Hatfield Moor SPA	1	2.39	0.00	0.4%	2.39	239%
Skipwith Common SAC	1	2.42	0.00	0.4%	2.42	242%
Humber Est. SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	3	2.92	0.01	0.3%	2.93	98%

Table 6-3 - Maximum Operational Impact at Ecological Receptors – Annual Mean NO_x

Receptor	Critical Level	Back-ground (µg/m ³)	PC (µg/m ³)	PC as % of Obj.	PEC (µg/m ³)	PEC as % of Obj.
Scenario A1 – Combined cycle operation with low NO _x emissions (50mg/m ³)						
River Derwent SAC	30	16.3	2.10	6.8%	18.3	61%
Lower Derwent SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	30	15.3	1.20	4.1%	16.5	55%
Thorne Moor SAC and Thorne and Hatfield Moors SPA	30	18.6	0.3	1.0%	18.9	63%
Skipwith Common SAC	30	14.8	0.30	1.00%	15.0	50%
Humber Est. SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	30	23.2	0.5	1.8%	23.7	79%
Scenario B – Combined cycle operation with SCR (NO _x emissions at 30mg/Nm ³)						
River Derwent SAC	30	16.3	1.1	3.5%	17.3	58%
Lower Derwent SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	30	15.3	0.6	2.1%	15.9	53%
Thorne Moor SAC and Thorne and Hatfield Moors SPA	30	18.6	0.2	0.5%	18.7	62%
Skipwith Common SAC	30	14.8	0.2	0.5%	14.9	50%
Humber Est. SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	30	23.2	0.3	0.9%	23.5	78%

Table 6-4 - Maximum Operational Impact at Ecological Receptors – Daily Mean NO_x

Receptor	Critical Level	Back-ground (µg/m ³)	PC (µg/m ³)	PC as % of Obj.	PEC (µg/m ³)	PEC as % of Obj.
Scenario A1 – Combined cycle operation with low NO _x emissions (50mg/m ³)						
River Derwent SAC	75	32.5	35.5	47%	68.0	91%
Lower Derwent SAC	75	30.6	16.6	22%	47.2	63%
Thorne Moor SAC & Thorne and Hatfield Moors SPA	75	37.1	8.0	11%	45.1	60%
Skipwith Common SAC	75	29.5	6.8	9%	36.3	48%
Humber Est. SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	75	46.4	9.1	12%	55.5	74%
Scenario B – Combined cycle operation with SCR (NO _x emissions at 30mg/Nm ³)						
River Derwent SAC	75	32.5	21.6	29%	54.1	72%
Lower Derwent SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	75	30.6	12.6	17%	43.2	58%
Thorne Moor SAC and Thorne and Hatfield Moors SPA	75	37.1	5.7	8%	42.8	57%
Skipwith Common SAC	75	29.5	4.7	6. %	34.2	46%
Humber Est. SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	75	46.4	6.3	8. %	52.7	70%

Table 6-5 - Maximum Operational Impact at Ecological Receptors – Nitrogen Deposition

Receptor	Critical Load	Back-ground (kgN/ha/yr)	PC (kgN/ha/yr)	PC as % of CL	PEC (kgN/ha/yr)	PEC as % of CL
Scenario A1 – Combined cycle operation with low NOx emissions (50mg/m ³)						
River Derwent SAC	No critical load set					
Lower Derwent SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	20	21.0	0.11	0.6%	21.1	106%
Thorne Moor SAC and Thorne and Hatfield Moors SPA	5	19.2	0.03	0.6%	19.2	384%
Skipwith Common SAC	10	19.2	0.03	0.3%	19.2	192%
Humber Est. SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	20	20.7	0.05	0.3%	20.8	104%
Scenario B – Combined cycle operation with SCR (NOx emissions at 30mg/Nm ³)						
River Derwent SAC	No critical load set					
Lower Derwent SAC	20	21.0	0.15	0.8%	21.2	106%
Thorne Moor SAC and Thorne and Hatfield Moors SPA	5	19.2	0.04	0.8%	19.2	384%
Skipwith Common SAC	10	19.2	0.04	0.4%	19.2	192%
Humber Est. SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	20	20.7	0.07	0.3%	20.8	104%

Table 6-6 - Maximum Operational Impact at Ecological Receptors – Acid Deposition

Receptor	Critical Load	Back-ground (kgN/ha/yr)	PC (kgN/ha/yr)	PC as % of CL	PEC (kgN/ha/yr)	PEC as % of CL
Scenario A1 – Combined cycle operation with low NOx emissions (50mg/m³)						
River Derwent SAC	No critical load set					
Lower Derwent SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	4.856	1.50	0.008	0.2%	1.51	31%
Thorne Moor SAC and Thorne and Hatfield Moors SPA	0.462	1.37	0.002	0.5%	1.37	297%
Skipwith Common SAC	0.820	1.37	0.002	0.2%	1.37	167%
Humber Est. SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	Not sensitive					
Scenario B – Combined cycle operation with SCR (NOx emissions at 30mg/Nm³)						
River Derwent SAC	No critical load set					
Lower Derwent SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	4.856	1.50	0.011	0.2%	1.51	31%
Thorne Moor SAC and Thorne and Hatfield Moor SPA	0.462	1.37	0.003	0.6%	1.37	297%
Skipwith Common SAC	0.820	1.37	0.003	0.3%	1.37	167%
Humber Est. SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	Not sensitive					

Table 6-7 - Maximum Cumulative Operational Impact at Ecological Receptors – Annual Mean NH₃

Receptor	Critical Level	Back-ground (µg/m ³)	PC (µg/m ³)	PC as % of Obj.	PEC (µg/m ³)	PEC as % of Obj.
Scenario C – Combined cycle operation with low NOx emissions (50mg/m ³)						
River Derwent SAC	3	2.76	0.00	0.0%	2.76	92%
Lower Derwent SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	3	2.81	0.00	0.0%	2.81	94%
Thorne Moor SAC and Thorne and Hatfield Moor SPA	1	2.39	0.00	0.0%	2.39	239%
Skipwith Common SAC	1	2.42	0.00	0.0%	2.42	242%
Humber Est. SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	3	2.92	0.00	0.0%	2.92	97%
Scenario D – Combined cycle operation with SCR (NOx emissions at 30mg/Nm ³)						
River Derwent SAC	3	2.76	0.06	2.0%	2.82	94%
Lower Derwent SAC	3	2.81	0.04	1.4%	2.85	95%
Thorne Moor SAC and Thorne and Hatfield Moors SPA	1	2.39	0.01	1.3%	2.40	240%
Skipwith Common SAC	1	2.42	0.03	2.7%	2.45	245%
Humber Est. SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	3	2.92	0.02	0.7%	2.94	98%

Table 6-8 - Maximum Cumulative Operational Impact at Ecological Receptors – Annual Mean NO_x

Receptor	Critical Level	Back-ground (µg/m ³)	PC (µg/m ³)	PC as % of Obj.	PEC (µg/m ³)	PEC as % of Obj.
Scenario C – Combined cycle operation with low NO _x emissions (50mg/m ³)						
River Derwent SAC	30	16.3	2.7	9.0%	18.9	63%
Lower Derwent SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	30	15.3	1.8	6.0%	17.1	57%
Thorne Moor SAC and Thorne and Hatfield Moors SPA	30	18.6	0.9	2.9%	19.4	65%
Skipwith Common SAC	30	14.8	0.8	2.6%	15.5	52%
Humber Est. SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	30	23.2	1.0	3.4%	24.2	81%
Scenario D – Combined cycle operation with SCR (NO _x emissions at 30mg/Nm ³)						
River Derwent SAC	30	16.3	1.5	5.1%	17.8	59%
Lower Derwent SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	30	15.3	1.0	3.5%	16.3	55%
Thorne Moor SAC and Thorne and Hatfield Moor SPA	30	18.6	0.7	2.2%	19.2	64%
Skipwith Common SAC	30	14.8	0.5	1.7%	15.3	51%
Humber Est. SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	30	23.2	0.7	2.3%	23.9	80%

Table 6-9 - Maximum Cumulative Operational Impact at Ecological Receptors – Daily Mean NO_x

Receptor	Critical Level	Back-ground (µg/m ³)	PC (µg/m ³)	PC as % of Obj.	PEC (µg/m ³)	PEC as % of Obj.
Scenario C – Combined cycle operation with low NO _x emissions (50mg/m ³)						
River Derwent SAC	75	32.5	35.5	47%	68.0	91%
Lower Derwent SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	75	30.6	16.6	22%	47.3	63%
Thorne Moor SAC and Thorne and Hatfield Moor SPA	75	37.1	8.6	11%	45.7	61%
Skipwith Common SAC	75	29.5	7.1	9%	36.6	49%
Humber Est. SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	75	46.4	10.0	13%	56.4	75%
Scenario D – Combined cycle operation with SCR (NO _x emissions at 30mg/Nm ³)						
River Derwent SAC	75	32.5	21.6	29%	54.1	72%
Lower Derwent SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	75	30.6	12.6	17%	43.2	58%
Thorne Moor SAC and Thorne and Hatfield Moor SPA	75	37.1	6.1	8%	43.2	58%
Skipwith Common SAC	75	29.5	5.0	7%	34.5	46%
Humber Est. SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	75	46.4	6.9	9%	53.3	71%

Table 6-10 - Maximum Cumulative Operational Impact at Ecological Receptors – Nitrogen Deposition

Receptor	Critical Level	Back-ground (µg/m ³)	PC (µg/m ³)	PC as % of Obj.	PEC (µg/m ³)	PEC as % of Obj.
Scenario C – Combined cycle operation with low NOx emissions (50mg/m ³)						
River Derwent SAC	No critical load set					
Lower Derwent SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	20	21.0	0.17	0.9%	21.2	106%
Thorne Moor SAC and Thorne and Hatfield Moor SPA	5	19.2	0.09	1.7%	19.3	385%
Skipwith Common SAC	10	19.2	0.08	0.8%	19.3	193%
Humber Est. SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	20	20.7	0.10	0.5%	20.8	104%
Scenario D – Combined cycle operation with SCR (NOx emissions at 30mg/Nm ³)						
River Derwent SAC	No critical load set					
Lower Derwent SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	20	21.0	0.32	1.6%	21.3	107%
Thorne Moor SAC and Thorne and Hatfield Moor SPA	5	19.2	0.13	2.6%	19.3	386%
Skipwith Common SAC	10	19.2	0.19	1.9%	19.4	194%
Humber Est. SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	20	20.7	0.17	0.9%	20.9	104%

Table 6-11 - Maximum Cumulative Operational Impact at Ecological Receptors – Acid Deposition

Receptor	Critical Load	Back-ground (kgN/ha/yr)	PC (kgN/ha/yr)	PC as % of CL	PEC (kgN/ha/yr)	PEC as % of CL
Scenario C – Combined cycle operation with low NOx emissions (50mg/m³)						
River Derwent SAC	No critical load set					
Lower Derwent SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	4.856	1.50	0.012	0.3%	1.51	31%
Thorne Moor SAC and Thorne and Hatfield Moors SPA	0.462	1.37	0.006	1.3%	1.38	298%
Skipwith Common SAC	0.820	1.37	0.005	0.7%	1.38	168%
Humber Est. SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	Not sensitive					
Scenario D – Combined cycle operation with SCR (NOx emissions at 30mg/Nm³)						
River Derwent SAC	No critical load set					
Lower Derwent SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	4.856	1.50	0.023	0.5%	1.52	31%
Thorne Moor SAC and Thorne and Hatfield Moors SPA	0.462	1.37	0.009	2.0%	1.38	299%
Skipwith Common SAC	0.820	1.37	0.013	1.6%	1.38	169%
Humber Est. SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	Not sensitive					

NOx critical levels – all sites

- 6.3.10. Emissions from the Proposed Scheme operating both with and without SCR increase NOx levels over all the European Sites considered. Where the Proposed Scheme (either alone or in-combination with other plans or projects) would increase NOx levels by less than 1% of critical level, this is considered insignificant on purely numerical grounds. These impacts are not considered to lead to LSE. Equally, where the PEC would be less than 70%, regardless of the magnitude of change, this is also considered insignificant on purely numerical grounds.
- 6.3.11. It should be noted that the main impact of increases in ambient concentrations of NOx is as a precursor to increasing the rate of deposition of nitrogen onto habitats. Detailed assessment of nitrogen deposition impacts is included in the subsequent sections of this report. It has been concluded that the impacts of nitrogen deposition would not lead to adverse effects on the integrity of any European Site. Given that there would be no exceedances of the critical level at any European Site either alone or in combination, changes in NOx levels are not predicted to lead to any adverse effects on any European Sites.
- 6.3.12. Predicted NOx impacts for each European Site are summarised in table 6-12 below.

Table 6-12 – Summary of changes in NOx levels (cumulative)

Designated Site	Maximum PC Annual Mean,% of Obj.	Maximum PEC Annual Mean % of Obj.	Maximum PC Daily Mean, % of Obj.	Maximum PEC Daily Mean % of Obj.
River Derwent SAC	9%	63%	47%	91%
Lower Derwent Valley (SAC/ SPA / Ramsar)	6.0%	57%	22%	63%
Thorne Moor SAC & Thorne and Hatfield Moors SPA	2.9%	65%	11%	61%
Skipwith Common SAC	2.6%	52%	9%	49%
Humber Estuary (SAC / SPA / Ramsar)	3.4%	81%	13%	75%

Deposition and ammonia concentration impacts (Proposed Scheme alone)

River Derwent SAC

- 6.3.13. The air quality modelling demonstrated that the Proposed Scheme alone would not lead to any exceedances of air quality standards for NO_x or NH₃ concentrations. An extract of the air quality modelling results for the River Derwent is presented in Tables 6-2 to 6-10, above. There is a maximum predicted impact for NH₃ of 1.1% of the critical level (see Table 6-2). This is considered to be analogous with an impact of 1% of critical level, due to the inherent uncertainty and conservatism built into the air quality modelling.
- 6.3.14. The River Derwent (and the hydrologically connected downstream River Ouse) is not considered to be sensitive to the effects of nitrogen deposition and associated acidification, due to the rivers water quality. Environment Agency (EA) monitoring data indicates that the River Derwent is heavily phosphate limited (see Appendix 4). The EA monitoring data also indicates that the River Ouse is phosphate-limited. In phosphate limited systems, additional inputs of nitrogen have limited effects on plant productivity, as phosphate is the primary limiting nutrient. As such, additional inputs would be unlikely to lead to any perceptible eutrophication effects on SAC freshwater habitats.
- 6.3.15. It is to be noted that despite the significant ongoing inputs of nitrogen to the River Derwent SAC from other, pre-existing sources, the constituent SSSI Units of the River Derwent SAC (River Derwent SSSI and Newton Mask SSSI) within 15 km of the Site Boundary, were all assessed as being in 'favourable', 'unfavourable recovering' or 'unfavourable no change' condition when last assessed. The SSSI condition assessment reports identify that the botanical diversity of the SSSI appears to remain similar to that observed during previous botanical surveys and assessments of the Site. This suggests that existing high levels of nutrient nitrogen input are likely to be having a limited if any effect on habitats within the SAC.
- 6.3.16. In light of the information presented above, no adverse effects to the integrity of the SAC are predicted.

Lower Derwent Valley SAC

- 6.3.17. The air quality modelling shows that the Proposed Scheme will not lead to any exceedances of AQ standards for NO_x or NH₃ concentrations. Furthermore, the Proposed Scheme alone will not lead to significant nitrogen or acid deposition onto the Lower Derwent Valley SAC. There is a maximum modelled process contribution of 0.8% and 0.2% for nitrogen and acid deposition respectively. The process contribution from the Proposed Scheme also reduces with increasing distance from the stacks. For example, the maximum process contribution for nitrogen deposition onto the Brighton Meadows SSSI component of the SAC (the closest part of the site), is predicted to be 0.8%. The maximum process contribution for nitrogen deposition onto the Derwent Ings SSSI component of the SAC (approximately 2 km further north than Brighton Meadows SSSI), is predicted to be 0.5%.
- 6.3.18. In light of the information presented above, no adverse effects to the integrity of the SAC are predicted.

Lower Derwent Valley SPA and Ramsar

- 6.3.19. The air quality modelling shows that the Proposed Scheme will not lead to any exceedances of AQ standards for NO_x or NH₃ concentrations. The Proposed Scheme alone will not lead to significant nitrogen or acid deposition onto the Lower Derwent Valley SPA. There is a maximum modelled process contribution of 0.8% and 0.2% for nitrogen and acid deposition respectively (see Table 6.5 and 6.6 of this report, respectively). The process contribution from the Proposed Scheme also reduces with increasing distance from the stacks. For example, the maximum process contribution for nitrogen deposition onto the Brighton Meadows SSSI component of the SPA (the closest part of the site), is predicted to be 0.8%; the maximum process contribution for nitrogen deposition onto the Derwent Ings SSSI component of the SPA (approximately 2 km further north than Brighton Meadows SSSI), is predicted to be 0.5%.
- 6.3.20. In light of the information presented above, no adverse effects to the integrity of the SAC are predicted.

Skipwith Common SAC

- 6.3.21. The air quality modelling shows that the Proposed Scheme would make a minor contribution to an existing exceedance of the critical level for annual mean NH₃ concentrations when operating with SCR (see Table 6-2 of this report). The Proposed Scheme would generate a maximum Process Contribution of 0.4% of the critical level for NH₃. This is in the context of an existing exceedance of 242% of critical level, with the Proposed Scheme contributing the equivalent of up to 0.17% of background levels. There are no exceedances of critical levels for NO_x, see Tables 6-3 and 6-4 of this report). The Proposed Scheme will not lead to significant nitrogen or acid deposition onto Skipwith Common SAC. There is a maximum modelled process contribution of 0.4% and 0.3% for nitrogen and acid deposition respectively (see Table 6-5 and 6-6). The process contribution also reduces with increasing distance from the Proposed Scheme.
- 6.3.22. In light of the information presented above, no adverse effects to the integrity of the SAC are predicted.

Thorne Moor SAC

- 6.3.23. ES Chapter 6 (Air Quality) sets out the methodology and results of air quality dispersion modelling of the Proposed Scheme. This includes quantification of potential air quality impacts on designated ecological sites, including Natura 2000 Sites. Tables 6.2 to 6.6 set out the predicted numerical air quality impacts of the Proposed Scheme, These include the predicted impact of the Proposed Scheme on levels of Nitrous Oxides (NO_x), ammonia (NH₃), nitrogen deposition and acidification.
- 6.3.24. The air quality modelling shows that the Proposed Scheme would make a minor contribution to an existing exceedance of the critical level for annual mean NH₃ concentrations when operating with SCR (see Table 6-2 of this report). The Proposed Scheme would generate a maximum Process Contribution of 0.4% of the critical level for NH₃. This is in the context of an existing exceedance of 239% of critical level, with the process contribution from the Proposed Scheme equivalent to approximately 0.2% of background levels. There are no exceedances of critical levels for NO_x (see Tables 6-3 and 6-4). The Proposed Scheme

would not lead to significant nitrogen or acid deposition onto Thorne Moor SAC. There is a maximum modelled process contribution of 0.8% and 0.6% for nitrogen and acid deposition respectively (see Tables 6-5 and 6-6 respectively). The process contribution also reduces with increasing distance from the Proposed Scheme.

- 6.3.25. In light of the information presented above, no adverse effects to the integrity of the SAC are predicted.

Thorne and Hatfield Moor SPA

- 6.3.26. The air quality modelling shows that the Proposed Scheme would make a minor contribution to an existing exceedance of the critical level for annual mean NH_3 concentrations when operating with SCR, (see Tables 6-2). The Proposed Scheme would generate a maximum Process Contribution of 0.4% of the critical level for NH_3 . This is in the context of an existing exceedance of 239% of critical level, with the process contribution from the Proposed Scheme equivalent to approximately 0.2% of background levels. There are no exceedances of critical levels for NO_x see Tables 6-3 and 6-4. The Proposed Scheme would not lead to significant nitrogen or acid deposition onto Thorne and Hatfield Moor SPA. There is a maximum modelled process contribution of 0.8% and 0.6% for nitrogen and acid deposition respectively (see Tables 6-5 and 6-6, respectively). The process contribution also reduces with increasing distance from the Proposed Scheme.

- 6.3.27. In light of the information presented above, no adverse effects to the integrity of the SPA are predicted.

Humber Estuary SAC, SPA and Ramsar site

- 6.3.28. The air quality modelling shows that the Proposed Scheme will not lead to any exceedances of AQ standards for NO_x or NH_3 concentrations (see Tables 6-2, 6-3, and 6-4). The Proposed Scheme will not lead to significant nitrogen or acid deposition onto the Humber Estuary. There is a maximum modelled process contribution of 0.3% of critical load for nitrogen deposition and the Humber Estuary habitats are not considered to be sensitive to acidification (see Table 6-5 and 6-6 of this report, respectively). The process contribution from the Proposed Scheme also reduces with increasing distance from the Proposed Scheme, with the 0.3% of critical load the modelled process contribution at the point of greatest impact.

Avoidance and Mitigation Measures

- 6.3.29. The Proposed Scheme allows for primary mitigation of impacts during operation through the control of NO_x emissions. Two scenarios have been considered for the control of NO_x emissions:
- Combustion Control – Low NO_x emissions ($<50\text{mg}/\text{Nm}^3$ in the exhaust gases) can be achieved via optimisation of the combustion process in the Gas Generating Stations. This reduces the maximum efficiency of the units slightly but does not require exhaust gas treatment.
 - Exhaust Gas Treatment – The use of exhaust gas treatment such as SCR can further reduce NO_x emissions ($<30\text{mg}/\text{Nm}^3$) but may result in emissions of ammonia where unreacted ammonia passes through the system (so called ‘ammonia slip’). To mitigate the impacts of the use of treatments such as SCR whilst maintaining operational flexibility

and allowing for future technological improvements, the Proposed Scheme is based on an annual emissions ceiling of 120 tonnes of ammonia.

Efficacy of Mitigation Measures and Residual Effects

- 6.3.30. During operation, the realistic worst-case scenarios assessed (with or without SCR, as set out in section 3.2) have been taken into account in the assessment. The residual effects are discussed in both the alone and in-combination assessment sections.
- 6.3.31. The contribution of the Proposed Scheme, whether assessed alone or in combination with other industrial processes, is largely insignificant and a relatively small proportion of the total deposition. The risk of exceedance of critical loads and the level of exceedance of the critical loads is a function of the rates of background deposition rather than the result of the operation of the Proposed Scheme. In other words, the Proposed Scheme would make no difference to the exceedance of critical loads and levels for the European Sites within 15km of the Proposed Scheme.

Effects in Combination with Other Plans and Projects

River Derwent SAC

- 6.3.32. As a result of a negative assessment, it is not considered that the Proposed Scheme will act in-combination with those projects and plans listed in Table 3.1 above. Whilst the cumulative impacts on NH₃ concentrations exceed the 1% screening criteria, the cumulative PEC would be a maximum of 92% of critical level. Given the cumulative impact would not exceed the critical level, no adverse effects on the integrity of the SAC are predicted. The River Derwent and River Ouse are both phosphate-limited and hence are considered insensitive to additional inputs of nitrogen (see Appendix 4). As such, additional inputs of nitrogen via cumulative nitrogen deposition are not predicted to lead to any perceptible eutrophication effects on the SAC.

Lower Derwent Valley SAC

- 6.3.33. The maximum predicted cumulative impact of the Proposed Scheme would be 1.4% of critical level for NH₃. There would be no exceedance of the critical level, with a maximum predicted concentration equivalent to 95% of critical level for NH₃. As there would be no exceedance of critical level, plus remaining headroom equivalent to 5% of critical level, no adverse effects on the integrity of the European Site are predicted.
- 6.3.34. The maximum predicted cumulative impact of the Proposed Scheme would be 1.6% of critical load for nitrogen deposition and 0.5% for acidification (see Tables 6-10 and 6-11). The cumulative acid deposition impact is predicted to lead to a *de minimus* in-combination effect, which will lead to no perceptible vegetative change of SAC habitats. The cumulative impacts on nitrogen deposition exceed 1% of critical load. The cumulative nitrogen deposition impact reduces with increasing distance from site. Whilst a maximum impact of 1.6% of critical load is predicted over the Brighton Meadows SSSI component of the SAC, the maximum impact over the more distant Derwent Ings SSSI component is 1.4%. With the Proposed Scheme contribution declining further with increasing distance from the Proposed Scheme. The Brighton Meadows SSSI has an area of 38.79 ha, representing approximately 4.2% by area of the SAC.

- 6.3.35. It is to be noted that despite the significant ongoing inputs of nitrogen to the SAC from other, pre-existing sources, the constituent SSSI Units of the Lower Derwent SAC (Brighton Meadows SSSI and Derwent Ings SSSI) within 15km of the Site, were all assessed as being in 'favourable' or 'unfavourable recovering' condition when last assessed. A copy of the last SSSI unit condition assessment is provided in Appendix 3. 92.86% of the Brighton Meadows SSSI was reported as being in 'favourable' condition, with the remaining 7.14% recorded as being in 'unfavourable – recovering' condition. For the Derwent Ings SSSI, 59.7% of the SSSI units are reported to be in 'favourable' condition, with the remaining 40.3% of the SSSI units in 'unfavourable – recovering' condition. The SSSI condition assessment reports identify that the botanical diversity of the SSSI appears to remain similar to that observed during previous botanical surveys and assessments of the Site. This suggests that there are no evident adverse effects of background deposition levels on the SAC habitats in the context of restoration efforts at the site.
- 6.3.36. The contribution of the Proposed Scheme, whether assessed alone or in combination with other industrial processes, is largely insignificant and a relatively small proportion of the total deposition. The risk of exceedance of critical loads and the level of exceedance of the critical loads is a function of the rates of background deposition rather than the result of the operation of the Proposed Scheme. In other words, the Proposed Scheme would make no difference to the exceedance of critical loads and levels for the European Sites within 15 km of the Proposed Scheme.
- 6.3.37. Taking into account the conservatism built into the air quality assessment including:
- Continuous full load operation for the year.
 - 70% conversion of NO_x to NO₂.
 - Assessment of maximum impacts anywhere in a designated site, irrespective of area represented by the maximum and the presence of particular habitats.
 - Assessment against the lower threshold of recommended critical loads.
 - Assessment of maximum impacts across 5 modelled years.
 - Emissions continually at the limit set in the IED / Bref Conclusions and or recommended emissions ceiling.
- 6.3.38. The impacts of the Proposed Scheme both alone and in combination with other relevant development proposals will be small overall and likely imperceptible.
- 6.3.39. In light of the information presented above, no adverse effects to the integrity of the Lower Derwent Valley SAC are predicted to arise.

Lower Derwent Valley SPA and Ramsar

- 6.3.40. The maximum predicted cumulative impact of the Proposed Scheme would be 1.4% of critical level for NH₃. There would be no exceedance of the critical level, with a maximum predicted concentration equivalent to 95% of critical level for NH₃. As there would be no exceedance of critical level, plus remaining headroom equivalent to 5% of critical level, no adverse effects on the integrity of the European Site are predicted.
- 6.3.41. The maximum predicted cumulative impact of the Proposed Scheme would be 1.6% for nitrogen deposition and 0.3% for acidification (see Tables 6-10 and 6-11) for the neutral grassland habitats assessed. The cumulative acid deposition impact is predicted to lead to a

de minimus in-combination effect, which would lead to no perceptible vegetative change of SPA habitats. The cumulative impacts on nitrogen deposition therefore exceed 1% of critical load. The cumulative nitrogen deposition impact reduces with increasing distance from site. Whilst a maximum impact of 1.6% of critical load (Process Contribution from the Proposed Scheme up to 0.6%) is predicted over the Brighton Meadows SSSI component of the SPA, the maximum impact over the more distant Derwent Ings SSSI component is 1.4% (Process Contribution from the Proposed Scheme up to 0.4%), with the Process Contribution from the Proposed Scheme declining further with increasing distance from the Proposed Scheme. The Brighton Meadows SSSI has an area of 38.79 ha, representing approximately 4.2% by area of the SPA.

- 6.3.42. It is to be noted that despite the significant ongoing inputs of nitrogen to the SAC from other, pre-existing sources, the constituent SSSI Units of the Lower Derwent SPA (Brighton Meadows SSSI and Derwent Ings SSSI) within 15 km of the Site, were all assessed as being in 'favourable' or 'unfavourable recovering' condition when last assessed. A copy of the last SSSI unit condition assessment is provided in the Appendix 3. 92.86% of the Brighton Meadows SSSI was reported as being in 'favourable' condition, with the remaining 7.14% recorded as being in 'unfavourable – recovering' condition. For the Derwent Ings SSSI, 59.7% of the SSSI units are reported to be in 'favourable' condition, with the remaining 40.3% of the SSSI units in 'unfavourable – recovering' condition. The SSSI condition assessment reports identify that the botanical diversity of the SSSI appears to remain similar to that observed during previous botanical surveys and assessments. This suggests that there are no evident effects of background deposition levels on the SAC habitats in the context of restoration efforts.
- 6.3.43. The Site relevant critical loads for the Derwent Valley SPA described on APIS (Ref 7) includes advice on the application of critical loads and levels to several of the bird species for which the SPA is designated (golden plover, tundra swan, ruff and Eurasian teal). The advice on critical loads identifies that 'no expected negative impact on species due to impacts on the species' broad habitat' for Eurasian teal and Ruff. For tundra swan a potential negative impact is identified for standing water habitats, dependent on whether waterbodies are nitrogen or phosphate-limited. Environment Agency (EA) monitoring data indicates that the River Derwent is strongly phosphate limited (see ES Chapter 9 (Biodiversity)). In phosphate limited systems, additional inputs of nitrogen have limited effects on plant productivity, as phosphate is the primary limiting nutrient. As such, additional inputs would be unlikely to lead to any perceptible eutrophication effects on standing water habitats within the SPA.
- 6.3.44. The contribution of the Proposed Scheme, whether assessed alone or in combination with other processes, is largely insignificant and a relatively small proportion of the total deposition. The risk of exceedance of critical loads and the level of exceedance of the critical loads is a function of the rates of background deposition rather than the result of the operation of the Proposed Scheme. In other words, the Proposed Scheme would make no difference to the exceedance of critical loads and levels for the European Sites within 15km of the Proposed Scheme.
- 6.3.45. Taking into account the conservatism built into the air quality assessment including:

- Continuous full load operation for the year.
- 70% conversion of NO_x to NO₂.
- Assessment of maximum impacts anywhere in a designated site, irrespective of area represented by the maximum and the presence of particular habitats.
- Assessment against minimum recommended critical loads.
- Assessment of maximum impacts across 5 modelled years.
- Emissions continually at the limit set in the IED / BREF Conclusions and or recommended emissions ceiling.

6.3.46. The impacts of the Proposed Scheme both alone and cumulatively with other relevant development proposals will be small overall and likely imperceptible.

6.3.47. In light of the information presented above, no adverse effects to the integrity of the Lower Derwent Valley SPA and Ramsar site are predicted to arise.

Skipwith Common SAC

6.3.48. The maximum predicted in-combination impact of the Proposed Scheme would be 2.7% of the critical level for NH₃, with the Proposed Scheme contributing up to 0.4% of this. This represents an additional contribution to the existing exceedance of the NH₃ critical level at this Site. There would be an in-combination impact of up to 1.9% of critical load for nitrogen deposition and up to 1.6% for acidification, with the Proposed Scheme contributing up to 0.4% and 0.3% respectively. The upper critical load range is exceeded at this European Site (see Table 6.1) The in-combination impacts on NH₃ concentrations and nitrogen and acid deposition therefore exceed 1% of critical load / critical levels (see Tables 6-7, 6-10 and 6-11).

