

Apologies for my late submission, [REDACTED]. I have therefore only recently been [REDACTED] and for that reason, I was unable to sign in to listen to the meeting when it took place. Please do not take this as disinterest on my part!

When planning permission was sought for the incinerator we were told that it wouldn't affect the views across our countryside. It would be inconspicuous and very hard to see. There would never be any smoke emitted from it. Turns out that all that was rubbish! It is an eyesore and can be seen as such from Hillesden when you are out walking around there. It is very conspicuous and often emits vapours and other gases. Not quite telling the truth were they? This application I feel is exactly the same. They have "massaged information" to make it more appealing than it actually is!

I still maintain that it is not required at all, but if solar panels are required, why are the solar panels not being put on the rooftops of warehouses in the area, on the sides of the railway tracks etc, where they will not affect the countryside in such a way?

Why are we planning to take good land and cover it with ugly noisy solar panels, which will emit noise, cause an eyesore in what beautiful countryside we have left in the area, change the lives of hundreds for the worse and all when it is unnecessary? I cannot understand how anyone can argue that this is a good idea!

It will ruin the countryside that we have left in this area.

It will cause even more unnecessary road issues than we already have with increased traffic involving HGV's coming to and from the site.

It will directly affect the resale value of house prices in the area.

It will directly affect the mental health of many of us who live in this area, who are already struggling.

It will directly affect people being able to take local walks in the area.

In an area that has already been badly affected by all the building works going on - HS2, EWR, the super prison, the incinerator etc, it is something that none of us need!

My original comments from 2023 still stand and are as follows:

As a person local to the area you are proposing to put this solar farm into, I am emailing to register my utmost objection to the proposed Rosefield Solar Farm. with battery storage in the proximity of the villages of Botolph Claydon and Calvert Green which are both in the county of Buckinghamshire.

I would like to register my complete and utter objection to the proposed Rosefield Solar 'industrial' Farm for the following reasons:

Solar Farms are already known to be inefficient. A solar farm built on this scale will increase the local air temperature, thereby affecting the microclimate in the immediate vicinity, which will also affect the biodiversity within the area of the farm itself, together with the surrounding areas. There are many better methods that can be used to produce renewable energy. For example wind turbines covering a smaller area, rather than covering massive areas of perfectly good arable land, in solar panels; small modular reactors would also take up far less space and would in fact power many many more homes than this proposed solar farm!

We have already been badly affected in this area by the disruption caused to our lives by all of the HS2 and EWR traffic and mess and if this development goes ahead, this disruption will be further exaggerated and will continue on for many years to come. We do not deserve to

have yet more disruption to our already bad roads both during and after the construction phase of the solar farm.

Many local people have also experienced adverse affects on their mental health, seeing the beautiful countryside that they could previously enjoy being swallowed up during the building of HS2 and EWR. That has increased in part for some due to considerably higher travelling

time and costs because of all the closures and diversions that have gone on. Not only that, but their property prices will be directly affected by the proximity of the solar farm and some of them will be unable to afford to move away from the area.

Together with destroying what beautiful countryside we have left for people to enjoy walking in and seeing whilst travelling around the area, this will make their mental health situations even more difficult to manage.

The building of HS2 and EWR have both already caused irreparable damage to both our landscape and ecosystems, leading to the loss of biodiversity and the degradation of natural resources. Wildlife are constantly now seen around the housing estate of Calvert Green with

some finding their way to Runts Wood and surrounding fields and hedging around the fields. There is an abundance of wildlife that will be affected by this very large proposed industrial project including some rare species of birds, bats and wildlife that frequent the vicinity and

they will all move away from the area. We do not want to suffer any further loss of our beautiful countryside or the animals that live in it.

The proposal puts the name of the [REDACTED] in a new light. They were once a well respected family who valued locals' opinions and would never have adopted this approach.

They will now be taking people's livelihoods away and destroying the local environment for their own profit. This proposal directly contradicts statements that are made on the Claydon House website!

Statements on their website state the following:

"Land Management

Claydon Estate is a family-run, modern country estate. As stewards of 5,000 acres of rural land, we are committed to producing food, caring for more than 80 properties and listed buildings, and responsibly managing this beautiful corner of the Buckinghamshire countryside for future generations.

We believe a long-term view of good stewardship requires investment, sustainable land management and building strong local relationships."

"Farming

As a landowner, we work closely with local contractors and tenant farmers to improve productivity, enhance biodiversity, and ensure long-term sustainability of farming practices on our land.

Claydon Estate's in-hand farming specialises in combinable crops such as wheat, oats, oilseed rape, field beans and linseed, and we are proud to have tenant livestock farmers, whose award-winning South Devon cattle and large flocks of sheep graze freely across the parkland."

The loss of land and livelihoods of our local farmers would be inexcusable. These are farmers who have farmed on this land for generations, producing extremely good crops for our much-needed UK market. The grade for crops makes no sense whatsoever especially

when the farmers on the land that is being taken away from them, produce high quality crops and cattle.

Again, I would like to reiterate my utmost objection to this proposal and I sincerely hope that you will listen to the local community and ensure that this proposal does not go any further.

Carol Rampling