

# Dean Moor Solar Farm

# Environmental Statement: Appendix 2.6 – Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment

on behalf of FVS Dean Moor Limited

March 2025 Prepared by: Stantec UK Ltd PINS Ref: EN010155 Document Ref: 6.3 Revision: 1







# DEAN MOOR SOLAR FARM ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT APPENDIX 2.6 – NOISE AND VIBRATION IMPACT ASSESSMENT PLANNING INSPECTORATE REFERENCE EN010155 PREPARED ON BEHALF OF FVS DEAN MOOR LIMITED

The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009, Regulation 5(2)(a)

Project Ref:	EN010155/ES/Appendix 2.6: Noise and Vibration IA
Status:	Final
Issue/ Rev:	1
Date:	March 2025



# Contents

1	Introdu	ction	1
	1.1	Background	1
	1.2	Scope of Report	1
	1.3	Site Description and Location	2
2	Assess	ment Criteria	3
	2.1	National Policy	3
	2.2	Local Planning Authority Policy	5
	2.3	Standards	9
	2.4	Guidance	12
3	Enviror	nmental Sound Survey	14
	3.2	Meteorological Conditions	16
	3.3	Assumptions and Limitations	17
	3.4	Environmental Sound Climate	17
4	Enviror	nmental Sound Survey Results	18
5	Prelimi	nary Plant Noise Assessment	20
	5.1	Overview	20
	5.2	Proposed Equipment	20
	5.3	Acoustic Mitigation	20
	5.4	Description of Plant Operation	21
	5.5	Noise Sensitive Receptors	21
	5.6	Assessment Criteria	22
	5.7	Calculation Methodology	24
	5.8	Assessment Results	24
	5.9	BS 4142 Noise Rating Corrections	25
	5.9 5.10	BS 4142 Noise Rating Corrections Future Considerations	25 26

# Figures

Figure 3.1: Sound Survey Locations	. 1	5
Figure 5.1: Noise Sensitive Receptors	. 2	1

# Appendices

Appendix A	Acoustic Terminology
Appendix B	Equipment Calibration Information
Appendix C	Summary of Survey Measurements
Appendix D	Time History Graphs
Appendix E	Background Sound Level Histograms



# 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Background

- 1.1.1 This Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment (hereafter referred to as 'NIA') has been prepared to support the DCO application in relation to Dean Moor Solar Farm (the Proposed Development).
- 1.1.2 A significance-based assessment of operational noise and vibration has been scoped out of the EIA and there is no dedicated ES chapter because likely significant effects are not anticipated. This assessment which forms Appendix 2.6 of the ES provides evidence to support this approach. This approach has been agreed with the Planning Inspectorate on the basis that the detailed description of the Proposed Development within the ES demonstrates that the location of operational plant and equipment is unlikely to result in significant noise and vibration impacts on sensitive receptors (see section 2.2 of this report for more information).
- 1.1.3 This assessment is informed by an environmental sound survey. This has been undertaken to establish the existing environmental sound levels at positions considered representative of the nearest noise sensitive receptors ('NSR'). The assessment is based on this and the Parameter Plan (ES Figure 3.4) [REF: 6.2], which is secured through the Work Plans in the DCO [REF: 2.3].
- 1.1.4 An explanation of the acoustic terminology used in this report is included in Appendix A.

### 1.2 Scope of Report

- 1.2.1 The scope of this NIA is to:
  - Establish, by means of a detailed daytime and night-time environmental sound survey, the existing environmental sound levels at selected locations representative of the nearest noise sensitive receptors;
  - Determine relevant noise emission criteria based on guidance and the results of the environmental sound survey; and



 Undertake an assessment of the likely airborne noise propagation to nearby noise sensitive receptors based on the Parameter Plan (ES Figure 3.4).

### 1.3 Site Description and Location

- 1.3.1 The Site (ES Figure 1.1) **[REF: 6.2]** extends to approximately 276.5ha and is located approximately 1.1km east of the Lillyhall Industrial Estate, 600m east of the small village of Gilgarran, approximately 900m west of Branthwaite, and approximately 5km southeast of Workington town centre on the west Cumbrian coast. The hamlet of Branthwaite Edge is directly adjacent to the east of the Site.
- 1.3.2 The most northern Site boundary adjoins an unclassified road, hereafter referred to as 'Branthwaite Road'. The most southern Site boundary abuts Dean Cross Road. The unnamed north/south road between Branthwaite Road and Dean Cross Road, forming the eastern boundary of much of the Site, is the Branthwaite Edge Road. The Site is bisected by an unclassified road between Gilgarran and Branthwaite Edge, hereafter referred to as the 'Gilgarran Road'. ES Chapter 3 Site and Proposed Development Description [REF 6.2] provides a further description of the Site.
- 1.3.3 Noise and vibration impacts have been assessed for the Parameter Plan of the Proposed Development, which is provided in ES Figure 3.4 and the parameters in the Design Parameters Document **[REF 5.7]**.

2



# 2 Assessment Criteria

## 2.1 National Policy

#### **National Policy Statement for Energy**

- 2.1.1 The National Policy Statement ('NPS') for Energy ('EN-1')<sup>1</sup> sets out national policy for the energy infrastructure described therein. It has effect for the decisions by the Secretary of State on applications for energy developments that are nationally significant under the Planning Act 2008.
- 2.1.2 In relation to noise, Section 5.12 outlines that the Government's policy on noise is set out in the Noise Policy Statement for England<sup>2</sup> ('NPSE').
- 2.1.3 Paragraph 5.12.5 outlines factors that will determine the likely noise impact, which include:

'The inherent operational noise from the Proposed Development, and its characteristics

The proximity of the Proposed Development to noise sensitive premises (including residential properties, schools and hospitals) and noise sensitive areas (including certain parks and open spaces)

The proximity of the Proposed Development to quiet places and other areas that are particularly valued for their soundscape or landscape quality

The proximity of the Proposed Development to sites where noise may have an adverse impact on protected species or other wildlife, including migratory species.'

2.1.4 EN-1 provides information required for assessment within paragraphs
5.12.6 - 5.12.12, the type of mitigation measures which could be included at 5.12.13 - 5.12.16, and how decisions should be made by the Secretary of State at 5.12.17 - 5.12.18.

#### National Policy Statement for Renewable Energy Infrastructure

2.1.5 The NPS for Renewable Energy Infrastructure ('EN-3')<sup>3</sup> together with the EN-1 provide the primary policy for decisions by the Secretary of State on NSIP applications for renewable energy infrastructure.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> HM Government (2024). Department for Energy Security & Net Zero (DESNZ) Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy (EN-1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> HM Government (2010). Department for Environment and Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) Noise Policy Statement for England.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> HM Government (2024). DESNZ. National Policy Statement for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (EN-3).