6.3.49. To support the assessment of the implications of this deposition on Skipwith Common SAC, published research into the effects of nitrogen deposition on heathland habitats was reviewed. This included a review of existing scientific knowledge covering several studies (Caporn *et al*, 2016; Ref 5) and a study of how ecosystem functions could be used as indicators for heathland response to nitrogen deposition (Bahring *et al*, 2017; Ref 8). These studies suggest that the effects of additional nitrogen where background deposition rates are already high are much reduced relative to where background deposition rates are low. This is because nitrogen is already in excess; as such the plants present within the habitats have limited capacity to respond to additional inputs. In the Natural England study (Caporn *et al*, 2016; Ref 5), with background deposition rates of 20 kgN/ha/yr (comparable to estimated baseline deposition rates at Skipwith common SAC), adding a further 1 kg N/ha/yr was shown to decrease species richness by between 1.4% and 1.9%. Graminoid (grass) cover was found to increase by between 0.8% and 1.1%. The maximum species richness recorded varied between 16 and 32 (Caporn *et al*., 2016; Ref 5).

6.3.50. Taking a worst-case species richness from the above of 16, an impact equivalent to 3.26 kgN/ha/yr would theoretically be required to reduce species richness across the SAC by an average of one species (per quadrat). The maximum predicted cumulative impact of the Proposed Scheme with other plans and projects is 0.19 kgN/ha/yr, equivalent to approximately 6% of the amount required to reduce species richness by an average of one species per quadrat. This level of deposition falls within the bounds of natural variation and is predicted to lead to negligible (and imperceptible) vegetative change across the SAC.

The worst-case in-combination impact of acid deposition is marginally above 1% (1.6%), with the contribution from the Proposed Scheme (a maximum of 0.3%) decreasing with increasing distance from the Proposed Scheme. No perceptible vegetative change to SAC habitats is predicted to arise from this level of deposition.

- 6.3.51. Following EA guidance, with regard to the significance of changes in deposition rates on designated ecological sites, an impact is considered to be insignificant where the change in process contribution (PC) is 1% (or less) of the long term critical load or critical level for the ecological site under consideration. The guidance further states that the 1% threshold is based on the judgement that it is unlikely that an emission at this level will make a significant contribution to air quality since PCs will be small in comparison to background levels, even if a standard is exceeded. The use of 1% of the critical load is also outlined within the IAQM's position statement (IAQM, 2015; Ref. 29) which suggests that 1% of the critical load should be used to determine either where further assessment is required or to screen out effects that are not likely to be significant (i.e. the effect is negligible).
- 6.3.52. The contribution of the Proposed Scheme, whether assessed alone or in combination with other industrial processes, is largely insignificant and a relatively small proportion of the total deposition. The risk of exceedance of critical loads and the level of exceedance of the critical loads is a function of the rates of background deposition rather than the result of the operation of the Proposed Scheme. In other words, the Proposed Scheme would make no difference to the exceedance of critical loads and levels for the European Sites within 15 km of the Proposed Scheme.
- 6.3.53. Taking into account the conservatism built into the air quality assessment including:
- Continuous full load operation for the year.
 - 70% conversion of NO_x to NO₂.
 - Assessment of maximum impacts anywhere in a designated site, irrespective of area represented by the maximum and the presence of particular habitats.
 - Assessment against the lower threshold of recommended critical loads.
 - Assessment of maximum impacts across 5 modelled years.
 - Emissions continually at the limit set in the IED / Bref Conclusions and or recommended emissions ceiling.
- 6.3.54. The impacts of the Proposed Scheme both alone and in combination with other relevant development proposals will be small overall and likely imperceptible.
- 6.3.55. In addition, the constituent SSSI Units of the Skipwith Common SAC within 15km of the Proposed Scheme were also assessed as being in 'favourable' or 'unfavourable recovering' condition when last assessed in 2014. A copy of the last SSSI unit condition assessment is provided in Appendix 3. 47.96% of the constituent SSSI units were reported as being in 'favourable' condition, the remaining value of 52.04% was recorded as being in 'unfavourable – recovering' condition, suggesting the condition of these areas in relation to their target condition is being achieved or improving despite current inputs of nutrient nitrogen from diffuse agricultural and other sources. The predicted worst-case inputs from the Proposed Scheme are unlikely to alter that situation.

- 6.3.56. Data on APIS (Ref 9) indicates that approximately 8.6% of nitrogen deposition onto Skipwith Common SAC arises from road transport. Future reductions in emissions from the UK vehicle fleet would therefore reduce and eventually eliminate these inputs. For comparison, the source attribution data on APIS identifies the Existing Drax Power Station Complex as contributing approximately 1.5% of total nitrogen deposition.
- 6.3.57. Given the factors set out above, the air quality impacts of the Proposed Scheme are not predicted to lead to adverse effects on the integrity of the Proposed Scheme in combination with other plans and projects.

Thorne Moor SAC

- 6.3.58. The maximum predicted cumulative impact of the Proposed Scheme would be 1.3% of the critical level for NH_3 , with the Proposed Scheme contributing up to 0.4% of this. The contribution from the Proposed Scheme to cumulative NH_3 also decreases with increasing distance from the stacks. Given the cumulative exceedance is only marginally above 1% of critical level at the point of greatest predicted impact, no perceptible effects on SAC vegetation are predicted to arise. There would be a cumulative impact of up to 2.6% of critical load for nitrogen deposition and up to 2.0% for acidification, with the Proposed Scheme contributing 0.8% and 0.6% respectively. The cumulative impacts on nitrogen and acid deposition therefore exceed 1% of critical load (see Tables 6.10 and 6.11 of this report, respectively).
- 6.3.59. To support the assessment of the implications of this deposition, published research into the effects of nitrogen deposition on bog habitats was reviewed. This included a review of existing scientific knowledge covering several studies (Caporn *et al.* 2016; Ref 5). This study suggests that the effects of additional nitrogen where background deposition rates are already high are much reduced relative to where background deposition rates are low. This is because nitrogen is already in excess, with the plants present having limited capacity to respond. In this study, with background deposition rates of 20 kg N/ha/yr (comparable to estimated baseline deposition rates at Thorne Moor SAC), adding a further 1 kg N/ha/yr was shown to decrease species richness by 0.9%. Graminoid (grass) cover was found to increase by 1.5%. The maximum species richness recorded across the studies examined in was 32 (Caporn *et al.* 2016; Ref 5).
- 6.3.60. Taking a species richness from the above of 32, an impact equivalent to 3.3 kgN/ha/yr would theoretically be required to reduce species richness across the SAC by an average of one species (per quadrat). The maximum predicted in-combination impact of the Proposed Scheme with other plans and projects is 0.13 kgN/ha/yr, equivalent to approximately 3.9% of the amount required to reduce species richness by an average of one species per quadrat. This level of deposition falls within the bounds of natural variation and is predicted to lead to negligible (and imperceptible) vegetative change across the SAC. The worst-case in-combination impact of acid deposition is above 1% (2.0%), with the contribution from the Proposed Scheme decreasing with increasing distance from stacks. Again, no perceptible vegetative changes of SAC habitats are predicted to arise from this level of deposition, in the context of the baseline deposition levels. There is also evidence from a study completed by the Centre for Ecology and Hydrology (Monteith *et al.* 2015; Ref 10) that

suggests levels of acid deposition across Thorne Moor are reducing, with evidence of a downward trend between 2012 and 2014.

- 6.3.61. The contribution of the Proposed Scheme, whether assessed alone or in combination with other industrial processes, is largely insignificant and a relatively small proportion of the total deposition. The risk of exceedance of critical loads and the level of exceedance of the critical loads is a function of the rates of background deposition rather than the result of the operation of the Proposed Scheme. In other words, the Proposed Scheme would make no difference to the exceedance of critical loads and levels for the European Sites within 15km of the Proposed Scheme.
- 6.3.62. Taking into account the conservatism built into the air quality assessment including:
- Continuous full load operation for the year.
 - 70% conversion of NO_x to NO₂.
 - Assessment of maximum impacts anywhere in a designated site, irrespective of area represented by the maximum and the presence of particular habitats.
 - Assessment against the lower threshold of recommended critical loads.
 - Assessment of maximum impacts across 5 modelled years.
 - Emissions continually at the limit set in the IED / Bref Conclusions and or recommended emissions ceiling.
- 6.3.63. The constituent SSSI Units of the Thorne Moor SAC within 15 km of the Site, were assessed as being in 'favourable', 'unfavourable recovering', 'unfavourable no change' and 'unfavourable declining' condition when last assessed. A copy of the last SSSI unit condition assessment is provided in Appendix 3. 3.85% of the Thorne Crowle and Goole Moors SSSI was reported as being in 'favourable' condition, with 91.97% recorded as being in 'unfavourable – recovering' condition. 2.94% was assessed as 'unfavourable no change' with 1.24% 'unfavourable declining'. The majority of the SAC is considered to be in 'unfavourable – recovering' condition by NE. NE identify initiatives to control scrub and manage water balance as the main factors leading to improvements. This suggests the condition of these areas in relation to their target condition is being achieved or improving despite current inputs of nutrient nitrogen from diffuse agricultural and other sources. The predicted worst-case inputs from the Proposed Scheme are unlikely to alter that situation.
- 6.3.64. Data on APIS (Ref 11) indicates that approximately 10.3% of nitrogen deposition onto Thorne Moor SAC arises from road transport. Future reductions in emissions from the UK vehicle fleet would therefore reduce and eventually eliminate these inputs. For comparison, the source attribution data on APIS identifies the Existing Drax Power Station Complex as contributing approximately 1.9% of total nitrogen deposition.
- 6.3.65. Given the factors set out above, the air quality impacts of the Proposed Scheme are not predicted to lead to adverse effects on the integrity of the SAC, in combination with other proposed developments.
- [Thorne and Hatfield Moor SPA](#)
- 6.3.66. The maximum predicted cumulative impact of the Proposed Scheme would be 1.3% of the critical level for NH₃, with the Proposed Scheme contributing up to 0.4% of this. The contribution from the Proposed Scheme to cumulative NH₃ also decreases with increasing

distance from the stacks. Given the cumulative exceedance is only marginally above 1% of critical level at the point of greatest predicted impact, no perceptible effects on SAC vegetation are predicted to arise. As such, the suitability of the habitats present to support nightjar is not expected to be subject to perceptible change. There would be a cumulative impact of up to 2.6% of critical load for nitrogen deposition and up to 2.0% for acidification, with the Proposed Scheme contributing 0.8% and 0.6% respectively. The cumulative impacts on nitrogen and acid deposition therefore exceed 1% of critical load (see Tables 6-10 and 6-11).

- 6.3.67. To support the assessment of the implications of this deposition, published research into the effects of nitrogen deposition on heathland and bog habitats was reviewed. Although not a qualifying interest of the Thorne Moor SAC, lowland heathland habitats are present at the SAC/SPA (see Appendix 3) and will form part of the habitat mosaic used by the qualifying interest of the SPA (nightjar). This included a review of existing scientific knowledge covering several studies (Caporn *et al* 2016; Ref 5) and a study of how ecosystem functions could be used as indicators for heathland response to nitrogen deposition (Bahring *et al*, 2017; Ref 8).
- 6.3.68. These studies suggest that the effects of additional nitrogen where background deposition rates are already high are much reduced relative to where background deposition rates are low. This is because nitrogen is already in excess, with the plants present having limited capacity to respond. In the Natural England study (Caporn *et al* 2016; Ref 5), with background deposition rates of 20 kg N/ha/yr (comparable to estimated baseline deposition rates at Thorne Moor SAC), adding a further 1 kg N/ha/yr was shown to decrease species richness by around 0.9%. Graminoid (grass) cover was found to increase by 1.5%. The maximum species richness recorded across the studies examined in Caporn *et al*, (Caporn *et al* 2016; Ref 5) was 32.
- 6.3.69. Taking a species richness from the above of 32, an impact equivalent to 3.3 kgN/ha/yr would theoretically be required to reduce species richness across the SAC by an average of one species (per quadrat). The maximum predicted cumulative impact of the Proposed Scheme with other plans and projects is 0.13kgN/ha/yr, equivalent to approximately 3.9% of the amount required to reduce species richness by an average of one species per quadrat. This level of deposition falls within the bounds of natural variation and is predicted to lead to negligible (and imperceptible) vegetative change across the SAC.
- 6.3.70. The worst-case cumulative impact of acid deposition is above 1% (2.0%), with the contribution from the Proposed Scheme decreasing with increasing distance from stacks. Again, no perceptible vegetative changes to SAC habitats are predicted to arise from this level of deposition. There is also evidence from a study completed by the Centre for Ecology and Hydrology (Monteith *et al*. 2015; Ref 10) that suggests levels of acid deposition across Thorne Moor are reducing, with evidence of a downward trend between 2012 and 2014.
- 6.3.71. The contribution of the Proposed Scheme, whether assessed alone or in combination with other industrial processes, is largely insignificant and a relatively small proportion of the total deposition. The risk of exceedance of critical loads and the level of exceedance of the critical loads is a function of the rates of background deposition rather than the result of the

operation of the Proposed Scheme. In other words, the Proposed Scheme would make no difference to the exceedance of critical loads and levels for the European Sites within 15km of the Proposed Scheme.

6.3.72. Taking into account the conservatism built into the air quality assessment including:

- Continuous full load operation for the year.
- 70% conversion of NO_x to NO₂.
- Assessment of maximum impacts anywhere in a designated site, irrespective of area represented by the maximum and the presence of particular habitats.
- Assessment against the lower threshold of recommended critical loads.
- Assessment of maximum impacts across 5 modelled years.
- Emissions continually at the limit set in the IED / Bref Conclusions and or recommended emissions ceiling.

6.3.73. The constituent SSSI Units of the Thorne Moor SAC within 15 km of the Project Site, were assessed as being in 'favourable', 'unfavourable recovering', 'unfavourable no change' and 'unfavourable declining' condition when last assessed. A copy of the last SSSI unit condition assessment is provided in the screening matrices (see Appendix 3). 3.85% of the Thorne, Crowle and Goole Moor SSSI was reported as being in 'favourable' condition, with 91.97% recorded as being in 'unfavourable – recovering' condition. 2.94% was assessed as 'unfavourable no change' with 1.24% 'unfavourable declining'. The majority of the SAC is considered to be in 'unfavourable – recovering' condition by NE. NE identify initiatives to control scrub and manage water balance as the main factors leading to improvements in habitat condition (see Appendix 3). This suggests the condition of these areas in relation to their target condition is being achieved or improving despite current inputs of nutrient nitrogen from diffuse agricultural and other sources. The predicted worst-case inputs from the Proposed Scheme are unlikely to alter that situation.

6.3.74. Data on APIS (Ref 11) indicates that approximately 10% of nitrogen deposition onto Thorne Moor SPA arises from road transport. Future reductions in emissions from the UK vehicle fleet would therefore reduce and eventually eliminate these inputs. For comparison, the source attribution data on APIS identifies the Existing Drax Power Station Complex as contributing approximately 1.7% of total nitrogen deposition.

6.3.75. Given the factors set out above, the air quality impacts of the Proposed Scheme are not predicted to lead to adverse effects on the integrity of the Proposed Scheme, either alone or in combination with other plans and projects.

Humber Estuary SAC, SPA and Ramsar site

6.3.76. Humber Estuary habitats occurring within 15km of the Proposed Scheme are not considered to be sensitive to acidification; no in-combination assessment for this pollutant is therefore required for this European Site. There would also be no in-combination exceedances of critical levels for NO_x or NH₃ (see Tables 6-7, 6-8 and 6-9 of this report).

6.3.77. The maximum predicted cumulative deposition impact of the Proposed Scheme would be 0.9% for nitrogen deposition; i.e. under 1% of the critical load (see Table 6-10 of this report).

- 6.3.78. Given that the cumulative impacts of the Proposed Scheme would be less than 1% of critical load or critical level for all relevant air quality standards, no adverse effects on the integrity of the Humber Estuary European sites are predicted to arise.

6.4 Summary

- 6.4.1. In the context of the known qualifying feature vulnerabilities, it is possible to conclude that there will be no adverse effects (alone or in-combination) on the integrity of the River Derwent SAC, the Lower Derwent Valley SAC, SPA and Ramsar, Skipwith Common SAC, Thorne Moor SAC, Thorne and Hatfield Moor SPA and Humber Estuary SAC, SPA and Ramsar site as a result of air quality changes arising from operation of the Proposed Scheme.

7 CONCLUSION


- 7.1.1. In accordance with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (the Habitats Regulations), an appropriate assessment (referred to in this document as an HRA) of the Drax Repower Project, hereafter referred to as the 'Proposed Scheme' has been undertaken.
- 7.1.2. The HRA was informed by an initial screening for likely significant effects (LSE), which identified LSE on the following European Sites identified within a 15 km zone of influence for potential impacts.
- Lower Derwent Valley SAC.
 - Lower Derwent Valley SPA.
 - Lower Derwent Valley Ramsar.
 - River Derwent SAC.
 - Humber Estuary SAC.
 - Humber Estuary SPA.
 - Humber Estuary Ramsar site.
 - Skipwith Common SAC.
 - Thorne and Hatfield Moors SPA.
 - Thorne Moor SAC.
- 7.1.3. The zone of influence for potential impacts on European sites was set at 15 km from the centre of the stacks of the proposed gas turbines (within the Power Station Site). This was taken to correspond to the maximum extent of perceptible air quality impacts, with air quality impacts predicted to have the largest zone of influence of all potentially identified impacts.
- 7.1.4. Having identified European sites within the ZoI and assessed their interest features and Conservation Objectives, the Stage 1 screening (undertaken based on an assessment of the unmitigated Proposed Scheme) discounted a number of potential impacts (for example, direct impacts on European sites and indirect impacts through hydrological changes). The Stage 1 screening also identified a range of impacts that could arise from the Proposed Scheme, as follows:
- Disturbance to qualifying features in functionally-linked habitat (light/noise/vibration/visual).
 - Hydrological changes to functionally-linked habitat (quality/flow).
 - Air quality changes.
- 7.1.5. These effects were assessed further through the Stage 2 assessment for potential adverse effects on integrity which considered: European Site data; available environmental condition data; and the potential effects of the Proposed Scheme on its own and in-combination with other plans and projects, taking mitigation proposed for the Proposed Scheme into account.
- 7.1.6. It was concluded that the Proposed Scheme would not have an adverse effect on the integrity of any of the European Sites assessed, with agreement to this recorded between the Applicant and NE in the relevant SoCG (Examination Library Reference APP-004).

8 REFERENCES

- Ref 1 European Council Directive 92/43/EEC 'The Habitats Directive'
- Ref 2 European Council Directive 2009/147/EEC 'The Birds Directive'
- Ref 3 Her Majesty's Stationary Office (HMSO), 2017. The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017.
- Ref 4 European Court of Justice (ECJ) April 2018, Case C-323/17, People Over Wind, Peter Sweetman v Coillte Teoranta. Judgement of the Court (Seventh Chamber). Available at:
<http://curia.europa.eu/juris/document/document.jsf?jsessionid=9ea7d2dc30dd4a0be052f3b04c09819ffb09262871e7.e34KaxiLc3qMb40Rch0SaxyNbxn0?text=&docid=200970&pageIndex=0&doclang=EN&mode=req&dir=&occ=first&part=1&cid=636343>. Accessed May 17 2018.
- Ref 5 CAPORN, S., FIELD, C., PAYNE, R., DISE, N., BRITTON, A., EMMETT, B., JONES, L., PHOENIX, G., S POWER, S., SHEPPARD, L. & STEVENS, C. 2016. assessing the effects of small increments of atmospheric nitrogen deposition (above the critical load) on semi-natural habitats of conservation importance. Natural England Commissioned Reports, Number 210.
- Ref 6 Air Pollution Information Service, 2018. *Site Relevant Critical Loads, River Derwent SAC*. [online] Available at <http://www.apis.ac.uk/srcl/select-a-feature?site=UK0030253&SiteType=SAC&submit=Next>
- Ref 7 Air Pollution Information Service, 2018. *Site Relevant Critical Loads, Lower Derwent Valley SPA*. [online] Available at <http://www.apis.ac.uk/srcl/select-a-feature?site=UK9006092&SiteType=SPA&submit=Next>. Accessed May 4 2018
- Ref 8 Alexandra Bähring , Andreas Fichtner , Karin Ibe , Gudrun Schütze , Vicky M. Temperton Goddert von Oheimb , Werner Härdtle., 2017. Ecosystem functions as indicators for heathland responses to nitrogen fertilisation. *Ecological Indicators*, 72(1) pp. 185-193
- Ref 9 Air Pollution Information Service, 2018. *Site Relevant Critical Loads, Skipwith Common SAC*. [online] Available at <http://www.apis.ac.uk/srcl/select-a-feature?site=UK0030276&SiteType=SAC&submit=Next>. Accessed May 4 2018
- Ref 10 Monteith, D., Sherrin, L., Carter, H., Keenan, P., Thacker., Coyle, M., Nemitz, E. and Smith, R., 2015. *Monitoring of acidifying and eutrophying deposition and ecological parameters at seven potentially vulnerable Natura 2000 sites in England and Wales*. Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Lancashire.
- Ref 11 Air Pollution Information Service, 2018. *Site Relevant Critical Loads, Thorne Moor SAC*. [online] Available at <http://www.apis.ac.uk/srcl/select-a-feature?site=UK0012915&SiteType=SAC&submit=Next>. Accessed May 5 2018
- Ref 12 Chapman, C. & Tyldesley, D. 2016. Functional linkage: How areas that are functionally linked to European sites have been considered when they may be affected by plans and projects - a review of authoritative decisions. Natural England Commissioned Reports, Number 207.
- Ref 13 European Commission Note on setting conservation objectives of Natura 2000 sites, accessed online 10.05.18
- Ref 14 Department for Communities and Local Government, 2012. National Planning Policy Framework, Paragraph 118. [online] Accessed 04/05/2018.
- Ref 15 European Commission, 2001. Assessment of plans and projects significantly affecting Natura 2000 sites. [online] Accessed 04/05/2018.

- Ref 16 HMSO, 2018. The National Emissions Ceiling Regulations 2018. SI 2018 No.129
- Ref 17 Scottish Natural Heritage, Protected Species Advice (A195316, 2017), accessed online 10.05.18
- Ref 18 Natural England (2014). Otters: Surveys and Mitigation for Development Projects, UK Government Standing Advice for local planning authorities to assess the impacts of development on otters, accessed online 10.05.18 at <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/otters-protection-surveys-and-licences>.
- Ref 19 European Site Conservation Objectives: Draft Supplementary Advice on Conserving and Restoring Site Features, Lower Derwent Valley Special Area of Conservation (SAC) Site Code: UK0012844, Natural England, 29.06.2016, accessed online 08.05.18.
- Ref 20 Natural England (2007). Species Information Note SIN006, accessed online 10.05.18 at http://downloads.gigl.org.uk/website/NE_EU_otter.pdf
- Ref 21 Joint Nature Conservation Committee (undated). Description and ecological characteristics of SAC Annex II species 1099: River lamprey. Accessed online 10.05.18 at <http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/ProtectedSites/SACselection/species.asp?FeatureIntCode=S1099>
- Ref 22 Joint Nature Conservation Committee (undated) Description and ecological characteristics of SAC Annex II species JNCC: 1163 Bullhead. Accessed online 10.05.18 at <http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/protectedsites/sacselection/species.asp?FeatureIntCode=S1163>
- Ref 23 Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (2016). Pollution Prevention for Business, accessed online 10.05.18 at <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/pollution-prevention-for-businesses>
- Ref 24 Natural England (2014b). Improvement Programme for England's Natura 2000 Sites (IPENS) Site Improvement Plan: River Derwent. Accessed online 14/05/2018 at www.publications.naturalengland.org.uk/file/4873723815395328
- Ref 25 Natural England (2014c). Improvement Programme for England's Natura 2000 Sites (IPENS) Site Improvement Plan: Lower Derwent Valley. Accessed online 14/05/2018 at <http://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/5916047525806080>
- Ref 26 Natural England (2014d). Improvement Programme for England's Natura 2000 Sites (IPENS) Site Improvement Plan: Skipwith Common. Accessed online 14/05/2018 at <http://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/6301721630343168>
- Ref 27 Natural England (2014e). Improvement Programme for England's Natura 2000 Sites (IPENS) Site Improvement Plan: Thorne and Hatfield Moors. Accessed online 14/05/2018 at <http://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/6489780632158208>
- Ref 28 Natural England (2014f). Improvement Programme for England's Natura 2000 Sites (IPENS) Site Improvement Plan: Humber Estuary. Accessed online 14/05/2018 at <http://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/5427891407945728>
- Ref 29 IAQM (2015). Position Statement: Mitigation of Development Air Quality Impacts.

FIGURES



Key

Site Boundary

Contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright 2018. All rights reserved.
License number 0100031673

A	06/07/2018	RMCC	FIRST ISSUE	CS	CT
REV	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION	CHK	APP

DRAWING STATUS:

FINAL

wsp

Three White Rose Office Park, Millshaw Park Lane, Leeds, LS11 0DL, UK
Tel: +44 113 395 6200 Fax: +44 113 395 6201
wsp.com

CLIENT:

drax

PROJECT:

The Drax Power (Generating Stations) Order

TITLE:


Figure 1.1
Site Location

SCALE @ A3:	CHECKED:	APPROVED:
See map @ A3	CS	CT

PROJECT No:	DESIGNED:	DRAWN:	DATE:
70048144	RMCC	RMCC	06/07/2018

DRAWING No:	REV:
70048144-1.1	A

© WSP UK Ltd



User Name: UKRJ017 | Date Saved: 06/07/2018 10:40:50
Document Path: \\uk.wspgroup.com\central\data\Projects\700370xx\70037047 - DRAX Re-powering DCO-Yorkshire\GIS\Mxd\HRA\Fig 1.2_AerialView.mxd



Key

Site Boundary

Contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright 2018. All rights reserved. License number 0100031673 Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN and the GIS User Community

A	06/07/2018	RMcC	FIRST ISSUE	CS	CT
REV	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION	CHK	APP

DRAWING STATUS:

FINAL

wsp

Three White Rose Office Park, Millshaw Park Lane, Leeds, LS11 0DL, UK
Tel: +44 113 395 6200 Fax: +44 113 395 6201
wsp.com

CLIENT:

drax

PROJECT:

The Drax Power (Generating Stations) Order


TITLE:

Figure 1.2
Aerial View of the Site

SCALE @ A3:	CHECKED:	APPROVED:	
15,000 @ A3	CS	CT	
PROJECT No:	DESIGNED:	DRAWN:	DATE:
70048144	RMcC	RMcC	06/07/2018
DRAWING No:	REV:		
70048144-1.2	A		

© WSP UK Ltd

User Name: UKRJMO17 | Date Saved: 06/07/2018 10:45:16
Document Path: \\uk.wspgroup.com\central\data\Projects\700370xx\70037047 - DRAX Re-powering DCO-Yorkshire\GIS\Mxd\HRA\Fig 1.3_CurrentAndProposedLandUse.mxd



Area	Current Use	Proposed Use (key elements only)
A	Farming land	Laydown, construction car park. Land safeguarded for potential CCR
B	Scrub land	Laydown, construction compound/construction car park. Land safeguarded for potential CCR
C	Business Park and old wood yard	Construction offices - stores location. Battery Storage Facility
D	Roadway	Construction staff roadway bridge. Plant interface connections between Area A, F and B if required for CCR.
E	Scrub land	Battery storage facility, CCR pipe work run, relocated sludge lagoons.
F	Existing coal fire power units	Location for GTs and HRSGs for both units X and Y. Connection to 400kV substation.
H	Mostly hard standing, recycling centre, fuel oil	Site contractor village, car parks, access road, stores compound
I	Farm land	Gas Receiving Facility
J	Farm land	Pipeline
K	Farm land	Above Ground Installation
L	Farm land	Passing Place

Key

Site Boundary

Development Parcels

Gas Receiving Facility

Above Ground Installation

Gas Pipeline

Contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright 2018. All rights reserved. License number 0100031673 Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN and the GIS User Community

A	06/07/2018	RMcC	FIRST DRAFT	CS	CT
REV	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION	CHK	APP

DRAWING STATUS:

FINAL

wsp

Three White Rose Office Park, Millshaw Park Lane, Leeds, LS11 0DL, UK
Tel: +44 113 395 6200 Fax: +44 113 395 6201
wsp.com

CLIENT:

drax

PROJECT:

The Drax Power (Generating Stations) Order

TITLE:

Figure 1.3
Current and Proposed Land Use


SCALE @ A3: <div>13,000 @ A3</div>	CHECKED: <div>CS</div>	APPROVED: <div>CT</div>
PROJECT No: <div>70048144</div>	DESIGNED: <div>WSP</div>	DRAWN: <div>RMcC</div>
DATE: <div>06/07/2018</div>		REV: <div>A</div>

DRAWING No:

70048144-1.3

© WSP UK Ltd

Document Path: \\uk.wspgroup.com\central\data\Projects\700370xx\70037047 - DRAX Re-powering DCO-Yorkshire\GIS\Mxd\HRA\Fig2.1_EuropSites.mxd User Name: UKRJM017 | Date Saved: 06/07/2018 10:48:07



Key

- Site Boundary
- 10km Buffer
- 15km Buffer
- Special Protection Area (SPA)
- Special Area of Conservation (SAC)
- Ramsar Site - Wetland of International Importance

Contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright 2018. All rights reserved. License number 0100031673 © Historic England © Environment Agency © Natural England © NEYEDC.

A	06/07/2018	RMCC	FIRST ISSUE	CS	CT
REV	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION	CHK	APP

DRAWING STATUS:

FINAL

wsp

Three White Rose Office Park, Millshaw Park Lane, Leeds, LS11 0DL, UK
Tel: +44 113 395 6200 Fax: +44 113 395 6201
wsp.com

CLIENT:

drax

PROJECT:

The Drax Power (Generating Stations) Order

TITLE:

Figure 2.1
European Sites within 15km

SCALE @ A3: 130,000 @ A3	CHECKED: CS	APPROVED: CT
PROJECT No: 70048144	DESIGNED: TG	DRAWN: RMCC
DATE: 06/07/2018		REV: A

DRAWING No:

70048144-2.1

© WSP UK Ltd

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1 – SCREENING MATRICES

APPENDIX 1 POTENTIAL EFFECTS (SUBMITTED FOR DEADLINE 6)

Potential effects upon the European site(s)¹ which are considered within the submitted HRA Report are provided in the table below.

Effects considered within the screening matrices are set out below on a site by site basis.

¹ As defined in Advice Note 10.

