```
created by event.video
1
00:00:00.080 --> 00:00:03.660
Okay. So, uh, it's- it is now midday
00:00:03.740 --> 00:00:07.310
and time for this hearing to resume.
00:00:08.260 --> 00:00:11.660
Um, as I said before the break,
4
00:00:12.100 --> 00:00:15.760
um, w- um, we've just got a few examining authority questions,
00:00:15.880 --> 00:00:19.700
um, regarding the articles and schedules, which will, um, I
00:00:19.720 --> 00:00:23.140
think, almost exclusively be aimed at the applicant's
00:00:23.220 --> 00:00:26.960
team. Uh, hopefully some of them are quick fire and, uh, then
00:00:27.000 --> 00:00:30.460
we'll, uh, be able to get on to protective
00:00:30.470 --> 00:00:34.430
provisions. Um, I
00:00:34.440 --> 00:00:38.020
think my first few questions, um, a lot of them
11
00:00:38.100 --> 00:00:42.050
arise from the, um, as the applicant will
12
00:00:42.080 --> 00:00:46.060
no doubt be aware, the, um, Secretary of State's very recent
13
00:00:46.140 --> 00:00:50.080
decision letter of the 14th of October of this
```

```
14
00:00:50.200 --> 00:00:53.780
year, uh, in relation to the Tilbridge Solar, uh, Development
00:00:53.880 --> 00:00:57.810
Consent Order. Um, and just the- the implications of some of
16
00:00:57.840 --> 00:01:01.800
the, uh, matters that were contained, uh, within that, uh,
17
00:01:01.860 --> 00:01:05.420
perhaps for some of the, um, articles, um,
18
00:01:05.980 --> 00:01:08.240
within, uh, this, uh, draft
19
00:01:08.580 --> 00:01:12.410
DCO. Um, so I- I suppose starting with the one that
20
00:01:12.720 --> 00:01:16.400
perhaps has the- the- the most significant implications, uh, in
21
00:01:16.480 --> 00:01:20.180
relation to Article 22, uh, compulsory
22
00:01:20.220 --> 00:01:23.780
acquisition and temporary possession time limits.
23
00:01:23.860 --> 00:01:27.240
Um, you- you, I imagine, no doubt aware of the Secretary of State's
24
00:01:27.420 --> 00:01:31.260
views in that Tilbridge, uh, decision
25
00:01:31.580 --> 00:01:35.460
that the, uh, what is called normal five-year
26
00:01:35.500 --> 00:01:39.420
period is an adequate timeframe in which to
27
00:01:39.500 --> 00:01:43.110
```

```
serve the notice to treat in respect of compulsory
28
00:01:43.200 --> 00:01:47.140
purchase, um, and that there should be no extension of time
00:01:47.260 --> 00:01:50.920
due to any legal challenge. Um, the
30
00:01:50.960 --> 00:01:54.660
question is, does the applicant have any views, um, in relation to
31
00:01:54.760 --> 00:01:58.380
its currently drafted approach to Article 22,
32
00:01:58.820 --> 00:02:02.530
um, and the associated definition of applicable period,
33
00:02:03.080 --> 00:02:06.990
uh, in Article 2 (1) in- in light of,
34
00:02:07.100 --> 00:02:10.300
um, this opinion of the Secretary of State?
35
00:02:10.419 --> 00:02:14.160
Um, I did wonder whether this was, um, something that,
00:02:14.240 --> 00:02:17.780
uh, might be, um, appropriate for- for an action point,
37
00:02:18.040 --> 00:02:21.420
um, that you might want to give some thought to and, uh, come
38
00:02:21.460 --> 00:02:24.380
back, um, to the examining authority
39
00:02:24.500 --> 00:02:27.160
on?
40
00:02:33.040 --> 00:02:35.269
Uh, Claire Brodrick for the applicant.
```

```
41
00:02:35.320 --> 00:02:36.200
42
00:02:38.020 --> 00:02:41.640
our position here is that potentially the Secretary of State
43
00:02:41.800 --> 00:02:45.700
didn't understand the justification, um, being provided.
