

To be read in conjunction with uploaded pictures

1) Site Access points

SA09

Can the applicant explain further the reasons for site access SA09 near Little Staughton Village. The access point has been placed in a dangerous position just past a bend and with limited long visibility of the road in both directions, also it has been proposed to be very close to Little Staughton village & houses. Why is it not sited further away from the village on straighter roads and also why widen the road impacting the view considerably when entering our village, potentially with impact to hedgerow/screening to widen the road, and provide access. Surely not a suitable location for safety reasons and to near the vicinity of the village.

**See uploaded pic nos AC1, AC2, AC3, AC4, AC5 (access photos)

2) All Access points

Secondly would like to understand how the applicant would construct all the access points, specific detail like how much tree/hedge row would be lost to each one, how wide is the access proposed to be, what will be done to stop mud going on the road over 3yrs work, and which access points on the map are construction vs longer term.

Impact statement: Views every time passing these points will show the swathes of panels across fields every time you come into green end Little Staughton and all other access points will be a similar dramatic impact on currently enjoyed scenic views, with little screening in a number of places if this is not carefully considered and details specifically stated up front.

The solar panel development near St Neots, shows a depressing mud bath with signs and barriers, wide openings, this needs to be avoided in this application.

3) Drainage

Further issues need to be flagged to the planning inspector and highways department/council around drainage in the area of the proposed development and its knock-on impact due to the undulating landscape and type of soil, linked with very narrow country lanes, and in some cases insufficient ditches for increased water runoff.

a) The absence of farmers being able to maintain channels through the soil underground (e.g. mole buster equipment) which helps drain water off some of the 1900 acres of land, would subject to permission being granted for this application be covered in panels and become a major concern, in that run off water into ditches (and likely mud/silt) would be irregular instead of a steady trickle through these channels, it would mean a mass run off of water as soon as rain occurs as a result of the umbrella created by the circa 700,000 panels, and no underground drainage performed by farmers any longer.

The impact of point a) above would be:

b) Excessive water run off flowing into ditches WHERE ditches exist, and due to the increased volume of water would not likely be able to cope, as many ditches have not been designed with great amounts capacity. Even the one pictured in (DR02 very large field ditch) Is flowing into another ditch (pics DR4 +DR6) that has very small capacity therefore has no substantial flow rate to take the increased volume of water, Additionally, sites without ditches or poorly maintained ones would just flow straight onto roads, as already occurred over many years but substantially worse, along with mixed sludge.

c) Roads would become even more likely to be unpassable for road traffic due to deep flooding (as has occurred on this same stretch of road where pics are taken) and mud onto roads in numerous places. Already historically villages have been unable to get through to their destination or return to houses by car on many village roads due to flood levels and road closures in multiple locations, and that's without any additional water.

d) Kimbolton river near the bend has constantly over flowed, if water from this development causes further problems Kimbolton may become cut off and children not get to Kimbolton school as it has only two directions of access in Kimbolton. Other flood spots are bridge in pictures at Great Staughton and near the Kangaroo junction (Pic BR01, BR02), and the brook running alongside houses in Staploe

See examples Pics DR1, DR2, DR3, DR4, DR5, DR6, DR7, DR8, DR9, DR20, DR11

4. Road Suitability

- Excess water flooding roads, along with the likelihood of mud being left on the road and large increased volumes of traffic due to construction, is a recipe for disaster for anyone using the surrounding network of minor roads, so serious safety issues exist.
- Visibility along these unclassified class roads, and sharp bends is again a recipe for accidents to happen, these are country lanes not A class roads and are insufficient to support this development/volume of increased traffic.
- Increased flooding is likely to further damage these small village roads, when winter comes and roads break down due to ice expansion especially when used by heavy traffic with increased weight volumes (e.g. heavy machinery/Substantial amount of Construction materials). Has funding for of any repair/road resurfacing costs over the 3 years development and afterwards due to the wear put on them, been allocated by highways agency/council/developer, and what about the serious impact to locals trying to use these narrow routes along with associated pot hole/ car damage?
- Roads in the vicinity planned for this development are not at all in a good state of repair (see example pics), pot hole repairs in this country are already under financial stress and need billions spending to resolve the issue. Therefore, two issues exist, firstly the construction traffic generated by workers cars and lorries can only damage the roads further, or even cause an accident as they become substantially worse supporting this planning application project. Eventually if this is approved when completed in 3 years' time, it is likely to leave a legacy for highways department having to do substantial full-scale resurfacing on numerous roads that have been impacted for miles, putting a major cost on the government/public

*See Pics RS1 thru to RS11 (road examples)

5. Views

There are so many views across the fields that will be absolutely devastated if this proceeds, attached are just a few selections of pictures that explain this, and viewing them should need no further explanation of why this development in this place should not proceed

- Pic View from Little Staughton church from churchyard, church dated from C13, bench in churchyard to be able to sit in and reflect

*See pic VW02, VW03

- Pic general across fields near Little Staughton, views would be obliterated

*See pic VW01

Summary: There are many other such views that make this area the place it is, to propose putting panels across this farmland is fundamentally flawed on so many fronts raised by so many people, and is unbelievable the developers consider it viable in their opinion, due to the impact to countryside and risk mentioned





30

30

LITTLE
STAGGINGTON

LITTLE
STAGGINGTON





GAS

NTS

GAS PIPELINE

111 999

DO NOT
CUT OR
REMOVE
THIS
MARKER

111 999



























... S
... 97-7
... 95
HIGH PRESSURE
GAS PIPELINE

