Designation	Effects described in submission information	Presented in screening matrices as
River Derwent SAC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Habitat degradation from water-borne pollution and contamination incidents • Release of silts and sediments • Increase in ambient NO_x and NH₃ levels and eutrophication during operation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Habitat degradation
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Displacement from functionally-linked habitat due to increase in noise vibration, lighting and visual disturbance 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Species displacement
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Risk of incidental mortality of species 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Direct mortality
Lower Derwent Valley RAMSAR	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Degradation from water-borne pollution and contamination incidents • Release of silts and sediments • Increase in ambient NO_x and NH₃ levels and eutrophication during operation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Habitat degradation
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Displacement from functionally-linked habitat due to increase in noise vibration, lighting and visual disturbance 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Species displacement
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Risk of incidental mortality of species 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Direct mortality
Lower Derwent Valley SAC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Degradation from water-borne pollution and contamination incidents • Release of silts and sediments • Increase in ambient NO_x and NH₃ levels and eutrophication during operation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Habitat degradation
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Displacement from functionally-linked habitat due to increase in noise vibration, lighting and visual disturbance 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Species displacement
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Risk of incidental mortality of species 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Direct mortality

Designation	Effects described in submission information	Presented in screening matrices as
Lower Derwent Valley SPA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Degradation from water-borne pollution and contamination incidents Release of silts and sediments (from plant movement) Increase in ambient NO_x and NH₃ levels and eutrophication during operation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Habitat degradation
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Displacement from functionally-linked habitat due to increase in noise vibration, lighting and visual disturbance 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Species displacement
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Incidental mortality of species 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Direct mortality
Humber Estuary SAC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Degradation from water-borne pollution and contamination incidents Release of silts and sediments Increase in ambient NO_x and NH₃ levels and eutrophication during operation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Habitat degradation
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Displacement from functionally-linked habitat due to increase in noise vibration, lighting and visual disturbance 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Species displacement
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Incidental mortality of species 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Direct mortality
Humber Estuary SPA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Degradation from water-borne pollution and contamination incidents Release of silts and sediments Increase in ambient NO_x and NH₃ levels and eutrophication during operation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Habitat degradation
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Displacement from functionally-linked habitat due to increase in noise vibration, lighting and visual disturbance 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Species displacement

Designation	Effects described in submission information	Presented in screening matrices as
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incidental mortality of species 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Direct mortality
Humber Estuary Ramsar Site	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Degradation from water-borne pollution and contamination incidents • Release of silts and sediments • Increase in ambient NO_x and NH₃ levels and eutrophication during operation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Habitat degradation
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Displacement from functionally-linked habitat due to increase in noise vibration, lighting and visual disturbance 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Species displacement
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incidental mortality of species 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Direct mortality
Skipwith Common SAC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Degradation from water-borne pollution and contamination incidents • Release of silts and sediments (from plant movement) • Increase in ambient NO_x and NH₃ levels and eutrophication during operation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Habitat degradation
Thorne & Hatfield Moors SPA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Degradation from pollution and contamination incidents • Release of silts and sediments (from plant movement) • Increase in ambient NO_x and NH₃ levels and eutrophication during operation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Habitat degradation
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Displacement from functionally-linked habitat due to increase in noise vibration, lighting and visual disturbance 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Species displacement
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incidental mortality of species 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Direct mortality

Designation	Effects described in submission information	Presented in screening matrices as
Thorne Moor SAC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Degradation from water-borne pollution and contamination incidents • Release of silts and sediments • Increase in ambient NO_x and NH₃ levels and eutrophication during operation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Habitat degradation

STAGE 1: SCREENING MATRICES

The European sites included within the screening assessment are:

River Derwent SAC;

Lower Derwent Valley RAMSAR;

Lower Derwent Valley SAC;

Lower Derwent Valley SPA;

Humber Estuary SAC;

Humber Estuary SPA;

Humber Estuary Ramsar;

Skipwith Common SAC;

Thorne & Hatfield Moors SPA; and

Thorne Moor SAC.

Matrix Key:

✓ = Likely significant effect **cannot** be excluded

✗ = Likely significant effect **can** be excluded

C = construction

O = operation

D = decommissioning

HRA Screening Matrix 1: River Derwent SAC

Name of European site and designation: River Derwent SAC												
EU Code: UK0030253												
Distance to NSIP: 0.8km to the Power Station Site, 1.1km to the Pipeline Area												
European site features	Likely effects of NSIP											
Stage of Development	Habitat Degradation			Species Displacement			Direct Mortality			In Combination Effects		
	C	O	D	C	O	D	C	O	D	C	O	D
3260 Water courses of plain to montane levels with the <i>Ranunculion fluitantis</i> and <i>Callitriche-Batrachion</i> vegetation	✓ (a)	✓ (b)	✓ (a)							✗ (g)	✓ (h)	✗ (g)
1099 River lamprey <i>Lampetra fluviatilis</i>	✓ (a)	✓ (b)	✓ (a)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (d)	✗ (c)	✗ (g)	✓ (h)	✗ (g)
1095 Sea lamprey <i>Petromyzon marinus</i>	✓ (a)	✓ (b)	✓ (a)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (d)	✗ (c)	✗ (g)	✓ (h)	✗ (g)
1163 Bullhead <i>Cottus gobio</i>	✓ (a)	✓ (b)	✓ (a)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (d)	✗ (c)	✗ (g)	✓ (h)	✗ (g)
1355 Otter <i>Lutra</i>	✓ (a)	✓ (b)	✓ (a)	✓ (e)	✗ (f)	✓ (e)	✓ (e)	✗ (f)	✓ (e)	✓ (g)	✓ (h)	✓ (g)

Evidence supporting conclusions:

- (a) The SAC is located approximately 0.8 km from the Proposed Scheme footprint, with the River Derwent upstream of the Proposed Scheme, and no suitable habitat for any of the qualifying interests except otter recorded within 50 m of the Site. During construction and decommissioning of the Proposed Scheme activities such as vegetation clearance, demolition of structures and earthworks could result in the incidental release of silt, fuels and other chemicals. Any contaminants released could potentially be transported into the River Ouse via surface water connections. The River Derwent is directly upstream of the River Ouse. As such, changes in water quality within the Ouse could potentially be transported upstream to the River Derwent. Otters and qualifying interest fish species forming part of the River Derwent SAC populations are also likely to make use of habitats within the River Ouse (and for otter, also connecting waterbodies). These could therefore be affected if the condition of habitats within the River Ouse or River Derwent were affected. It is therefore considered that there is the potential for Likely Significant Effects (LSE) in relation to water quality and this issue will be taken forwards for Appropriate Assessment.
- (b) Potential air quality impacts on designated sites have been assessed through dispersion modelling, including European Sites (see Chapter 6 (Air Quality) of the Environmental Statement (ES); Examination Library Ref APP-074). This has identified the potential for air quality impacts on the River Derwent SAC. Air quality impacts on designated sites are usually assessed against 'critical levels' and 'critical loads'. Critical levels and critical loads are concentrations and deposition rates of pollutants, below which there is considered to be no potential for harm to a particular habitat type or qualifying feature of a designated site. In the absence of proposed mitigation measures (which cannot be taken into account at the screening stage on the basis of recent case law (Ref 9.51)), emissions from the Proposed Scheme could potentially lead to exceedances of critical levels for NO_x and NH₃. The Air Pollution Information System (APIS) is the main reference point for critical loads for habitats and designated sites in the UK. No critical loads for nitrogen deposition or acidification are identified on APIS for the River Derwent SAC. APIS identifies that no critical loads are set for river habitats, as these need considering on a site-specific basis. APIS states that '*No Critical Load has been assigned to the EUNIS classes for meso/eutrophic systems. These systems are often P limited (or N/P co-limiting), therefore decisions should be taken at a site specific level...*' (Ref. 9.53). Given the uncertainty regarding the potential effects of air quality impacts on the SAC in the absence of mitigation measures, there is considered to be potential for LSE. As such, operational air quality impacts will be taken forward for Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment.
- (c) No suitable habitat for SAC fish species has been recorded within 50 m of the Proposed Scheme. The closest suitable watercourse for SAC fish species is the River Ouse, which is located approximately 85 m north of the Pipeline Area (see paragraph 9.5.56 of the ES Biodiversity Chapter; Examination Library Ref APP-077). Given the absence of suitable habitat within or adjacent to the footprint of the Proposed Scheme, no displacement or mortality of SAC fish species is predicted to arise. As such, no LSE are predicted to arise in relation to displacement of SAC fish species.
- (d) The existing water cooling system used within the Existing Drax Power Station Complex will continue to be used for the Proposed Scheme, with the same intake and outflow volumes and temperature of water returning to the River Ouse. As there will be no change in the cooling water

infrastructure and therefore any associated risk of fish entrainment, no LSE are predicted to arise (see Paragraph 3.2.17 of Chapter 3 of the ES; Examination Library Ref: APP-071).

- (e) Installation and decommissioning of the Gas Pipeline and Above Ground Installation (AGI) with associated increases in noise, lighting, and human activity may lead to temporary disturbance of occasionally used otter commuting and foraging routes (see paragraph 9.6.74 of the ES Biodiversity Chapter). This would occur for up to a few months at a time (per watercourse) and as such, may temporarily limit the ability of the local otter population to commute and forage across the local landscape. There would also be a low risk of incidental mortality of otters, for example if excavations are left uncovered overnight. There is therefore the potential for LSE to arise, and this issue will be taken forward for Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment.
- (f) During the fully operational phase (Stage 3), there will be no physical impacts on any areas of suitable or confirmed otter habitat (see paragraph 9.6.88 of the ES Biodiversity Chapter (Examination Library Ref: APP-077). Occasional maintenance visits could be required to the AGI where the Proposed Scheme connects to the natural gas National Transmission System. The AGI will be located to the north (a minimum of 5 m) from the Dickon Field Drain, a watercourse that could potentially be used by otters. Any maintenance visits would be infrequent, and in the case of planned maintenance would take place primarily during daylight hours, when otter activity would be relatively limited. There could however be a need for visits outside normal working hours for unplanned maintenance, requiring access by personnel and use of artificial lighting during the night. Any such visits would be infrequent, with any disturbance limited to the section of the Dickon Drain adjacent to the AGI. Given that no evidence of otters has been recorded within the Dickon Field Drain and that visits would be infrequent, no Likely Significant Effects are predicted to arise (see paragraph 9.6.89 of the ES Biodiversity Chapter).
- (g) The potential for the effects of other Plans and Projects to combine with those of the Proposed Scheme has been considered in the Cumulative effects chapter of the ES (Chapter 17; Examination Library Ref: APP-085). No significant cumulative effects with other Plans and Projects have been identified during the construction and decommissioning phases of the Proposed Scheme (see the Biodiversity sections of Appendix 17.1 and 17.2 of Chapter 17 of the ES). As such no in-combination LSE are predicted to arise during the construction and decommissioning phases of the Proposed Scheme.
- (h) Potential air quality impacts on designated sites have been assessed through dispersion modelling, including European Sites (see Chapter 6 (Air Quality; Examination Library Ref: APP-074) of the Environmental Statement (ES)). This has identified the potential for air quality impacts on the River Derwent SAC as a result of the Proposed Scheme alone (see (b) above). There is the potential for emissions generated by the Proposed Scheme to combine with those from other emitting developments, leading to increased in-combination effects. Other relevant developments are identified in Appendices 17.1 and 17.2 of the Cumulative Effects chapter of the ES. Given that emissions from the Proposed Scheme alone could lead to LSE, there is also the potential for in-combination air quality effects to lead to LSE. This issue will therefore be taken forwards for Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment.

HRA Screening Matrix 2: Lower Derwent Valley SAC

Name of European site and designation: Lower Derwent Valley SAC												
EU Code: UK0012844												
Distance to NSIP: 5.1 km to the Power Station Site, 5.7 km to the Pipeline Area												
European site features	Likely effects of NSIP											
Stage of Development	Habitat Degradation			Species Displacement			Direct Mortality			In Combination Effects		
	C	O	D	C	O	D	C	O	D	C	O	D
6510 Lowland hay meadows <i>Alopecurus pratensis</i> , <i>Sanguisorba officinalis</i>	✗ (a)	✓ (b)	✗ (a)							✗ (e)	✓ (f)	✗ (e)
91E0 Alluvial forests with Alder <i>Alnus glutinosa</i> and Ash <i>Fraxinus excelsior</i> (<i>Alno-Padion</i> , <i>Alnion incanae</i> , <i>Salicion albae</i>)	✗ (a)	✓ (b)	✗ (a)							✗ (e)	✓ (f)	✗ (e)
1355 Otter <i>Lutra</i>	✓ (a)	✓ (b)	✓ (a)	✓ (c)	✗ (d)	✓ (c)	✓ (c)	✗ (d)	✓ (c)	✗ (e)	✓ (f)	✗ (e)

Evidence supporting conclusions:

- A. The SAC is located outside of the Proposed Scheme footprint (in excess of 5 km from the Proposed Scheme). At this distance construction phase air quality impacts would have no perceptible effect (see Appendix 6.2 of the ES Air Quality chapter; Examination Library Ref: APP-099). The SAC is also

upstream of the River Ouse, and beyond the tidal range, meaning there is no pathway by which water-borne pollutants could be transported far enough upstream to impact SAC habitats. As such, no LSE are predicted to arise.

- B. Potential air quality impacts on designated sites have been assessed through dispersion modelling, including European Sites (see Chapter 6 (Air Quality) of the Environmental Statement (ES); Examination Library Ref: APP-074). This has identified the potential for air quality impacts on the Lower Derwent Valley SAC. Air quality impacts on designated sites are usually assessed against 'critical levels' and 'critical loads'. Critical levels and critical loads are concentrations and deposition rates of pollutants, below which there is considered to be no potential for harm to a particular habitat type or qualifying feature of a designated site. In the absence of proposed mitigation measures (which cannot be taken into account at the screening stage on the basis of recent case law (Ref 9.51)), emissions from the Proposed Scheme could potentially lead to exceedances of critical levels for NO_x and NH₃ and exceedances of critical loads for nitrogen deposition and acidification. There is therefore considered to be the potential for Likely Significant Effects (LSE) in relation to air quality and this issue will be taken forwards for Appropriate Assessment.
- C. Installation and decommissioning of the Gas Pipeline and Above Ground Installation (AGI) with associated increases in noise, lighting, and human activity may lead to temporary disturbance of occasionally used otter commuting and foraging routes (see paragraph 9.6.74 of the ES Biodiversity Chapter; Examination Library Ref: APP-074). This would occur for up to a few months at a time (per watercourse) and as such, may temporarily limit the ability of the local otter population to commute and forage across the local landscape. There would also be a low risk of incidental mortality of otters, for example if excavations are left uncovered overnight. There is therefore the potential for LSE to arise, and this issue will be taken forward for Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment.
- D. During the fully operational phase (Stage 3), there will be no physical impacts on any areas of suitable or confirmed otter habitat (see paragraph 9.6.88 of the ES Biodiversity Chapter). Occasional maintenance visits could be required to the AGI where the Proposed Scheme connects to the natural gas National Transmission System. The AGI will be located to the north (a minimum of 5 m) from the Dickon Field Drain, a watercourse that could potentially be used by otters associated with the Lower Derwent Valley SAC population. Any such visits would be infrequent, and in the case of planned maintenance would take place primarily during daylight hours, when otter activity would be relatively limited. There could however be a need for visits outside normal working hours for unplanned maintenance, requiring access by personnel and use of artificial lighting during the night. Any such visits would be infrequent, with any disturbance limited to the section of the Dickon Drain adjacent to the AGI. Given that no evidence of otters has been recorded within the Dickon Field Drain and that visits would be infrequent, no Likely Significant Effects are predicted to arise (see paragraph 9.6.89 of the ES Biodiversity Chapter).
- E. The potential for the effects of other Plans and Projects to combine with those of the Proposed Scheme has been considered in the Cumulative effects chapter of the ES (Chapter 17; Examination Library Ref: APP-085). No significant cumulative effects with other Plans and Projects have been identified during the construction and decommissioning phases of the Proposed Scheme (see the Biodiversity sections of Appendix 17.1 and 17.2 of

Chapter 17 of the ES). As such no in-combination LSE are predicted to arise during the construction and decommissioning phases of the Proposed Scheme.

- F. Potential air quality impacts on designated sites have been assessed through dispersion modelling, including European Sites (see Chapter 6 (Air Quality) of the Environmental Statement (ES)). This has identified the potential for air quality impacts on the Lower Derwent Valley SAC as a result of the Proposed Scheme alone (see (b) above). There is also the potential for emissions generated by the Proposed Scheme to combine with those from other emitting developments, leading to increased cumulative impacts and in-combination effects. Other relevant developments are identified in Appendices 17.1 and 17.2 of the Cumulative Effects chapter of the ES. Given that emissions from the Proposed Scheme alone could lead to LSE (and there is also the potential for in-combination air quality effects to lead to LSE), this issue will therefore be taken forwards for Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment.

HRA Screening Matrix 3 Lower Derwent Valley RAMSAR

Name of European site and designation: Lower Derwent Valley RAMSAR												
EU Code: UK11037												
Distance to NSIP: 5.1 km to the Power Station Site, 5.7 km to the Pipeline Area												
European site features	Likely effects of NSIP											
Stage of Development	Habitat Degradation			Species Displacement			Direct Mortality			In Combination Effects		
	C	O	D	C	O	D	C	O	D	C	O	D
The river and flood meadows play a substantial role in the hydrological and ecological functioning of the Humber Basin)	✗ (a)	✓ (b)	✗ (a)							✗ (d)	✓ (e)	✗ (d)
Rich assemblage of wetland invertebrates including 16 species of dragonfly and damselfly, 15 British Red Data Book wetland invertebrates as well as a leafhopper, <i>Cicadula ornate</i> for which Lower Derwent Valley is the only known site in Great Britain.	✗ (a)	✓ (b)	✗ (a)							✗ (d)	✓ (e)	✗ (d)

Staging post for passage birds in spring. Of particular note are the nationally important numbers of Ruff, <i>Philomachus pugnax</i> and Whimbrel, <i>Numenius phaeopus</i> .	✗ (a)	✓ (b)	✗ (a)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (d)	✓ (e)	✗ (d)
Regularly supports 20,000 or more waterbirds	✗ (a)	✓ (b)	✗ (a)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (d)	✓ (e)	✗ (d)
Regularly supports 1% of the individuals in a population of the following species or subspecies of waterbird: Eurasian wigeon, <i>Anas Penelope</i> and Eurasian teal, <i>Anas crecca</i>	✗ (a)	✓ (b)	✗ (a)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (d)	✓ (e)	✗ (d)

Evidence supporting conclusions:

- A. The Ramsar site is located outside of the Proposed Scheme footprint (in excess of 5 km from the Proposed Scheme). At this distance construction phase air quality impacts would have no perceptible effect (see Appendix 6.2 of the ES Air Quality chapter; Examination Library Ref: APP-099). The Ramsar site is also upstream of the River Ouse and beyond the tidal range, meaning there is no pathway by which water-borne pollutants could be transported far enough upstream to impact Ramsar site habitats. The hydrological and ecological functioning of the Ramsar site would not therefore be affected and no LSE are predicted to arise.

- B. Potential air quality impacts on designated sites have been assessed through dispersion modelling, including European Sites (see Chapter 6 (Air Quality) of the Environmental Statement (ES) Examination Library Ref: APP-074). This has identified the potential for air quality impacts on the Lower Derwent Valley Ramsar site. Air quality impacts on designated sites are usually assessed against 'critical levels' and 'critical loads'. Critical levels and critical loads are concentrations and deposition rates of pollutants, below which there is considered to be no potential for harm to a particular habitat type or qualifying feature of a designated site. In the absence of proposed mitigation measures (which cannot be taken into account at the screening stage on the basis of recent case law (Ref 9.51)), emissions from the Proposed Scheme could potentially lead to exceedances of critical levels for NO_x and NH₃ and exceedances of critical loads for nitrogen deposition and acidification. There is therefore considered to be the potential for Likely Significant Effects (LSE) in relation to air quality and this issue will be taken forwards for Appropriate Assessment.
- C. Monthly wintering bird surveys were carried out between November 2017 and March 2018 (see paragraph 9.4.18 of the ES Biodiversity chapter; Examination Library Ref: APP-077). Breeding bird surveys between March and June 2018 (Examination Library Ref: REP1-010). None of the bird species identified on the citation for the Lower Derwent Valley Ramsar site were recorded during these surveys, with the exception of a peak count of four (4) Eurasian teal, recorded at a pond at Abbey Farm, approximately 150 m north of the Proposed Scheme. This suggests that the Proposed Scheme and adjacent habitats does not include areas of important functionally-linked habitat, which support Ramsar site birds when they are outside the Ramsar site. As such, there is considered to be a negligible risk of significant disturbance or incidental mortality of Ramsar site birds during any stage of the Proposed Scheme and no LSE are predicted to arise.
- D. The potential for the effects of other Plans and Projects to combine with those of the Proposed Scheme has been considered in the Cumulative effects chapter of the ES (Chapter 17; Examination Library Ref: APP-085). No significant cumulative effects with other Plans and Projects have been identified during the construction and decommissioning phases of the Proposed Scheme (see the Biodiversity sections of Appendix 17.1 and 17.2 of Chapter 17 of the ES). In addition, no effects on Ramsar site bird species are predicted to result from construction and decommissioning of the Proposed Scheme. As such no in-combination LSE would occur during the construction and decommissioning phases of the Proposed Scheme.
- E. Potential air quality impacts on designated sites have been assessed through dispersion modelling, including European Sites (see Chapter 6 (Air Quality) of the Environmental Statement (ES)). This has identified the potential for air quality impacts on the River Derwent SAC as a result of the Proposed Scheme alone (see (b) above). There is the potential for emissions generated by the Proposed Scheme to combine with those from other emitting developments, leading to increased cumulative effects. Other relevant developments are identified in Appendices 17.1 and 17.2 of the Cumulative Effects chapter of the ES. Given that emissions from the Proposed Scheme alone could lead to LSE, (and there is also the potential for in-combination air quality effects to lead to LSE), this issue will therefore be taken forwards for Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment.

HRA Screening Matrix 4 Lower Derwent Valley SPA

Name of European site and designation: Lower Derwent Valley SPA												
EU Code: UK9006092												
Distance to NSIP: 5.1 km to the Power Station Site, 5.7 km to the Pipeline Area												
European site features	Likely effects of NSIP											
Stage of Development	Habitat Degradation			Species Displacement			Direct Mortality			In Combination Effects		
	C	O	D	C	O	D	C	O	D	C	O	D
Supporting populations of the following Annex I species; <u>Breeding Season</u> : Northern shoveler <i>Anas clypeata</i> ; <u>Over winter</u> : <i>Eurasian wigeon</i> <i>Anas penelope</i> Bewick's Swan <i>Cygnus columbianus bewickii</i> , Golden Plover <i>Pluvialis apricaria</i> , Ruff <i>Philomachus pugnax</i>	✗ (a)	✓ (b)	✗ (a)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (d)	✓ (e)	✗ (d)
Supporting populations of following migratory species; <u>Over winter</u> : Teal <i>Anas crecca</i>	✗ (a)	✓ (b)	✗ (a)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (d)	✓ (e)	✗ (d)

Waterbird Assemblage	✗ (a)	✓ (b)	✗ (a)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (d)	✓ (e)	✗ (d)
----------------------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------

Evidence supporting conclusions:

- A. The designated feature is outside of the Proposed Scheme footprint with the SPA in excess of 5 km from the Proposed Scheme. At this distance construction phase air quality impacts would have no perceptible effect (see Appendix 6.2 of the ES Air Quality chapter; Examination Library Ref: APP-099). The SPA is also upstream of the River Ouse and beyond the tidal range, meaning there is no pathway by which water-borne pollutants could be transported far enough upstream to impact SPA habitats. There would therefore be no resultant degradation of habitats supporting SPA bird species and no LSE are predicted to arise.
- B. Potential air quality impacts on designated sites have been assessed through dispersion modelling, including European Sites (see Chapter 6 (Air Quality) of the Environmental Statement (ES); Examination Library Ref: APP-074). This has identified the potential for air quality impacts on the Lower Derwent Valley SPA. Air quality impacts on designated sites are usually assessed against 'critical levels' and 'critical loads'. Critical levels and critical loads are concentrations and deposition rates of pollutants, below which there is considered to be no potential for harm to a particular habitat type or qualifying feature of a designated site. In the absence of proposed mitigation measures (which cannot be taken into account at the screening stage on the basis of recent case law (Ref 9.51)), emissions from the Proposed Scheme could potentially lead to exceedances of critical levels for NO_x and NH₃ and exceedances of critical loads for nitrogen deposition and acidification. There is therefore considered to be the potential for Likely Significant Effects (LSE) in relation to air quality and this issue will be taken forwards for Appropriate Assessment.
- C. Monthly wintering bird surveys were carried out between November 2017 and March 2018 (see paragraph 9.4.18 of the ES Biodiversity chapter (Examination Library Ref: APP-077)). Breeding bird surveys between March and June 2018 (Examination Library Ref: REP1-010). None of the bird species identified on the citation for the Lower Derwent Valley SPA were recorded during these surveys, with the exception of a peak count of four (4) Eurasian teal, recorded at a pond at Abbey Farm, approximately 150 m north of the Proposed Scheme. This suggests that the Proposed Scheme and adjacent habitats does not include areas of important functionally-linked habitat, which support SPA birds when they are outside the SPA. As such, there is considered to be a negligible risk of significant disturbance or incidental mortality of SPA birds during any stage of the Proposed Scheme and no LSE are predicted to arise.
- D. The potential for the effects of other Plans and Projects to combine with those of the Proposed Scheme has been considered in the Cumulative effects chapter of the ES (Chapter 17; Examination Library Ref: APP-085). No significant cumulative effects with other Plans and Projects have been identified during the construction and decommissioning phases of the Proposed Scheme (see the Biodiversity sections of Appendix 17.1 and 17.2 of Chapter 17 of the ES). In addition, no effects on SPA bird species are predicted to result from construction and decommissioning of the Proposed Scheme. As such no in-combination LSE would occur during the construction and decommissioning phases of the Proposed Scheme.

- E. Potential air quality impacts on designated sites have been assessed through dispersion modelling, including European Sites (see Chapter 6 (Air Quality) of the Environmental Statement (ES)). This has identified the potential for air quality impacts on the Lower Derwent Valley SPA as a result of the Proposed Scheme alone (see (b) above). There is the potential for emissions generated by the Proposed Scheme to combine with those from other emitting developments, leading to increased cumulative effects. Other relevant developments are identified in Appendices 17.1 and 17.2 of the Cumulative Effects chapter of the ES. The air quality assessment has identified cumulative process contributions that would exceed 1% of the critical load for nitrogen deposition (see Table 6.26 in the ES Air Quality chapter) and 1% of the critical level for annual mean ammonia concentrations (see Table 6.24 of the ES Air Quality chapter). This issue will therefore be taken forwards for Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment.

HRA Screening Matrix 5: Humber Estuary SPA

Name of European site and designation: Humber Estuary SPA												
EU Code: UK9006111												
Distance to NSIP: 6.5 km to the Power Station Site, 6.0 km to the Pipeline Area												
European site features	Likely effects of NSIP											
Stage of Development	Habitat Degradation			Species Displacement			Direct Mortality			In Combination Effects		
	C	O	D	C	O	D	C	O	D	C	O	D
Used regularly by 1% or more of the Great Britain populations of the following Annex I species: Eurasian teal <i>Anas crecca</i> , Eurasian wigeon <i>Anas Penelope</i> , mallard <i>Anas platyrhynchos</i> , turnstone <i>Arenaria interpres</i> , common pochard <i>Aythya farina</i> , greater scaup <i>Aythya marila</i> , Brent goose <i>Branta bernicla bernicla</i> , common goldeneye <i>Bucephala clangula</i> , sanderling <i>Calidris alba</i> , avocet <i>Recurvirostra avosetta</i> Bittern <i>Botaurus</i>	×	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	✓	×
	(a)	(b)	(a)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(d)

<i>stellaris</i> , Hen harrier <i>Circus cyaneus</i> , Golden plover <i>Pluvialis apricaria</i> , Bar-tailed godwit <i>Limosa lapponica</i> , Ruff <i>Philomachus pugnax</i> , Marsh harrier <i>Circus aeruginosus</i> , Little tern <i>Sterna albifrons</i> , common ringed plover <i>Charadrius hiaticula</i> , Eurasian curlew <i>Numenius arquata</i> , whimbrel <i>Numenius phaeopus</i> , greenshank <i>Tringa nebularia</i> , lapwing <i>Vanellus vanellus</i> .												
Used regularly by 1% or more of the biogeographical populations of the following migratory species: Shelduck <i>Tadorna tadorna</i> , red Knot <i>Calidris canutus</i> , Dunlin <i>Calidris alpina</i> , Redshank <i>Tringa totanus</i> , Black-tailed godwit <i>Limosa limosa</i> , Eurasian oystercatcher <i>Haematopus ostralegus</i> , grey plover <i>Pluvialis squatarola</i>	× (a)	✓ (b)	× (a)	× (c)	× (c)	× (c)	× (c)	× (c)	× (c)	× (d)	✓ (e)	× (d)

Assemblage qualification under article 4.2 or use of over 20,000 waterbirds in any season.	✗ (a)	✓ (b)	✗ (a)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (d)	✓ (e)	✗ (d)
--	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------

Evidence supporting conclusions:

- A. The designated feature is outside of the Proposed Scheme footprint with the SPA in excess of 6 km from the Proposed Scheme. At this distance construction phase air quality impacts would have no perceptible effect (see Appendix 6.2 of the ES Air Quality chapter; Examination Library Ref: APP-099). The SPA is also sufficiently far downstream such that no perceptible effects on water quality are predicted to arise during any stage of the Proposed Scheme (see paragraph 12.6.82 of Chapter 12 of the ES; Examination Library Ref: APP-080). There would therefore be no resultant degradation of designated habitats supporting SPA bird species and no LSE are predicted to arise.
- B. Potential air quality impacts on designated sites have been assessed through dispersion modelling, including European Sites (see Chapter 6 (Air Quality) of the Environmental Statement (ES); Examination Library Ref: APP-074). This has identified the potential for air quality impacts on the Humber Estuary SPA. Air quality impacts on designated sites are usually assessed against 'critical levels' and 'critical loads'. Critical levels and critical loads are concentrations and deposition rates of pollutants, below which there is considered to be no potential for harm to a particular habitat type or qualifying feature of a designated site. In the absence of proposed mitigation measures (which cannot be taken into account at the screening stage on the basis of recent case law (Ref 9.51)), emissions from the Proposed Scheme could potentially lead to exceedances of critical levels for NO_x and NH₃ and exceedances of critical loads for nitrogen deposition and acidification. There is therefore considered to be the potential for Likely Significant Effects (LSE) in relation to air quality and this issue will be taken forwards for Appropriate Assessment.
- C. Monthly wintering bird surveys were carried out between November 2017 and March 2018 (see paragraph 9.4.18 of the ES Biodiversity chapter (Examination Library Ref: APP-077)). Breeding bird surveys between March and June 2018 (Examination Library Ref: REP1-010). None of the bird species identified on the citation for the Humber Estuary SPA were recorded during these surveys, with the exception of a peak count of four (4) Eurasian teal and three (3) mallard, recorded at a pond at Abbey Farm, approximately 150 m north of the Proposed Scheme and one (1) lapwing recorded on one occasion in Development Parcel A. This suggests that the Proposed Scheme and adjacent habitats does not include areas of important functionally-linked habitat, which support SPA birds when they are outside the SPA. As such, there is considered to be a negligible risk of significant disturbance of SPA birds during any stage of the Proposed Scheme and no LSE are predicted to arise.
- D. The potential for the effects of other Plans and Projects to combine with those of the Proposed Scheme has been considered in the Cumulative effects chapter of the ES (Chapter 17; Examination Library Ref: APP-085). No significant cumulative effects with other Plans and Projects have been

identified during the construction and decommissioning phases of the Proposed Scheme (see the Biodiversity sections of Appendix 17.1 and 17.2 of Chapter 17 of the ES). In addition, no effects on SPA bird species are predicted to result from construction and decommissioning of the Proposed Scheme. As such no in-combination LSE would occur during the construction and decommissioning phases of the Proposed Scheme.

- E. Potential air quality impacts on designated sites have been assessed through dispersion modelling, including European Sites (see Chapter 6 (Air Quality) of the Environmental Statement (ES)). This has identified the potential for air quality impacts on the Humber Estuary SPA as a result of the Proposed Scheme alone (see (b) above). There is the potential for emissions generated by the Proposed Scheme to combine with those from other emitting developments, leading to increased cumulative impacts. Other relevant developments are identified in Appendices 17.1 and 17.2 of the Cumulative Effects chapter of the ES. Given that emissions from the Proposed Scheme alone could lead to LSE (and there is also the potential for in-combination air quality effects to lead to LSE), this issue will therefore be taken forwards for Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment.