44
00:02:45.740 --> 00:02:49.420
The intention was to make the time limits under the DCO
45
00:02:49.520 --> 00:02:53.200
process consistent with the time limits if you are making a
compulsory
46
00:02:53.260 --> 00:02:57.040
purchase order, um, under the Acquisition of Land Act, for example,
where some
47
00:02:57.460 --> 00:03:01.100
further provisions were brought in to do exactly what is being
48
00:03:01.160 --> 00:03:02.740
suggested here. I think
49
00:03:03.600 --> 00:03:07.030
in light of the Tilbridge decision, we have been considering whether
we need to,
50
00:03:07.100 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.000
um, bolster the text in the explanatory memorandum to
51
00:03:11.060 --> 00:03:13.140
set that out. So, the applicant is going to
52
00:03:14.200 --> 00:03:18.130
continue to try to (laughs) include drafting to the
53
00:03:18.160 --> 00:03:21.520
effect that it's suggested, but we will add in the next version of
```

```
the
54
00:03:21.580 --> 00:03:25.040
explanatory memorandum, um, some further text to
00:03:25.080 --> 00:03:28.460
explain the justification, um, for that
56
00:03:28.500 --> 00:03:32.400
wording in the hope that we can persuade the
57
00:03:32.420 --> 00:03:35.160
Secretary of State as to why it's appropriate to have that.
58
00:03:35.180 --> 00:03:38.920
But for- for those in the room, it- it- it basically stops the clock
59
00:03:38.960 --> 00:03:41.900
somebody tries to judicially review,
60
00:03:42.020 --> 00:03:45.950
um, the- the DCO because potentially if that was
61
00:03:45.980 --> 00:03:49.480
a long judicial review, it could eat into several years of that
62
00:03:49.520 --> 00:03:53.500
five-year timeframe period, particularly if it was taken to the
Supreme
63
00:03:53.600 --> 00:03:56.860
Court, which has been done on other development consent orders.
64
00:03:56.920 --> 00:03:58.400
So, the applicant does consider
65
00:03:59.280 --> 00:04:03.070
that to be justifiable because it would be very unusual for, um,
66
00:04:03.960 --> 00:04:07.620
```

```
an applicant to take the risk of utilizing those powers if its
67
00:04:07.640 --> 00:04:10.560
consent was, um, being challenged.
00:04:10.660 --> 00:04:11.800
Um, so we will
69
00:04:13.680 --> 00:04:16.860
try and expand on the justification in the explanatory memorandum on
that
70
00:04:16.880 --> 00:04:20.339
particular point.
71
00:04:20.459 --> 00:04:24.340
Thank you. I think the examining authority would find that helpful
for, uh,
72
00:04:24.380 --> 00:04:27.500
when we have to write about it in the recommendation.
73
00:04:28.940 --> 00:04:32.440
Um, so, um, sticking with, uh, Tilbridge decision
74
00:04:32.640 --> 00:04:36.560
implications or possible implications, um, does
00:04:36.620 --> 00:04:40.120
the applicant consider that it will need to revisit its
76
00:04:40.220 --> 00:04:43.180
definition of authorised developments in the
77
00:04:43.820 --> 00:04:47.410
draft DCO, uh, under Article 2 (1)
00:04:47.640 --> 00:04:51.320
interpretation? Um, i.e., for anybody who
79
00:04:51.380 --> 00:04:55.060
```

```
hasn't, uh, seen the Tilbridge decision, uh, add in
80
00:04:55.140 --> 00:04:59.020
reference to associated developments into the initial
00:04:59.160 --> 00:04:59.780
part of the
82
00:04:59.820 --> 00:05:04.780
definition.
83
00:05:28.960 --> 00:05:30.300
Uh, Claire Brodrick with the applicant.
84
00:05:30.380 --> 00:05:34.080
Um, we note that this is, uh, a change that has gone into
00:05:34.340 --> 00:05:38.180
a number of recently granted development consent
86
00:05:38.240 --> 00:05:41.380
orders, so it does appear to be sort of a, like
87
00:05:41.420 --> 00:05:44.300
a- a statutory drafting, um,
00:05:45.020 --> 00:05:46.300
change, um,
89
00:05:47.760 --> 00:05:49.870
that has been included. I don't...
90
00:05:49.880 --> 00:05:53.020
I think our initial view is that we don't think it makes too much
difference, but
91
00:05:53.060 --> 00:05:56.940
we will give it some thought and if we, um,
92
00:05:56.980 --> 00:06:00.280
consider it appropriate to do so, then we can add it into the next
```

```
version of the
93
00:06:00.320 --> 00:06:03.480
draft DCO. Um, but yeah, thank you.
