

Following on from my previous complaint which has now been published as a submission I would like to add the following points:

With regard to the Deadline 6 extension, I would like to point out that by extending on the original due date the only real beneficiary is the Applicant. Stakeholders and interested parties will all have worked really hard to meet the very short deadline, unlike the Applicant who seems to continually show contempt for the whole process and consistently misses the deadlines.

Deadline 4 submissions are still being published right up to and including 17th August 2026. This provides no time to review and comment on these submissions and the sheer number of VSI submissions is actually clogging up the document section and making it harder to find any other new submissions.

Surely the ExA recognises the unfair and unjust nature of these delayed submissions meaning that a proper and meaningful inspection cannot occur. The ExA must consider including another deadline to ensure that fairness is applied to all interested parties and not just the Applicant.

I note your comment that the Government guidance "aim to complete examinations within about 5 months etc" however I must point out that this application is extremely complex and includes many unresolved issues including 74% BMV, live gas pipeline adjacent to BESS, dangerous principal access B road to name a few. The applicant has in many instances not provided sufficient detail, deferring such detail until after consent. Surely this cannot be allowed and full scheme detail must be provided before consent can even be considered

I presume therefore that this application will fall into the "Complex" category and be allocated at least 6 months. I would hope that a pre-determined time limit will not be applied until the Examination has been properly and sufficiently considered?