HRA Screening Matrix 6: Humber Estuary Ramsar Site

Name of European site and designation: Humber Estuary Ramsar Site												
EU Code: UK11031												
Distance to NSIP: 6.5 km to the Power Station Site, 6.0 km to the Pipeline Area												
European site features	Likely effects of NSIP											
Stage of Development	Habitat Degradation			Species Displacement			Direct Mortality			In Combination Effects		
	C	O	D	C	O	D	C	O	D	C	O	D
Ramsar Criterion 1: The site is a representative example of a near-natural estuary with the following component habitats: dune systems and humid dune slacks, estuarine waters, intertidal mud and sand flats, saltmarshes, and coastal brackish/saline lagoons.	✗ (a)	✓ (b)	✗ (a)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (d)	✓ (e)	✗ (d)
Ramsar criterion 3 The Humber Estuary Ramsar site supports a breeding colony of grey seals <i>Halichoerus grypus</i> at Donna Nook.	✗ (a)	✓ (b)	✗ (a)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (c)	✗ (d)	✓ (e)	✗ (d)

It is the second largest grey seal colony in England and the furthest south regular breeding site on the east coast. The dune slacks at Saltfleetby-Theddlethorpe on the southern extremity of the Ramsar site are the most north-easterly breeding site in Great Britain of the natterjack toad <i>Bufo calamita</i> .												
Ramsar criterion 5 Assemblages of international importance: 153,934 waterfowl, non-breeding season	✕ (a)	✓ (b)	✕ (a)	✕ (c)	✕ (c)	✕ (c)	✕ (c)	✕ (c)	✕ (c)	✕ (d)	✓ (e)	✕ (d)
Ramsar criterion 6 – species/populations occurring at levels of international importance: Eurasian golden plover, <i>Pluvialis apricaria</i> Altifrons; Red knot, <i>Calidris canutus</i> ; Dunlin, <i>Calidris alpina</i> Alpine; Black-tailed godwit, <i>Limosa Islandica</i> ; Common redshank, <i>Tringa</i>	✕ (a)	✓ (b)	✕ (a)	✕ (c)	✕ (c)	✕ (c)	✕ (c)	✕ (c)	✕ (c)	✕ (d)	✓ (e)	✕ (d)

<i>totanus</i> Brittanica; Common shelduck, <i>Tadorna</i> ; Bar- tailed godwit , <i>Limosa</i> <i>lapponica</i> <i>Lapponica</i> ;												
Ramsar criterion 8 The Humber Estuary acts as an important migration route for both river lamprey <i>Lampetra fluviatilis</i> and sea lamprey <i>Petromyzon marinus</i> between coastal waters and their spawning areas.	✓ (f)	✓ (b)	✓ (f)	✗ (h)	✗ (h)	✗ (h)	✗ (h)	✗ (g)	✗ (h)	✗ (d)	✓ (e)	✗ (d)

Evidence supporting conclusions:

- A. The designated feature is outside of the Proposed Scheme footprint with the Ramsar site in excess of 6 km from the Proposed Scheme. At this distance construction phase air quality impacts would have no perceptible effect (see Appendix 6.2 of the ES Air Quality chapter; Examination Library Ref: APP-099). The Ramsar site is also sufficiently far downstream such that no perceptible effects on water quality are predicted to arise during any stage of the Proposed Scheme (see paragraph 12.6.82 of Chapter 12 of the ES; Examination Library Ref: APP-080). There would therefore be no resultant degradation of designated habitats supporting Ramsar site species and no LSE are predicted to arise.
- B. Potential air quality impacts on designated sites have been assessed through dispersion modelling, including European Sites (see Chapter 6 (Air Quality) of the Environmental Statement (ES); Examination Library Ref: APP-074). This has identified the potential for air quality impacts on the Humber Estuary Ramsar site. Air quality impacts on designated sites are usually assessed against 'critical levels' and 'critical loads'. Critical levels and critical loads are concentrations and deposition rates of pollutants, below which there is considered to be no potential for harm to a particular habitat type or qualifying feature of a designated site. In the absence of proposed mitigation measures (which cannot be taken into account at the screening stage on the basis of recent case law (Ref 9.51)), emissions from the Proposed Scheme could potentially lead to exceedances of critical levels for NO_x and NH₃ and exceedances of critical loads for nitrogen deposition and acidification. There is therefore considered to be the potential for Likely Significant Effects (LSE) in relation to air quality and this issue will be taken forwards for Appropriate Assessment.

- C. Monthly wintering bird surveys were carried out between November 2017 and March 2018 (see paragraph 9.4.18 of the ES Biodiversity chapter; Examination Library Ref: APP-077). Breeding bird surveys were completed between March and June 2018 (Examination Library Ref: REP1-010). None of the bird species identified on the citation for the Humber Estuary Ramsar site were recorded during these surveys. This suggests that the Proposed Scheme and adjacent habitats does not include areas of important functional habitat, which support Ramsar site birds when they are outside the Ramsar site. Habitats within the study area for the Proposed Scheme do not provide suitable conditions for natterjack toad or grey seal, both of which are associated with coastal habitats. As such, there is considered to be a negligible risk of disturbance or incidental mortality of Ramsar site species during any stage of the Proposed Scheme, and no LSE are predicted to arise.
- D. The potential for the effects of other Plans and Projects to combine with those of the Proposed Scheme has been considered in the Cumulative effects chapter of the ES (Chapter 17; Examination Library Ref: APP-085). No significant cumulative effects with other Plans and Projects have been identified during the construction and decommissioning phases of the Proposed Scheme (see the Biodiversity sections of Appendix 17.1 and 17.2 of Chapter 17 of the ES). As such no in-combination LSE would occur during the construction and decommissioning phases of the Proposed Scheme.
- E. Potential air quality impacts on designated sites have been assessed through dispersion modelling, including European Sites (see Chapter 6 (Air Quality) of the Environmental Statement (ES)). This has identified the potential for air quality impacts on the Humber Estuary Ramsar site as a result of the Proposed Scheme alone (see (b) above). There is the potential for emissions generated by the Proposed Scheme to combine with those from other emitting developments, leading to increased cumulative effects. Other relevant developments are identified in Appendices 17.1 and 17.2 of the Cumulative Effects chapter of the ES. Given that emissions from the Proposed Scheme alone could lead to LSE (and there is also the potential for in-combination air quality effects to lead to LSE), this issue will therefore be taken forwards for Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment.
- F. The Ramsar Site is located approximately 6km from the Proposed Scheme. The Ramsar Site is downstream of and hydrologically connected to the Proposed Scheme via the River Ouse, which flows into the Humber Estuary. Due to the intervening distance and associated dilution of any pollution or contamination accidentally released, the Proposed Scheme would not cause any perceptible water quality impacts within the Ramsar Site (see paragraph 12.6.82 of Chapter 12 of the ES). No suitable habitat for river or sea lamprey has been recorded within 50 m of the Site. During construction and decommissioning of the Proposed Scheme activities such as vegetation clearance, demolition of structures and earthworks could result in the incidental release of silt, fuels and other chemicals. Any contaminants released could potentially be transported into the River Ouse via surface water connections, with the River Ouse approximately 85 m from the Proposed Scheme at the closest point. The River Ouse is likely to be used by lamprey migrating between the Humber Estuary and upstream breeding sites. River and sea lamprey are also qualifying interests for The River Derwent SAC, upstream of the Proposed Scheme and also hydrologically connected to the River Ouse. As such, changes in water quality within the Ouse could potentially be transported downstream to the River Derwent. River and sea lamprey forming part of the Humber Estuary Ramsar

site populations could therefore be affected if the condition of habitats within the River Ouse or River Derwent was affected. There is therefore considered to be the potential for Likely Significant Effects (LSE) in relation to water quality and this issue will be taken forwards for Appropriate Assessment.

- G. The existing water cooling system used within the Existing Drax Power Station Complex will continue to be used for the Proposed Scheme, with the same intake and outflow volumes and temperature of water returning to the River Ouse. As there will be no change in the cooling water infrastructure and therefore any associated risk of fish entrainment, no LSE are predicted to arise (see Paragraph 3.2.17 of Chapter 3 of the ES; Examination Library Ref: APP-071).
- H. No suitable habitat for Ramsar site fish species has been recorded within 50 m of the Proposed Scheme. The closest suitable watercourse for Ramsar fish species is the River Ouse, which is located approximately 85 m north of the Pipeline Area (see paragraph 9.5.56 of the ES Biodiversity Chapter). Given the absence of suitable habitat within or adjacent to the footprint of the Proposed Scheme, no displacement or mortality of Ramsar fish species is predicted to arise as a result of site clearance or construction activities. As such, no LSE are predicted to arise in relation to displacement or mortality of Ramsar fish species.

HRA Screening Matrix 7 Humber Estuary SAC

Name of European site and designation: Humber Estuary SPA												
EU Code: UK9006111												
Distance to NSIP: 6.5 km to the Power Station Site, 6.0 km to the Pipeline Area												
European site features	Likely effects of NSIP											
Stage of Development	Habitat Degradation			Species Displacement			Direct Mortality			In Combination Effects		
	C	O	D	C	O	D	C	O	D	C	O	D
1130 Estuaries	✗ (a)	✓ (b)	✗ (a)							✗ (c)	✓ (d)	✗ (c)
1330 Atlantic salt meadows and a range of other sand dune types (H1110 Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time; H1140 Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide; H1310 Salicornia and other annuals colonising mud and	✗ (a)	✓ (b)	✗ (a)							✗ (c)	✓ (d)	✗ (c)

sand; and 1150 coastal lagoons)												
1140 Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide	✗ (a)	✓ (b)	✗ (a)							✗ (c)	✓ (d)	✗ (c)
1110 Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time	✗ (a)	✓ (b)	✗ (a)							✗ (c)	✓ (d)	✗ (c)
1150 Coastal lagoons * Priority feature	✗ (a)	✓ (b)	✗ (a)							✗ (c)	✓ (d)	✗ (c)
1310 Salicornia and other annuals colonizing mud and sand	✗ (a)	✓ (b)	✗ (a)							✗ (c)	✓ (d)	✗ (c)
1330 Atlantic salt meadows <i>Glauco-Puccinellietalia maritima</i>	✗ (a)	✓ (b)	✗ (a)							✗ (c)	✓ (d)	✗ (c)
2110 Embryonic shifting dunes	✗ (a)	✓ (b)	✗ (a)							✗ (c)	✓ (d)	✗ (c)
2120 "Shifting dunes along the shoreline with <i>Ammophila arenaria</i> ("white dunes")	✗ (a)	✓ (b)	✗ (a)							✗ (c)	✓ (d)	✗ (c)

2130 "Fixed coastal dunes with herbaceous vegetation ("grey dunes")" * Priority feature	✗ (a)	✓ (b)	✗ (a)							✗ (c)	✓ (d)	✗ (c)
2160 Dunes with <i>Hippopha rhamnoides</i>	✗ (a)	✓ (b)	✗ (a)							✗ (c)	✓ (d)	✗ (c)
1095 Sea lamprey <i>Petromyzon marinus</i>	✓ (e)	✓ (b)	✓ (e)	✗ (h)	✗ (h)	✗ (h)	✗ (h)	✗ (f)	✗ (h)	✗ (c)	✓ (d)	✗ (c)
1099 River lamprey <i>Lampetra fluviatilis</i>	✓ (e)	✓ (b)	✓ (e)	✗ (h)	✗ (h)	✗ (h)	✗ (h)	✗ (f)	✗ (h)	✗ (c)	✓ (d)	✗ (c)
1364 Grey seal <i>Halichoerus grypus</i>	✗ (a)	✓ (b)	✗ (a)	✗ (g)	✗ (g)	✗ (g)	✗ (g)	✗ (g)	✗ (g)	✗ (c)	✓ (d)	✗ (c)

Evidence supporting conclusions:

- A. The designated feature is outside of the Proposed Scheme footprint with the SAC site in excess of 6 km from the Proposed Scheme. At this distance construction phase air quality impacts would have no perceptible effect (see Appendix 6.2 of the ES Air Quality chapter; Examination Library Ref: APP-099). The SAC is also sufficiently far downstream such that no perceptible effects on water quality are predicted to arise during any stage of the Proposed Scheme (see paragraph 12.6.82 of Chapter 12 of the ES; Examination Library Ref: APP-080). There would therefore be no resultant degradation of designated habitats supporting SAC species and no LSE are predicted to arise.
- B. Potential air quality impacts on designated sites have been assessed through dispersion modelling, including European Sites (see Chapter 6 (Air Quality) of the Environmental Statement (ES); Examination Library Ref: APP-074). This has identified the potential for air quality impacts on the Humber Estuary SAC. Air quality impacts on designated sites are usually assessed against 'critical levels' and 'critical loads'. Critical levels and critical loads are concentrations and deposition rates of pollutants, below which there is considered to be no potential for harm to a particular habitat type

or qualifying feature of a designated site. In the absence of proposed mitigation measures (which cannot be taken into account at the screening stage on the basis of recent case law (Ref 9.51)), emissions from the Proposed Scheme could potentially lead to exceedances of critical levels for NO_x and NH₃ and exceedances of critical loads for nitrogen deposition and acidification. There is therefore considered to be the potential for Likely Significant Effects (LSE) in relation to air quality and this issue will be taken forwards for Appropriate Assessment.

- C. The potential for the effects of other Plans and Projects to combine with those of the Proposed Scheme has been considered in the Cumulative effects chapter of the ES (Chapter 17; Examination Library Ref: APP-085). No significant cumulative effects with other Plans and Projects have been identified during the construction and decommissioning phases of the Proposed Scheme (see the Biodiversity sections of Appendix 17.1 and 17.2 of Chapter 17 of the ES). As such no in-combination LSE would occur during the construction and decommissioning phases of the Proposed Scheme.
- D. Potential air quality impacts on designated sites have been assessed through dispersion modelling, including European Sites (see Chapter 6 (Air Quality) of the Environmental Statement (ES)). This has identified the potential for air quality impacts on the Humber Estuary SAC as a result of the Proposed Scheme alone (see (b) above). There is the potential for emissions generated by the Proposed Scheme to combine with those from other emitting developments, leading to increased cumulative effects. Other relevant developments are identified in Appendices 17.1 and 17.2 of the Cumulative Effects chapter of the ES. Given that emissions from the Proposed Scheme alone could lead to LSE (and there is also the potential for in-combination air quality effects to lead to LSE), this issue will therefore be taken forwards for Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment.
- E. The SAC is located approximately 6km from the Proposed Scheme. The SAC is downstream of and hydrologically connected to the Proposed Scheme via the River Ouse, which flows into the Humber Estuary. Due to the intervening distance and associated dilution of any pollution or contamination accidentally released, the Proposed Scheme would not cause any perceptible water quality impacts within the SAC (see paragraph 12.6.82 of Chapter 12 of the ES). No suitable habitat for river or sea lamprey has been recorded within 50 m of the Site. During construction and decommissioning of the Proposed Scheme activities such as vegetation clearance, demolition of structures and earthworks could result in the incidental release of silt, fuels and other chemicals. Any contaminants released could however be transported into the River Ouse via surface water connections, with the River Ouse approximately 85 m from the Proposed Scheme at the closest point. The River Ouse is likely to be used by lamprey migrating between the Humber Estuary and upstream breeding sites. River and sea lamprey are also qualifying interests for The River Derwent SAC, upstream of the Proposed Scheme and also hydrologically connected to the River Ouse. As such, changes in water quality within the Ouse could potentially be transported upstream to the River Derwent. River and sea lamprey forming part of the Humber Estuary SAC populations could therefore be affected if the condition of habitats within the River Ouse or River Derwent was affected. There is therefore considered to be the potential for Likely Significant Effects (LSE) in relation to water quality and this issue will be taken forwards for Appropriate Assessment.

- F. The existing water cooling system used within the Existing Drax Power Station Complex will continue to be used for the Proposed Scheme, with the same intake and outflow volumes and temperature of water returning to the River Ouse. As there will be no change in the cooling water infrastructure and therefore any associated risk of fish entrainment, no LSE are predicted to arise (see Paragraph 3.2.17 of Chapter 3 of the ES; Examination Library Ref: APP-071).
- G. Grey seal is a species associated primarily with coastal and marine habitats. Although subject to tidal influences, the River Ouse adjacent to the Proposed Scheme does not provide suitable habitat conditions for grey seal. The Proposed Scheme is located several kilometres upstream of the mouth of the estuary with the River Ouse in this location also observed to experience high velocity flows that would further discourage grey seals from travelling upstream from the estuary. As grey seals are highly unlikely to use habitats adjacent to the Proposed Scheme, no LSE are predicted to arise.
- H. No suitable habitat for SAC fish species has been recorded within 50 m of the Proposed Scheme. The closest suitable watercourse for SAC fish species is the River Ouse, which is located approximately 85 m north of the Pipeline Area (see paragraph 9.5.56 of the ES Biodiversity Chapter). Given the absence of suitable habitat within or adjacent to the footprint of the Proposed Scheme, no displacement or mortality of SAC fish species is predicted to arise as a result of site clearance or construction activities. As such, no LSE are predicted to arise in relation to displacement or mortality of SAC fish species.

HRA Screening Matrix 8: Skipwith Common SAC

Name of European site and designation: Skipwith Common SAC												
EU Code: UK0030276												
Distance to NSIP: 8.5 km to the Power Station Site, 8.0 km to the Pipeline Area												
European site features	Likely effects of NSIP											
Stage of Development	Habitat Degradation			Species Displacement			Direct Mortality			In Combination Effects		
	C	O	D	C	O	D	C	O	D	C	O	D
4010 Northern Atlantic wet heaths with <i>Erica tetralix</i>	✗ (a)	✓ (b)	✗ (a)							✗ (c)	✓ (d)	✗ (c)
4030 European dry heaths	✗ (a)	✓ (b)	✗ (a)							✗ (c)	✓ (d)	✗ (c)

Evidence supporting conclusions:

- A. The SAC is located outside of the Proposed Scheme footprint (in excess of 8 km from the Proposed Scheme). At this distance construction phase air quality impacts would have no perceptible effect (see Appendix 6.2 of the ES Air Quality chapter; Examination Library Ref: APP-099). There are no surface water connections leading to the SAC from the catchment of the Proposed Scheme, or other impact pathways by which any construction and decommissioning phase impacts could affect the SAC. As such, no resultant LSE are predicted to arise.
- B. Potential air quality impacts on designated sites have been assessed through dispersion modelling, including European Sites (see Chapter 6 (Air Quality) of the Environmental Statement (ES); Examination Library Ref: APP-074). This has identified the potential for air quality impacts on Skipwith Common SAC. Air quality impacts on designated sites are usually assessed against 'critical levels' and 'critical loads'. Critical levels and critical loads

are concentrations and deposition rates of pollutants, below which there is considered to be no potential for harm to a particular habitat type or qualifying feature of a designated site. In the absence of proposed mitigation measures (which cannot be taken into account at the screening stage on the basis of recent case law (Ref 9.51)), emissions from the Proposed Scheme could potentially lead to exceedances of critical levels for NO_x and NH₃ and exceedances of critical loads for nitrogen deposition and acidification. There is therefore considered to be the potential for Likely Significant Effects (LSE) in relation to air quality and this issue will be taken forwards for Appropriate Assessment.

- C. The Proposed Scheme is predicted to have no effects whatsoever on the Skipwith Common SAC during the construction and decommissioning phases of the Proposed Scheme. As such, there are no pathways via which the Proposed Scheme could contribute to an in-combination effect with other plans and projects and no LSE are predicted to occur.
- D. Potential air quality impacts on designated sites have been assessed through dispersion modelling, including European Sites (see Chapter 6 (Air Quality) of the ES). This has identified the potential for air quality impacts on Skipwith Common SAC as a result of the Proposed Scheme alone (see (b) above). There is the potential for emissions generated by the Proposed Scheme to combine with those from other emitting developments, leading to increased cumulative effects. Other relevant developments are identified in Appendices 17.1 and 17.2 of the Cumulative Effects chapter of the ES; Examination Library Ref: APP-085. Given that emissions from the Proposed Scheme alone could lead to LSE (and there is also the potential for in-combination air quality effects to lead to LSE), this issue will therefore be taken forwards for Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment.

HRA Screening Matrix 9: Thorne & Hatfield Moors SPA

Name of European site and designation: Thorne & Hatfield Moors SPA												
EU Code: UK9005171												
Distance to NSIP: 9.3 km to the Power Station Site, 7.6 km to the Pipeline Area												
European site features	Likely effects of NSIP											
Stage of Development	Habitat Degradation			Species Displacement			Direct Mortality			In Combination Effects		
	C	O	D	C	O	D	C	O	D	C	O	D
Supporting populations of the following Annex I species; <u>Breeding Season</u> : Nightjar <i>Caprimulgus europaeus</i>	✗ (a)	✓ (b)	✗ (a)	✗ (a)	✗ (a)	✗ (a)	✗ (a)	✗ (a)	✗ (a)	✗ (c)	✓ (d)	✗ (c)

Evidence supporting conclusions:

- A. The Proposed Scheme is located in excess of 7 km from the SPA. No suitable habitat for nightjar has been recorded at or adjacent to the Proposed Scheme, with an absence of the species' preferred heathland or forestry habitats present (see Table 9-5 of the Biodiversity chapter of the ES; Examination Library Ref: APP-077). A review of Natural England Priority Habitat mapping and publicly available online aerial photography also suggests that such habitats are absent from areas that are hydrologically connected and downstream of the Proposed Scheme. As such, nightjar are highly unlikely to use any areas of habitat that could be affected by construction or decommissioning activities and as such experience any effects during these stages of the Proposed Scheme. No LSE are therefore predicted to occur.
- B. Potential air quality impacts on designated sites have been assessed through dispersion modelling, including European Sites (see Chapter 6 (Air Quality) of the Environmental Statement (ES); Examination Library Ref: APP-074). This has identified the potential for air quality impacts on Thorne

and Hatfield Moors SPA. Air quality impacts on designated sites are usually assessed against 'critical levels' and 'critical loads'. Critical levels and critical loads are concentrations and deposition rates of pollutants, below which there is considered to be no potential for harm to a particular habitat type or qualifying feature of a designated site. In the absence of proposed mitigation measures (which cannot be taken into account at the screening stage on the basis of recent case law (Ref 9.51)), emissions from the Proposed Scheme could potentially lead to exceedances of critical levels for NO_x and NH₃ and exceedances of critical loads for nitrogen deposition and acidification. There is therefore considered to be the potential for Likely Significant Effects (LSE) in relation to air quality and this issue will be taken forward for Appropriate Assessment.

- C. The Proposed Scheme alone is predicted to have no effects whatsoever on Thorne and Hatfield Moors SPA during the construction and decommissioning phases. As such, there are no pathways via which the Proposed Scheme could contribute to an in-combination effect with other plans and projects and no LSE are predicted to occur.
- D. Potential air quality impacts on designated sites have been assessed through dispersion modelling, including European Sites (see Chapter 6 (Air Quality) of the Environmental Statement (ES)). This has identified that there would be no significant air quality impacts on Thorne and Hatfield Moor SPA as a result of the Proposed Scheme alone. There is the potential for emissions generated by the Proposed Scheme to combine with those from other emitting developments, leading to increased cumulative effects. Other relevant developments are identified in Appendices 17.1 and 17.2 of the Cumulative Effects chapter of the ES; Examination Library Ref: APP-085. Given that emissions from the Proposed Scheme alone could lead to LSE (and there is also the potential for in-combination air quality effects to lead to LSE), this issue will therefore be taken forwards for Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment.

HRA Screening Matrix 10: Thorne Moor SAC

Name of European site and designation: Thorne Moor SAC												
EU Code: UK0012915												
Distance to NSIP: 9.3 km to the Power Station Site, 7.6 km to the Pipeline Area												
European site features	Likely effects of NSIP											
Stage of Development	Habitat Degradation			Species Displacement			Direct Mortality			In Combination Effects		
	C	O	D	C	O	D	C	O	D	C	O	D
7120 Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration	✗ (a)	✓ (b)	✗ (a)							✗ (c)	✓ (d)	✗ (c)

Evidence supporting conclusions:

- (a) The Proposed Scheme is located in excess of 7 km from the SAC. No raised bog or other habitats that could have a supporting role for habitats within the SAC are present on or adjacent to the Site (see Table 9-5 of the Biodiversity chapter of the ES; Examination Library Ref: APP-077). The SAC is located outside the drainage catchment of the Proposed Scheme, so could not be subject to any hydrological effects arising from the Proposed Scheme. No other impact pathways by which the SAC could be affected by the Proposed Scheme have been identified. No effects on SAC habitats are therefore expected to occur during construction and decommissioning. As such, no LSE predicted to occur.
- (b) Potential air quality impacts on designated sites have been assessed through dispersion modelling, including European Sites (see Chapter 6 (Air Quality) of the Environmental Statement (ES); Examination Library Ref: APP-074). This has identified the potential for air quality impacts on Thorne Moor SAC. Air quality impacts on designated sites are usually assessed against 'critical levels' and 'critical loads'. Critical levels and critical loads are concentrations and deposition rates of pollutants, below which there is considered to be no potential for harm to a particular habitat type or qualifying feature of a designated site. In the absence of proposed mitigation measures (which cannot be taken into account at the screening stage

on the basis of recent case law (Ref 9.51)), emissions from the Proposed Scheme could potentially lead to exceedances of critical levels for NO_x and NH₃ and exceedances of critical loads for nitrogen deposition and acidification. There is therefore considered to be the potential for Likely Significant Effects (LSE) in relation to air quality and this issue will be taken forward for Appropriate Assessment.

- (c) The Proposed Scheme alone is predicted to have no effects whatsoever on Thorne Moor SAC during the construction and decommissioning phases. As such, there are no pathways via which the Proposed Scheme could contribute to an in-combination effect with other plans and projects and no LSE are predicted to occur.
- (d) Potential air quality impacts on designated sites have been assessed through dispersion modelling, including European Sites (see Chapter 6 (Air Quality) of the Environmental Statement (ES)). This has identified the potential for air quality impacts on Thorne Moor SAC as a result of the Proposed Scheme alone (see (b) above). There is the potential for emissions generated by the Proposed Scheme to combine with those from other emitting developments, leading to increased cumulative effects. Other relevant developments are identified in Appendices 17.1 and 17.2 of the Cumulative Effects chapter of the ES; Examination Library Ref: APP-085. Given that emissions from the Proposed Scheme alone could lead to LSE (and there is also the potential for in-combination air quality effects to lead to LSE), this issue will therefore be taken forwards for Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment.

APPENDIX 2 – HRA INTEGRITY MATRICES

Planning Inspectorate

Advice Note 10

Habitats Regulations Assessment

Appendix 2: Drax Repower HRA Integrity Matrices (submitted for Deadline 6)

STAGE 2: EFFECTS ON INTEGRITY

Likely significant effects have been identified for the following sites:

- River Derwent SAC
- Lower Derwent Valley Ramsar
- Lower Derwent Valley SAC
- Lower Derwent Valley SPA
- Humber Estuary SAC
- Humber Estuary SPA
- Humber Estuary Ramsar
- Skipwith Common SAC
- Thorne and Hatfield Moors SPA
- Thorne Moors SAC

These sites have been subject to further assessment in order to establish if the NSIP could have an adverse effect on their integrity. Evidence for the conclusions reached on integrity is detailed within the footnotes to the matrices below.

Matrix Key

✓ = Adverse effect on integrity **cannot** be excluded

✗ = Adverse effect on integrity **can** be excluded

C = construction

O = operation

D = decommissioning



HRA Integrity Matrix 1: River Derwent SAC

Name of European site and designation: River Derwent SAC															
EU Code: UK0030253															
Distance to NSIP 0.8 km to the Power Station Site, 1.1km to the Pipeline Area															
European site features	Adverse effect on integrity														
<i>Effect</i>	<i>Species Displacement</i>			<i>Habitat Degradation effects (hydrological)</i>			<i>Habitat degradation Effects (Air Quality)</i>			<i>Direct mortality</i>			<i>In combination effects</i>		
<i>Stage of Development</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>O</i>	<i>D</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>O</i>	<i>D</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>O</i>	<i>D</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>O</i>	<i>D</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>O</i>	<i>D</i>
3260 Water courses of plain to montane levels with the <u>Ranunculon fluitantis</u> and <u>Callitricho-Batrachion</u> vegetation				X(a)	X(a)	X(a)		X(d)						X(d)	
1099 River lamprey <u>Lampetra fluviatilis</u>				X(b)	X(b)	X(b)		X(d)						X(d)	
1095 Sea lamprey <u>Petromyzon marinus</u>				X(b)	X(b)	X(b)		X(d)						X(d)	
1163 Bullhead <u>Cottus gobio</u>				X(b)	X(b)	X(b)		X(d)						X(d)	

1355 Otter <i>Lutra lutra</i>	X(c)		X(c)	X(b)	X(b)	X(b)		X(d)		X(c)		X(c)		X(d)	
-------------------------------	------	--	------	------	------	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--

Evidence supporting conclusions

- a. No adverse effects on the integrity of the River Derwent SAC habitats are predicted as a result of construction or operational phase hydrological impacts. This is because there is limited potential for any upstream transport of silt or other pollutants from the Proposed Scheme reaching the River Derwent (paragraph 12.3.4 of the Water Resources, Quality and Hydrology ES chapter; Examination Library Ref: APP-080) and due to the presence of the Barmby Tidal barrage at the mouth of the River Derwent, which inhibits upstream flows into the Derwent from the Ouse.
- b. As set out in paragraph (a), above, hydrological impacts would lead to no adverse effects on the integrity of the River Derwent SAC habitats and hence their suitability to support SAC fish species or otter. It is however also necessary to consider the potential implications of water quality changes in the River Ouse (downstream of the River Derwent), in relation to SAC fish species and otter. This is because migratory species (river lamprey and sea lamprey) could use the section of the Ouse between the Humber Estuary (downstream of the Proposed Scheme) and the River Derwent SAC (upstream of the Proposed Scheme). Otter have large home ranges and individuals associated with the River Derwent SAC are also likely to use the River Ouse and potentially parts of the Humber Estuary. The proposed CEMP will control potential hydrological impacts during construction and decommissioning, with no deterioration of the WFD status of the River Ouse (located upstream of the Humber Estuary SAC, SPA and Ramsar Site and downstream of the River Derwent SAC) predicted (paragraphs 12.6.13 of the Water Resources, Quality and Hydrology Chapter). During operation, existing and proposed drainage measures would ensure any impacts on water quality within suitable water features for migratory fish species and otters would be negligible (see paragraphs 12.6.50 – 12.6.53 of the ES Water Resources, Quality and Hydrology Chapter). No perceptible changes in the water quality of the Humber Estuary are predicted (paragraph 12.6.13 of the water quality resources chapter).

Bullhead are not expected to be present within the River Ouse downstream or immediately upstream of the Proposed Scheme. This is because bullhead is a freshwater species that does not inhabit tidal waters. The EA identify saline intrusion as a potential water quality issue for groundwater at the Site (paragraph 12.5.15 of the Water Resources, Quality and Hydrology Chapter). Tidal influences also raise the level of the River Ouse by approximately 4.2 m (paragraph 12.5.12 of

the Water Resources, Quality and Hydrology Chapter), further confirming tidal influences in the stretch of the Ouse adjacent to and downstream of the Site.