00:06:08.764 --> 00:06:12.464
Thank you. Um, I promise n- not all of the questions are
95
00:06:12.484 --> 00:06:16.404
related to the Tilbridge decision letter, but just, just one more
quick one.
96
00:06:16.504 --> 00:06:20.244
Um, similarly around, um, article 2 (1)
97
00:06:20.404 --> 00:06:24.304
interpretation, um, does the applicant intend to
98
00:06:24.424 --> 00:06:28.304
amend the definition of maintain in its draft
00:06:28.324 --> 00:06:31.824
DCO to include reference to the
100
00:06:31.924 --> 00:06:35.554
extent that those elements were assessed in the environmental
101
00:06:35.704 --> 00:06:38.064
statement?
102
00:06:38.164 --> 00:06:41.284
Uh, Alison Dablin for the applicant.
103
00:06:41.324 --> 00:06:45.164
The, um, drafting of this definition is intentional and it is
104
00:06:45.204 --> 00:06:48.464
consistent with, uh, statutory instrument drafting practice
105
00:06:49.004 --> 00:06:52.704
in that a definition should be just that and should not contain an
```

```
106
00:06:52.784 --> 00:06:55.364
operational provision. Uh, the
107
00:06:56.264 --> 00:06:59.284
constraint on what maintain can,
108
00:06:59.444 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.064
uh, include is set out in
109
00:07:03.084 --> 00:07:07.024
article five, paragraph three, which confirms that
110
00:07:07.084 --> 00:07:10.994
the article authorizing maintenance does not authorize the carrying
111
00:07:11.064 --> 00:07:14.334
out of maintenance, which is likely to give rise to any materially
new or
112
00:07:14.384 --> 00:07:18.064
materially different environmental effects in comparison with those
reported
113
00:07:18.104 --> 00:07:20.664
into, in the environmental statement.
114
00:07:20.674 --> 00:07:24.324
This is, uh, considered to, uh, reflect best
115
00:07:24.384 --> 00:07:27.464
drafting practice and, um, will be maintained.
116
00:07:27.484 --> 00:07:27.624
Thank
117
00:07:27.664 --> 00:07:32.084
you.
118
00:07:35.424 --> 00:07:37.744
Thank you. That's, uh,
```

```
119
00:07:37.784 --> 00:07:39.724
helpful.
120
00:07:41.164 --> 00:07:42.154
Um, so turning
121
00:07:43.084 --> 00:07:46.314
very quickly, uh, to article, uh,
122
00:07:46.364 --> 00:07:49.644
16, um, 16 (4)
123
00:07:49.644 --> 00:07:52.514
, um, which, um,
124
00:07:52.964 --> 00:07:56.824
requires, um, consent from the
125
00:07:57.264 --> 00:08:00.964
Traffic Authority, um, in relation to
126
00:08:00.974 --> 00:08:03.624
traffic regulation measures this is.
127
00:08:03.724 --> 00:08:07.554
Um, but, um, only consultation with the, uh,
128
00:08:07.684 --> 00:08:11.304
chief officer of police. Um, just, just an open question,
129
00:08:11.684 --> 00:08:15.464
uh, um, to the applicant. Is, is this a sort of common typical
130
00:08:15.624 --> 00:08:19.163
approach, um, or might it be appropriate to also
131
00:08:19.204 --> 00:08:22.824
require consent from the chief, um, officer
```

132

```
00:08:22.884 --> 00:08:26.494
of police and, um, also whether you've had
133
00:08:26.524 --> 00:08:30.464
any, um, discussions with the, uh, police on that
134
00:08:30.604 --> 00:08:34.804
matter?
135
00:08:55.584 --> 00:08:59.464
Alison Dablin for the applicant. Um, we believe
136
00:08:59.474 --> 00:09:03.424
that the approach of consulting with the police and obtaining
137
00:09:03.444 --> 00:09:07.424
consent of the Traffic Authority is consistent with the, uh,
standard,
138
00:09:07.504 --> 00:09:11.424
um, process under wider highways law, but we will take, uh,
139
00:09:11.644 --> 00:09:15.144
that away as an action to, um, double check and confirm in
140
00:09:15.184 --> 00:09:17.724
writing. Thank you.
00:09:17.784 --> 00:09:19.284
Thank you.