#### 2.1.6 In relation to noise, EN-3 paragraph 2.5.2 states:

*'Proposals for renewable energy infrastructure should demonstrate good design, particularly in respect of landscape and visual amenity, opportunities for co-existence/co-location with other marine uses, and in the design of the project to mitigate impacts such as noise and effects on ecology and heritage.'* 

2.1.7 On noise and vibration impacts, paragraphs 2.7.39 and 2.7.40 state:

2.7.39 Sources of noise and vibration may include:

- The delivery and movement of fuel and materials;
- The processing of waste for fuel at efw generating stations;
- The gas and steam turbines that operate continuously during normal operation; and
- The external noise sources such as externally-sited air-cooled condensers that operate continuously during normal operation.

2.7.40 Applicants should include in the ES a noise assessment of the impacts on amenity in case of excessive noise from the project in line with guidance set out in Section 5.12 in EN-1.'

2.1.8 For noise and vibration, paragraphs 2.7.98 - 2.7.100 set out example

impacts (which are not exhaustive) and state:

*'2.7.98 The Secretary of State should consider the noise and vibration impacts according to Section 5.12 in EN-1.and be satisfied that noise and vibration will be adequately mitigated through requirements attached to the consent.* 

2.7.99 The Secretary of State will need to take into consideration the extent to which operational noise will be separately controlled by the EA or NRW.

2.7.100 The Secretary of State should not grant development consent unless it is satisfied that the proposals will meet the aims set out in 5.12 of EN-1.'

#### **Noise Policy Statement for England**

- 2.1.9 The NPSE was published in March 2010 and clarifies the underlying principles and aims of existing policy documents that relate to noise. It also sets out the long-term vision of Government noise policy which is to *'promote good health and a good quality of life through the effective management of noise within the context of Government policy on sustainable development'.*
- 2.1.10 The NPSE states that noise should not be considered in isolation of the wider benefits of a scheme or development, and that the intention is to minimise noise and its effects as far as is reasonably practicable having regard to the underlying principles of sustainable development.



2.1.11 Paragraphs 2.20 and 2.21 define 'significant adverse' and 'adverse'

impacts as applied to noise as follows:

*'2.20 There are two established concepts from toxicology that are currently being applied to noise impacts, for example, by the World Health Organisation. They are:* 

NOEL – No Observed Effect Level

This is the level below which no effect can be detected. In simple terms, below this level, there is no detectable effect on health and quality of life due to the noise.

LOAEL – Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level

This is the level above which adverse effects on health and quality of life can be detected.

2.21 Extending these concepts for the purpose of this NPSE leads to the concept of a significant observed adverse effect level.

SOAEL – Significant Observed Adverse Effect Level

This is the level above which significant adverse effects on health and quality of life occur.'

2.1.12 It is necessary to define the LOAEL and SOAEL for the potential source of noise so that the potential impact can be related to the aims and requirements of the NPSE.

### 2.2 Local Planning Authority Policy

- 2.2.1 The Council inherited the local development plan documents of each of the former local authorities forming the new unitary authority. These documents will continue to be used, in the relevant former authority areas, to decide planning applications until they are replaced by new Cumberland Local Plan documents. As the Site used to fall within Allerdale Borough Council ('ABC'), the Allerdale Local Plan has been referenced within this NIA.
- 2.2.2 The Allerdale Local Plan (Part 1)<sup>4</sup> published in 2014 contains the ABC's planning policies for the use and development of land up to 2029.
- 2.2.3 In relation to noise, Policy S19 Renewable Energy and Low Carbon Technologies states:

'The Council will seek to promote and encourage the development of renewable and low carbon energy resources given the significant wider environmental,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Allerdale Borough Council (2014). Allerdale Local Plan (Part 1) Strategic and Development Management Policies.



community and economic benefits. Proposals where impacts (either in isolation or cumulatively) are, or can be made acceptable will be permitted.

The Council will take a positive view where;

a) Proposals (either in isolation or cumulatively);

*i)* Do not have an unacceptably adverse impact on the amenity of local residents (such as air quality/emissions, noise, odour, water pollution, shadow flicker); ...

Renewable energy proposals are expected to provide supporting evidence including landscape, visual and environmental assessments and to demonstrate that any negative impacts have been made acceptable. Where mitigation is required to make impacts acceptable these will, where necessary be secured through Planning Obligations. Developers will be expected to work with local communities from an early stage and deliver benefits to the local area where the proposal is located.'

#### Local Planning Authority Statutory Consultation Response

2.2.4 Statutory responses to the PEIR were received in Spring 2024. In relation to noise, the following table sets out statutory consultation responses to the PEIR, and how they have been addressed in the ES.

Response From	Planning Inspectorate EIA Scoping Opinion CommentApplicant's Response		
Dean & Distington Parish Councils	'Noise. The HVAC units built into the BESS units are potentially noisy, especially when the systems are working at high power either charging or discharging. Such noise will be proportionate to the number of units installed, which is not specified in the consultation documents. In addition to visual screening of the installation consideration needs to be given to installing acoustic screens to mitigate any noise nuisance to nearby properties'	The BESS element no longer forms part of the Proposed Development and therefore this comment is no longer applicable. Due to the BESS element no longer being proposed, the operational noise risks associated with the Proposed Development are considered to be lower. As such, this NIA provides an updated review of the Proposed Development based on the assessment which was presented within the PEIR.	
Cumberland Council	'A construction management plan should also be included as part of the application ensuring that this covers noise and dust management.'	A Construction Environmental Management Plan ('CEMP') will be implemented prior to construction. The Outline CEMP ('OCEMP') that will be the basis of a CEMP to be provided as a DCO Requirement is included with the ES (Appendix 5.1) [REF: 6.3].	

#### Table 2.1: Summary of Statutory Consultation Responses



Response From	Planning Inspectorate EIA Scoping Opinion Comment	Applicant's Response
Cumberland Council	'A Noise Impact Assessment ('NIA') informs the PEIR. This considers the impact from construction and operational noise. During operation, the main sources of noise would be from the Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning ('HVAC') systems associated with the BESS and solar inverters. The Concept Layout which is assessed within the PEIR has been informed by the NIA work which identified the areas within the Site where noise emitting elements can be sited to avoid any observable adverse effects from noise. It is noted that a full NIA will be provided to supplement the ES, which will consider a refined iteration of the layout. This NIA will advocate for a DCO Requirement for further evidence or assessment to demonstrate that the final design and procured technology will also meet the standard of having no observable adverse effects.'	This NIA provides an updated assessment of noise based on a parameter-based approach. Due to the BESS element no longer being proposed, the operational noise risks associated with the Proposed Development are considered to be lower. As such, this report provides an updated review of the proposals based on the assessment which was presented within the PEIR. As outlined in Table 4.1 of the Outline Operational Management Plan ('OOMP') (ES Appendix 3.1) [REF: 6.3], Work No. 1 (solar PV infrastructure) will not be operational until further noise modelling demonstrates that noise levels will not exceed the SOAEL for this part of the Proposed Development. This is secured by a DCO Requirement. An Operational Management Plan ('OMP') will be implemented for the operational phase and will be substantially in accordance with the OOMP.