- c.** Evidence of otter has been recorded along the River Ouse and on some of the smaller watercourses along the route of the Gas Pipeline (paragraphs 9.5.28 – 9.5.32 of the ES Biodiversity Chapter; Examination Library Ref: APP-077). Installation of the Gas Pipeline will result in temporary disturbance of habitats within the Pipeline Area. No watercourses are expected to be directly physically impacted, where the pipeline is installed under watercourses using trenchless techniques (see paragraph 3.3.19 of the ES Site and Project Description Chapter; Examination Library Ref: APP-071). However, where open-cut techniques are used, there may be temporary impacts to otter commuting, foraging and resting habitat. Mitigation will be implemented to negate any potential impacts on commuting or foraging otter. Specifically, the maintenance of adequate channel and bankside habitat during the works to ensure commuting can continue unimpeded (with directional fencing used where necessary); the avoidance of night-time working and lighting; and construction best-practice to ensure otters do not come into contact with open trenches and other areas where otters may be trapped and injured or killed. Current survey data demonstrates that no potential resting sites will be impacted upon. Updated survey data prior to construction will determine whether this situation remains. If resting sites are found during updated survey to be impacted, mitigation will be implemented (comprising replacement habitat) to ensure no net loss and maintenance of the species Favourable Conservation Status. This, in turn will ensure no adverse effects on integrity. Construction of the Gas Pipeline would take up to a year including construction of the Gas Receiving Facility and Above Ground Installation. Installation of the Gas Pipeline only is expected to take approximately four months (see Paragraph 3.3.27 of the ES Site and Project Description Chapter). The Project CEMP would also include measures to limit indirect effects on watercourses (see paragraph 12.6.13 of the ES Water Resources, Quality and Hydrology Chapter) and measures to prevent the incidental mortality of otters (see paragraph 9.6.74 of the ES Biodiversity Chapter) during installation of the pipeline. Given the above measures, any displacement of otters that occurs during construction, operation or decommissioning of the Proposed Scheme would be minor and short term, with no perceptible effect on the SAC population. This would not compromise the favourable conservation status of populations associated with the River Derwent SAC and hence there would be no adverse effect on the integrity of the SAC (see Paragraphs 9.6.80, 9.6.87 and 9.6.90 of the ES Biodiversity Chapter).
- d.** Chapter 6 of the ES (Air Quality; Examination Library Ref: APP-074) sets out the methodology and results of air quality dispersion modelling of the Proposed Scheme. This includes quantification of potential air quality impacts on designated ecological sites, including Natura 2000 Sites. Table 6.16, 6.17, 6.21 and 6.23 of the ES Air Quality chapter sets out the predicted numerical air quality impacts of the Proposed Scheme, based on a realistic worst-case scenario for operation (see

paragraph 6.4.13 of the ES Air Quality Chapter for a detailed description of the modelling assumptions). This includes the predicted impact of the Proposed Scheme alone on levels of Nitrous Oxides (NO_x), ammonia (NH₃), nitrogen deposition and acidification. Predicted cumulative impacts with other projects for these gas species are also presented in Tables 6.21 and 6.23. The worst-case scenario assessed in the air quality chapter is considered in this SIAA, i.e operation of both units with Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) and the annualised ammonia budget (see paragraph 6.4.13 to 6.4.15 of Chapter 6 of the ES).

The air quality modelling shows that the Proposed Scheme will not lead to any exceedances of AQ standards for NO_x or NH₃ concentrations, either alone or in-combination with other plans or projects (see tables 6.16 and 6.17 and tables 6.21 and 6.23 in Chapter 6 of the ES). The River Derwent (and the hydrologically connected downstream River Ouse) is not considered to be sensitive to the effects of nitrogen deposition and associated acidification, due to the River's water quality. Environment Agency (EA) monitoring data indicates that the River Derwent is strongly phosphate limited. In phosphate limited systems, additional inputs of nitrogen have limited effects on plant productivity, as phosphate is the primary limiting nutrient. As such, additional inputs from the Proposed Scheme, both alone or in-combination with other Plans or Projects, would be unlikely to lead to any perceptible eutrophication effects on freshwater habitats within the SAC.

Air Quality modelling was updated at Deadline 5 to reflect a marginal increase in the proposed stack heights (see the Applicants Air Quality Technical Note; Examination Library Ref: REP5-019). The updated air quality modelling demonstrated that the change in stack height had a negligible effect on air quality impacts. The Assessment of the effects of air quality impacts on European Sites therefore remains unchanged from that previously presented.

It should also be noted that the current condition of the SSSI is favourable, despite the large inputs of nitrogen from existing diffuse agricultural sources. The constituent SSSI Units of the River Derwent SAC (River Derwent SSSI and Newton Mask SSSI) within 15 km of the Project Site, were all assessed as being in 'favourable', 'unfavourable recovering' or 'unfavourable no change' condition when last assessed. A copy of the last SSSI unit condition assessment is provided in Appendix 3 of this SIAA. 5.53% of the River Derwent SSSI was reported as being in 'favourable' condition, 93.69% recorded as being in 'unfavourable – recovering' condition, with the remaining 0.78% classed as 'unfavourable no change'. Unit 21 of this SSSI was classed as 'unfavourable no change' due to ponds having been filled in and scrub management being required. For the Newton Mask SSSI, 100% of the SSSI units are reported to be in 'favourable' condition. The SSSI condition assessment reports identify that the botanical diversity of the SSSI appears to remain similar to that observed during previous botanical surveys and assessments of the Site.

In light of the information presented above, no adverse effects to the integrity of the SAC are predicted.

HRA Integrity Matrix 2: Lower Derwent Valley SAC

Name of European site and designation: Lower Derwent SAC												
EU Code: UK0012844												
Distance to NSIP: 5.1 km to the Power Station Site, 5.7 km to the Pipeline Area												
European site features	Adverse effect on integrity											
<i>Effect</i>	<i>Habitat degradation</i>			<i>Species Displacement</i>			<i>Direct mortality</i>			<i>In combination effects</i>		
<i>Stage of Development</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>O</i>	<i>D</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>O</i>	<i>D</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>O</i>	<i>D</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>O</i>	<i>D</i>
6510 Lowland hay meadows <i>Alopecurus pratensis</i> , <i>Sanguisorba officinalis</i>		X(a)									X(a)	
91E0 Alluvial forests with Alder <i>Alnus glutinosa</i> and Ash <i>Fraxinus excelsior</i> (<i>Alno-Padion</i> , <i>Alnion incanae</i> , <i>Salicion albae</i>)		X(a)									X(a)	
1355 Otter <i>Lutra lutra</i>	X(b)	X(a, b)	X(b)	X(c)	X(c)	X(c)	X(c)	X(c)	X(c)		X(a)	

- a.** Chapter 6 of the ES (Air Quality; Examination Library Ref APP-074) sets out the methodology and results of air quality dispersion modelling of the Proposed Scheme. This includes quantification of potential air quality impacts on designated ecological sites, including Natura 2000 Sites. Tables 6.16 to 6.20 of the ES Air Quality chapter sets out the predicted numerical air quality impacts of the Proposed Scheme, based on a realistic worst-case scenario for operation (see paragraph 6.4.13 of the ES Air Quality Chapter for a description of the modelling assumptions). This includes the predicted impact of the Proposed Scheme alone on levels of Nitrous Oxides (NO_x), ammonia (NH₃), nitrogen deposition and acidification. Predicted cumulative impacts with other projects for these gas species are also presented in Tables 6.21 to 6.25. The worst-case scenario assessed in the air quality chapter is considered in this SIAA, i.e. operation of both units with Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) with the annualised ammonia budget (see paragraph 6.4.13 to 6.4.15 of the ES Air Quality Chapter).

Air Quality modelling was updated at Deadline 5 to reflect a marginal increase in the proposed stack heights (see the Applicants Air Quality Technical Note; Examination Library Ref: REP5-019). The updated air quality modelling demonstrated that the change in stack height had a negligible effect on air quality impacts. The Assessment of the effects of air quality impacts on European Sites therefore remains unchanged from that previously presented.

The air quality modelling shows that the Proposed Scheme (taking into account embedded mitigation measures to minimise operational emissions of NO_x and NH₃) will not lead to any exceedances of AQ standards for NO_x or NH₃ concentrations, either alone or in-combination with other plans or projects (see tables 6.16 and 6.17 and tables 6.21 and 6.23 in Chapter 6 of the ES). The Proposed Scheme alone will not lead to significant nitrogen or acid deposition onto the Lower Derwent Valley SAC. There is a maximum modelled process contribution of 0.8% and 0.2% for nitrogen and acid deposition respectively (see Table 6.19 and 6.20 of the ES Air Quality Chapter, respectively). The process contribution from the Proposed Scheme also reduces with increasing distance from the stacks. For example, the maximum process contribution for nitrogen deposition onto the Brighton Meadows SSSI component of the SAC (the closest part of the site), is predicted to be 0.8%. The maximum process contribution for nitrogen deposition onto the Derwent Ings SSSI component of the SAC (approximately 2 km further north than Brighton Meadows SSSI), is predicted to be 0.5%. As the impacts of the Proposed Scheme alone lead to no exceedances of critical levels or process contributions in excess of 1% of critical loads, no adverse effects on the integrity of the SAC are predicted to arise.

Information on the Air Pollution Information Service (APIS) website (Ref 9.54) identifies that the 91E0 Alluvial forests habitat type is not susceptible to the effects of eutrophication or acidification. As such, nitrogen deposition and acidification from the Proposed Scheme is not predicted to have any perceptible effects on this habitat. The SSSI citations for the

underpinning SSSI components of the SAC are also identified as being comprised of lowland meadow habitats, with the 91E0 habitat type associated with sections of the SAC in excess of 15 km from the Proposed Scheme. In light of the above, the Proposed Scheme is predicted to have no perceptible air quality impacts on this habitat type.

The maximum predicted cumulative impact of the Proposed Scheme would be 1.6% for nitrogen deposition and 0.5% for acidification (see Tables 6.25 and 6.26 of the ES Air Quality Chapter). The cumulative acid deposition impact is predicted to lead to a *de minimus* in-combination effect, which will lead to no perceptible vegetative change of SAC habitats. The cumulative nitrogen deposition impact reduces with increasing distance from site. Whilst a maximum impact of 1.6% of critical load is predicted over the Brighton Meadows SSSI component of the SAC, the maximum impact over the more distant Derwent Ings SSSI component is 1.4%, declining further with increasing distance from the Proposed Scheme. The Brighton Meadows SSSI has an area of 38.79 ha, representing approximately 4.2% by area of the SAC.

The constituent SSSI Units of the Lower Derwent SAC (Brighton Meadows SSSI and Derwent Ings SSSI) within 15 km of the Site, were all assessed as being in 'favourable' or 'unfavourable recovering' condition when last assessed despite the large inputs of nitrogen from existing sources (which exceed the lower band of the site relevant critical load). A copy of the last SSSI unit condition assessment is provided in Appendix 3 of this SIAA. 92.86% of the Brighton Meadows SSSI was reported as being in 'favourable' condition, with the remaining 7.14% recorded as being in 'unfavourable – recovering' condition. For the Derwent Ings SSSI, 59.7% of the SSSI units are reported to be in 'favourable' condition, with the remaining 40.3% of the SSSI units in 'unfavourable – recovering' condition. The SSSI condition assessment reports identify that the botanical diversity of the SSSI appears to remain similar to that observed during previous botanical surveys and assessments of the Site.

The contribution of the Proposed Scheme, whether assessed alone (see below) or in combination with other industrial processes, is largely insignificant and a relatively small proportion of the total deposition. The risk of exceedance of critical loads and the level of exceedance of the critical loads is a function of the rates of background deposition rather than the result of the operation of the Proposed Scheme. In other words, the Proposed Scheme would make no difference to the exceedance of critical loads and levels for the European Sites within 15km of the Proposed Scheme.

- Taking into account the conservatism built into the air quality assessment including:
- Continuous full load operation for the year;

- 70% conversion of NO_x to NO₂;
- Assessment of maximum impacts anywhere in a designated site, irrespective of area represented by the maximum and the presence of particular habitats;
- Assessment against the lower threshold of recommended critical loads;
- Assessment of maximum impacts across 5 modelled years; and
- Emissions continually at the limit set in the IED / Bref Conclusions and or recommended emissions ceiling

The impacts of the Proposed Scheme both alone and in combination with other relevant development proposals will be small overall and likely imperceptible.

Given the conservatism of the air quality modelling and the low magnitude of the cumulative air quality impacts, no adverse effects to the integrity of the Lower Derwent Valley SAC are predicted to arise.

- b.** As set out in paragraph (a), above, no adverse effects on the integrity of the River Derwent SAC habitats and hence their suitability to support otter are predicted as a result of hydrological impacts. It is also necessary to consider the potential implications of water quality changes in the River Ouse (downstream of the River Derwent), in relation to otter. This is because otter have large home ranges (see paragraph 9.6.77 – 9.6.78 of the Biodiversity Chapter of the ES; Examination Library Ref: APP-077) and individuals associated with the River Derwent SAC are also likely to use the River Ouse and potentially parts of the Humber Estuary. The proposed CEMP will control potential hydrological impacts during construction and decommissioning, with no deterioration of the WFD status of the River Ouse (located upstream of the Humber Estuary SAC, SPA and Ramsar Site and downstream of the River Derwent SAC) predicted (paragraphs 12.6.13 of the Water Resources, Quality and Hydrology Chapter; Examination Library Ref: APP-080). During operation, existing and proposed drainage measures would ensure any impacts on water quality within suitable water features for otters would be negligible (see paragraphs 12.6.50 – 12.6.53 of the ES Water Resources, Quality and Hydrology Chapter). As such, no adverse effects on the otter population associated with the Lower Derwent Valley SAC are predicted to arise.
- c.** Evidence of otter has been recorded along the River Ouse and on some of the smaller watercourses along the route of the Gas Pipeline (paragraphs 9.5.28 – 9.5.32 of the ES Biodiversity Chapter). Installation of the Gas Pipeline will result in temporary disturbance of habitats within the Pipeline Area. No watercourses are expected to be directly physically

impacted, where the pipeline is installed under watercourses using trenchless techniques (see paragraph 3.3.19 of the ES Site and Project Description Chapter; Examination Library Ref: APP-071). However, where open-cut techniques are used, there may be temporary impacts to otter commuting, foraging and resting habitat. Mitigation will be implemented to negate any potential impacts on commuting or foraging otter. Specifically, the maintenance of adequate channel and bankside habitat during the works to ensure commuting can continue unimpeded (with directional fencing used where necessary); the avoidance of night-time working and lighting; and construction best-practice to ensure otters do not come into contact with open trenches and other areas where otters may be trapped and injured or killed. Current survey data demonstrates that no potential resting sites will be impacted upon. Updated survey data prior to construction will determine whether this situation remains. If resting sites are found during updated survey to be impacted, mitigation will be implemented (comprising replacement habitat) to ensure no net loss and maintenance of the species Favourable Conservation Status. This, in turn will ensure no adverse effects on integrity. Construction of the Gas Pipeline would take up to a year including construction of the Gas Receiving Facility and Above Ground Installation. Installation of the Gas Pipeline only is expected to take approximately four months (see Paragraph 3.3.27 of the ES Site and Project Description Chapter). The Project CEMP would also include measures to limit indirect effects on watercourses (see paragraph 12.6.13 of the ES Water Resources, Quality and Hydrology Chapter). Measures to prevent the incidental mortality of otters and allow their continued movement along watercourses within the Pipeline Area during construction (see paragraph 9.6.74 of the ES Biodiversity Chapter) would also be included. Given the above, any displacement of otters that occurs during construction, operation or decommissioning of the Proposed Scheme would be minor and short term, with negligible effects on the SAC population. This would not compromise the favourable conservation status of populations associated with the Lower Derwent Valley SAC and hence there would be no adverse effect on the integrity of the SAC (see Paragraphs 9.6.80, 9.6.87 and 9.6.90 of the ES Biodiversity Chapter).

HRA Integrity Matrix 3: Lower Derwent Valley SPA

Name of European site and designation: Lower Derwent Valley SPA						
EU Code: UK9006092						
Distance to NSIP: 5.1 km to the Power Station Site, 5.7 km to the Pipeline Area						
European site features	Adverse effect on integrity			Adverse effect on integrity		
<i>Effect</i>	<i>Habitat Degradation (air quality)</i>			<i>In combination effects (air quality)</i>		
<i>Stage of Development</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>O</i>	<i>D</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>O</i>	<i>D</i>
Supporting populations of the following Annex I species; <u>Breeding Season</u> : shoveler <i>Anas clypeata</i> ; <u>Over winter</u> : <i>Eurasian wigeon</i> <i>Anas penelope</i> Bewick's Swan <i>Cygnus columbianus bewickii</i> , Golden Plover <i>Pluvialis apricaria</i> , Ruff <i>Philomachus pugnax</i>		X(a)			X(a)	

Supporting populations of following migratory species; <u>Over winter</u> : Teal <i>Anas crecca</i>		X(a)			X(a)	
Waterbird assemblage		X(a)			X(a)	

- a.** Chapter 6 of the ES (Air Quality; Examination Library Ref: APP-074) sets out the methodology and results of air quality dispersion modelling of the Proposed Scheme. This includes quantification of potential air quality impacts on designated ecological sites, including Natura 2000 Sites. Tables 6.16 to 6.20 of the ES Air Quality chapter sets out the predicted numerical air quality impacts of the Proposed Scheme, based on a realistic worst-case scenario for operation (see paragraph 6.4.13 of the ES Air Quality Chapter for a description of the modelling assumptions). This includes the predicted impact of the Proposed Scheme alone on levels of Nitrous Oxides (NO_x), ammonia (NH₃), nitrogen deposition and acidification. Predicted cumulative impacts with other projects for these gas species are also presented in Tables 6.21 to 6.25. The worst-case scenario assessed in the air quality chapter is considered in this SIAA, i.e. operation of both units with Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) with the annualised ammonia budget (see paragraph 6.4.13 to 6.4.15 of the ES Air Quality Chapter).

Air Quality modelling was updated at Deadline 5 to reflect a marginal increase in the proposed stack heights (see the Applicants Air Quality Technical Note; Examination Library Ref: REP5-019). The updated air quality modelling demonstrated that the change in stack height had a negligible effect on air quality impacts. The Assessment of the effects of air quality impacts on European Sites therefore remains unchanged from that previously presented.

The air quality modelling shows that the Proposed Scheme (taking into account embedded mitigation measures to minimise operational emissions of NO_x and NH₃) will not lead to any exceedances of AQ standards for NO_x or NH₃ concentrations, either alone or in-combination with other plans or projects (see tables 6.16 and 6.17 and tables 6.21 and 6.23 in Chapter 6 of the ES). The Proposed Scheme alone will not lead to significant nitrogen or acid deposition onto the Lower Derwent Valley SPA. There is a maximum modelled process contribution of 0.8% and 0.2% for nitrogen and acid deposition respectively (see Table 6.19 and 6.20 of the ES Air Quality Chapter, respectively). The process contribution from the Proposed Scheme also reduces with increasing distance from the stacks. For example, the maximum process

contribution for nitrogen deposition onto the Brighton Meadows SSSI component of the SPA (the closest part of the site), is predicted to be 0.8%. The maximum process contribution for nitrogen deposition onto the Derwent Ings SSSI component of the SPA (approximately 2 km further north than Brighton Meadows SSSI), is predicted to be 0.5%. As the impacts of the Proposed Scheme alone lead to no exceedances of critical levels or process contributions in excess of 1% of critical loads, no adverse effects on the integrity of the SAC are predicted to arise.

The maximum predicted cumulative impact of the Proposed Scheme would be 1.6% for nitrogen deposition and 0.5% for acidification (see Tables 6.24 and 6.25 of the ES Air Quality Chapter) for the neutral grassland habitats assessed. The cumulative acid deposition impact is predicted to lead to a *de minimus* in-combination effect, which would lead to no perceptible vegetative change of SPA habitats and hence their role supporting SPA bird species. The cumulative nitrogen deposition impact also reduces with increasing distance from site. Whilst a maximum impact of 1.6% of critical load (Process Contribution from the Proposed Scheme up to 0.8%) is predicted over the Brighton Meadows SSSI component of the SPA, the maximum impact over the more distant Derwent Ings SSSI component is 1.4% (Process Contribution from the Proposed Scheme up to 0.5%), with the Process Contribution from the Proposed Scheme declining further with increasing distance from the Proposed Scheme. The Brighton Meadows SSSI has an area of 38.79 ha, representing approximately 4.2% by area of the SPA.

The constituent SSSI Units of the Lower Derwent SPA (Brighton Meadows SSSI and Derwent Ings SSSI) within 15 km of the Project Site, were all assessed as being in 'favourable' or 'unfavourable recovering' condition when last assessed despite the large inputs of nitrogen from existing sources (which exceed the upper band of the site relevant critical load). A copy of the last SSSI unit condition assessment is provided in Appendix 3 of this SIAA. 92.86% of the Brighton Meadows SSSI was reported as being in 'favourable' condition, with the remaining 7.14% recorded as being in 'unfavourable – recovering' condition. For the Derwent Ings SSSI, 59.7% of the SSSI units are reported to be in 'favourable' condition, with the remaining 40.3% of the SSSI units in 'unfavourable – recovering' condition. The SSSI condition assessment reports identify that the botanical diversity of the SSSI appears to remain similar to that observed during previous botanical surveys and assessments.

The Site relevant critical loads page for the Lower Derwent Valley SPA (reference 9.54 of the ES Biodiversity Chapter) includes advice on the application of critical loads and levels to several of the bird species for which the SPA is designated (golden plover, tundra swan, ruff and Eurasian teal). The advice on critical loads identifies that '*no expected negative impact on species due to impacts on the species' broad habitat*' for Eurasian teal and Ruff. For tundra swan a potential

negative impact is identified for standing water habitats, dependent on whether waterbodies are nitrogen or phosphate-limited. Environment Agency (EA) monitoring data indicates that the River Derwent is strongly phosphate limited. In phosphate limited systems, additional inputs of nitrogen have limited effects on plant productivity, as phosphate is the primary limiting nutrient. As such, additional inputs would be unlikely to lead to any perceptible eutrophication effects on standing water habitats within the SPA. For golden plover APIS identifies the Critical Load for neutral grassland habitats as being appropriate, due to the species' use of this habitat type.

Given the above, no adverse effects to the integrity of the Lower Derwent Valley SPA are predicted to arise.

HRA Integrity Matrix 4: Lower Derwent Valley Ramsar

Name of European site and designation: Lower Derwent Valley Ramsar						
EU Code: N/A						
Distance to NSIP: 5.1 km to the Power Station Site, 5.7 km to the Pipeline Area						
European site features	Adverse effect on integrity			Adverse effect on integrity		
<i>Effect</i>	<i>Habitat Degradation (air quality)</i>			<i>In combination effects (air quality)</i>		
<i>Stage of Development</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>O</i>	<i>D</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>O</i>	<i>D</i>
The river and flood meadows play a substantial role in the hydrological and ecological functioning of the Humber Basin		X(a)			X(a)	
Rich assemblage of wetland invertebrates		X(a)			X(a)	

including 16 species of dragonfly and damselfly, 15 British Red Data Book wetland invertebrates as well as a leafhopper, <i>Cicadula ornata</i> for which Lower Derwent Valley is the only known site in Great Britain.						
Staging post for passage birds in spring. Of particular note are the nationally important numbers of Ruff, <i>Philomachus pugnax</i> and Whimbrel, <i>Numenius phaeopus</i> .		X(a)			X(a)	
Regularly supports 20,000 or more waterbirds		X(a)			X(a)	
Regularly supports 1% of the individuals in a population of the following species or subspecies of waterbird: Eurasian wigeon , <i>Anas Penelope</i> and Eurasian teal , <i>Anas crecca</i>		X(a)			X(a)	

- a.** Chapter 6 of the ES (Air Quality; Examination Library Ref: APP-074) sets out the methodology and results of air quality dispersion modelling of the Proposed Scheme. This includes quantification of potential air quality impacts on designated ecological sites, including Natura 2000 Sites. Tables 6.16 to 6.20 of the ES Air Quality chapter sets out the predicted numerical air quality impacts of the Proposed Scheme, based on a realistic worst-case scenario for operation (see paragraph 6.4.13 of the ES Air Quality Chapter for a description of the modelling assumptions). This includes the predicted impact of the Proposed Scheme alone on levels of Nitrous Oxides (NO_x), ammonia (NH₃), nitrogen deposition and acidification. Predicted cumulative impacts with other projects for these gas species are also presented in Tables 6.21 to 6.25. The worst-case scenario assessed in the air quality chapter is considered in this SIAA, i.e operation of both units with Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) with the annualised ammonia budget (see paragraph 6.4.13 to 6.4.15 of the ES Air Quality Chapter).

Air Quality modelling was updated at Deadline 5 to reflect a marginal increase in the proposed stack heights (see the Applicants Air Quality Technical Note; Examination Library Ref: REP5-019). The updated air quality modelling

demonstrated that the change in stack height had a negligible effect on air quality impacts. The Assessment of the effects of air quality impacts on European Sites therefore remains unchanged from that previously presented.

The air quality modelling shows that the Proposed Scheme (taking into account embedded mitigation measures to minimise operational emissions of NO_x and NH₃) will not lead to any exceedances of AQ standards for NO_x or NH₃ concentrations, either alone or in-combination with other plans or projects (see tables 6.16 and 6.17 and tables 6.21 and 6.23 in Chapter 6 of the ES). The Proposed Scheme alone will not lead to significant nitrogen or acid deposition onto the Lower Derwent Valley Ramsar Site. There is a maximum modelled process contribution of 0.8% and 0.2% for nitrogen and acid deposition respectively (see Table 6.19 and 6.20 of the ES Air Quality Chapter, respectively). The process contribution from the Proposed Scheme also reduces with increasing distance from the Proposed Scheme stacks. For example, the maximum process contribution for nitrogen deposition onto the Brighton Meadows SSSI component of the Ramsar Site (the closest part of the site), is predicted to be 0.8%. The maximum process contribution for nitrogen deposition onto the Derwent Ings SSSI component of the Ramsar Site (approximately 2 km further north than Brighton Meadows SSSI), is predicted to be 0.5%. As the impacts of the Proposed Scheme alone lead to no exceedances of critical levels or process contributions in excess of 1% of critical loads, no adverse effects on the integrity of the SAC are predicted to arise.

The maximum predicted cumulative impact of the Proposed Scheme would be 1.6% for nitrogen deposition and 0.5% for acidification (see Tables 6.24 and 6.25 of the ES Air Quality Chapter) for the neutral grassland habitats assessed. The cumulative acid deposition impact is predicted to lead to a *de minimus* in-combination effect, which will lead to no perceptible vegetative change of Ramsar Site habitats. The cumulative nitrogen deposition impact also reduces with increasing distance from the Proposed Scheme. Whilst a maximum impact of 1.6% of critical load (Process Contribution from the Proposed Scheme up to 0.8%) is predicted over the Brighton Meadows SSSI component of the Ramsar Site, the maximum impact over the more distant Derwent Ings SSSI component is 1.4% (Process Contribution from the Proposed Scheme up to 0.5%), with the Process Contribution from the Proposed Scheme declining further with increasing distance from the Proposed Scheme. The Brighton Meadows SSSI has an area of 38.79 ha, representing approximately 4.2% by area of the Ramsar Site.

The constituent SSSI Units of the Lower Derwent SPA (Brighton Meadows SSSI and Derwent Ings SSSI) within 15 km of the Project Site, were all assessed as being in 'favourable' or 'unfavourable recovering' condition when last assessed despite current inputs of nitrogen from existing sources (which exceed the site relevant critical load in the equivalent area of SPA). A copy of the last SSSI unit condition assessment is provided in Appendix 3 of this SIAA. 92.86% of the

Brighton Meadows SSSI was reported as being in 'favourable' condition, with the remaining 7.14% recorded as being in 'unfavourable – recovering' condition. For the Derwent Ings SSSI, 59.7% of the SSSI units are reported to be in 'favourable' condition, with the remaining 40.3% of the SSSI units in 'unfavourable – recovering' condition. The SSSI condition assessment reports identify that the botanical diversity of the SSSI appears to remain similar to that observed during previous botanical surveys and assessments of the Site.

The Site relevant critical loads page for the Lower Derwent Valley SPA (reference 9.54 of the ES Biodiversity Chapter) includes advice on the application of critical loads and levels to several of the bird species for which the SPA is designated (golden plover, tundra swan, ruff and Eurasian teal). Ruff and Eurasian teal are also listed in the citation for the Lower Derwent Valley Ramsar Site. The advice on APIS on critical loads identifies that '*no expected negative impact on species due to impacts on the species' broad habitat*' for Ruff. Environment Agency (EA) monitoring data also indicates that the River Derwent is strongly phosphate limited. In phosphate limited systems, additional inputs of nitrogen have limited effects on plant productivity, as phosphate is the primary limiting nutrient. As such, additional inputs would be unlikely to lead to any perceptible eutrophication effects on standing water habitats within the Ramsar Site.

Given the above and the conservatism of the air quality modelling (see paragraphs 6.5.19 and 6.10.2 of Chapter 6 of the ES), no adverse effects to the integrity of the Lower Derwent Valley SPA are predicted to arise.

HRA Integrity Matrix 5: Humber Estuary SAC

Name of European site and designation: Humber Estuary SAC									
EU Code: UK9006111									
Distance to NSIP: 6.0 km to the Power Station Site, 6.0 km to the Pipeline Area									
European site features	Adverse effect on integrity			Adverse effect on integrity			Adverse effect on integrity		
<i>Effect</i>	<i>Habitat Degradation (hydrology)</i>			<i>Habitat Degradation (air quality)</i>			<i>In-combination effects (air quality)</i>		
<i>Stage of Development</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>O</i>	<i>D</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>O</i>	<i>D</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>O</i>	<i>D</i>
1130 Estuaries					X(b)			X(b)	
1330 Atlantic salt meadows and a range of other sand dune types (H1110 Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time; H1140 Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide; H1310 Salicornia and other annuals colonising mud and sand; and 1150 coastal lagoons)					X(b)			X(b)	
1140 Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide					X(b)			X(b)	
1110 Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time					X(b)			X(b)	
1150 Coastal lagoons * Priority					X(b)			X(b)	

HRA Integrity Matrices for Drax Repowering

feature									
1310 Salicornia and other annuals colonizing mud and sand					X(b)			X(b)	
1330 Atlantic salt meadows <i>Glauco-Puccinellietalia maritima</i>					X(b)			X(b)	
2110 Embryonic shifting dunes					X(b)			X(b)	
2120 "Shifting dunes along the shoreline with <i>Ammophila arenaria</i> ("white dunes")"					X(b)			X(b)	
2130 "Fixed coastal dunes with herbaceous vegetation ("grey dunes")" * Priority feature					X(b)			X(b)	
2160 Dunes with <i>Hippophia rhamnoides</i>					X(b)			X(b)	
1095 Sea lamprey <i>Petromyzon marinus</i>	X(a)	X(a / b)	X(a)		X(b)			X(b)	
1099 River lamprey <i>Lampetra fluviatilis</i>	X(a)	X(a / b)	X(a)		X(b)			X(b)	
1364 Grey seal <i>Halichoerus grypus</i>					X(b)			X(b)	

- a.** No perceptible changes in the water quality of the Humber Estuary are predicted (paragraph 12.6.13 of the water quality resources chapter; Examination Library Ref: APP-080). It is however necessary to consider the potential implications of water quality changes in the River Ouse upstream of the estuary in relation to SAC fish species. This is because river lamprey and sea lamprey could use the section of the Ouse between the Humber Estuary SAC (downstream of the Proposed Scheme) and the River Derwent SAC (upstream of the Proposed Scheme). There are likely to be population linkages between lamprey using habitats within the Humber Estuary SAC, River Ouse, and upstream River Derwent SAC.