142
00:09:21.404 --> 00:09:24.424
Okay. Um, turning now to schedule two
143
00:09:24.684 --> 00:09:28.624
requirements, um, trying to pick up on some points that haven't
144
00:09:28.684 --> 00:09:32.244
already been raised. Uh, should there be a
145
00:09:32.344 --> 00:09:35.793
```

```
standalone requirement for an operational
146
00:09:36.104 --> 00:09:38.264
waste management plan or
147
00:09:38.304 --> 00:09:44.483
equivalent?
148
00:09:49.544 --> 00:09:51.124
Uh, Clare Budge for the applicant.
149
00:09:51.164 --> 00:09:54.174
We will just double check, but I believe the, um,
150
00:09:54.964 --> 00:09:58.944
waste during, um, the operational phase is, forms part
151
00:09:59.024 --> 00:10:02.444
of the operational environmental management plan and therefore is
152
00:10:02.484 --> 00:10:05.904
covered by that requirement and therefore there isn't a need for a
153
00:10:05.964 --> 00:10:09.904
separate, um, requirement to, to deal with it,
00:10:09.944 --> 00:10:10.194
but-
00:10:10.824 --> 00:10:14.084
I think that's, that's how I understand it's
156
00:10:14.124 --> 00:10:18.084
drafted. Um, I'm conscious there is some, uh, precedence
157
00:10:18.344 --> 00:10:22.284
in Byres Gill or Tilbridge, for example, where there
158
00:10:22.444 --> 00:10:25.464
is, um, a se- a separate standalone
```

```
159
00:10:25.824 --> 00:10:29.763
requirement, uh, around waste, um,
160
00:10:29.864 --> 00:10:33.734
or I think with Cothamum and Cleeve Hill, um,
00:10:33.784 --> 00:10:37.544
waste is specifically referred to under the,
162
00:10:37.604 --> 00:10:41.504
um, operational Environmental Management Plan requirement.
163
00:10:42.284 --> 00:10:45.784
Um, it's just I'm conscious of the, you know, the, the scale of, of
164
00:10:45.844 --> 00:10:49.714
this, um, of this proposal, um,
165
00:10:49.764 --> 00:10:53.514
alongside, you know, other, um, solar DCOs that have been
166
00:10:53.624 --> 00:10:57.344
made. Um, and that if waste management
167
00:10:57.384 --> 00:11:00.844
plans have specifically been required at others,
00:11:00.964 --> 00:11:04.564
um, logically, um, would it not be a
169
00:11:04.584 --> 00:11:07.724
significant enough issue, uh, to warrant its own
170
00:11:08.144 --> 00:11:11.964
requirements or, um, mention rather than it
00:11:12.044 --> 00:11:12.364
just
172
00:11:13.304 --> 00:11:15.974
```

```
sort of being captured under the, uh,
173
00:11:16.004 --> 00:11:18.524
OMP?
174
00:11:18.644 --> 00:11:19.864
Uh, Clare Budge for the applicant.
00:11:19.944 --> 00:11:20.054
Um,
176
00:11:21.564 --> 00:11:24.594
I can't obviously speak for all the projects. Sometimes the...
177
00:11:24.604 --> 00:11:28.224
A separate requirement is added because the waste planning authority
178
00:11:28.244 --> 00:11:31.984
different from the relevant planning authority, which is the
district authority
179
00:11:32.044 --> 00:11:36.034
where you've got a district county split, um,
180
00:11:36.064 --> 00:11:39.804
in a particular area, which was the case in, um, Cotham and West
00:11:39.864 --> 00:11:43.704
Burton that there was a district authority and a county, county
authority
182
00:11:43.764 --> 00:11:47.644
who were for, who were dealing with different, um, different points.
183
00:11:47.724 --> 00:11:51.544
So, sometimes that's the reason for having a
184
00:11:51.564 --> 00:11:52.714
specific, um,
185
```

```
00:11:53.604 --> 00:11:56.114
point. It may be something that we, um,
186
00:11:57.304 --> 00:12:00.864
consider whether there is some further wording we can add to the
187
00:12:00.944 --> 00:12:03.864
outline OEMP just to make the point clear that,
188
00:12:03.944 --> 00:12:07.184
um, uh, as to what's required in terms of
189
00:12:07.904 --> 00:12:11.124
the level of detail, um, to be provided in relation
190
00:12:11.204 --> 00:12:15.145
to-... waste management, and that might be, because
191
00:12:15.176 --> 00:12:18.396
obviously it's the same authority in this,
00:12:18.496 --> 00:12:22.436
um, for this particular project, whether it's, it's something we
193
00:12:22.476 --> 00:12:26.226
just add as, um, as an additional sentence to the,
194
00:12:26.316 --> 00:12:29.896
um, outline OMP, rather than it needing to be a standalone
195
00:12:29.936 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.976
requirement. Thank
196
00:12:31.016 --> 00:12:35.996
you.