#### Local Planning Authority Scoping Opinion

- 2.2.5 A statutory consultee response to the Scoping Report (ES Appendix 2.1) [REF: 6.3] by the Council was received on 11 September 2023.
- In relation to noise and vibration, the following table sets out topics in response to the Planning Inspectorate Scoping Opinion dated September 2023 (ES Appendix 2.2) [REF: 6.3].

#### Table 2.2: Summary of Scoping Opinion

Торіс	Planning Inspectorate EIA Scoping Opinion Comment	Applicant's Response	
Traffic Noise and Vibration	'The Inspectorate agrees that on the basis of the information provided, the increase traffic	Noted, scoped out.	

7



Торіс	Planning Inspectorate EIA Scoping Opinion Comment	Applicant's Response
	movements associated with the Proposed Development at all phases are unlikely to result in significant effects relating to noise and vibration and therefore this matter can be scoped out.'	
Construction Noise and Vibration	'The Inspectorate agrees that on the basis of the information provided, vibration associated with the construction of the Proposed Development is unlikely to result in significant effects and therefore agrees that this matter can be scoped out from further assessment. However, the Inspectorate is not content that the Scoping Report has provided the information required to justify that noise associated with the construction of the Proposed Development infrastructure in the solar array area is unlikely to give rise to significant effects. Whilst appropriate working methods and construction hours may reduce impacts, the Inspectorate would expect to see further information provided on construction techniques, locations, routes, machinery and duration to rule out the likelihood for significant effects to occur.'	Noted, construction vibration is scoped out of the ES. In relation to construction noise, further information on construction techniques, locations, routes, machinery, and duration is provided in ES Chapter 5 – Construction and Decommissioning Methodology and Phasing [REF: 6.1], as well as the OCEMP (Appendix 5.1), and the OCTMP (Appendix 5.2) [REF: 6.3].
Operational Noise and Vibration	'The Scoping Report states that operational infrastructure could be appropriately mitigated and located away from receptors at a suitable distance so that significant effects are not anticipated. Based on the nature and characteristics of the Proposed Development and given that the Applicant intends to submit a separate Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment with the DCO as an appendix to the ES, the Inspectorate agrees that operational noise and vibration may be scoped out of further assessment. However, the detailed description of the Proposed Development within the ES should demonstrate that the location of operational plant and equipment is unlikely to result in significant noise and vibration impacts on sensitive receptors.'	This NIA provides an assessment of operational noise and vibration based on the Parameter Plan (ES Figure 3.4). As outlined in Table 4.1 of the OOMP (ES Appendix 3.1), Work No. 1 (solar PV infrastructure) will not be operational until further noise modelling demonstrates that noise levels will not exceed the SOAEL for this part of the Proposed Development. This is secured by a DCO Requirement. An OMP will be implemented for the operational phase and will be substantially in accordance with the OOMP.



# 2.3 Standards

#### BS 4142:2014 + A1 2019 'Methods for Rating and Assessing Industrial and Commercial Sound'

- 2.3.1 BS 4142:2014 + A1 2019 'Methods for rating and assessing industrial and commercial sound'<sup>5</sup> (BS4142) describes methods for rating and assessing sound of an industrial and/or commercial nature. The prescribed methods use outdoor sound levels to assess the likely effects of sound on people who might be inside or outside a dwelling or premises used for residential purposes upon which sound is incident.
- 2.3.2 The standard is used to determine the rating levels for sources of sound of an industrial and/or commercial nature; and the ambient, background and residual sound levels at outdoor locations. These levels could be used for the purposes of investigating complaints; assessing sound from proposed, new, modified, or additional source(s) of sound of an industrial nature at premises used for residential purposes. It is noted that the determination of noise amounting to a nuisance is beyond the scope of the standard.
- 2.3.3 The standard should not be used to assess sound from the passage of vehicles on public roads and railway systems; recreational activities; people among other sources; and other sources falling within the scopes of other standards or guidance. The standard cannot be applied to the derivation of indoor sound levels arising from sound levels outside, or the assessment of indoor sound levels.
- 2.3.4 The procedure contained in BS 4142 assesses the significance of sound which depends upon the margin by which the rating level of the specific sound sources exceeds the background sound level and the context in which the sound occurs/will occur.
- 2.3.5 An initial estimate of the impact of the specific sound is obtained by subtracting the measured background sound level from the rating level and considering the following:

*(a) Typically, the greater this difference, the greater the magnitude of the impact;* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The British Standards Institution (2019) British Standard 4142:2014 +A1:2019 Methods for Rating and Assessing Industrial and Commercial Sound.



*b)* A difference of around +10 dB or more is likely to be an indication of a significant adverse impact, depending on the context.

c) A difference of around +5 dB is likely to be an indication of an adverse impact, depending on the context.

d) The lower the rating level is relative to the measured background sound level, the less likely it is that the specific sound source will have an adverse impact or a significant adverse impact. Where the rating level does not exceed the background sound level, this is an indication of the specific sound source having a low impact, depending on the context.'

2.3.6 Where the initial estimate of the impact needs to be modified due to the

context, the following factors should be considered:

'1) The absolute level of sound. For a given difference between the rating level and the background sound level, the magnitude of the overall impact might be greater for an acoustic environment where the residual sound level is high than for an acoustic environment where the residual sound level is low.

Where background sound levels and rating levels are low, absolute levels might be as, or more, relevant than the margin by which the rating level exceeds the background. This is especially true at night.

Where residual sound levels are very high, the residual sound might itself result in adverse impacts or significant adverse impacts, and the margin by which the rating level exceeds the background might simply be an indication of the extent to which the specific sound source is likely to make those impacts worse.

2) The character and level of the residual sound compared to the character and level of the specific sound. Consider whether it would be beneficial to compare the frequency spectrum and temporal variation of the specific sound with that of the ambient or residual sound to assess the degree to which the specific sound source is likely to be distinguishable and will represent an incongruous sound by comparison to the acoustic environment that would occur in the absence of the specific sound. Any sound parameters, sampling periods and averaging time periods used to undertake character comparisons should reflect the way in which sound of an industrial and/ or commercial nature is likely to be perceived and how people react to it.