The proposed CEMP will control potential hydrological impacts during construction and decommissioning, with no deterioration of the WFD status of the River Ouse (located upstream of the Humber Estuary SAC, SPA and Ramsar Site

and downstream of the River Derwent SAC) predicted (paragraphs 12.6.13 of the Water Resources, Quality and Hydrology Chapter). During operation, existing and proposed drainage measures would ensure any impacts on water quality within suitable water features for migratory fish species would be negligible (see paragraphs 12.6.50 – 12.6.53 of the ES Water Resources, Quality and Hydrology Chapter).

Air Quality modelling was updated at Deadline 5 to reflect a marginal increase in the proposed stack heights (see the Applicants Air Quality Technical Note; Examination Library Ref: REP5-019). The updated air quality modelling demonstrated that the change in stack height had a negligible effect on air quality impacts. The Assessment of the effects of air quality impacts on European Sites therefore remains unchanged from that previously presented.

- b.** Chapter 6 of the ES (Air Quality; Examination Library Ref: APP-074) sets out the methodology and results of air quality dispersion modelling of the Proposed Scheme. This includes quantification of potential air quality impacts on designated ecological sites, including Natura 2000 Sites. Tables 6.16 to 6.20 of the ES Air Quality chapter sets out the predicted numerical air quality impacts of the Proposed Scheme, based on a realistic worst-case scenario for operation (see paragraph 6.4.13 of the ES Air Quality Chapter for a description of the modelling assumptions). This includes the predicted impact of the Proposed Scheme alone on levels of Nitrous Oxides (NO_x), ammonia (NH₃), nitrogen deposition and acidification. Predicted cumulative impacts with other projects for these gas species are also presented in Tables 6.21 to 6.25. The worst-case scenario assessed in the air quality chapter is considered in this SIAA, i.e operation of both units with Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) with the annualised ammonia budget (see paragraph 6.4.13 to 6.4.15 of the ES Air Quality Chapter).

The air quality modelling shows that the Proposed Scheme (taking into account embedded mitigation measures to minimise operational emissions of NO_x and NH₃) will not lead to any exceedances of AQ standards for NO_x or NH₃ concentrations, either alone or in-combination with other plans or projects (see tables 6.16 and 6.17 and tables 6.21 and 6.23 in Chapter 6 of the ES). The Proposed Scheme alone will not lead to significant nitrogen deposition onto the Humber Estuary SAC. There is a maximum modelled process contribution of 0.3% for nitrogen deposition (see Table 6.19 and 6.20 of the ES Air Quality Chapter). Humber Estuary habitats occurring within 15 km of the Proposed Scheme are not considered to be sensitive to acidification.

The maximum predicted cumulative deposition impact of the Proposed Scheme would be 0.9% for nitrogen deposition. As stated above, Humber Estuary habitats are not considered to be sensitive to acidification and there would be no exceedances of any critical levels.

Given the conservatism of the air quality modelling (see paragraphs 6.5.19 and 6.10.2 of Chapter 6 of the ES) and the low magnitude of the cumulative air quality impacts, no adverse effects to the integrity of the Humber Estuary SAC are predicted to arise.

HRA Integrity Matrix 6: Humber Estuary Ramsar Site

Name of European site and designation: Humber Estuary Ramsar Site						
EU Code: UK11031						
Distance to NSIP: 6.5 km to the Power Station Site, 6.0 km to the Pipeline Area						
European site features	Likely effects of NSIP					
Stage of Development	Habitat Degradation			In Combination Effects		
	C	O	D	C	O	D
Ramsar Criterion 1: The site is a representative example of a near-natural estuary with the following component habitats: dune systems and humid dune slacks, estuarine waters, intertidal mud and sand flats, saltmarshes,		x (b)			x (b)	

and coastal brackish/saline lagoons.						
<p>Ramsar criterion 3</p> <p>The Humber Estuary Ramsar site supports a breeding colony of grey seals <i>Halichoerus grypus</i> at Donna Nook. It is the second largest grey seal colony in England and the furthest south regular breeding site on the east coast. The dune slacks at Saltfleetby-Theddlethorpe on the southern extremity of the Ramsar site are the most north-easterly breeding site in Great Britain of the natterjack toad <i>Bufo calamita</i>.</p>		x (b)			x (b)	
<p>Ramsar criterion 5</p> <p>Assemblages of international importance:</p>		x (b)			x (b)	

153,934 waterfowl, non-breeding season						
Ramsar criterion 6 – species/populations occurring at levels of international importance: Eurasian golden plover, <i>Pluvialis apricaria</i> ; Altifrons; Red knot, <i>Calidris canutus</i> ; Dunlin, <i>Calidris alpina</i> Alpine; Black-tailed godwit, <i>Limosa limosa</i> Islandica; Common redshank, <i>Tringa totanus</i> Brittanica; Common shelduck, <i>Tadorna tadorna</i> ; Bar-tailed godwit, <i>Limosa lapponica</i> Lapponica;		x (b)			x (b)	
Ramsar criterion 8 The Humber Estuary acts as an important	x (a)	x (a/b)	x (a)		x (b)	

migration route for both river lamprey <i>Lampetra fluviatilis</i> and sea lamprey <i>Petromyzon marinus</i> between coastal waters and their spawning areas.						
---	--	--	--	--	--	--

- a.** No perceptible changes in the water quality of the Humber Estuary are predicted (paragraph 12.6.13 of the water quality resources chapter; Examination Library Ref: APP-080). It is however necessary to consider the potential implications of water quality changes in the River Ouse upstream of the estuary in relation to SAC fish species. This is because river lamprey and sea lamprey could use the section of the Ouse between the Humber Estuary SAC (downstream of the Proposed Scheme) and the River Derwent SAC (upstream of the Proposed Scheme). There are likely to be population linkages between lamprey using habitats within the Humber Estuary SAC, River Ouse, and upstream River Derwent SAC.

The proposed CEMP will control potential hydrological impacts during construction and decommissioning, with no deterioration of the WFD status of the River Ouse (located upstream of the Humber Estuary SAC, SPA and Ramsar Site and downstream of the River Derwent SAC) predicted (paragraphs 12.6.13 of the Water Resources, Quality and Hydrology Chapter). During operation, existing and proposed drainage measures would ensure any impacts on water quality within suitable water features for migratory fish species would be negligible (see paragraphs 12.6.50 – 12.6.53 of the ES Water Resources, Quality and Hydrology Chapter).

- b.** Chapter 6 of the ES (Air Quality) sets out the methodology and results of air quality dispersion modelling of the Proposed Scheme. This includes quantification of potential air quality impacts on designated ecological sites, including Natura 2000 Sites. Tables 6.16 to 6.20 of the ES Air Quality chapter sets out the predicted numerical air quality impacts of the Proposed Scheme, based on a realistic worst-case scenario for operation (see paragraph 6.4.13 of the ES Air Quality Chapter for a description of the modelling assumptions). This includes the predicted impact of the Proposed Scheme alone on levels of Nitrous Oxides (NO_x), ammonia (NH₃), nitrogen deposition and acidification. Predicted cumulative impacts with other projects for these gas species are also presented in Tables 6.21 to 6.25. The worst-case scenario

assessed in the air quality chapter is considered in this SIAA, i.e operation of both units with Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) with the annualised ammonia budget (see paragraph 6.4.13 to 6.4.15 of the ES Air Quality Chapter).

Air Quality modelling was updated at Deadline 5 to reflect a marginal increase in the proposed stack heights (see the Applicants Air Quality Technical Note; Examination Library Ref: REP5-019). The updated air quality modelling demonstrated that the change in stack height had a negligible effect on air quality impacts. The Assessment of the effects of air quality impacts on European Sites therefore remains unchanged from that previously presented.

The air quality modelling shows that the Proposed Scheme (taking into account embedded mitigation measures to minimise operational emissions of NO_x and NH₃) will not lead to any exceedances of AQ standards for NO_x or NH₃ concentrations, either alone or in-combination with other plans or projects (see tables 6.16 and 6.17 and tables 6.21 and 6.23 in Chapter 6 of the ES). The Proposed Scheme alone will not lead to significant nitrogen deposition onto the Humber Estuary Ramsar site. There is a maximum modelled process contribution of 0.3% for nitrogen deposition (see Table 6.19 and 6.20 of the ES Air Quality Chapter). Humber Estuary habitats occurring within 15 km of the Proposed Scheme are not considered to be sensitive to acidification.

The maximum predicted cumulative deposition impact of the Proposed Scheme would be 0.9% for nitrogen deposition and as such no significant in-combination effects are predicted. As stated above, Humber Estuary habitats are not considered to be sensitive to acidification and there would be no exceedances of any critical levels.

Given the conservatism of the air quality modelling (see paragraphs 6.5.19 and 6.10.2 of Chapter 6 of the ES) and the low magnitude of the cumulative air quality impacts, no adverse effects to the integrity of the Humber Estuary Ramsar site are predicted to arise.

HRA Integrity Matrix 7: Humber Estuary SPA

Name of European site and designation: Humber Estuary SPA						
EU Code: UK9006111						
Distance to NSIP: 6 km to the Power Station Site, 6.0 km to the Pipeline Area						
European site features	Likely effects of NSIP					
Stage of Development	Habitat Degradation			In Combination Effects		
	C	O	D	C	O	D
Used regularly by 1% or more of the Great Britain populations of the following Annex I species: Eurasian teal <i>Anas crecca</i> , Eurasian wigeon <i>Anas Penelope</i> , mallard <i>Anas platyrhynchos</i> , turnstone <i>Arenaria interpres</i> , common pochard <i>Aythya farina</i> , greater scaup <i>Aythya marila</i> , Brent goose <i>Branta</i>		x (a)			x (a)	

<i>bernicle bernicle</i> , common goldeneye <i>Bucephala</i> <i>clangula</i> , sanderling <i>Calidris</i> <i>alba</i> , avocet <i>Recurvirostra</i> <i>avosetta</i> Bittern <i>Botaurus stellaris</i> , Hen harrier <i>Circus</i> <i>cyaneus</i> , Golden plover <i>Pluvialis</i> <i>apricaria</i> , Bar- tailed godwit <i>Limosa lapponica</i> , Ruff <i>Philomachus</i> <i>pugnax</i> , Bittern <i>Botaurus stellaris</i> , Marsh harrier <i>Circus</i> <i>aeruginosus</i> , Little tern <i>Sterna</i> <i>albifrons</i> , common ringed plover <i>Charadrius</i> <i>hiaticula</i> , Eurasian curlew <i>Numenius</i> <i>arquata</i> , whimbrel <i>Numenius</i> <i>Phaeopus</i> , greenshank <i>Tringa</i> <i>nebularia</i> , lapwing <i>Vanellus vanellus</i> .						
---	--	--	--	--	--	--

Used regularly by 1% or more of the biogeographical populations of the following migratory species: Shelduck <i>Tadorna tadorna</i> , Knot <i>Calidris canutus</i> , Dunlin <i>Calidris alpina</i> (passage and wintering), Redshank <i>Tringa totanus</i> , Black-tailed godwit <i>Limosa limosa</i> , Eurasian oystercatcher <i>Haematopus ostralegus</i> , grey plover <i>Pluvialis squatarola</i>		x (a)			x (a)	
Assemblage qualification under article 4.2 or use of over 20,000 waterbirds in any season.		x (a)			x (a)	

- a.** Chapter 6 of the ES (Air Quality; Examination Library REF: APP-074) sets out the methodology and results of air quality dispersion modelling of the Proposed Scheme. This includes quantification of potential air quality impacts on designated ecological sites, including Natura 2000 Sites. Tables 6.16 to 6.20 of the ES Air Quality chapter sets out the predicted numerical air quality impacts of the Proposed Scheme, based on a realistic worst-case scenario for operation (see

paragraph 6.4.13 of the ES Air Quality Chapter for a description of the modelling assumptions). This includes the predicted impact of the Proposed Scheme alone on levels of Nitrous Oxides (NO_x), ammonia (NH₃), nitrogen deposition and acidification. Predicted cumulative impacts with other projects for these gas species are also presented in Tables 6.21 to 6.25. The worst-case scenario assessed in the air quality chapter is considered in this SIAA, i.e. operation of both units with Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) with the annualised ammonia budget (see paragraph 6.4.13 to 6.4.15 of the ES Air Quality Chapter).

Air Quality modelling was updated at Deadline 5 to reflect a marginal increase in the proposed stack heights (see the Applicants Air Quality Technical Note; Examination Library Ref: REP5-019). The updated air quality modelling demonstrated that the change in stack height had a negligible effect on air quality impacts. The Assessment of the effects of air quality impacts on European Sites therefore remains unchanged from that previously presented.

The air quality modelling shows that the Proposed Scheme (taking into account embedded mitigation measures to minimise operational emissions of NO_x and NH₃) will not lead to any exceedances of AQ standards for NO_x or NH₃ concentrations, either alone or in-combination with other plans or projects (see tables 6.16 and 6.17 and tables 6.21 and 6.23 in Chapter 6 of the ES). The Proposed Scheme alone will not lead to significant nitrogen deposition onto the Humber Estuary SPA. There is a maximum modelled process contribution of 0.3% for nitrogen deposition (see Table 6.19 and 6.20 of the ES Air Quality Chapter). Humber Estuary habitats (and their supporting role for SPA bird species) occurring within 15 km of the Proposed Scheme are not considered to be sensitive to acidification.

The maximum predicted cumulative deposition impact of the Proposed Scheme would be 0.9% for nitrogen deposition and as such no significant in-combination effects are predicted. As stated above, Humber Estuary habitats are not considered to be sensitive to acidification and there would be no exceedances of any critical levels.

Given the conservatism of the air quality modelling (see paragraphs 6.5.19 and 6.10.2 of Chapter 6 of the ES) and the low magnitude of the cumulative air quality impacts, no adverse effects to the integrity of the Humber Estuary Ramsar site are predicted to arise.

HRA Integrity Matrix 8: Skipwith Common SAC

Name of European site and designation: Skipwith Common SAC						
EU Code: UK0030276						
Distance to NSIP: 8.0 km to the Power Station Site, 8.0 km to the Pipeline Area						
European site features	Adverse effect on integrity			Adverse effect on integrity		
<i>Effect</i>	<i>Habitat Degradation (air quality)</i>			<i>In-combination Effects (air quality)</i>		
<i>Stage of Development</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>O</i>	<i>D</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>O</i>	<i>D</i>
4010 Northern Atlantic wet heaths with <i>Erica tetralix</i>		X(a)			X(a)	
4030 European dry heaths		X(a)			X(a)	

- a.** Chapter 6 of the ES (Air Quality; Examination Library Ref: APP-074) sets out the methodology and results of air quality dispersion modelling of the Proposed Scheme. This includes quantification of potential air quality impacts on designated ecological sites, including Natura 2000 Sites. Tables 6.18 to 6.22 of the ES Air Quality chapter sets out the predicted numerical air quality impacts of the Proposed Scheme, based on a realistic worst-case scenario for operation (see paragraph 6.4.13 of the ES Air Quality Chapter for a description of the modelling assumptions). This includes the predicted impact of the Proposed Scheme alone on levels of Nitrous Oxides (NO_x), ammonia (NH₃), nitrogen deposition and acidification. Predicted cumulative impacts with other projects for these gas species are also presented in Tables 6.23 to 6.27. The worst-case scenario assessed in the air quality chapter is considered in this SIAA, i.e. operation of both units with Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) with the annualised ammonia budget (see paragraph 6.4.13 to 6.4.15 of the ES Air Quality Chapter).

Air Quality modelling was updated at Deadline 5 to reflect a marginal increase in the proposed stack heights (see the Applicants Air Quality Technical Note; Examination Library Ref: REP5-019). The updated air quality modelling demonstrated that the change in stack height had a negligible effect on air quality impacts. The Assessment of the effects of air quality impacts on European Sites therefore remains unchanged from that previously presented.

The air quality modelling shows that the Proposed Scheme would make a minor contribution to an existing exceedance of the critical level for annual mean NH₃ concentrations, both alone and in-combination with other plans or projects (see tables 6.18 and 6.23 in Chapter 6 of the ES). The Proposed Scheme would generate a maximum Process Contribution of 0.4% of the critical level for NH₃. This is in the context of an existing exceedance of 242% of critical level, with the Proposed Scheme equivalent to up to 0.17% of background levels. There are no exceedances of critical levels for NO_x, either alone or in-combination with other plans or projects (see tables 6.19 and 6.20, and 6.24 and 6.25 of the ES Air Quality Chapter). The Proposed Scheme alone will not lead to significant nitrogen or acid deposition onto Skipwith Common SAC. There is a maximum modelled process contribution of 0.4% and 0.3% for nitrogen and acid deposition respectively (see Table 6.21 and 6.22 of the ES Air Quality Chapter, respectively). The process contribution also reduces with increasing distance from the Proposed Scheme. As such, air quality impacts of the Proposed Scheme alone are not predicted to lead to adverse effects to the integrity of the European Site.

The maximum predicted cumulative impact of the Proposed Scheme would be 2.7% of the critical level for NH₃, with the Proposed Scheme contributing up to 0.4% of this. There would be a cumulative impact of up to 1.9% of critical load for nitrogen deposition and up to 1.6% for acidification, with the Proposed Scheme contributing 0.4% and 0.3% respectively. The cumulative impacts on NH₃ concentrations and nitrogen and acid deposition therefore exceed 1% of critical load / critical levels (see paragraphs 6.6.35 to 6.6.39 of the ES Air Quality Chapter).

To support this assessment, published research into the effects of nitrogen deposition on heathland habitats was reviewed. This included a review of existing scientific knowledge covering several studies (Caporn *et al.*, 2016 (reference 9.52)) and a study of how ecosystem functions could be used as indicators for heathland response to nitrogen deposition (Bahring *et al.*, 2017 (Ref. 9.55)). These studies suggest that the effects of additional nitrogen where background deposition rates are already high are much reduced relative to where background deposition rates are low. This is because where nitrogen is already in excess the plants present within the habitats have limited capacity to respond. In the Natural England study (Caporn *et al.*, (2016)), with background deposition rates of 20 kg N/ha/yr (comparable to estimated baseline deposition rates at Skipwith common SAC of 19.2 kgN/ha/yr), adding a further 1 kg N/ha/yr was shown to decrease species richness by between 1.4% and 1.9%. Graminoid (grass) cover was found to increase by

between 0.8% and 1.1%. The maximum species richness recorded across the studies examined in Caporn *et al.*, (2016) varied between 16 and 32.

Taking a worst-case species richness from the above of 16, an impact equivalent to 3.26 kgN/ha/yr would theoretically be required to reduce species richness across the SAC by an average of one species (per quadrat). The maximum predicted cumulative impact of the Proposed Scheme with other plans and projects is 0.19 kgN/ha/yr, equivalent to approximately 6% of the amount required to reduce species richness by an average of one species per quadrat. This level of deposition falls well within the bounds of natural between-years variation and is predicted to lead to negligible (and imperceptible) vegetative change across the SAC. The worst-case cumulative impact of acid deposition is marginally above 1% (1.6%), with the contribution from the Proposed Scheme decreasing with increasing distance from stacks. No perceptible vegetative change of SAC habitats is predicted to arise from this level of deposition.

In addition, the constituent SSSI Units of the Skipwith Common SAC within 15 km of the Proposed Scheme were also assessed as being in 'favourable' or 'unfavourable recovering' condition when last assessed in 2014 despite current levels of nitrogen input from other sources (which exceed the lower band of the site relevant critical load). A copy of the last SSSI unit condition assessment is provided in Appendix 3 of this SIAA. 47.96% of the constituent SSSI units were reported as being in 'favourable' condition, the remaining value of 52.04% was recorded as being in 'unfavourable – recovering' condition, suggesting the condition of these areas in relation to their target condition is being achieved or improving.

As well as the ecological factors considered above, future national emissions ceilings are likely to reduce emissions of both NO_x and ammonia levels and subsequently deposition in the medium to long term. For example, The National Emissions Ceilings Regulations (2018) commit the UK to reducing ammonia emissions by 8% between 2020 and 2029 and by 16% from 2030 onwards (see paragraph 6.6.40 of the ES Air Quality Chapter). Government policy and socioeconomic factors are also promoting the uptake of ultra-low and zero emission vehicles. Current government policy is for all new car and van sales from 2040 onwards to be of ultra-low and zero-emission vehicles, with new conventional diesel and petrol-fuelled vehicles banned from sale (see paragraph 9.6.9 of the ES Biodiversity Chapter; Examination Library Ref: APP-077). Data on APIS (Ref. 9.56) indicates that approximately 8.6% of nitrogen deposition onto Skipwith Common SAC arises from road transport. Future reductions in emissions from the UK vehicle fleet would therefore reduce and likely eventually eliminate these inputs. For comparison, the source attribution data on APIS identifies the Existing Drax Power Station Complex as contributing approximately 1.5% of total nitrogen deposition.

Given the factors set out above, the air quality impacts of the Proposed Scheme are not predicted to lead to adverse effects on the integrity of the Proposed Scheme, either alone or in combination with other Plans and Projects.

HRA Integrity Matrix 9: Thorne Moor SAC

Name of European site and designation: Thorne Moor SAC						
EU Code: UK9005171						
Distance to NSIP: 9.3 km to the Power Station Site, 7.6 km to the Pipeline Area						
European site features	Adverse effect on integrity			Adverse effect on integrity		
<i>Effect</i>	<i>Habitat degradation (air quality)</i>			<i>In-combination Effects (air quality)</i>		
<i>Stage of Development</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>O</i>	<i>D</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>O</i>	<i>D</i>
7120 Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration		X(a)			X(a)	

- a.** Chapter 6 of the ES (Air Quality; Examination Library Ref: APP-074) sets out the methodology and results of air quality dispersion modelling of the Proposed Scheme. This includes quantification of potential air quality impacts on designated ecological sites, including Natura 2000 Sites. Tables 6.18 to 6.22 of the ES Air Quality chapter sets out the predicted numerical air quality impacts of the Proposed Scheme, based on a realistic worst-case scenario for operation (see paragraph 6.4.13 of the ES Air Quality Chapter for a description of the modelling assumptions). This includes the predicted impact of the Proposed Scheme alone on levels of Nitrous Oxides (NO_x), ammonia (NH₃), nitrogen deposition and acidification. Predicted cumulative impacts with other projects for these gas species are also presented in Tables 6.23 to 6.27. The worst-case scenario assessed in the air quality chapter is considered in this SIAA, i.e. operation of both units with Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) with the annualised ammonia budget (see paragraph 6.4.13 to 6.4.15 of the ES Air Quality Chapter).

Air Quality modelling was updated at Deadline 5 to reflect a marginal increase in the proposed stack heights (see the Applicants Air Quality Technical Note; Examination Library Ref: REP5-019). The updated air quality modelling

demonstrated that the change in stack height had a negligible effect on air quality impacts. The Assessment of the effects of air quality impacts on European Sites therefore remains unchanged from that previously presented.

The air quality modelling shows that the Proposed Scheme would make a minor contribution to an existing exceedance of the critical level for annual mean NH_3 concentrations, both alone and in-combination with other plans or projects (see tables 6.18 and 6.23 in Chapter 6 of the ES). The Proposed Scheme would generate a maximum Process Contribution of 0.4% of the critical level for NH_3 . This is in the context of an existing exceedance of 239% of critical level, with the process contribution from the Proposed Scheme equivalent to approximately 0.2% of background levels. There are no exceedances of critical levels for NO_x , either alone or in-combination with other plans or projects (see tables 6.19 and 6.20, and 6.24 and 6.25 of the ES Air Quality Chapter). The Proposed Scheme alone will not lead to significant nitrogen or acid deposition onto Thorne Moor SAC. There is a maximum modelled process contribution of 0.8% and 0.6% for nitrogen and acid deposition respectively (see Table 6.21 and 6.22 of the ES Air Quality Chapter, respectively). The process contribution also reduces with increasing distance from the Proposed Scheme. As such, air quality impacts of the Proposed Scheme alone are not predicted to lead to adverse effects to the integrity of the European Site.

The maximum predicted cumulative impact of the Proposed Scheme would be 1.3% of the critical level for NH_3 , with the Proposed Scheme contributing up to 0.4% of this. The contribution from the Proposed Scheme to cumulative NH_3 also decreases with increasing distance from the stacks. Given the cumulative exceedance is only marginally above 1% of critical level at the point of greatest predicted impact, no perceptible effects on SAC vegetation are predicted to arise. There would be a cumulative impact of up to 2.6% of critical load for nitrogen deposition and up to 2.0% for acidification, with the Proposed Scheme contributing 0.8% and 0.6% respectively. The cumulative impacts on nitrogen and acid deposition therefore exceed 1% of critical load (see paragraphs 6.6.35 to 6.6.39 of the ES Air Quality Chapter).

To support this assessment, published research into the effects of nitrogen deposition on bog habitats was reviewed. This included a review of existing scientific knowledge covering several studies (Caporn *et al.*, 2016 (reference 9.52)) and a study of how ecosystem functions could be used as indicators for heathland response to nitrogen deposition (Bahring *et al.*, 2017 (Ref. 9.55)). These studies suggest that the effects of additional nitrogen where background deposition rates are already high are much reduced relative to where background deposition rates are low. This is because nitrogen is already in excess, with the plants present having limited capacity to respond. In the Natural England study (Caporn *et al.*, (2016)), with background deposition rates of 20 kg N/ha/yr (comparable to estimated baseline deposition rates at Thorne Moor SAC of 19.2 kgN/ha/yr), adding a further 1 kg N/ha/yr was shown to decrease species richness by circa

0.7%. Graminoid (grass) cover was found to increase by 1.5%. The maximum species richness recorded across the studies examined in Caporn *et al.*, (2016) was 32.

Taking a species richness from the above of 32, an impact equivalent to 3.3 kgN/ha/yr would theoretically be required to reduce species richness across the SAC by an average of one species (per quadrat). The maximum predicted cumulative impact of the Proposed Scheme with other plans and projects is 0.13 kgN/ha/yr, equivalent to approximately 3.9% of the amount required to reduce species richness by an average of one species per quadrat. This level of deposition falls within the bounds of natural variation and is predicted to lead to negligible (and imperceptible) vegetative change across the SAC. The worst-case cumulative impact of acid deposition is marginally above 1% (2.0%), with the contribution from the Proposed Scheme decreasing with increasing distance from stacks. Again, no perceptible vegetative change of SAC habitats are predicted to arise from this level of deposition, in the context of the baseline deposition levels. There is also evidence from a study completed by the Centre for Ecology and Hydrology (2015, Ref. 9.57) that suggests levels of acid deposition across Thorne Moor are reducing, with evidence of a downward trend between 2012 and 2014.

The constituent SSSI Units of the Thorne Moor SAC within 15 km of the Project Site, were assessed as being in 'favourable', 'unfavourable recovering', 'unfavourable no change' and 'unfavourable declining' condition when last assessed despite current inputs of nitrogen from other sources (which exceed the upper band of the site relevant critical load). A copy of the last SSSI unit condition assessment is provided in Appendix 3 of this SIAA. 3.85% of the Thorne Crowle and Gool Moors SSSI was reported as being in 'favourable' condition, with 91.97% recorded as being in 'unfavourable – recovering' condition. 2.94% was assessed as 'unfavourable no change' with 1.24% 'unfavourable declining'. The majority of the SAC is considered to be in 'unfavourable – recovering' condition by NE. NE identify initiatives to control scrub and manage water balance as the main factors leading to improvements (see Appendix 3).

As well as the ecological factors considered above, future national emissions ceilings are also likely to reduce emissions of both NO_x and ammonia levels and subsequently deposition in the medium to long term. For example, The National Emissions Ceilings Regulations (2018), commit the UK to reducing ammonia emissions by 8% between 2020 and 2029 and by 16% from 2030 onwards (see paragraph 6.6.40 of the ES Air Quality Chapter). Government policy and socioeconomic factors are also promoting the uptake of ultra-low and zero emission vehicles. Current government policy is for all new car and van sales from 2040 onwards to be of ultra-low and zero-emission vehicles, with new conventional diesel and petrol-fuelled vehicles banned from sale (see paragraph 9.6.9 of the ES Biodiversity Chapter). Data on APIS (Ref. 9.58) indicates that approximately 10.3% of nitrogen deposition onto Thorne Moor SAC arises from road transport. Future reductions in emissions from the UK vehicle fleet would therefore reduce and eventually eliminate these inputs.

For comparison, the source attribution data on APIS identifies the existing Drax Power Station complex as contributing approximately 1.9% of total nitrogen deposition.

Given the factors set out above, the air quality impacts of the Proposed Scheme are not predicted to lead to adverse effects on the integrity of the Proposed Scheme, either alone or in combination with other Plans and Projects.

HRA Integrity Matrix 10: Thorne and Hatfield Moor SPA

Name of European site and designation: Thorne and Hatfield Moor SPA						
EU Code: UK0012915						
Distance to NSIP: 9.3 km to the Power Station Site, 7.6 km to the Pipeline Area						
European site features	Adverse effect on integrity			Adverse effect on integrity		
<i>Effect</i>	<i>Habitat degradation (air quality)</i>			<i>In-combination Effects (air quality)</i>		
<i>Stage of Development</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>O</i>	<i>D</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>O</i>	<i>D</i>
Supporting populations of the following Annex I species; <u>Breeding Season</u> : Nightjar <i>Caprimulgus europaeus</i>		X(a)			X(a)	

- a.** Chapter 6 of the ES (Air Quality; Examination Library Ref: APP-074) sets out the methodology and results of air quality dispersion modelling of the Proposed Scheme. This includes quantification of potential air quality impacts on designated ecological sites, including Natura 2000 Sites. Tables 6.18 to 6.22 of the ES Air Quality chapter sets out the predicted numerical air quality impacts of the Proposed Scheme, based on a realistic worst-case scenario for operation (see paragraph 6.4.13 of the ES Air Quality Chapter for a description of the modelling assumptions). This includes the predicted impact of the Proposed Scheme alone on levels of Nitrous Oxides (NO_x), ammonia (NH₃), nitrogen deposition and acidification. Predicted cumulative impacts with other projects for these gas species are also presented in Tables 6.23 to 6.27. The worst-case scenario assessed in the air quality chapter is considered in this SIAA, i.e. operation of both units with Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) with the annualised ammonia budget (see paragraph 6.4.13 to 6.4.15 of the ES Air Quality Chapter).

Air Quality modelling was updated at Deadline 5 to reflect a marginal increase in the proposed stack heights (see the Applicants Air Quality Technical Note; Examination Library Ref: REP5-019). The updated air quality modelling

demonstrated that the change in stack height had a negligible effect on air quality impacts. The Assessment of the effects of air quality impacts on European Sites therefore remains unchanged from that previously presented.

The air quality modelling shows that the Proposed Scheme would make a minor contribution to an existing exceedance of the critical level for annual mean NH_3 concentrations, both alone and in-combination with other plans or projects (see tables 6.18 and 6.23 in Chapter 6 of the ES). The Proposed Scheme would generate a maximum Process Contribution of 0.4% of the critical level for NH_3 . This is in the context of an existing exceedance of 239% of critical level, with the process contribution from the Proposed Scheme equivalent to approximately 0.2% of background levels. There are no exceedances of critical levels for NO_x , either alone or in-combination with other plans or projects (see tables 6.19 and 6.20, and 6.24 and 6.25 of the ES Air Quality Chapter). The Proposed Scheme alone will not lead to significant nitrogen or acid deposition onto Thorne Moor SPA. There is a maximum modelled process contribution of 0.8% and 0.6% for nitrogen and acid deposition respectively (see Table 6.21 and 6.22 of the ES Air Quality Chapter, respectively). The process contribution also reduces with increasing distance from the Proposed Scheme. As such, air quality impacts of the Proposed Scheme alone are not predicted to lead to adverse effects to the integrity of the European Site.

