

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** EQ  
**Date:** 21 November 2025 13:40:49

---

Good afternoon Deborah

**Reservation of Rights (Litigant in Person)**

This submission is made under **explicit protest** and strictly **without prejudice** to the Interested Party's right to challenge the lawfulness and procedural integrity of the Examination.

The Interested Party's continued participation is legally **compelled** by the statutory process (Planning Act 2008) to maintain standing, but this action does **not** constitute a waiver, acceptance, or validation of any alleged procedural impropriety, ExA bias, unlawful censorship, or fundamental flaws in the Administrative Record.

**All rights to seek Statutory Appeal and Judicial Review against the final Development Consent Order decision are fully reserved.**

I very much appreciate the ExA's EQ3 Q12.0.1 (and the following questions to the EA ) and the publication of AS-061 and AS-062. I just wanted to point out to the ExA that the document REP -111 provides the substance behind AS-061 and AS-062. I am concerned that the way they were published ( stacked rather than as individual submissions) might have led to one or both being overlooked. I wondered if you might be kind enough to point this out

--

Regards

Stephen Fox