3) The sensitivity of the receptor and whether dwellings or other premises used for residential purposes will already incorporate design measures that secure good internal and/or outdoor acoustic conditions such as:

*i) façade insulation treatment;* 

*ii)* ventilation and/or cooling that will reduce the need to have windows open so as to provide rapid or purge ventilation; and

iii) acoustic screening.'

# BS 8233:2014 Guidance on Sound Insulation and Noise Reduction for Buildings

2.3.7 BS 8233:2014<sup>6</sup> sets out guideline values in habitable rooms, such as living rooms and bedrooms which should not be exceeded.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The British Standards Institution (2014) British Standard 8233:2014 Guidance on Sound Insulation and Noise Reduction for Buildings.



- 2.3.8 The guideline values relate to steady external noise without a specific character. According to the standard, *'noise has a specific character if it contains features such as a distinguishable, discrete, and continuous tone, is irregular enough to attract attention, or has strong low-frequency content, in which case lower noise limits might be appropriate.' Examples of noise with a character may include tonal/intermittent plant noise emissions, music playback, and workshop noise. Examples of external steady noise sources may include environmental noise sources such as busy road traffic.*
- 2.3.9 The guideline internal ambient noise levels for dwellings are presented in Table 2.3.

Activity	Location	Internal Ambient Noise Level		
Activity	Location	07:00 to 23:00 hours	23:00 to 07:00 hours	
Resting	Living room	35 dB L <sub>Aeq,16h</sub>	-	
Dining	Dining room/area	40 dB L <sub>Aeq,16h</sub>	-	
Sleeping (daytime resting)	Bedroom	35 dB L <sub>Aeq,16h</sub>	30 dB L <sub>Aeq,8h</sub>	

 Table 2.3: BS 8233 Guideline Internal Ambient Noise Levels for Dwellings

2.3.10 Table 4 of BS 8233:2014<sup>7</sup> provides the following relevant additional notes:

'...

Note 4 Regular individual noise events (for example, scheduled aircraft or passing trains) can cause sleep disturbance. A guideline value may be set in terms of SEL or LAmax, *f*, depending on the character and number of events per night. Sporadic noise events could require separate values.

Note 5 If relying on closed windows to meet the guide values, there needs to be an appropriate alternative source of ventilation that does not compromise the façade insulation or the resulting noise levels.

Note 7 Where development is considered necessary or desirable, despite external noise levels above WHO guidelines, the internal target levels may be relaxed by up to 5 dB and reasonable internal conditions still achieved.

...'

. . .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The British Standards Institution (2014) British Standard 8233:2014 Guidance on Sound Insulation and Noise Reduction for Buildings.



2.3.11 The standard also provides advice in relation to sound levels for external noise. It states in paragraph 7.7.3.2 that:

'7.7.3.2 For traditional external areas that are used for amenity space, such as gardens and patios, it is desirable that the external noise level does not exceed 50 dB  $L_{Aeq,T}$ , with an upper guideline value of 55 dB  $L_{Aeq,T}$  which would be acceptable in noisier environments. However, it is also recognized that these guideline values are not achievable in all circumstances where development might be desirable.

In higher noise areas, such as city centres or urban areas adjoining the strategic transport network, a compromise between elevated noise levels and other factors, such as the convenience of living in these locations or making efficient use of land resources to ensure development needs can be met, might be warranted. In such a situation, development should be designed to achieve the lowest practicable levels in these external amenity spaces, but should not be prohibited.

Other locations, such as balconies, roof gardens and terraces, are also important in residential buildings where normal external amenity space might be limited or not available, i.e. in flats, apartment blocks, etc. In these locations, specification of noise limits is not necessarily appropriate.

Small balconies may be included for uses such as drying washing or growing pot plants, and noise limits should not be necessary for these uses. However, the general guidance on noise in amenity space is still appropriate for larger balconies, roof gardens and terraces, which might be intended to be used for relaxation.

In high-noise areas, consideration should be given to protecting these areas by screening or building design to achieve the lowest practicable levels. Achieving levels of 55 dB  $L_{Aeq,T}$  or less might not be possible at the outer edge of these areas, but should be achievable in some areas of the space.'

2.3.12 With respect to industrial noise, paragraph 6.5.2 states:

*'6.5.2 Where industrial noise affects residential or mixed residential areas, the methods for rating the noise in BS 4142 should be applied. BS 4142 describes methods for determining, at the outside of a building:* 

a) noise levels from factories, industrial premises or fixed installations, or sources of an industrial nature in commercial premises; and

b) background noise level.'

### 2.4 Guidance

#### BS 4142:2014+A1:2019 Technical Note

2.4.1 The BS 4142:2014+A1:2019 Technical Note<sup>8</sup>, prepared by members of the Association of Noise Consultants Working Group is a discussion document which is *'intended to assist with the evolution and development of subsequent guidance'.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Association of Noise Consultants (2020). BS 4142:2014+A1:2019 Technical Note



2.4.2 The note provides clarification on low background sound levels in

Subclause 11(1), page 42, stating:

'BS 4142 does not define 'low' in the context of background sound levels nor rating levels. The note to the Scope of the 1997 version of BS 4142 defined very low background sound levels as being less than about 30 dB  $L_{A90}$ , and low rating levels as being less than about 35 dB  $L_{Ar,Tr}$ .

The [Working Group] suggest that similar values would not be unreasonable in the context of BS 4142, but that the assessor should make a judgement and justify it where appropriate'.



# 3 Environmental Sound Survey

- 3.1.1 Baseline sound surveys were conducted between the following dates:
  - Thursday 23 and Monday 27 March 2023; and
  - Thursday 4 and Thursday 11 May 2023.
- 3.1.2 Measurements were made over 15-minute periods of the LAeq, LA90 and LAFMax sound levels.
- 3.1.3 The sound level meters were located in environmental cases. The microphones were connected to the meters via an extension cable and fitted with the manufacturer's windshield. The microphone was approximately 1.5m above ground level at all measurement locations.
- 3.1.4 The instrumentation used in the survey (including calibration information) is listed in Appendix B.
- 3.1.5 Field calibrations were performed before and after the measurements with no significant fluctuations recorded (< 0.5 dB). Calibration certificates are available upon request.

#### **Measurement Locations**

3.1.6 Measurements were taken at six unattended locations (LT1-6), and one attended location (ST1). The locations of the measurements are indicated in Figure 3.1 of this NIA and described in Table 3.1. Consultation with the Council for the survey methodology was sought on 6 March 2023, although no response was received. The measurement methodology is considered to align with best practice and is as outlined within BS 4142.



