

Further to my objection comments to the planning application I have reviewed Rosefield's responses. Regarding Traffic: "The Outline CTMP [EN010158/APP/7.5] [APP141] includes measures such as the formation of a Traffic Management Group, use of GPS trackers for regular HGV traffic, and the use of a visible vehicle identification system. Failure to follow the proposed Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: EN010158/APP/8.3 Rosefield Solar Farm Applicant's Response to Relevant Representations 254 measures would be a non-compliance matter and breach of the DCO requirements and could result in contractors being subject to penalties and individual sanctions. The contract with the Principal Contractor would include a requirement for the detailed Construction Traffic Management Plan to be included as a deliverable measure within the contract and to remain within the restrictions required under the DCO. A CTMP coordinator would be appointed on Site during the construction phase."

I think this is inaccurate as experiencing HS2 works over the last few years traffic has been disrupted and fines post event are not effective. It also causes many disputes between the construction workers and local residents. This evaluation of traffic and also the assessment of road infrastructure and particularly the route for the HGVs from A41 via Quanton and along the small B roads and single track roads to Claydon Road and access to Parcel 2/3 with blind corners are not adequately assessed. This would have a severe impact on residents, local businesses, risk of RTAs and the rural infrastructure.

I would also like to raise again the impact on the multiple construction projects within a small 10 mile radius and ask the inspectorate not to just look at this large scale planning development, within a rural setting but the impact of this application along side all the other developments and previous HS2, East to West rail and the accumulative effect on the community, before making their decision.