197
00:12:36.016 --> 00:12:38.216
Thank you.
198
00:12:40.176 --> 00:12:42.516
Um, turning to requirement
```

```
199
00:12:42.836 --> 00:12:46.676
four. Um, as currently drafted, um,
200
00:12:46.816 --> 00:12:50.476
specifying that the community liaison group must
201
00:12:50.596 --> 00:12:54.456
be established, um, prior to commencement of
202
00:12:54.536 --> 00:12:55.396
development.
203
00:12:56.196 --> 00:12:56.376
Um,
204
00:12:57.516 --> 00:13:01.316
a- again, so open question, would there not be a benefit
205
00:13:01.396 --> 00:13:05.356
to committing to establishing this group at an earlier
206
00:13:05.396 --> 00:13:09.036
defined point? Um, could the applicant
207
00:13:09.056 --> 00:13:12.386
consider whether a form of words could be, um,
208
00:13:12.496 --> 00:13:15.516
drafted that might secure establishment of this
209
00:13:15.576 --> 00:13:17.036
group, um, at
210
00:13:17.516 --> 00:13:20.116
earlier?
211
00:13:21.436 --> 00:13:24.236
Uh, Clare Budge of the applicant. We can definitely take the point
away and
```

```
212
00:13:24.576 --> 00:13:28.456
consider... it. Um, obviously
213
00:13:28.536 --> 00:13:29.556
the,
214
00:13:30.496 --> 00:13:34.416
the DCO requirements have specific sort of
215
00:13:34.436 --> 00:13:38.396
triggers. Either it's on commencement, and then some of them are
216
00:13:38.436 --> 00:13:42.396
prior to the commencement of, um, sort of, or they apply even if
it's related to
217
00:13:43.096 --> 00:13:45.476
sort of permitted preliminary works.
218
00:13:45.576 --> 00:13:48.496
Um, so we can give that some, some further thought.
00:13:48.536 --> 00:13:50.516
Thank you.
220
00:13:50.576 --> 00:13:54.216
Thank you. Yes, I, I appreciate that sort of limitation on trigger
points, but
221
00:13:54.276 --> 00:13:57.836
yeah, if, if you could, um, uh, look into that, that would be
222
00:13:58.496 --> 00:14:02.316
very helpful. Thank you. Uh, turning
223
00:14:02.416 --> 00:14:05.316
now...
224
00:14:05.416 --> 00:14:09.356
Oh. Um, turning now to, um, requirement,
```

```
225
00:14:09.456 --> 00:14:09.646
226
00:14:10.736 --> 00:14:12.016
5.4.
227
00:14:13.516 --> 00:14:17.376
Um, should work number six, um, also
228
00:14:17.476 --> 00:14:21.096
be included here, uh, given that there are
229
00:14:21.156 --> 00:14:24.396
concept design parameters and principles
230
00:14:25.016 --> 00:14:27.696
set out in the latest version of that, which is
231
00:14:27.796 --> 00:14:31.726
REP1151, um,
232
00:14:31.876 --> 00:14:34.556
for those components of the scheme?
233
00:14:34.636 --> 00:14:38.556
Um, I, I obviously acknowledge that requirement 10,
00:14:38.656 --> 00:14:42.436
um, as a sort of standalone requirement, secures fencing and other
forms of
235
00:14:42.476 --> 00:14:46.256
enclosure. Um, but there are other matters covered by the
236
00:14:46.296 --> 00:14:50.056
concept design parameters and principles in relation to
237
00:14:50.096 --> 00:14:53.676
work number six that perhaps don't
```

```
00:14:53.736 --> 00:14:57.216
appear to be secured, um, under requirement
239
00:14:57.415 --> 00:14:58.496
five as it's currently
240
00:14:58.536 --> 00:15:01.996
drafted.