#### Figure 3.1: Sound Survey Locations



Table 3.1: Description of Sound Survey Locations

Position	Description
LT1	The microphone was located in a free field position approximately 65m from south-eastern boundary of the Site and approximately 56m from Dean Cross.
LT2	The microphone was located in a free field position at the south-western boundary of the Site and approximately 25m from the road.
LT3	The microphone was located in a free field position on the western boundary of the Site and approximately 5m from the road.
LT4	The microphone was located in a free field position on the eastern boundary of the Site and approximately 5m from the road.
LT5	The microphone was located in a free field position on the eastern boundary of the Site and approximately 15m from the road.
LT6	The microphone was located in a free field position approximately 41m from the north boundary of the Site and approximately 41m from the road.
ST1	The microphone was located in a free field position on the north-eastern boundary of the Site and approximately 25m from the road.



# 3.2 Meteorological Conditions

3.2.1 Due to the nature of the survey (i.e., predominantly unattended), it is not possible to accurately comment on the meteorological conditions throughout the entire survey period. However, based on a review of publicly available weather data<sup>9</sup> and observations at the beginning and end of the survey period, the weather conditions are detailed in Table 3.2.

Date	Temperature	Precipitation (mm)	Wind Speed (m/s)	Wind Direction
23/03/2023	4 to 9	4	2 to 6	2 to 6
24/03/2023	5 to 8	5	3 to 6	3 to 6
25/03/2023	3 to 9	3	0 to 5	0 to 5
26/03/2023	0 to 7	0	0 to 3	0 to 3
27/03/2023	-1 to 8	0	0 to 2	0 to 2
04/05/2023	3 to 15	0	0 to 7	0 to 7
05/05/2023	9 to 16	0	1 to 6	1 to 6
06/05/2023	9 to 17	0.3	0 to 3	0 to 3
07/05/2023	8 to 13	0	0 to 5	0 to 5
08/05/2023	9 to 12	1.8	2 to 6	2 to 6
09/05/2023	6 to 15	0.6	0 to 3	0 to 3
10/05/2023	6 to 12	0.3	0 to 4	0 to 4
11/05/2023	7 to 16	0.9	0 to 4	WSW

#### Table 3.2: Meteorological Conditions

3.2.2 Based on a review of publicly available data, weather conditions over the period 23 to 25 March 2023 were unlikely to be suitable for environmental sound measurements, due to rainfall and windspeeds greater than 5 m/s. This period has therefore been omitted from the analysed dataset. However, the baseline sound survey measurements recorded between 25 and 27 March 2023 and between 4 and 11 May 2023 are considered to comprise a robust dataset for the baseline assessment.

<sup>9</sup> Publicly available data on Wunderground 2024



# 3.3 Assumptions and Limitations

3.3.1 The engineer noticed nothing unusual in terms of the sound climate at the time of the survey. This NIA refers, within the limitations stated, to the environment of the Site in the context of the surrounding area at the time of the inspections. Environmental conditions can vary. No warranty is given as to the possibility of changes in the environment of the Site and surrounding area at differing times.

### 3.4 Environmental Sound Climate

3.4.1 Due to the nature of the survey (i.e., unattended), it is not possible to accurately comment on the dominant noise sources or specific noise events during the entire survey period. However, at the beginning and end of the survey period, the noise sources experienced at each survey location are described in Table 3.3.

Position	Description
LT1	The dominating noise source was vehicular movements on the surrounding road network. Construction works were noted on Branthwaite Edge Road to the east.
LT2	The dominating noise source was vehicular movements on Dean Cross Road.
LT3	The dominating continuous noise source was sound from the nearby Potato Pot wind farm to the north. Other noise sources included sheep.
LT4	The dominating noise source was vehicular movements on Branthwaite Edge Road.
LT5	The dominating noise source was vehicular movements on Branthwaite Edge Road.
LT6	The dominating noise source was vehicular movements on Branthwaite Road.
ST1	The dominating noise source was vehicular movements on Branthwaite Road. Wind turbine noise was audible at the measurement location.

#### Table 3.3: Description of Noise Climate at Sound Survey Locations



# 4 Environmental Sound Survey Results

4.1.1 A full summary of the results is provided in Appendix D of this NIA. Histograms of the background sound levels at each measurement location are provided in Appendix E of this NIA. The histograms only present the background sound levels which were considered suitable for use within the assessment (i.e., exclude data obtained during adverse weather conditions).

Measurement Location	Time Period	Ambient Sound Level L <sub>Aeq,T</sub> (dB)	Range of Typical Background Sound Levels L <sub>A90,15minutes</sub> (dB)
1 T1	Daytime 07:00 – 23:00	43	27-36
LII	Night-time 23:00 – 07:00	38	18-35
1 T2	Daytime 07:00 – 23:00	45	22-28
LIZ	Night-time 23:00 – 07:00	40	19-24
1 T3	Daytime 07:00 – 23:00	52	34-41
LIJ	Night-time 23:00 – 07:00	47	26-41
I T4	Daytime 07:00 – 23:00	52	34-35
	Night-time 23:00 – 07:00	44	32
1 T5	Daytime 07:00 – 23:00	54	30-43
LIU	Night-time 23:00 – 07:00	47	21-40
L T6	Daytime 07:00 – 23:00	53	31-35
LIU	Night-time 23:00 – 07:00	48	26-29

#### Table 4.1: Sound Levels at Measurement Locations LT1-LT6

- 4.1.2 The measured average sound level at ST1 was 56 dB L<sub>Aeq,30minutes</sub> between 12:15 and 13:45 hours on 4 May 2023.
- 4.1.3 The background sound levels during daylight hours have been reviewed (which are understood as being up to between approximately 04:30 and 22:00 hours for the Site during the peak of summer). The background sound levels measured during the daytime hours (between 07:00 and



23:00 hours) were noted to be the same as those during daylight hours (04:30 and 22:00 hours).



# 5 Preliminary Plant Noise Assessment

### 5.1 Overview

5.1.1 The key consideration in noise during operation is the noise generated by elements of the Proposed Development that represent noise emitting sources. This excludes fixed solar arrays but includes the grid connection infrastructure (substation transformers) and PCS Units (inverter-transformer units) for the solar technology.

### 5.2 Proposed Equipment

5.2.1 Sound levels used within this assessment are based on typical worst-case manufacturer's data for items of plant included in preliminary design. The exact location, number of items of equipment and associated sound levels are subject to change. For the purposes of this assessment, the calculations are based on the equipment and associated noise levels described in Table 5.1.

