

## COMMENT ON ROSEFIELD SOLAR EN010158

FROM DR KEITH WALLACE [REDACTED]

**28 JULY 2026**

NB I have NOT used AI in the creation of any document.

This document has 2 pages including 2 data tables.

My concern is with **CUMULATIVE EFFECT**.

I have commented on this twice before, in my Relevant Representation of December 2025 and a subsequent comment in March 2026. **New information has come to light** in the current draft of the Buckinghamshire Council Local Plan, currently at stage 19 consultation.

Rosefield would not exist in isolation. Not only are there numerous other solar and BESS sites built or planned for North Buckinghamshire but also Buckinghamshire Council is targeting the area for large housing developments.

The data tables given in this document show that, within a 5 mile radius of my village, over 25,000 new houses are planned and an amount of solar / BESS covering an area equivalent to over 16,500 houses is planned or has already been built (see calculation on the next page).

Such widespread devastation of productive farmland and destruction of amenity represents a **huge irreversible harm** to an attractive area of lowland rural England.

Rosefield, at 279 hectares is by far the largest of the solar/BESS proposals representing 36.9% of the total and is comparable in area to the larger housing developments listed below.

Rosefield should not be allowed.

### Housing sites:

('status' and page numbers refer to the current draft Buckinghamshire Local Plan available via the Buckinghamshire Council website)

| <u>Location</u> | <u>status</u> | <u>no. houses</u> | <u>hectares</u> | <u>density (per hectare)</u> |
|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|
| BUCKINGHAM      | NESS          | 4700              | 217.5           | 21.61                        |
| BEACHAMPTON     | NESS          | 8230              | 381.6           | 21.57                        |
| WINSLOW         | NESS          | 9370              | 411.4           | 22.78                        |
| WINSLOW         | TIER 3        | 1500              | 30              | see p 246                    |
| WINSLOW         | TIER 3        | 630               | 12              | see p.248                    |
| WINSLOW         | TIER 3        | 480               | 6               | see p 248                    |
| PADBURY         | TIER 4        | 210               | 10.75           | seep.261                     |

| <b><u>Solar sites:</u></b>              | <b><u>Hectares</u></b>        |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Statera BESS (approved)                 | 33.2                          |
| Statkraft BESS (approved)               | 45.3                          |
| Rosefield (revised NSIP applicn u/way)  | 279                           |
| Crosshouse, Thornton (approved)         | 88                            |
| Wings Farm, Granborough (applic u/way)  | 64.01                         |
| Furzen Fm BESS Verney Jctn (new applic) | 110                           |
| Tuckey Farm nr Winslow (built)          | 5.8                           |
| Thornborough Grounds (built)            | 12.75                         |
| Beachampton S. Park (built)             | 2.1                           |
| Fox Covert nr Winslow (built)           | 47                            |
| Stratford Rd, Beachampton(built)        | 7.79                          |
| Manor Farm Bourton (built)              | 18.72                         |
| Manor Farm, Beachampton(built)          | 43                            |
| <b><u>Total area</u></b>                | <b><u>756.67 hectares</u></b> |

Multiply by the mean housing density of Buckingham, Winslow and Beachampton  
NESS sites: 21.99 per hectare

Gives housing equivalent of  $756.67 \times 21.99 = \underline{16,639 \text{ houses}}$ .

This may well be an underestimate. These are the sites we happen to be aware of within 5 mile radius of my village. It is not a systematic search.

**END**