

Response to Applicant's video of drive through of proposed route for construction traffic

- There is no indication as to the type of vehicle used for this video. It appears to be a car whereas the route should have been recorded driven in an HGV. This would be more relevant, especially on the narrow downhill sections of the S bends of the B645
- There is no indication as to the time this video was filmed with reference to traffic volumes at different times of day.
- I was shocked as to the actual mileage and number of local highways being used given the Applicant's claim that constructing a temporary road network across the fields would eliminate disruption to local inhabitants!
- HGVs and workers are only being "encouraged" to use these routes whilst other major infrastructure projects such as EastWest Rail mandate vehicle movements. The routes shown should therefore have included those most likely to be used as alternatives/short cuts by East Park traffic. This would have been a much more honest portrayal of the unsuitability of the local road network which will be impacted by this scheme.
eg the approach through Pertenhall to site A
eg the lanes around the Kangaroo
eg the road access routes to all sites outside the construction phase ie for ongoing operation/maintenance
- Once the temporary construction route has been covered in solar panels all traffic during the operational stage would need to use local lanes so these need to be shown too!