241
00:15:16.496 --> 00:15:20.236
Uh, Clare Budge of the applicant. Um, as you'd mentioned with the
reference
242
00:15:20.356 --> 00:15:24.236
to specifically to the fencing, um, the reason why
243
00:15:24.256 --> 00:15:27.636
it hasn't been specifically referred to is because each of the
elements of work
244
00:15:27.676 --> 00:15:31.545
number six fall within one of the other, um,
245
00:15:31.896 --> 00:15:35.496
management plans. So, landscape is dealt with under the LEMP,
246
00:15:35.596 --> 00:15:38.776
um, th- then also sort of drainage is another one.
247
00:15:38.856 --> 00:15:42.776
Um, footpath diversions comes under the, um,
248
00:15:43.016 --> 00:15:44.996
Public Rights of Way Diversion Plan.
249
00:15:45.036 --> 00:15:48.626
So I, it's consistent with other DCOs, but it was considered that
250
00:15:48.676 --> 00:15:52.656
the, um, the specific design parameters
```

251

```
00:15:52.716 --> 00:15:56.216
that were referred to for those work numbers are then dealt with
252
00:15:56.296 --> 00:15:58.576
in the management plans, um,
253
00:15:59.456 --> 00:16:02.876
themselves, which again, the outlined versions of
254
00:16:02.915 --> 00:16:04.325
which, um,
255
00:16:06.056 --> 00:16:09.436
contain the commitments that are set out in the concept design
256
00:16:09.476 --> 00:16:13.346
parameters. Um, but we can, um,
257
00:16:13.976 --> 00:16:16.396
go through and provide a sort of, um,
00:16:17.415 --> 00:16:20.216
signposting, if that would be helpful, for the relevant
259
00:16:21.016 --> 00:16:24.876
parameters that are in the concept design parameters document, to
260
00:16:24.915 --> 00:16:28.876
confirm where they are secured in other, um, documents
261
00:16:28.896 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.456
in the DCO. So you can be, um, assured it's not been missed, those
262
00:16:32.476 --> 00:16:33.056
commitments.
263
00:16:33.516 --> 00:16:37.125
I, I think that would be helpful. Um, I think perhaps the ones, um,
that
264
00:16:37.156 --> 00:16:40.556
```

```
immediately sprung to mind, I've not got the, um, design principles
document in
265
00:16:40.596 --> 00:16:44.476
front of me, but things around CCTV columns, lighting,
266
00:16:44.536 --> 00:16:48.256
whether they're sort of, um, covered with, were the ones that
267
00:16:48.296 --> 00:16:51.846
immediately jumped out to me. But yes, if you wouldn't mind
providing,
268
00:16:51.936 --> 00:16:55.906
um, signposting and give us, um, comfort that it's, um,
269
00:16:55.976 --> 00:16:58.776
all secured, that would be great.
270
00:17:01.836 --> 00:17:05.526
Um, moving on to requirement six. Um,
271
00:17:06.195 --> 00:17:09.715
sh- should the Health and Safety Executive be added to the list of
272
00:17:09.776 --> 00:17:13.746
consultees for the battery storage safety management
273
00:17:13.856 --> 00:17:16.096
plan?
274
00:17:28.195 --> 00:17:31.796
Alison Devlin for the applicant. Um, we believe
275
00:17:31.976 --> 00:17:35.896
that there has been in the past a request from the Health and Safety
Executive that
276
00:17:35.936 --> 00:17:39.816
they are not consulted, uh, but we will take that away
277
```

```
00:17:40.016 --> 00:17:43.996
and, um, confirm. Um, I think just
278
00:17:44.036 --> 00:17:47.796
going back to, um, conversations that were touched on
279
00:17:47.876 --> 00:17:51.616
in ISH, uh, 2 as well, in relation to the battery
280
00:17:51.676 --> 00:17:55.235
safety, uh, th- th- the best is
281
00:17:55.436 --> 00:17:59.185
that in the, uh, in the unlikely event that,
282
00:17:59.276 --> 00:18:03.266
um, there are chemicals that meet the thresholds for it to be,
283
00:18:03.356 --> 00:18:06.696
um, requiring a hazardous substances consent, then that regime would
be
284
00:18:06.735 --> 00:18:10.516
triggered. Um, so, uh, our view is that,
285
00:18:10.636 --> 00:18:14.566
um, it is not necessary to separately consult with the Health and
286
00:18:14.616 --> 00:18:18.446
Safety Executive. But as I say, we will take it away and,
287
00:18:18.456 --> 00:18:19.656
um, confirm.