The maximum predicted cumulative impact of the Proposed Scheme would be 1.3% of the critical level for NH_3 , with the Proposed Scheme contributing up to 0.4% of this. The contribution from the Proposed Scheme to cumulative NH_3 also decreases with increasing distance from the stacks. Given the cumulative exceedance is only marginally above 1% of critical level at the point of greatest predicted impact, no perceptible effects on SAC vegetation are predicted to arise. As such, the suitability of the habitats present to support nightjar is not expected to be subject to perceptible change. There would be a cumulative impact of up to 2.6% of critical load for nitrogen deposition and up to 2.0% for acidification, with the Proposed Scheme contributing 0.8% and 0.6% respectively. The cumulative impacts on nitrogen and acid deposition therefore exceed 1% of critical load (see paragraphs 6.6.35 to 6.6.39 of the ES Air Quality Chapter).

To support this assessment, published research into the effects of nitrogen deposition on bog habitats was reviewed. This included a review of existing scientific knowledge covering several studies (Caporn *et al.*, 2016 (reference 9.52)) and a study of how ecosystem functions could be used as indicators for heathland response to nitrogen deposition (Bahring *et al.*, 2017 (Ref. 9.55)). These studies suggest that the effects of additional nitrogen where background deposition rates are already high are much reduced relative to where background deposition rates are low. This is because nitrogen is already in excess, with the plants present having limited capacity to respond. In the Natural England study (Caporn *et al.*, (2016)), with background deposition rates of 20 kg N/ha/yr (comparable to estimated baseline deposition rates at Thorne Moor SAC of 19.2 kgN/ha/yr), adding a further 1 kg N/ha/yr was shown to decrease species richness by between

0.7%. Graminoid (grass) cover was found to increase by 1.5%. The maximum species richness recorded across the studies examined in Caporn *et al.*, (2016) was 32.

Taking a species richness from the above of 32, an impact equivalent to 3.3 kgN/ha/yr would theoretically be required to reduce species richness across the SAC by an average of one species (per quadrat). The maximum predicted cumulative impact of the Proposed Scheme with other plans and projects is 0.13 kgN/ha/yr, equivalent to approximately 3.9% of the amount required to reduce species richness by an average of one species per quadrat. This level of deposition falls within the bounds of natural variation and is predicted to lead to negligible (and imperceptible) vegetative change across the SAC. The worst-case cumulative impact of acid deposition is marginally above 1% (2.0%), with the contribution from the Proposed Scheme decreasing with increasing distance from stacks. Again, no perceptible vegetative change of SAC habitats are predicted to arise from this level of deposition. There is also evidence from a study completed by the Centre for Ecology and Hydrology (2015, Ref. 9.57) that suggests levels of acid deposition across Thorne Moor are reducing, with evidence of a downward trend between 2012 and 2014.

The constituent SSSI Units of the Thorne Moor SAC within 15 km of the Project Site, were assessed as being in 'favourable', 'unfavourable recovering', 'unfavourable no change' and 'unfavourable declining' condition when last assessed despite current inputs of nitrogen from other sources (which exceed the upper band of the site relevant critical load). A copy of the last SSSI unit condition assessment is provided in Appendix 3 of this SIAA. 3.85% of the Thorne, Crowle and Gool Moor SSSI was reported as being in 'favourable' condition, with 91.97% recorded as being in 'unfavourable – recovering' condition. 2.94% was assessed as 'unfavourable no change' with 1.24% 'unfavourable declining'. The majority of the SAC is considered to be in 'unfavourable – recovering' condition by NE. NE identify initiatives to control scrub and manage water balance as the main factors leading to improvements in habitat condition (see Appendix 3).

As well as the ecological factors considered above, future national emissions ceilings are also likely to reduce emissions of both NO_x and ammonia levels and subsequently deposition in the medium to long term. For example, The National Emissions Ceilings Regulations (2018), commit the UK to reducing ammonia emissions by 8% between 2020 and 2029 and by 16% from 2018 onwards (see paragraph 6.6.40 of the ES Air Quality Chapter). Government policy and socioeconomic factors are also promoting the uptake of ultra-low and zero emission vehicles. Current government policy is for all new car and van sales from 2040 onwards to be of ultra-low and zero-emission vehicles, with new conventional diesel and petrol-fuelled vehicles banned from sale (see paragraph 9.6.9 of the ES Biodiversity Chapter). Data on APIS (Ref. 9.58) indicates that approximately 10% of nitrogen deposition onto Thorne Moor SPA arises from road transport.

Future reductions in emissions from the UK vehicle fleet would therefore reduce and eventually eliminate these inputs. For comparison, the source attribution data on APIS identifies the existing Drax Power Station complex as contributing approximately 1.7% of total nitrogen deposition.

Given the factors set out above, the air quality impacts of the Proposed Scheme are not predicted to lead to adverse effects on the integrity of the Proposed Scheme, either alone or in combination with other Plans and Projects.

APPENDIX 3 – SSSI CONDITION ASSESSMENT

Condition of SSSI Units for Site Brighton Meadows SSSI

See the SSSI glossary ([SSSIglossary.aspx](#)) for an explanation of terms.

Report generated on: 29 Jun 2018

Main Habitat	Responsible Officer	Unit Number	Unit Id	Area (ha)	NNR Overlap Area (ha)	Latest Assessment Date	Assessment Description	Comment	Adverse Condition Reasons
Brighton Meadows SSSI - EAST RIDING OF YORKSHIRE, NORTH YORKSHIRE (EAST RIDING OF YORKSHIRE, SELBY)									
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	001	1012311	7.3774	0.00	20/05/2011	Favourable		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	002	1012312	4.8837	0.00	20/05/2011	Favourable		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	003	1012313	1.7803	0.00	13/07/2011	Favourable	MG4 Hay Meadows are being maintained in favourable condition. Abundant positive indicator species include, Great Burnet, Autumn Hawkbit & Meadowsweet.	
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	004	1017278	3.786	0.06	13/07/2011	Favourable	The MG4 Hay meadow is being maintained in favourable condition. Abdunant positive indicator species include Great Burnet, Autumn Hawkbit & Meadowsweet.	
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	005	1017279	15.6565	6.03	13/07/2011	Favourable	The MG4 Hay meadow is being maintained in favourable condition. Abdunant positive indicator species include Great Burnet, Autumn Hawkbit & Meadowsweet.	
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	006	1017281	2.7419	0.00	30/06/2011	Unfavourable - Recovering		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	007	1017848	2.167	0.00	30/06/2011	Favourable		

Condition of SSSI Units for Site Derwent Ings SSSI

See the SSSI glossary ([SSSIglossary.aspx](#)) for an explanation of terms.

Report generated on: 29 Jun 2018

Main Habitat	Responsible Officer	Unit Number	Unit Id	Area (ha)	NNR Overlap Area (ha)	Latest Assessment Date	Assessment Description	Comment	Adverse Condition Reasons
Derwent Ings SSSI - EAST RIDING OF YORKSHIRE, NORTH YORKSHIRE (EAST RIDING OF YORKSHIRE, SELBY, YORK)									
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	101	1028594	2,1897	0.01	04/03/2014	Favourable		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	102	1028595	15.982	5.64	31/12/2015	Unfavourable - Recovering	The habitat distribution appears similar to that identified by 2002 NVC survey and the current extent of MG4 is consistent with that identified in 1998, However Cx.acuta appears reduced in density and area from 2002. Much of the area described as MG4-MG4/7 in 2002 is relatively species poor. However this does appear to have been an established situation and Wallace & Prosser in their vegetation review (2004) suggest there may have been a historic contraction of true MG4. That said the diversity will not have been improved by unconsented herbicide treatment of docks in 2013 (see file notes) which clearly impacted upon greater burnett and meadowsweet in some areas. MG8 fails on positive indicators. However looking at 2002 quadrat data it appears no less species rich than this survey. Consideration to reviewing threshold may wish to be considered when reviewing FCT. Interestingly file notes in the Derwent Ings legal file suggest the southern part of the site was fertilized with pre 1973.	
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	103	1028596	4,5934	0.00	15/07/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	104	1028597	4,1714	0.00	05/03/2014	Unfavourable - Recovering		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	105	1028598	21.3236	21.32	18/06/2013	Unfavourable - Recovering		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	106	1028599	4,7773	3.56	18/06/2013	Favourable		

NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	107	1028600	11.1975	0.00	18/06/2015	Favourable	Wallace and Prosser in the their historic review concluded that that the vegetation communities recorded by them in 2001 were all present in the 1980s. Consequently the 2001 NVC map is taken as the baseline for vegetation communities associated with this unit. The presence of Oenanthe sialifolia also means that this unit should be recorded as supporting the vascular plant assemblage feature.2015 Assessment.Vegetation communities largely as expected. Both Curled dock and marsh ragwort are potential problems. Central damper section described in previous surveys still apparent and characterised by abundant Stellaris palustis. Oenanthe sialifolia also present in these areas (see photo) as are Achillea ptarmica Galium palustris, Cardamine. Sanguisorba persist in some areas albeit at low frequency . Senecio aquaticus is frequent in the lower lying areas. The area of swamp vegetation in the north west corner of the unit was more akin to MG8. Ranunculus repens was abundant in some of the lower lying areas.Pair of curlew displaying on site	
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	108	1028601	23.6251	0.24	18/02/2014	Favourable		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	109	1028602	10.9185	10.92	28/02/2014	Favourable		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	110	1028603	59.2332	59.23	08/07/2013	Unfavourable - Recovering		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	111	1028604	24.0446	24.04	08/07/2013	Favourable		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	112	1028605	19.9169	19.92	08/07/2013	Favourable		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	113	1028606	40.3109	40.31	19/02/2014	Favourable		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	114	1028607	12.5258	0.94	29/06/2012	Unfavourable - Recovering		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	115	1028608	3.8758	0.64	20/09/2012	Favourable		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	116	1028609	3.2484	0.00	20/09/2012	Favourable		

NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	117	1028610	4.8967	0.55	27/09/2012	Favourable		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	118	1028611	2.1687	0.00	01/06/2010	Favourable		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	119	1028612	0.2276	0.00	01/06/2010	Favourable		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	120	1028613	3.11	0.00	21/12/2015	Favourable	Extent of MG4 is similar to that reported by Wallace & Prosser in 2002 and in favourable condition. There appears to have been a slight expansion of the ranker vegetation in the central section. MG9 area identified by W&P is grass dominated with some Ran. repens and Stellaria palustre. MG7 area is dominated by Carex acuta (c.95%) with Ran. acris. Oenanthe Sialifolia present consequently vascular plant assemblage passed.	
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	121	1028614	4.0458	0.00	21/12/2015	Favourable	According to site files this unit was ploughed pre 1980 but allowed to revert back to grass. Given Historic data it would seem that the extent of MG4 grassland reported by Wallace and Prosser in 2002 represent the maximum extent of MG4 post notification. Using this as a baseline, the unit is therefore judged as favourable. Although MG13 was reported by Wallace and Prosser this was not a significant feature of the unit and is not monitored.	
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	122	1028615	3.9235	0.00	23/12/2015	Favourable	The MG4 and MG8 communities blend into one another and typical species from each community are found in each, e.g. MG4 areas also have Polygonum amphibium, Lychnis, and Stellaria palustris, and MG8 areas have abundant Leontodon autumnalis and occ Silaum. MG8 is classed as favourable despite it failing on number of positive indicator species, given the presence of these species and the fact that the species poor nature of the community is thought to be natural situation (see FCT in prep) Historic records suggest Cx acuta has always been locally frequent and this remains the case. Using Wallace & Prosser 2002 survey as a baseline the unit is considered favourable. Associated ditches interseting with Hottonia, Calitriche, Equisetum fluviatile, Lychnis and Glyceria maxima, borderd by Filipendula.	

NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	123	1028616	2.0319	0.00	17/06/2015	Favourable	A much more uniform sward than recorded by Wallace and Prosser in 2002. Much more of a MG4-MG4/8 community over much of the unit. MG8 of the type reported by Wallace and Prosser now restricted to the lower lying areas. This is within acceptable thresholds and may be a return to the dryer condition reported in the 1980s & early 1990s. See additional comments associated with feature assessments, Curlew displaying to south.	
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	124	1028617	10.199	4.84	20/01/2016	Favourable	Some MG8 type vegetation as described by Wallace & Prosser occurs in low lying areas but majority of Unit relates to MG4. The lower lying areas are characterised by Carex acuta and Senecio aquaticus. Communities seem similar to that described in historic surveys and unit is considered favourable for vegetation communities.	
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	125	1028618	1.9572	0.00	20/01/2016	Favourable	MG8 type vegetation as described by Wallace & Prosser occurs in low lying areas but majority of Unit relates to MG4. The lower lying areas are characterised by Carex acuta and Senecio aquaticus. Communities seem similar to that described in historic surveys and unit is considered favourable for vegetation communities.	
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	126	1028619	11.094	2.37	20/01/2016	Favourable	The southernmost triangle of land which is separated from the rest of the unit by a ditch. Here the vegetation clearly falls into two distinct types. The MG4 near the floodbank and the MG8/13 wetter vegetation to the east. The wetter area has abundant creeping buttercup with MG8 indicator species only in low frequencies, Cardamine O, Caltha O/R and Galium palustre O present in any amount. Stellaria palustris O, Lysimachia nummularium O, Persicaria amphibia O are also present as are some species indicative of MG4 including Oenothera siliifolia (O) Leontodon autumnalis (R). Lychnis actually appears restricted to more MG4 areas, MG4 areas characterised by Rumex acetosa Senecio aquaticus O in wetter areas. Docks are restricted to dyke edge. Main bulk of unit to north has both MG4 and the species poor variant of MG8. Given historical distribution of vegetation communities unit is assessed as FAVOURABLE.	
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	127	1028620	3.8316	0.33	01/06/2010	Favourable		

NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	128	1028621	5.2339	1.88	25/06/2015	Favourable	The central part of this of this unit is relatively low lying with high abundance of Phalaris, Polygonum amphibium, and Senecio aquaticus. Galium palustre and Lysimachia also present. This area was not assessed in detail but remains similar to vegetation described by ADAS in 1994 The fringing area and towards the flood bank supports MG4 type vegetation with all key indicator species present. The vegetation appears more diverse than that described by Trinder in 1990 but from species present appears similar to that recorded by Stuttard and Priest in 1979. Wallace and Prossers Interpretation of the 1994 ADAS data is taken as baseline and on this basis the unit is favourable. Note species poor nature of the MG8 community described by Wallace and Prosser in 2002, which is considered a natural state for this community with much of the Derwent Ings.	
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	129	1028622	1.6123	0.01	25/06/2015	Favourable	According to archived NE site files this unit may have been agriculturally improved in the past. Earlier surveys record the units as MG9 or species poor MG7c. Phalaris always appears to have been a significant component of the sward. That said the initial survey did record some species indicative of MG4 type communities and Wallace & Prosser recorded some MG4 vegetation. The current assessment indicated a very grassy sward and the unit remains comparatively species poor. The herb grass ratio, although low is usually above 40% threshold however although only 1 or 2 herb species are often present at any point. The NW corner is damper with Caltha and a higher frequency of Oenanthe sialifolia. Based on historic records unit is judged as favourable. Wallace and Prosser's 2002 survey taken as baseline. The 2015 assessment appears to indicate a slightly more species rich sward than that recorded in 2002. Oyster catcher overhead.	
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	130	1028623	2.0772	0.00	25/06/2015	Favourable	Fairly uniform grassy sward with comparatively low herb-grass ration. Sward has appearance of being dominated by Rumex acetosa, Leontodon autumnalis, Ranunculus acris. MG4 species are present i.e. Leontodon autumnalis (A), Silaum (F), Lathyrus (F), Filipendula (F), Sanguisorba (R). Lychnis and Polygonum also present. Unit would appear more species rich than time of notification. Wallace and Prosser's 2002 survey taken as baseline which is probably a greater extent than that at notification. On this basis the unit is judged as favourable. Reed bunting family flitting through vegetation.	

NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	131	1028624	2.2934	2.29	25/06/2015	Favourable	This is a fine MG4 grassland meadow. Although some areas are slightly less species rich overall the whole unit can be ascribed to MG4. On this basis the Unit is considered favourable.	
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	132	1028625	4.4033	4.40	25/06/2015	Favourable	This is a fine species-rich meadow. It is not uniform sward with some damper area and some grassy areas. Never-the less it is one of the most species rich meadows of the Derwent Ings and is considered as Favourable.	
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	133	1028626	8.2063	4.13	02/06/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	134	1028627	4.8055	0.00	29/07/2010	Favourable		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	135	1028628	4.8466	0.00	02/06/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	136	1028550	3.6158	0.00	02/06/2010	Favourable		

Condition of SSSI Units for Site Hatfield Moors SSSI

See the [SSSI glossary \(SSSIglossary.aspx\)](#) for an explanation of terms.

Report generated on: 29 Jun 2018

Main Habitat	Responsible Officer	Unit Number	Unit Id	Area (ha)	NNR Overlap Area (ha)	Latest Assessment Date	Assessment Description	Comment	Adverse Condition Reasons
Hatfield Moors SSSI - SOUTH YORKSHIRE (DONCASTER)									
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	001	1001511	32.7104	3.28	27/03/2013	Unfavourable - No change	Unfavourable no change. This unit is a mixture of open recolonising peat, fairly well colonised peat with heather, and scrub/woodland, with some areas (~15%) used for peat storage. The unit fails on scrub cover, lack of sphagnum, and lack of +ve indicator spp. Parts of the unit are in management, but around 60% is unmanaged, although parts of this are developing some vegetation and sphagnum is invading in parts, so assessed as no change.	OTHER - OTHER - SPECIFY IN COMMENTS,
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	002	1001512	45.1091	38.74	30/03/2015	Unfavourable - Recovering	Unit is generally in good condition where scrub has been cleared, with heather, cotton-grass and patches of sphagnum but remains quite dry to the south. Some very good areas to the north, with extensive sphagnum carpets with at least 3 S. spp. Areas under trees (birch) are very poor, with little or no vegetation other than bracken and other moss, and extensive bare areas. Dry areas in the south are bracken dominated. Extensive scrub clearance is planned through the LIFE+ programme. Area previously grazed, but now showing much birch regrowth in areas previously cut. Only small part is in HLS, hence only two stops done for the HLS assessment. Unit fails CSM on multiple counts, but considered to be recovering as management is in place.	
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	003	1001513	148.1726	146.07	10/02/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering	Unit is revegetating well, with good heather growth in drier areas, esp. on the baulks, and patches of cotton-grass appearing in the damper cells. Water levels high in some area, esp. to east, but generally good. Experimental area where planting was carried out now almost completely covered with cotton-grass. Fails condition assessment criteria as large areas are still without vegetation, but current trend is very positive.	

BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	004	1001514	33.0173	2.32	02/09/2013	Unfavourable - Recovering	HLS now agreed for this unit. Agreement will be light touch, but will improve the condition of the unit through a programme of re-wetting and scrub management. Some constraints due to limited capital works budget.	
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	005	1001515	94.0415	93.71	29/06/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering	Unit now more developed than in 2004, with good growth of heather in dry areas and cotton-grasses in wetter ones. Water levels still quite high, and will be dropped slightly to improve growth. Sphagnum cuspidatum common throughout.	
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	006	1001516	96.8416	96.84	29/06/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering	Unit fails CSM favourable condition as it is largely (90%) bare peat, with little vegetation (assessed by air photos and brief ground truthing). However water management structures are in place and being managed, and vegetation is being to colonise.	
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	007	1001517	128.5238	128.52	29/06/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering	Unit fails CSM favourable condition as it is largely (90%) bare peat, with little vegetation (assessed by air photos and brief ground truthing). However water management structures are in place and being managed, and vegetation is being to colonise.	
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	008	1001518	212.2447	212.24	29/06/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering	Unit still largely bare peat, but with patches of vegetation (heather, cotton-grasses, occasional traces of sphagnum moss) developing on headlands and around wet patches. A few areas of well developed vegetation, either dense birch with heather, or more open heather with some scrub. Clear development from the previous assessment, and management programme is in place to maintain water levels and control scrub.	
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	009	1001519	99.7939	99.79	29/06/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering	Although still carrying too much scrub, and lacking sufficient diversity to be favourable, this unit continues to develop. Water control structures placed by volunteers have resulted in significant expansion of remaining patches of bog rosemary and cranberry, and nightjar population has benefited from specific scrub and heather management. Grazing is continuing in the western part of the unit, but with some negative effects on cotton-grasses. The eastern part of the unit remains dense birch.	
RIVERS AND STREAMS	FIONA QUICK	010	1001510	59.2499	0.05	25/08/2011	Unfavourable - Recovering	Unit still in unfavourable condition. Fails on number of aquatic species, although sampling is difficult due to very steep banks. Main drain has some algae, but generally not too bad. Water levels low on this visit, but very dry weather. Will need further visits over the next few years to see if the increased flow of water from the Moors is having the expected positive effect (see previous assessment).	

STANDING OPEN WATER AND CANALS	FIONA QUICK	011	1001521	103.3468	99.35	17/11/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering	Unit is restored gravel pits, and will not fit the standard bog FCT. Key factor is maintaining a stable and appropriate hydrology, and the water level monitoring work carried out indicates that this is so. Water levels have remained quite high through the year, despite the recent dry period. The target for this unit is for the existing lakes to develop floating bog, but this is expected to take a very long time. Existing poor fen, acid grassland, wet birch and willow woodland and heathland habitats are considered appropriate for its current stage of development, and are supporting good feeding and some breeding areas for nightjar. Some problems with fish populations introduced previously to the lakes, and invasion by crassula, but this is not to the same degree as unit 15. Management to maintain the existing habitats is ongoing, so this unit is assessed as unfavourable recovering.	
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	012	1001523	25.202	25.20	30/03/2015	Unfavourable - No change	Unit is mostly dense birch of varied age, with some quite old to the north. More southern parts of the northern section has quite extensive areas of thin birch over good heather vegetation, and southern section has had a number of glades/rides cut into it. In these areas there is good heather and cotton-grass cover, but some are completely bracken dominated. other ares are dense birch over thin or absent other vegetation, usually moss (not Sphagnum) or bracken. Although INNS not recorded in the stops there were several quite extensive groves of rhododendron (also a number of areas cleared of rhodo but needing follow up), and a scattering of individual plants, also some pine. Little change from previous visit, hence Uf-R	FRESHWATER - DRAINAGE,LACK OF CORRECTIVE WORKS - INAPPROPRIATE SCRUB CONTROL,
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	013	1001525	168.9271	168.93	21/08/2009	Unfavourable - Recovering	Visit date 11/08/09, record card on file. Unit is predominantly semi flooded compartments over previously cutover peat. On the date of the visit water levels were good, with some compartments almost completely shallowly flooded and others with extensive wet peat. Recolonisation is doing well, especially round the edges, with the headlands now mostly covered in heather, and good colonisation of cottongrass round the edge of the wetter cells. However colonisation of some of the bunds is very slow.	

BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	014	1001528	10.7208	0.41	09/06/2009	Unfavourable - No change	Area remains birch woodland with bracken and bramble understory, on a peat soil, with the northern area undergrazed. The formal FCT features do not fit this area, but water levels are lower than would be ideal. Management for nightjar would be beneficial and would require glades/rides to be opened up in the woodland.	FRESHWATER - DRAINAGE,
STANDING OPEN WATER AND CANALS	FIONA QUICK	015	1001529	15.1972	14.37	17/02/2010	Unfavourable - No change	Unit has had some crassula control undertaken, but this still remains a significant problem, and it is not known whether the control undertaken will have much effect, monitoring over the next growing season will establish this and inform future work. Good emergent growth around the lake generally, and some evidence of other pond weeds persisting. Willow and birch scrub dense along edges, except where cut back (~30-50%). Water levels high and stable. Unit passes the assessment on the basis of maintain the hydrology of the adjacent peat areas, but fails as the habitats around the lake edge are still dominated by crassula. Considered to be Unfavourable no change until the crassula control can be assessed.	LACK OF CORRECTIVE WORKS - INAPPROPRIATE WEED CONTROL,
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	016	1001531	73.7394	72.10	17/02/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering	The unit has a number of large open areas (~2/3 area) which are revegetating well with heather and cotton-grass, with patches of sphagnum becoming common in areas. However to the west it is drier and dominated by bracken and tall birch. Overall the unit is improving, with a significant amount of work done on the best areas, but with a persistent drier fringe dominated by dry birch woodland. This unit fails the CSM assessment criteria on birch cover, lack of positive indicators, too much bracken, and not enough sphagnum, but is showing good improvement.	
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	017	1024234	8.7194	0.00	17/11/2010	Unfavourable - No change	Area is entirely within the operational area of the factory, and therefore will not fit the standard FCT. No bog vegetation present, but drainage is fairly minimal and does not seem to be affecting the rest of the site. Situation unchanged from last assessment, so unit assessed as unfavourable no change.	PLANNING PERMISSION - PLANNING PERMISSION - GENERAL,

BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	018	1024235	19.0335	18.79	10/02/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering	Unit almost completely covered by birch scrub, although management works are underway to develop clearings and rides. Tree height varies from 2-3 m to 5 m but where shorter is mostly very dense, with a few more open areas. These areas (20-30% of area) have remnant heather under the birch (much looking rather sick), and there is a continuous understory of bracken. Frequent old cuttings and drains, with some bare peat and lichen covered peat. Unit fails assessment criteria, but management programme in place.	
ACID GRASSLAND - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	019	1024236	1.7256	0.00	16/03/2012	Unfavourable - Recovering	Area now in HLS, management ongoing.	
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	020	1024237	8.8991	8.90	29/06/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering	Unit revegetating well, with good growth of cotton-grasses and heather. Sphagnum cuspidatum colonising in parts.	
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	021	1024238	16.5014	16.50	19/08/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering	Unit well vegetated with heather and cotton-grass (Hare's tail cotton-grass abundant in the old cuttings), some sphagnum cuspidatum in the wetter drains. Mostly scrub cleared a few years ago, but significant very young re-growth, which will need clearing in the future, unless water levels rise significantly. Occasional patches of bracken in drier areas. Quite dry during visit, but water level monitoring shows unit maintaining a good degree of wetness.	
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	022	1024239	1.6124	1.61	17/11/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering	Dense birch over bracken, will fail assessment on birch cover and lack of appropriate bog vegetation. Unit is on the edge of the site and some-what raised, so unlikely to be able to wet this unit up, some work to improve structure for nightjar has been carried out in the unit, so is assessed as unfavourable recovering.	
STANDING OPEN WATER AND CANALS	FIONA QUICK	023	1024240	18.1333	18.13	30/03/2015	Favourable	Technically unit is favourable, as it is maintaining good water levels, but fails on a number of discretionary features. Generally the water margin is poorly vegetated. esp on the north east side, better on the south west which is more sheltered. Crassula is abundant at the water margin and in the shallow pools by the car-park. Dry areas have a good mixture of habitats, with good sparse grass/herb vegetation, and patches of scrub and reed in the wetter areas. Invert survey fails on too much scrub, but the assessment for this unit should probably be adjusted, overall scrub cover was not unreasonable.	
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	024	1024241	2.8131	0.00	29/06/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering	WLMP provides basis for looking at water management in these units. These areas are currently farmed and are included only for their hydrological value. WLMP currently underway.	

Condition of SSSI Units for Site Newton Mask SSSI

See the SSSI glossary (SSSIglossary.aspx) for an explanation of terms.

Condition of SSSI Units for Site River Derwent SSSI

See the SSSI glossary ([SSSIglossary.aspx](#)) for an explanation of terms.

Report generated on: 29 Jun 2018

Main Habitat	Responsible Officer	Unit Number	Unit Id	Area (ha)	NNR Overlap Area (ha)	Latest Assessment Date	Assessment Description	Comment	Adverse Condition Reasons
River Derwent SSSI - EAST RIDING OF YORKSHIRE, NORTH YORKSHIRE (EAST RIDING OF YORKSHIRE, RYEDALE, SELBY)									
RIVERS AND STREAMS	EMMA LEIGHTON	001	1020086	31.3182	0.00	27/09/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering	Diffuse Water Pollution Plan and River Restoration plan signed off Sept 2010 which aim to reduce outstanding reasons for unfavourable condition. CSFP officer alos in place working with land owners seeking to address issues of agricultural runoff and diffuse pollution.	
RIVERS AND STREAMS	EMMA LEIGHTON	002	1020089	81.6451	0.00	27/09/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering		
RIVERS AND STREAMS	EMMA LEIGHTON	003	1020100	80.4648	0.20	27/09/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering	Diffuse Water Pollution Plan and River Restoration plan signed off Sept 2010 which aim to reduce outstanding reasons for unfavourable condition. CSFP officer also in place working with land owners seeking to address issues of agricultural runoff and diffuse pollution.	
RIVERS AND STREAMS	EMMA LEIGHTON	004	1020122	175.537	0.41	27/09/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering	Diffuse Water Pollution Plan and River Restoration plan signed off Sept 2010 which aim to reduce outstanding reasons for unfavourable condition. CSFP officer also in place working with land owners seeking to address issues of agricultural runoff and diffuse pollution.	
FEN, MARSH AND SWAMP - Lowland	EMMA LEIGHTON	005	1020101	2.742	0.00	04/12/2017	Favourable	The unit is providing river supporting habitat as it did at notification with species features favourable at the site level. More could be done through improved management works such as scrub clearance to clear trees from some of the ponds and improve the unit further.	
STANDING OPEN WATER AND CANALS	EMMA LEIGHTON	006	1020102	1.9338	0.00	03/03/2017	Favourable	See site level BB survey	
STANDING OPEN WATER AND CANALS	EMMA LEIGHTON	007	1020103	1.9134	0.00	04/12/2017	Favourable	Nice unit of supporting habitat with good water quality that also supports the species interests of the site.	
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	EMMA LEIGHTON	008	1020104	1.599	0.00	18/09/2017	Unfavourable - Recovering	Condition updated to unfavourable recovering following implementation of Himalayan balsam control by Network Rail.	

NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	EMMA LEIGHTON	009	1020105	4.5698	0.00	06/09/2013	Unfavourable - Recovering		
BROADLEAVED, MIXED AND YEW WOODLAND - Lowland	EMMA LEIGHTON	010	1020106	0.8532	0.00	03/08/2016	Favourable	Management has intensified since restoration of the Mill but it is not currently interfering with the environmental integrity of the river.	
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	EMMA LEIGHTON	011	1020107	1.822	0.00	04/10/2016	Favourable	See file note for detail	
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	EMMA LEIGHTON	012	1020108	2.3958	0.00	04/10/2016	Favourable	See site visit note for further detail.	
BROADLEAVED, MIXED AND YEW WOODLAND - Lowland	EMMA LEIGHTON	013	1020109	1.8052	0.00	11/08/2016	Favourable	CSMi error on previous addition which did not register CSM date	
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	EMMA LEIGHTON	014	1020110	0.5322	0.00	11/08/2016	Favourable		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	EMMA LEIGHTON	015	1020111	6.9912	0.00	25/02/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering		
BROADLEAVED, MIXED AND YEW WOODLAND - Lowland	EMMA LEIGHTON	016	1027667	1.3537	0.00	13/11/2014	Favourable	Unit is 'supporting river habitat' and supports the species interest features of the SSSI and protects the integrity of the river. For further detail see the file note in the monitoring file.	
STANDING OPEN WATER AND CANALS	EMMA LEIGHTON	017	1020124	1.8696	0.00	31/08/2010	Favourable	Unit continues to provide supporting habitat consistent with its designated features.	
BROADLEAVED, MIXED AND YEW WOODLAND - Lowland	EMMA LEIGHTON	018	1020132	0.9564	0.00	13/05/2014	Favourable	No change in unit since last visit and the unit continues to provide supporting habitat for the species interests associated with the site. For further detail see visit note in the monitoring file.	
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	EMMA LEIGHTON	019	1027668	2.6467	0.00	03/03/2017	Favourable		
STANDING OPEN WATER AND CANALS	EMMA LEIGHTON	020	1020130	1.7192	0.00	13/08/2010	Favourable		

STANDING OPEN WATER AND CANALS	EMMA LEIGHTON	021	1027669	3.1724	0.00	04/12/2017	Unfavourable - No change	The condition of the supporting habitat has declined and the ponds have filled in leaving no open water and some scrub management is required. Reinstatement of the ponds and scrub management will provide habitat to support the species interest features of the site. The condition of the species interest is recorded at site level, not unit level so these features remain favourable despite this unit providing little benefit to them currently.	
--------------------------------	---------------	-----	---------	--------	------	------------	--------------------------	---	--

Condition of SSSI Units for Site Skipwith Common SSSI

See the SSSI glossary ([SSSIglossary.aspx](#)) for an explanation of terms.

