

Before making any decisions on The Rosefield solar 'farm' or any solar 'farm' the inspectorate must consider all the reasons for a solar 'farm' on what is farmland, a food producing area and a local one to us in Buckinghamshire and the rest of the UK.

This 2000 acres of proposed development will destroy existing environments home to several life forms from microscopic, other soil insects all up to the more seen mammals and birds with the odd lizards and amphibians. Some of these creatures are even endangered due to dwindling habitats. A quote from Kier Starmer in an interview in the National Trust magazine states everything clearly, "We need to do everything we can to prevent the decline of nature and turn it around." A significant life force in the soil is the various soil microbes which die when soil is dug, ploughed, compacted or left bare, their death releases carbon dioxide. There are approximately 6.4 million kgs of these living in the 2000 acres of the proposed development. IF this area is dug out, turned over driven on and disturbed for several years these microbes will die. Their death will release up to 3.84 million kg of CO₂, other insects and animals will also perish due to this construction and loss of habitat also releasing their CO₂. This soil may not ever recover and recoup the lost CO₂. A significant loss of habitat would occur which would be contrary to the prime minister's statement in his interview. Another article in Farming Online (Jan 15, 2024) with Dr John Baker advises that authorities should always consider the soil, its quality and its impact from any developments.

The UK doesn't produce enough food to feed its population, approximately 40-60% is imported. Utilising any farmland to produce anything other than food goes against Prof Tom Lang's recommendation to the UK government. These recommendations are in an article in the Guardian from Mar 7, 2026 when Prof Tom Lang says the UK needs to stockpile food. Other similar countries produce 100% of their food.

Current wind energy is not being fully utilized, as published Jan 22, 2026, energy editor Jonathon Leake in The Telegraph. Any power developments, Rosefield included, that will cause any further destruction to any new habitats needs to stop until the current green electricity is maximized with zero discard. In 2024 approximately 10 TWh, enough to power up to 3.4 million homes for a year has been discarded or the providers paid not to produce or supply. This is due to a poor infrastructure that can't handle the power created by these suppliers. Surely maximizing power creation from what has already been installed is an important matter to deal with when considering national infrastructures. The Rosefield Solar 'farm' can potentially power up to 57,000 homes vs fixing a national infrastructure could power up to 3.4 million homes and with climate change potentially more, fix what is broken first before exploring or installing any new power generation developments.

Additional traffic, HGVs and cars from those employed to do the works, on our already failing roads, bottle necks on the way through the village, non-village people racing through the village to get home after work is not acceptable especially combined with all of the HS2 and Western rail disturbances and road closures.

I moved here to see the stars again and enjoy the views. All these sites are lit with blaring power consuming lights making them visible at night as well as the daytime view destruction. The light pollution takes away from my enjoyment of the countryside sky views.