Plant	Sound Level	Sound Level Including Mitigation	Location & Indicative Number of Units
Grid Transformers	91 dBA L <sub>w</sub> per unit	86 dBA L <sub>w</sub> per unit (5 dB due to acoustic barrier)	1no. transformer unit within a single compound
Central Inverter Transformer Units	91 dBA L <sub>w</sub> per unit	86 dBA L <sub>w</sub> per unit (5 dB due to manufacturer's mitigation)	19no. units across the Site

#### Table 5.1: Plant Details

### 5.3 Acoustic Mitigation

- 5.3.1 For the units located within compounds (i.e., the Grid Connection Infrastructure) (Work No. 2) **[REF: 2.3]**, it has been assumed that an acoustic barrier would be included within the design, if required, providing a minimum insertion loss of approximately 5 dB. This would be achieved by an appropriately specified acoustic barrier which provides line of sight screening between the noise source and the receptor.
- 5.3.2 For the central inverter-transformer units (Work No. 1) **[REF: 2.3]**, multiple manufacturers have advised that a noise reduction system can be



incorporated which would reduce noise emissions by 5 dB. This would reduce the sound power level produced by the system to 86 dBA L<sub>w</sub>, which is what has been used within the assessment. This type of attenuation is also available for standalone transformer units should the Proposed Development utilise string inverters, rather than combined central units.

### 5.4 Description of Plant Operation

5.4.1 The solar array PCS units would only operate when electricity is being produced, which would be during daylight hours (i.e., up to between 04:30 and 22:00 during the peak of summer). When there is no daylight, the PCS would not generate noise.

#### 5.5 Noise Sensitive Receptors

5.5.1 The locations of the nearest NSRs are indicated in Figure 5.1 and described in Table 5.2.



#### Figure 5.1: Noise Sensitive Receptors



NSR ID	Description
а	Dwelling - Rigg House Farm
b	Dwelling - Jackie Hill
С	Dwelling - Dean Cross Cottage
d	Commercial Building - Fulton's Landrovers
е	Commercial Site - Dean Moor Motocross Park
f	Dwelling - Fellview Cottage
g	Dwellings - Colinside and Colingate
h	Dwellings - Wythemoor Sough and Wythemoor House
i	Dwelling - Bannockburn
j	Commercial Site - AVS Cumbria Metals
k	Dwelling - Brookfield
I	Dwelling - Longcross Intack

### 5.6 Assessment Criteria

- 5.6.1 Based on the measured background sound levels shown in Table 4.1 and guidance provided within BS 4142:2014+A1:2019, the criteria in Table 5.3 have been developed as LOAELs and SOAELs at dwellings. It should be noted that context should be taken into account when assessing noise sources based on guidance within BS 4142:2014+A1:2019. LOAEL and SOAEL values could therefore vary depending on the overall final context of the assessment. Whether or not a noise impact is considered to be significant would depend on the context of the assessment and the sensitivity of the receptor.
- 5.6.2 The commercial receptors identified (d, e, and j) are anticipated to be less sensitive to noise from the Proposed Development than the residential receptors, although their operations still have the potential to be affected. Based on guidance provided within BS 8233:2014 on external sound levels, it is considered that adopting a LOAEL of 45 dB L<sub>Ar,Tr</sub> and a SOAEL of 55 dB L<sub>Ar,Tr</sub> is suitable and protect existing commercial uses. It is noted that the LOAEL at commercial receptors is likely to be achieved by default due to more stringent noise requirements at dwellings.



Table 5.3: LOAELs and SOAELs	<b>Used Within Assessment</b>
------------------------------	-------------------------------

NSR	Location	Period	Range of Typical Background Sound Levels L <sub>A90,15minutes</sub> (dB)	LOAEL* (Noise Rating Level L <sub>Ar,Tr</sub> dB)	SOAEL* (Noise Rating Level Lar,Tr dB)		
a and b	1 75	Daytime 07:00-23:00	30-43	31	35		
(dwellings)	LIJ	Night-time 23:00-07:00	21-40	27	30		
с	1 T 1	Daytime 07:00-23:00	27-36	28	30		
(dwelling)		Night-time 23:00-07:00	18-35	22	25		
d and e (commercial)	LT1	Daytime 07:00-23:00	27-36	45	55		
f	LT2	Daytime 07:00-23:00	22-28	28	30		
(dwelling)		Night-time 23:00-07:00	19-24	22	25		
g	LT3	Daytime 07:00-23:00	34-41	31	35		
(dwelling)		Night-time 23:00-07:00	26-41	30	30		
h	LT6	Daytime 07:00-23:00	31-35	31	35		
(dwelling)		Night-time 23:00-07:00	26-29	27	30		
i, k and l		Daytime 07:00-23:00	34-35	35	35		
(dwellings)	L14	Night-time 23:00-07:00	32	32	32		
j (commercial) LT4 Daytime 07:00-23:00 34-35 45		45	55				
*The LOAEL and SOAEL have the potential to vary depending on the overall final context of the assessment.							



# 5.7 Calculation Methodology

- 5.7.1 To account for ground absorption and atmospheric attenuation over distances of 250m the calculations include a 2dB loss. The loss from ground absorption and atmospheric attenuation is expected to increase at greater distances, although this has not been considered within the assessment as a worst-case assumption.
- 5.7.2 The topography of the Site has not been taken into account, and it is assumed that there is no acoustic screening provided by the landscape.
- 5.7.3 As outlined in Table 4.1 of the OOMP (ES Appendix 3.1), Work No. 1 (solar PV infrastructure) will not be operational until further noise modelling demonstrates that noise levels will not exceed the SOAEL for this part of the Proposed Development. This is to be secured by a DCO Requirement and will be agreed with the Council.
- 5.7.4 The calculations are based on hemispherical point source propagation, which means the sound power for each piece of plant radiates from a single point, and spreads over a hemisphere.

### 5.8 Assessment Results

- 5.8.1 The equipment data provided in Table 5.1 and mitigation outlined in Section 5.4 has been used to determine the minimum distances required between the various items of plant and noise sensitive receptors, based on the SOAELs outlined in Table 5.3. The minimum distances are set out in Table 5.4. It should be acknowledged that the SOAEL (and therefore the minimum distance) has the potential to vary based on the contextual factors of the final assessment. The minimum distances also have the potential to vary based on the actual noise emissions from the selected equipment and the final locations of the equipment. The minimum distances are therefore indicative of those which are expected to be required, but further assessment may demonstrate that these are not necessary for the rating level to be below the SOAEL.
- 5.8.2 To ensure a worst-case assessment, the minimum distances also include allowance for the BESS which previously formed part of the Proposed



Development. Without inclusion of the BESS, the minimum distances are expected to be reduced as there will be less noise generating equipment contributing to the rating level at the receptor.

