

With reference to my submission SDB4A8ACY the applicant at the initial hearing committed to come back to the enquiry with detailed reasons as to why my proposed alternative site was discounted. This was an important part of demonstrating that the consultation process carried out by the applicant was compliant.

My submission details why my alternative site is deliverable and there are significant mitigation benefits for Thorpe on the Hill.

I have not heard any sound arguments from the applicant why my alternative proposal, submitted at consultation stage could not be delivered and was wrongly rejected.

In line with latest guidance the applicant is under a duty to use good design principles to mitigate adverse effects of the proposal and their current scheme does not meet these requirements.

The alternative scheme achieves this and should be further considered by the applicant.