288
00:18:23.286 --> 00:18:27.186
Thank you for that explanation. And yes, if you- if you could, um,
come back to us
289
00:18:27.206 --> 00:18:30.706
and confirm that, that would be very helpful.
290
```

```
00:18:30.766 --> 00:18:34.426
So in terms of, uh, requirement nine, uh,
291
00:18:34.446 --> 00:18:38.426
biodiversity net gain, um, appreciate not- not
292
00:18:38.506 --> 00:18:42.476
mandatory yet. Um, and we are aware
293
00:18:42.566 --> 00:18:46.486
of, um, the applicant's response to, uh, West
00:18:46.606 --> 00:18:49.946
Northamptonshire Council's local impact report set out
295
00:18:50.026 --> 00:18:51.336
in rep.
296
00:18:52.186 --> 00:18:56.146
2, uh, 049, that, um, your response says,
00:18:56.166 --> 00:19:00.146
"Specific figures over 10% net gain cannot be
298
00:19:00.186 --> 00:19:03.846
committed to at this stage in the process, as the figures in the
299
00:19:03.886 --> 00:19:07.506
submitted BNG assessments are indicative." Which are fair
300
00:19:07.586 --> 00:19:11.126
comments. Um, this is perhaps understandable, um, in
301
00:19:11.146 --> 00:19:14.346
relation to hedgerow units and water course units
302
00:19:14.366 --> 00:19:18.006
assessed, um, at 80- sort of roughly 18 and
303
00:19:18.066 --> 00:19:21.756
16% respectively. Um, but with
```

```
304
00:19:21.886 --> 00:19:25.866
area-based habitat units being initially assessed at sort of
305
00:19:26.186 --> 00:19:30.106
70%—ish, um, could the applicant not
306
00:19:30.166 --> 00:19:33.926
commit to a higher figure than 10% for
307
00:19:33.936 --> 00:19:35.706
those area-based habitat
308
00:19:35.906 --> 00:19:41.446
units?
309
00:19:58.806 --> 00:20:02.386
(pauses) So whilst the applicant's, uh, sort
310
00:20:02.426 --> 00:20:06.356
of, um, thinking of an answer there, i.e., um, I'm- I was
311
00:20:06.386 --> 00:20:09.576
sort of asking that question a little bit on behalf of West
Northamptonshire
312
00:20:09.666 --> 00:20:13.256
Council, so please do, um, chip in on this one if, um...
313
00:20:13.256 --> 00:20:16.846
'Cause obviously I know it was a point you raised in your local
impact report.
314
00:20:29.566 --> 00:20:36.326
(pauses)
315
00:20:36.426 --> 00:20:37.866
Uh, Claire Project for the applicant.
316
00:20:37.946 --> 00:20:38.586
Um,
```

```
317
00:20:39.726 --> 00:20:43.306
we note the point and we're- in respect of habitat units, we will
take the point
318
00:20:43.326 --> 00:20:47.066
away. We are obviously aware that Secretary of State has
319
00:20:47.146 --> 00:20:50.986
chosen to impose higher (laughs) figures in other
320
00:20:51.066 --> 00:20:54.646
more recently granted, um, DCOs, um,
321
00:20:54.726 --> 00:20:58.546
and, um, as you say, it-
322
00:20:58.566 --> 00:21:02.266
because the amount isn't known in- to the extent that a higher
figure
323
00:21:02.386 --> 00:21:03.606
was, um,
324
00:21:04.466 --> 00:21:08.406
put forward, it would need to include some form of, um, buffer
325
00:21:08.546 --> 00:21:12.206
allowance in case the metric, um, changed and based on, um, on
326
00:21:12.246 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.166
detailed design. All I would say is that the- the actual measures
that are
327
00:21:16.206 --> 00:21:20.126
being proposed in terms of habitat, um, creation,
328
00:21:21.026 --> 00:21:24.826
uh, put forward as part of the LEMP are secured, um, so the actual
329
00:21:24.866 --> 00:21:28.046
```

```
delivery of those measures is being provided by this
330
00:21:28.066 --> 00:21:31.766
project. This is a sort of separate question as to whether those
00:21:31.846 --> 00:21:35.826
measures will be, um, capable
332
00:21:35.846 --> 00:21:39.696
of constituting BNG units, which is a slightly separate
333
00:21:40.126 --> 00:21:41.586
point to whether we're going to provide
334
00:21:42.446 --> 00:21:44.906
the habitat enhancement at all. So
335
00:21:45.746 --> 00:21:49.206
I would just make that distinction between commitments to provide
habitat
336
00:21:49.486 --> 00:21:53.406
enhancements over great- a really great area which is committed to
in the other
337
00:21:53.446 --> 00:21:57.395
management plans, versus h- whether specific credits or
338
00:21:57.526 --> 00:22:00.066
units are allocated to that enhancement.
