

Further to my previous comments

I cannot see that there has been any response to my comments ( RR019 on the library navigation document) by Rosefield on their applicant response to relevant representations 597page document.

On reviewing the responses I still believe Wind energy or alternatives would be preferable and this area does not have the infrastructure to manage such a huge, extremely large scale proposal. As EDF has never developed such a large scale development before in the UK you can not pretend to be able to mitigate for the full impact of a development this size, as they do not know this.

The public consultation had minimal information and knowledge about the area, impact on wildlife, businesses and local area. The response documents provided by Rosefield are in my opinion inadequate, particularly the access to fire services and infrastructure.

The Transport assessment Appendix 15:1 Volume 4 - does not realistically give a representation of the small badly upkept single track and B roads that all the HGVs and workers cars will use. Both destroying the roads and interrupting important routes between villages and local towns for businesses and residents, let alone the farming community. Particularly the tiny roads with dangerous corners for Parcel 2 and 3.

The response that all these workers would arrive before the main rush hour traffic shows the Rosefield's lack of understanding about local communities and road usage for farming and local businesses. I do not believe it is a thorough or valid assessment of infrastructure and road access. Please review this.