NSR ID	Plant	Minimum Distance (m)
a and b	Grid Connection Infrastructure (Work No. 2)	251
	Central Inverters (Work No. 1)	283
	Grid Connection Infrastructure	447
C	Central Inverters	502
d and a	Grid Connection Infrastructure	25
u anu e	Central Inverters	28
f	Grid Connection Infrastructure	447
1	Central Inverters	502
a	Grid Connection Infrastructure	200
9	Central Inverters	224
h	Grid Connection Infrastructure	251
	Central Inverters	283
k and	Grid Connection Infrastructure	251
i, k and i	Central Inverters	283
i	Grid Connection Infrastructure	25
1	Central Inverters	28

Table 5.4: Indicative Minimum Distances Between Equipment andReceptors to Not Exceed SOAELs

- 5.8.3 Based on the equipment being appropriately mitigated and located in compliance with the minimum distances in Table 5.4, the proposed SOAELs are not anticipated to be exceeded.
- 5.8.4 As also noted, subject to additional modelling of the detailed design, which is to be secured through a DCO Requirement, the rating level may still be below the SOAEL at distances less than those noted in Table 5.4, if the contextual assessment modifies the SOAEL, topography or final layout influences the rating level at receptors, or if noise emissions are lower than those assumed within this assessment.

### 5.9 BS 4142 Noise Rating Corrections

5.9.1 Acoustic feature corrections, as defined in BS 4142:2014+A1:2019, have not been applied at this stage of the assessment. The minimum distances



within the preliminary assessment are therefore based on the specific sound level being in-line with the criteria in Table 5.3.

### 5.10 Future Considerations

- 5.10.1 This report presents the results of the operational noise assessment.
- 5.10.2 Further noise modelling which considers the final layout of plant, topography, and relevant contextual factors provided in BS 4142:2014+A1:2019 will be undertaken to inform the detailed design of the Proposed Development. The detailed design of the Proposed Development, incorporating any necessary noise mitigation measures will be secured via Requirement 1 of the DCO.



# 6 Conclusions

- 6.1.1 This report provides a NIA for the Proposed Development.
- 6.1.2 This NIA provides the evidence to support scoping operational noise and vibration out of the EIA.
- 6.1.3 An environmental sound survey has been undertaken to establish the existing environmental sound levels at positions considered representative of the nearest NSRs. The results of the environmental sound survey have been used to establish background sound levels.
- 6.1.4 This report presents the relevant results of the environmental sound surveys, details of the proposed assessment methodology and reviews the Parameter Plan (ES Figure 3.4) to identify a suitable location for the Grid Connection Infrastructure (Work No. 2).
- 6.1.5 The areas which are identified on the Parameter Plan are primarily informed by preliminary noise modelling undertaken during the EIA scoping stage, in support of the PEIR (ES Appendix 2.1), which indicates that no significant effects would occur should equipment be sited in these areas.
- 6.1.6 Based on the Grid Connection Infrastructure (Work No. 2) being appropriately mitigated, as set out in section 5.3, and located in compliance with the minimum distances in this NIA, as reflected in the Parameter Plan (ES Figure 3.4), significant effects (noise levels above the SOAEL at receptors) from operational noise are not anticipated to occur.
- 6.1.7 Work No. 1 (solar PV infrastructure) will not be operational until further noise modelling demonstrates that noise levels will not exceed the SOAEL for this part of the Proposed Development. This is secured by a DCO Requirement.



# Appendix A Acoustic Terminology

Parameter	Description
Ambient Sound Level (La = LAeq,T)	Equivalent continuous A-weighted sound pressure level of the totally encompassing sound in a given situation at a given time, usually from many sources near and far, at the assessment location over a given time interval, T.
A-Weighted Decibel (dBA)	A decibel level that has been corrected for the A-Weighting curve.
A-Weighting	Octave band and 1/3 octave band filters that correlate to the response of the human hearing system to sound pressure levels at different frequencies.
Background Sound Level (LA90,T)	A-weighted sound pressure level that is exceeded by the residual sound at the assessment location for 90% of a given time interval, T, measured using a fast time-weighting and quoted to the nearest whole number of decibels.
	A logarithmic unit used to describe the ratio between the measured level and a reference level of 0 dB. The ratio can be sound pressure, intensity or power.
Decidei (dB)	The reference value for sound pressure is 20 $\mu Pa$ and for sound power is 1 $\rho W.$
Equivalent Continuous A- Weighted Sound Pressure Level (LAeq,T)	Value of the time-averaged A-weighted sound pressure level, in decibels (dB), of a continuous steady sound for the duration of the specified time interval, T.
Façade Level	The sound pressure level at a distance of 1 metre from the façade
Fast Time Weighted	The speed at which the instrument responds to changes in amplitude of the measured signal. The response time of a fats time-weighted instrument is 0.125 seconds.
Free-Field Level	The sound pressure level measured away from any reflective surfaces.
Frequency (f)	The number of cycles of pressure fluctuations within a given period of time. Measured in Hertz.
Hertz (Hz)	The unit of frequency or pitch of a sound. One hertz is equal to one cycle per second.
Octave Band	Band of frequencies where the upper limit of the band is twice the frequency of the lower limit. E.g., the 1000 Hz band contains noise energy at all frequencies from 707 to 1414 Hz.
Percentile Level (LAN,T)	The A-Weighted Sound Pressure Level which is exceeded for N% of the specified time interval. E.g., the LA90,1hour is the A-weighted sound level exceeded for 90% of 1 hour/
Reference Time Interval (T)	Specified interval over which the specific sound level is determined.
Sound Pressure Level (Lp)	The logarithm of the ratio of a given sound pressure (p) to the reference sound pressure (p0). The reference value for sound pressure is 20 µPa. Defined as: $L_p = 20 log \left(\frac{p}{p_0}\right)$



