

There has not been a detailed response to the large volume of written representations submitted by interested parties. Instead, the Developer has grouped them under broad, thematic headings and raised superficial and presented incorrect arguments that the matters are being dealt with. Further, they have manipulated the wording in their Summary of relevant representations to imply that there is wide support for the scheme and only minor objections. This is patently untrue and highlights further (if it were needed), that the Developer is acting in a very unprofessional manner and will essentially do or say anything that suits their narrative to gain the project's approval with a view to sell on.

I quote from the applicants introduction:

"Several of those who submitted a relevant representation indicated their general opposition to the Scheme. Detailed reasons for opposition to the Scheme are responded to elsewhere within this document" however, I would draw your attention to the actual number - Nine registered support which suggests over 1200 objected, not the disingenuous description of it being "several" being in opposition.