Report generated on: 29 Jun 2018

Main Habitat	Responsible Officer	Unit Number	Unit Id	Area (ha)	NNR Overlap Area (ha)	Latest Assessment Date	Assessment Description	Comment	Adverse Condition Reasons
Skipwith Common SSSI - NORTH YORKSHIRE (SELBY)									
DWARF SHRUB HEATH - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	001	1012223	19.91	0.46	17/01/2014	Favourable		
DWARF SHRUB HEATH - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	004	1029646	71.5199	71.29	17/01/2014	Favourable		
DWARF SHRUB HEATH - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	005	1029647	112.5019	112.50	17/01/2014	Unfavourable - Recovering		
DWARF SHRUB HEATH - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	006	1029648	40.0181	39.18	17/01/2014	Unfavourable - Recovering		
DWARF SHRUB HEATH - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	007	1029649	50.2002	50.01	17/01/2014	Favourable		
DWARF SHRUB HEATH - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	008	1029650	1.1802	0.00	17/01/2014	Unfavourable - Recovering		

Condition of SSSI Units for Site Thorne, Crowle and Goole Moors SSSI

See the SSSI glossary ([SSSIglossary.aspx](#)) for an explanation of terms.

Report generated on: 29 Jun 2018

Main Habitat	Responsible Officer	Unit Number	Unit Id	Area (ha)	NNR Overlap Area (ha)	Latest Assessment Date	Assessment Description	Comment	Adverse Condition Reasons
Thorne, Crowle and Goole Moors SSSI - EAST RIDING OF YORKSHIRE, LINCOLNSHIRE, SOUTH YORKSHIRE (DONCASTER, EAST RIDING OF YORKSHIRE, NORTH LINCOLNSHIRE)									
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	001	1001406	36,0827	3.03	05/11/2012	Unfavourable - No change	Unfavourable no change. Unit consists of three distinct areas, a long strip of worked peatland, a narrow strip of warped land, and an area of unworked peat. The worked strip is well vegetated with heather, and cotton grass in cuttings and drains. Birch scrub is colonising very slowly, but dense and well established at the north end of the strip. The warped section is along the line of an old major drain, and is dominated by poplar, with hawthorne and willow. Significant infestation of Himalayan balsam. The unworked section has a core of good vegetation, dominated by heather, with sphagnum, cottongrass, Erica and bog rosemary all frequent, but surrounded by dense birch and bracken. This section was much wetter this visit compared to the previous visit (2010), with water levels looking healthy in the central part. Continued game management is keeping open some rides, with a couple of pens seen in birch/bracken areas. Unfavourable, as too much scrub, and overall lack of positive indicators, continued management is maintaining a core of good vegetation, but more work needed, although scrub growth throughout is very slow .	FRESHWATER - DRAINAGE,LACK OF CORRECTIVE WORKS - INAPPROPRIATE SCRUB CONTROL,

BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	002	1001407	15.3836	0.14	21/06/2012	Unfavourable - No change	The unit is split into two, the southern half is covered by dense birch (90%+ cover), mostly thin pole stage up to 15-20ft high, but with some older and larger trees. The surface of this part of the unit is a series of wide shallow cuttings and narrow raised baulks, all densely wooded, with a few bits of wet vegetation in the cuttings, frequent deer runs along the baulks, and bracken dominating any open/edge areas. No sphagnum seen. The northern part of the unit is much more open, with less birch cover (about 50-60%) and a good heather understory. There was much evidence of die-back in the heather (heather beetle?), but also a reasonable amount of regrowth/seedling growth. The area is cut by a number of shallow drains, which were holding water, with cotton grass common and occasional Erica tetralix present. Bracken present throughout, but rarely dominant. The unit is currently unmanaged, and fails on scrub cover, and lack of positive indicators (esp. in the southern part). However the northern part could readily be improved with ditch blocking and some limited scrub removal to encourage nightjar. The southern section requires much more significant work, but limited tree clearance could also benefit nightjar. Units assessed as Unfavourable no change, as the growth of scrub on the northern part seems to be slow, and shows no apparent change since the last assessment.	FRESHWATER - DRAINAGE, LACK OF CORRECTIVE WORKS - INAPPROPRIATE SCRUB CONTROL,
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	003	1001408	16.2926	0.05	06/10/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering	Unit is two strips of wooded peat, each divided into two by an old railway line. The 4 separate bits are uniform within the section, but not differences set out above between the different sections. Although the unit still fails the CSM on the degree of tree cover, management is underway through a CSS agreement on 2/3 of the unit, so the unit is considered to be recovering. However management will need to be maintained following the end of the CSS in a few years time, and the remaining 1/3 needs to be brought in.	

BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	004	1001409	247.8262	147.28	04/10/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering	Approx. 70% of the unit is in direct management by NE. Remaining sections have benefited from this management, although they are not currently being actively managed. Overall, the unit is showing good signs of recovery, with extensive cottongrass colonisation throughout, and sphagnum is beginning to come in under the vegetation. Only limited areas dominated by birch or open water. Water levels are being actively managed and can be maintained throughout. The recent fire affected about 2/5 of this unit, with much surface vegetation lost, but the area shows good signs of recovery after only a couple of weeks, with many areas of burnt cotton-grass showing new growth. Although this unit is recovering, securing the management of the remaining 30% of the area (through management agreements or purchase/direct management) will be necessary to ensure recovery in the long term. Nightjar and breeding birds are assessed on a whole site basis, but numbers are stable and within acceptable limits.	
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	005	1001410	198.904	198.37	04/06/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering	Unit very variable, with vegetation in northern area less well developed, and a few areas of quite deep water. Overall extensive cottongrass and sphagnum cuspidatum, and good quantities of heather. Soft rush constant at fairly low levels, and a few patches of reed. Little birch.	
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	006	1001411	36.235	36.24	02/08/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering	Unit still maintains significant areas of trees and bracken, and is too dry over the majority of the area. However daming and some scrub clearance have taken place and further work planned. Good fen development along boundary and a nice patch of wet alder wood. Unit needs to be considered at least as part lagg edge, and so can accept higher levels of scrub and fen cover.	

BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	007	1001412	12.6781	12.68	31/03/2015	Unfavourable - Recovering	Unit consists of a series of deep vegetating pools with drier baulks. The cuttings contain rush beds with an almost complete understory of sphagnum (<i>S. cuspidatum</i> , with possibly <i>falax</i> and/or <i>fimbriatum</i>) and small amounts of birch and willow. The baulks are undergoing scrub clearance (as they were at the last assessment), some are clear with rush, heather, sphagnum, and cotton-grass in some of the wet areas, while others are dense birch with relict heather, and there is dense birch at the west of the unit. Fails CSM on several factors (scrub, positive indicator species, invert assessment, the IA fails on scrub cover). Assessed as UfR as management work on the scrub is ongoing, and the cuttings are gradually filling with sphagnum.	
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	008	1008745	12.2188	0.03	02/02/2015	Unfavourable - Declining	The grassland areas in the arrow-head are retaining their interest well, with a good wet grassland flora, but suffering from lack of management. The assessment fails on height of sward, and only just passes on thatch. The fen area is hard to assess, the reed bed areas are in good condition, but both grassland and fen are suffering from encroaching scrub. The unit contains a significant area of secondary woodland, dominated by hawthorn, on the former railway bank and willow in the wetter areas. Former hedgerows between and around the grassland areas are becoming very grown out, with significant areas of creeping blackthorn.	AGRICULTURE - UNDERGRAZING,
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	009	1001414	95.3335	95.33	31/03/2015	Unfavourable - Recovering	Quite good in parts, with reasonable sphagnum growth and good cotton-grass and heather in the drier western end. However eastern end still dominated by rushes and open water, although again good sphagnum growth round the edges amongst rush tussocks. Fails on rush cover, lack of indicator species and overall <i>S.</i> cover. Ongoing management allows Uf-R	

BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	010	1001415	82.569	82.57	02/02/2015	Unfavourable - Recovering	Unit is predominantly rush and open water to the east, becoming drier and more diverse to the west, with some quite nice patches in places, with both cottongrasses, heather and sphagnum. Sphagnum is present in patches throughout, even in the rush dominated areas, and S. cuspidatum quite extensive in the edges of some open water patches. There are isolated patches of common reed, mostly to the west of the compartment, but these make up only a small portion of the total area. Photographs of the stops in TRIM. Need to review water management, as some areas are too wet. Needs care, as reducing levels in the east may dry out the higher areas to the east. In the longer term the bunding within this unit needs review. Unit fails on cover of sphagnum (not meeting target), rush (too much) lack of specific indicator species, and lack of invertebrate features. Considered to be recovering due to ongoing positive management, and gradually improving vegetation and sphagnum cover.	
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	011	1001416	84.9213	84.92	27/10/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering	Unit has a lot of juncus, but also a lot of sphagnum colonisation, with 6 of the 10 stops having significant cover. Large areas of previously bare peat now have a cover of sphagnum, albeit with dense rush growth in parts. Little scrub (due to management). Some drier baulks have good heather growth, but this is limited. Still quite a bit of open water, but diminishing.	
BROADLEAVED, MIXED AND YEW WOODLAND - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	012	1001417	74.0807	74.08	21/12/2017	Favourable	See feature assessment comments below. This unit is predominantly wet woodland which is a supporting habitat for the species interest of the site. The wet woodland was assessed as a supporting habitat in accordance with discretionary FCT targets and all were passed so the habitat is in good supporting condition.	
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	013	1001418	41.0128	41.01	02/08/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering	Unit now revegetating well, although retains some substantial bare areas in the eastern part. Water levels quite high when surveyed, and unit maintains a black headed gull roost. Vegetation quite good in parts, but rather juncus dominated (constant in all sampling sites), but with the odd patch of quite good fen vegetation, and a colony of sundew in one area. Some sphagnum colonisation, and some cotton grass in most sample points.	

BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	014	1001419	120.3577	120.36	15/08/2012	Unfavourable - Recovering	Unfavourable recovering. Fails on scrub, (inc. Rhododendron) and 2ve indicators - rush and bracken (frequent). Unit recolonised, with good sphagnum growth in all but the west end, which is drier and still dominated by tall birch and dense rhododendron, although extensive areas of both have been cleared in the last few years since the last assessment. Further work planned. Some areas of the northern canals looking very healthy, with sundew patches, good sphagnum cover and cotton-grass. Overall quite wet when visited. Birds assessed at whole site level.	
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	015	1001420	233.3761	233.38	27/09/2012	Unfavourable - Recovering	Very mixed unit, with some area (southern canals) as good as any part of the site, with extensive sphagnum carpets in the cuttings, with bog rosemary, cranberry, sundew, Erica , and cotton grasses. Other parts, especially to the west, much drier and zoning into dry birch woodland on peat, with extensive rhododendron thickets. Lots of intermediate areas with extensive wet cotton grass and sphagnum, but lacking other bog species. Assessed as unfavourable due to scrub cover on western part, and lack of cover of favourable indicators outside the core cannals area. Recovering, as there are ongoing works to tackle the scrub, and water management is appropriate.	
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	016	1001421	24.2966	0.07	12/09/2011	Unfavourable - Recovering	it is in two parts, North section by the old Elmhurst Pump, south section at the bottom by Casson's Garden. Both areas covered with patches of dense bracken and birch, with lots of Rhododendron in the north section, only occasional in the south. Cuttings and baulks visible under the growth, cuttings generally dry. The north section has some areas of fen/meadow alongside the horne Waste drain, which runs down the west site of the unit. Unit unfavourable due to birch and bracken cover, and lack of any sphagnum, heather or cottongrass. In the southern section at least one drain cuts through the unit and was seen to be running, albeit only very slightly. A programme of drain blocking has been planned, but not yet implemented, hence the Uf recovering rating.	

BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	017	1001422	168.4956	168.50	27/09/2012	Unfavourable - Recovering	Unit continuing to show extensive cotton-grass and sphagnum growth, with good cover across the unit. Some areas with significant rush, and some areas showing new scrub growth, some areas (old hand graving) retain tall birch, but still some sphagnum in the cuttings. Unfavourable due scrub, lack of positive indicators and range of species. Recovering as ongoing work to clear scrub and water levels are being managed and maintained.	
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	018	1001423	150.8453	149.70	17/11/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering	Unit is suffering from birch scrub and rhododendron growth, but an ongoing management programme is in place. Unit shows good sphagnum colonisation, and extensive areas of cottongrass. Part of the unit may have been warped, and supports dense willow with wet woodland features, and fen species in the understory. Unit assessed as unfavourable recovering, due to ongoing management work.	
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	019	1001424	59.4286	46.21	22/10/2012	Unfavourable - Recovering	Unfavourable recovering. Unit is still dominated by birch, with many dry areas of former baulks, but with wet cuttings containing cotton-grass and sphagnum. In the southern part of the unit taller birch woodland over bracken is dominant, to the north the dam continues to hold back water and the area is open with cotton-grass and sphagnum well established. The water is continuing to back up and there are several areas of dying/dead birch. Purple moor-grass is a constant throughout, dominating the understory in parts. The unit was very wet on the day visited, with most of the cuttings showing good water levels. Unit fails on scrub cover, and lack of favourable indicators, but the management is showing signs of success, so counted as recovering.	
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	020	1001425	110.4153	93.80	25/08/2011	Unfavourable - Recovering	Unit was quite dry on the date of this visit, but weather has been very dry recently. Unit is quite mixed, with some very good areas in the north, and large areas in active management. However still fails on lack of diversity of bog species, poor sphagnum cover, and too much scrub cover. This last is being tackled by the managers through their HLS, and vegetation is improving where scrub has been removed. Part of the unit is in different ownership, and an agreement needs to be progressed to bring this into management too.	

BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	021	1001426	7.6756	4.62	25/08/2011	Unfavourable - Recovering	See pictures on file. Walked up the length of the unit. Some work had been done to clear scrub from the lower end of the unit (pics 101-106, 104 and 105 of adjacent Mr. Isle strip), but still extensive scrub on site. Lower end (nearest edge of moor) quite open once past the first block of scrub (pics 107-114, 115 is of baulk to north), but then gradually scrubbing up, with the top end by the cross track mostly scrubed (pics 116-119). The amount of sphagnum increases up the site, but there are some large clumps even at the bottom end, although the total cover is probably only 5-10%. Large bank with pool at top (120) end, then a band of scrub on the cross track, opening out onto a clear area to the end of the unit. The clear area is showing quite a bit of scrub(122-123), but extensive sphagnum growth, and cotton-grass abundant.CSM ? Unfavourable, improving. Unit will fail on amount of scrub, and lack of heather and cotton-grass (much of the south part of the site is rush dominated). Considered to be improving due to the ongoing programme of scrub control, and planned hydrological work through the WLMP.	
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	022	1001427	6.1559	0.34	15/11/2012	Unfavourable - Declining	Unfavourable declining. Unit is split into two lengthways, with the northern part more heavily worked. This is almost completely bare, but colonising with juncus and some patches of phragmites, the southern part bare in places, but otherwise covered with tall birch over bracken. Fails on most catagories ? scrub cover, cover of positive indicators, lack of sphagnum. Currently unmanaged.	FRESHWATER - DRAINAGE,LACK OF CORRECTIVE WORKS - INAPPROPRIATE DITCH MANAGEMENT,LACK OF CORRECTIVE WORKS - INAPPROPRIATE SCRUB CONTROL,LACK OF CORRECTIVE WORKS - INAPPROPRIATE WEED CONTROL,PLANNING PERMISSION - PEAT EXTRACTION,
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	023	1001428	5.464	0.03	15/11/2012	Unfavourable - Declining	Unfavourable declining. Unit shows some sign of warping at the southern end, with much disturbed ground close to the houses. Some grass and phragmites, with bracken and birch. Further up the strip it becomes dominated by birch, with some heather, occasional cotton-grass, and some sphagnum. There are a number of deep flooded pits. Currently no management, but this is under discussion.	FRESHWATER - DRAINAGE,LACK OF CORRECTIVE WORKS - INAPPROPRIATE DITCH MANAGEMENT,LACK OF CORRECTIVE WORKS - INAPPROPRIATE SCRUB CONTROL,LACK OF CORRECTIVE WORKS - INAPPROPRIATE WEED CONTROL,

BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	024	1001429	5.0629	0.04	22/02/2013	Unfavourable - No change	Unfavourable no change. Unit is made up of a worked area which has been partly restored, and is divided up into a number of pools and shallow floodings, deeper at the north end. There are patches of sphagnum developing slowly in the shallow areas and round the edges, and there a few areas of open peat revegetating with cotton grass and heather, the remaining dry areas are dense birch. Currently no management, but this is under discussion. Site fails on frequency of indicator species, lack of sphagnum and scrub cover, but is little changed from the last assessment, and the sphagnum does seem to be spreading slowly. Work does need doing on the drainage, and to make the pools more hydrologically secure.	LACK OF CORRECTIVE WORKS - INAPPROPRIATE DITCH MANAGEMENT, LACK OF CORRECTIVE WORKS - INAPPROPRIATE SCRUB CONTROL,
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	025	1001430	5.9038	5.90	09/10/2012	Unfavourable - Recovering	Unfavourable recovering. Unit is a raised strip of peat bordered by worked ground which is significantly lower. As such it is dry and has little prospect of re-wetting. The unit is dominated by tall birch and dense bracken, although this is a little thinner, and the birch more stunted at the north end, where there are a few remnants of heathland vegetation. The unit is within an HLS agreement and work to open up some areas, which while this will mainly benefit nightjar, may help with vegetation if carefully targeted. In the longer term some work is planned through the Water Level Management Plan. The unit fails on positive indicators and extent of tree cover, but is recovering due to the positive management.	
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	026	1001431	16.7493	0.05	09/10/2012	Unfavourable - Recovering	Unfavourable Recovering. Unit is continuing to re-vegetate, but dominated by rush in some areas. Patches of phragmites scattered across the area, and some areas still very heavily scrubbed over, especially the former arable field, which is now dense birch and willow over grass and weedy species. However good signs of colonisation by cotton-grasses, but no sphagnum seen except in ditches. Damming of the west boundary drain only partly successful, but has raised water levels significantly. Unit is unfavourable, failing on lack of positive indicators, cover of negative indicators, and scrub in parts, but recovering as positive management is underway through an HLS and the Water Level Management Plan.	

BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	027	1018417	30.4905	27.97	09/10/2012	Unfavourable - Recovering	Unfavourable Recovering. Unit is split into two parts, the larger being the LWT Southern Crowle reserve and the northern part being a wooded strip. The southern part has good vegetation in the middle, with heather, cotton-grass and sphagnum, although there is still quite a lot of Molinia, and some of the heather was showing signs of die-back. While there is some scrub and bracken round the edges, this area is mostly open. The edges of the unit are birch woodland, with some rhodo infestation. A number of cuttings have been dammed in the past, but these dams are now failing and need replacing, and further damming would be of benefit. Some sphagnum and cotton-grass in cuttings. The northern part appears to have been warped close to the road, and is dominated by willow, with nettles in the understory. Hawthorne, elder and occasional sycamore present, with birch becoming dominant as you move up the strip into the Moor. Unit fails on scrub cover and lack of positive indicators, although the southern part is significantly better than the northern area. Recovering as in HLS and active management ongoing, work may also take place under the WLMP, however the unit is still quite dry in parts and if current management is not sufficient to remedy this condition may decline.	
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	028	1018418	14.7898	0.38	02/09/2013	Unfavourable - Recovering	80% of unit now in HLS. Remaining part to stay as woodland.	
BOGS - Lowland	FIONA QUICK	029	1018419	9.9028	0.84	28/03/2013	Unfavourable - Recovering	Unit is predominantly fen/reed bed around a number of old ponds and the remains of a couple of cottages, and cannot be assessed as mire. Originally fed by water pumped from the colliery, this ceased some years ago, and the former saline influence has largely disappeared. Retains some good reed beds and poor fen, with drier areas of scrub and woodland. Could be wetter (which would be good for the fen elements), and needs some scrub removal, this is currently being done through a management statement with the owners.	

Condition of SSSI Units for Site Melbourne and Thornton Ings SSSI

See the SSSI glossary ([SSSIglossary.aspx](#)) for an explanation of terms.

Report generated on: 29 Jun 2018

Main Habitat	Responsible Officer	Unit Number	Unit Id	Area (ha)	NNR Overlap Area (ha)	Latest Assessment Date	Assessment Description	Comment	Adverse Condition Reasons
Melbourne and Thornton Ings SSSI - EAST RIDING OF YORKSHIRE (EAST RIDING OF YORKSHIRE)									
BROADLEAVED, MIXED AND YEWS WOODLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	001	1017211	6.8292	0.00	29/07/2010	Favourable		
BROADLEAVED, MIXED AND YEWS WOODLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	002	1017221	17.776	17.52	29/07/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	003	1012582	23.8605	23.86	29/07/2010	Favourable		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	004	1012585	3.981	0.00	29/07/2010	Favourable		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	005	1012580	4.5059	0.01	29/07/2010	Favourable		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	006	1017244	9.5071	0.05	29/07/2010	Favourable		
FEN, MARSH AND SWAMP - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	007	1012593	5.9023	0.00	22/06/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	008	1017249	8.9849	8.64	30/07/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	009	1017253	9.9149	0.01	29/07/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering		
BROADLEAVED, MIXED AND YEWS WOODLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	010	1017263	6.7253	0.00	29/07/2010	Favourable		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	011	1017267	20.7689	0.00	09/06/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering		

NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	012	1017270	4.4814	0.00	29/07/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	013	1017271	3.2295	0.00	29/07/2010	Favourable		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	014	1017275	4.346	0.00	29/07/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	015	1017276	4.3116	0.00	27/07/2010	Favourable		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	016	1017277	2.6497	0.06	27/07/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	017	1017280	5.2757	0.17	28/07/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	018	1017282	1.0157	0.82	27/07/2010	Favourable		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	019	1017283	7.9645	3.30	15/06/2012	Favourable		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	020	1017284	33.6418	33.64	27/07/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	021	1017286	2.0508	0.00	27/07/2010	Unfavourable - Recovering		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	022	1012584	4.6136	0.00	29/06/2012	Favourable		
NEUTRAL GRASSLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	023	1017287	6.5915	0.00	29/07/2010	Favourable		
BROADLEAVED, MIXED AND YEW WOODLAND - Lowland	SIMON CHRISTIAN	024	1018715	1.4432	0.00	29/07/2010	Favourable		

APPENDIX 4 – PHOSPHATE LIMITATION NOTE

MEMO

TO	Natural England	FROM	WSP UK Ltd.
DATE	06 November 2018	CONFIDENTIALITY	Public
SUBJECT	Briefing Note for Natural England about phosphate limitation in the River Derwent (DRAX Re-Power HRA Report) - revision 3		

1. MOTIVATION

In May 2018, WSP UK Ltd. prepared a Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) Report of the Drax Repower Project for Drax Power Ltd. Section 6 of the HRA report discusses the air quality impacts arising from the proposed modification of part of Drax Power Station to run on natural gas. Section 6.3 describes, amongst other aspects, the potential impacts of nitrogen emissions – NO_x, NO₂ and ammonia (NH₃) – on the identified receptors. In paragraph 6.3.12 a statement is made that the “River Derwent (and the hydrologically connected downstream River Ouse) ... is strongly phosphate limited.”

The HRA was discussed at a meeting with Natural England (NE) on 26.6.2018, at which NE requested additional information about the above statement on phosphate limitation made in the HRA. This Briefing Note has been prepared by WSP UK Ltd. for NE to provide background information about the logic behind the statement made.

2. SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND

The statement in paragraph 6.3.12 is made in the context of the assessment of nitrogen deposition onto designated sites arising from future power station emissions. For the majority of sites considered by the HRA, the potential impacts are assessed against site-specific critical loads – defined ranges of N deposition below which no adverse effects are expected to arise, based on best available scientific evidence. However, for the closest European Site, the River Derwent SAC, no information about a critical N load is available, because rivers do not typically have critical loads assigned.

The UK Air Pollution Information Service (APIS) provides the following information on its website¹ about nitrogen deposition onto Rivers and Streams:

Impact Type: Deposition of pollutant

Key Concerns:

Deposition of ammonia, nitrate and other forms of nitrogen from the atmosphere could be an important source of nitrogen in some upland catchments where intensive agricultural activity is absent. Detailed nitrogen budgets, however, do not exist, so the relative inputs from atmospheric deposition are unknown. In such cases, increasing nitrogen inputs from atmospheric sources are likely to have ecological impacts. In most lowland rivers and burns, nitrogen inputs from catchment land-use, not deposition from the atmosphere, are likely to be much more significant (Strong et al. 1997, Smith & Stewart 1989, Foy et al. 1982).

Additional Comments:



A critical load cannot be given for nitrogen, as quantitative relationships between biology and nitrogen concentrations are poorly understood. The nitrogen to phosphorus ratio can be important, with a molar ratio of around 16:1 (7:1 by weight) being the threshold between N- and P-limitation (Wetzel 2001). Impacts could be assessed by deviation from

¹ <http://www.apis.ac.uk/node/982>, last accessed 2/8/2018

a 'natural' ratio for an individual site. The nitrogen concentration and load in rivers, particularly those in agricultural catchments, have been rising for a few decades (Zhou et al 2000, Gibson et al. 1992). The main source of this nitrogen is inorganic fertilisers (Strong et al. 1997, Smith & Stewart 1989).

3. SITE-SPECIFIC ASSESSMENT

The assessment whether the River Derwent is P- or N-limited was made based on the N:P ratios calculated from available water quality monitoring data of the Environment Agency. Data from two EA monitoring sites was evaluated, one on the River Derwent and the other from the River Ouse:

-  River Derwent at Loftsome Bridge
-  River Ouse at Long Drax

For both sites, the complete available monitoring data recorded between January 2013 and July 2018 was obtained from the EA.

3.1. Nitrogen speciation in the two rivers

N:P ratios in aquatic environments are usually calculated as the ratio between nitrate and orthophosphate. However, in the context of the DRAX Repower HRA, ammonia is the nitrogen species of primary concern, which readily transforms into other nitrogen species (i.e. nitrate, nitrite, ammonium $[\text{NH}_4^+]$, NO_x gases, N_2 gas) in the environment. Therefore, all nitrogen species have to be taken into account in the assessment.

All aqueous nitrogen species (i.e. ammonia $[\text{NH}_3]$, nitrate, nitrite, ammonium $[\text{NH}_4^+]$) and total calculated N (the sum of these four species, as N) over the period 2013 – 2018 in both rivers are plotted below in Figure 1 and Figure 2, respectively.




Figure 1: Measured aqueous nitrogen species and calculated total N in the River Derwent at Loftsome Bridge between 2013 and 2018




Figure 2: Measured aqueous nitrogen species and calculated total N in the River Ouse at Long Drax between 2013 and 2018

For both rivers, nitrate is the predominant N species by at least one order of magnitude. This is expected due to oxygenated conditions. The average concentrations of total calculated N and nitrate-N are shown in Table 1. In the River Derwent at Loftsome Bridge, nitrate-N constitutes 98.7% of total calculated N on average. In the River Ouse at Long Drax, nitrate-N constitutes 97.4% of total calculated N on average.

Table 1: Average concentrations of total calculated N and nitrate-N in the River Derwent and the River Ouse between 2013 and 2018

Parameter	Units	River Derwent at Loftsome Bridge	River Ouse at Long Drax
Total Nitrogen (calculated as N)	mg/L	4.54	3.41
Nitrate (as N)	mg/L	4.48	3.32
Nitrate-N/Total N	-	98.7%	97.4%

3.2. N:P ratios

For conservatism, N:P ratios were calculated both based on total N and nitrate-N. The concentrations of orthophosphate (reactive as P), nitrate-N and calculated total N in the River Derwent and the River Ouse are plotted in Figure 3 and Figure 4, respectively.




Figure 3: Concentrations of orthophosphate (reactive as P), nitrate-N and calculated total N in the River Derwent at Loftsome Bridge between 2013 and 2018




Figure 4: Concentrations of orthophosphate (reactive as P), nitrate-N and calculated total N in the River Ouse at Long Drax between 2013 and 2018

The average concentrations of calculated total N, nitrate-N and orthophosphate-P (reactive as P) during the assessed period and the N:P ratios at both stations are shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Average concentrations of N and P measured in 2016 – 2018 and N:P ratios in the River Derwent and the River Ouse

Parameter	Units	River Derwent at Loftsome Bridge	River Ouse at Long Drax
Total Nitrogen (calculated as N)	mg/L	4.54	3.41
Nitrate (as N)	mg/L	4.48	3.32
Orthophosphate (reactive as P)	mg/L	0.04	0.16
N:P ratio by weight as Total N:P	-	110.3	21.2
N:P ratio by weight as Nitrate-N:P	-	108.8	20.7

In the River Derwent at Loftsome Bridge, the N:P ratio by weight as Total N:P is 110.3:1, the N:P ratio by weight as Nitrate-N:P is to 108.8:1. Both N:P ratios are far in excess of the threshold of 7:1 by weight specified by Wetzel (2001).

In the River Ouse at Long Drax, orthophosphate concentrations are higher and nitrogen concentrations are lower than in the River Derwent. The N:P ratio by weight as Total N:P is 21.2:1, the N:P ratio by weight as Nitrate-N:P is to 20.7:1. Both N:P ratios are still significantly higher than the 7:1 threshold.

These data indicate that both rivers are phosphate limited at these monitoring stations. In phosphate limited systems, additional inputs of nitrogen have limited effects on plant productivity, as phosphate is the primary limiting nutrient. As such, additional N-inputs would be unlikely to lead to any perceptible eutrophication effects on SAC freshwater habitats.

4. REFERENCES

- Foy, R.; Smith, R.V.; Stevens, R.J. (1982) Identification of factors affecting nitrogen and phosphorus loadings to Lough Neagh. *Journal of Environmental Management* 15, 109-129.
- Gibson, C.E.; Smith, R.V.; Stewart, D.A. (1992) The nitrogen cycle in Lough Neagh, N. Ireland, 1975 to 1987 *Int. Revue ges. Hydrobiol.* 77, 73-83.
- Smith, R.V.; Stewart, D.A. (1989) A regression model for nitrate leaching in Northern Ireland. *Soil Use and Management* 5, 71-76.
- Strong, K.M.; Lennox, S.D.; Smith, R.V. (1997) Predicting nitrate concentrations in Northern Ireland rivers using time series analysis. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 26, 1599-1604.
- Wetzel, R.G. (2001) *Limnology: lake and river ecosystems*. Elsevier Academic Press.
- Zhou, Q.; Foy, R.H.; Gibson, C.E. (2000) Long-term changes of nitrogen and phosphorus loadings to a large lake in North-west Ireland. *Water Research* 34, 922-926.