# Appendix B Equipment Calibration Information

Description	Manufacturer	Туре	Serial Number	Laboratory Calibration Date
Sound Level Meter		NL-52	542903	12/01/2023
1/2" Pre-polarised microphone	RION	UC-59	06480	12/01/2023
Pre-amplifier		NH-25	42931	12/01/2023
Sound Level Meter		NL-52	1043458	10/09/2021
1/2" Pre-polarised microphone	RION	UC-59	07233	10/09/2021
Pre-amplifier		NH-25	43487	10/09/2021
Sound Level Meter		2250	2626233	25/03/2022
1/2" Pre-polarised microphone	Brüel & Kjær	4189	2621212	25/03/2022
Pre-amplifier		ZC0032	11992	25/03/2022
Sound Level Meter	RION	NL-62	930517	10/01/2022
1/2" Pre-polarised microphone		UC-59L	00701	10/01/2022
Pre-amplifier		NH-26	00559	10/01/2022
Sound Level Meter		NL-52	1043457	12/01/2023
1/2" Pre-polarised microphone	RION	UC-59	07232	12/01/2023
Pre-amplifier		NH-25	43486	12/01/2023
Sound Level Meter		2250	3012156	04/11/2022
1/2" Pre-polarised microphone	Brüel & Kjær	4189	3349717	04/11/2022
Pre-amplifier		ZC0032	27836	04/11/2022
Sound Calibrator	Brüel & Kizer	4231	2619375	03/01/2023
Sound Calibrator		4231	2619373	03/01/2023



# Appendix C Summary of Survey Measurements



ID	Date	Period	Period Average L <sub>Aeq</sub> dB	Range L <sub>A90,15minutes</sub> dB	Mean L <sub>A90,15minutes</sub> dB	Median L <sub>A90,15minutes</sub> dB
LT1	Thursday 04/05/2023	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	43	27-42	33	33
		Night-time (23:00 – 07:00 hours)	41	27-42	34	33
	Friday 05/05/2023	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	44	17-40	31	31
		Night-time (23:00 – 07:00 hours)	35	16-36	21	18
	Saturday 06/05/2023	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	39	20-31	27	27
		Night-time (23:00 – 07:00 hours)	36	16-34	22	19
	Sunday 07/05/2023	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	41	26-40	31	31
		Night-time (23:00 – 07:00 hours)	41	29-39	35	35
	Monday 08/05/2023	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	45	29-40	36	36
		Night-time (23:00 – 07:00 hours)	38	21-34	27	27
	Tuesday 09/05/2023	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	42	21-39	30	30
		Night-time (23:00 – 07:00 hours)	36	22-35	27	25
	Wednesday 10/05/2023	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	43	21-35	32	32
		Night-time (23:00 – 07:00 hours)	37	21-36	26	23
	Thursday 11/05/2023	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	39	30-34	32	32
LT2	Saturday 25/03/2023	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	47	22-34	28	28
		Night-time (23:00 – 07:00 hours)	38	20-34	25	24
	Sunday 26/03/2023	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	43	19-38	28	28
		Night-time (23:00 – 07:00 hours)	42	18-29	21	19



ID	Date	Period	Period Average L <sub>Aeq</sub> dB	Range L <sub>A90,15minutes</sub> dB	Mean L <sub>A90,15minutes</sub> dB	Median L <sub>A90,15minutes</sub> dB
	Monday 27/03/2023	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	45	19-29	22	22
LT3	Thursday 04/05/2023	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	55	34-51	41	41
		Night-time (23:00 – 07:00 hours)	49	39-49	40	41
	Friday 05/05/2023	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	51	25-44	35	35
		Night-time (23:00 – 07:00 hours)	46	25-40	29	26
	Saturday 06/05/2023	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	51	26-41	31	31
		Night-time (23:00 – 07:00 hours)	46	25-38	29	27
	Sunday 07/05/2023	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	50	29-40	35	34
		Night-time (23:00 – 07:00 hours)	50	36-50	42	41
	Monday 08/05/2023	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	52	37-50	42	41
		Night-time (23:00 – 07:00 hours)	45	33-40	35	35
	Tuesday 09/05/2023	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	53	30-37	34	34
		Night-time (23:00 – 07:00 hours)	46	30-41	33	32
	Wednesday 10/05/2023	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	52	30-38	35	35
		Night-time (23:00 – 07:00 hours)	46	28-39	32	30
	Thursday 11/05/2023	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	54	31-37	34	34
LT4	Saturday 25/03/2023	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	53	32-39	35	34
		Night-time (23:00 – 07:00 hours)	44	31-37	33	32
	Sunday 26/03/2023	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	52	30-38	34	35



ID	Date	Period	Period Average L <sub>Aeq</sub> dB	Range L <sub>A90,15minutes</sub> dB	Mean L <sub>A90,15minutes</sub> dB	Median L <sub>A90,15minutes</sub> dB
LT5	Thursday 04/05/2023	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	51	33-43	37	36
		Night-time (23:00 – 07:00 hours)	42	27-40	32	32
	Friday 05/05/2023	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	52	20-43	34	35
		Night-time (23:00 – 07:00 hours)	43	21-36	25	22
	Saturday 06/05/2023	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	51	23-40	30	30
		Night-time (23:00 – 07:00 hours)	43	19-31	23	21
	Sunday 07/05/2023	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	52	26-44	36	35
		Night-time (23:00 – 07:00 hours)	48	35-48	41	40
	Monday 08/05/2023	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	56	38-48	43	43
		Night-time (23:00 – 07:00 hours)	48	31-40	34	33
	Tuesday 09/05/2023	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	54	26-40	32	32
		Night-time (23:00 – 07:00 hours)	48	37-36	31	31
	Wednesday 10/05/2023	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	54	27-43	35	35
		Night-time (23:00 – 07:00 hours)	49	26-39	30	29
	Thursday 11/05/2023	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	58	30-41	34	33
LT6	Thursday 11/05/2023	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	53	29-43	34	33
		Night-time (23:00 – 07:00 hours)	46	26-39	30	29
	Sunday 26/03/2023	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	53	25-40	33	35
		Night-time (23:00 – 07:00 hours)	50	25-41	29	26



ID	Date	Period	Period Average L <sub>Aeq</sub> dB	Range L <sub>A90,15minutes</sub> dB	Mean L <sub>A90,15minutes</sub> dB	Median L <sub>A90,15minutes</sub> dB
	Monday 27/03/2023	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	54	28-41	32	31



# Appendix D Time History Graphs







Dean Moor Solar Farm L<sub>Aeq</sub>, L<sub>Amax,f</sub> and L<sub>A90</sub> Time History LT4 - Thursday 23 March to Monday 27 March 2023









# Appendix E Background Sound Level Histograms



Dean Moor Solar Farm L<sub>A90,15 minutes</sub> Histogram LT2 - Thursday 23 March to Monday 27 March 2023 25% 20% Percentage per Level 15% 10% 5% 0% 32 33 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 34 35 36 37 38 39 Sound Pressure Level (dB re 2 x 10<sup>-5</sup> Pa) ■07:00 - 23:00 ■ 23:00 - 07:00 04:30 - 22:00 22:00 - 04:30







# Dean Moor Solar Farm