339
00:22:00.946 --> 00:22:01.106
Thank
340
00:22:01.166 --> 00:22:05.426
you.
341
00:22:05.486 --> 00:22:08.946
Um, Mrs. Scafe, was there anything you wanted to come back on on
that before I move
```

342

```
00:22:09.026 --> 00:22:09.146
on?
343
00:22:10.606 --> 00:22:14.216
No, if- if we can carry on. I think there are discussions ongoing in
terms of
344
00:22:14.326 --> 00:22:17.596
statement of common ground, so we'll probably pick that matter up a
bit further as
345
00:22:17.626 --> 00:22:18.986
part of that, if that's okay.
346
00:22:19.966 --> 00:22:20.646
Sir.
347
00:22:20.946 --> 00:22:21.006
348
00:22:21.386 --> 00:22:24.586
Gary- Gary (coughs) Grant, North- Northants Council.
00:22:24.646 --> 00:22:28.436
Um, so just, it— it— whilst this is the first one that has
350
00:22:28.466 --> 00:22:31.786
arrived at, and I won't- I won't interrupt on any others, but just
as it were to
351
00:22:31.846 --> 00:22:35.706
flag that... And this is really for an explanation within this
352
00:22:35.746 --> 00:22:39.486
without prejudice session. This is the first example of
353
00:22:39.566 --> 00:22:43.465
where the different wording is used, confining only to the relevant
authority as
354
00:22:43.506 --> 00:22:47.306
opposed... So I'm going to give you a compar- a compare and contrast
```

```
here,
355
00:22:47.386 --> 00:22:51.326
sir. Um, requirement nine is, um,
00:22:51.666 --> 00:22:54.246
submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority.
357
00:22:54.286 --> 00:22:58.186
You'll see it 9.1. A- and then, if
358
00:22:58.196 --> 00:23:01.866
I would then turn on, uh, to the next
359
00:23:01.966 --> 00:23:05.616
one, which is- is- is usefully by way of comparison, um,
360
00:23:05.846 --> 00:23:09.606
10.2, we can see there that the different wording is used in
361
00:23:09.666 --> 00:23:13.626
relation to, um, relevant planning authority or
362
00:23:13.686 --> 00:23:17.566
where part falls within administrative areas of multiple relevant
00:23:17.746 --> 00:23:21.366
planning authorities. Um, a- at the point
364
00:23:21.726 --> 00:23:25.226
that I... And it may be that as in relation to each and every one of
those
365
00:23:25.326 --> 00:23:28.466
justification, but at the moment, I don't fully understand
366
00:23:29.206 --> 00:23:32.646
why it is that that is not used consistently throughout the
367
00:23:32.686 --> 00:23:36.006
requirements as opposed... So that there is a, for example, here
```

```
368
00:23:36.066 --> 00:23:39.066
under BNG, no separate
369
00:23:39.946 --> 00:23:42.846
provision which is equivalent to 10.2 under
370
00:23:42.866 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.646
fencing. Uh, and, uh, really the- the point
371
00:23:46.666 --> 00:23:50.606
arises again, uh, elsewhere, uh, for example, under
372
00:23:50.806 --> 00:23:54.706
surface and foul water drainage. So the question is, I- is there
373
00:23:54.746 --> 00:23:57.806
not an inconsistency which there appears to be on the face of it.
374
00:23:57.816 --> 00:24:01.066
There may be a good reason, but I don't understand that, uh, I
haven't had an
375
00:24:01.106 --> 00:24:05.046
explanation for that, and I'm seeking to illuminate that for you,
sir, to-
376
00:24:05.066 --> 00:24:08.436
to understand whether you are satisfied on that point. You may well
be.
377
00:24:10.226 --> 00:24:13.006
Thank you. Thank you for raising that.
378
00:24:13.026 --> 00:24:16.906
(smacks lips) Okay.
379
00:24:16.926 --> 00:24:20.756
I'm conscious of time, so I- I'm gonna, um,
380
00:24:21.206 --> 00:24:24.856
```

```
finish my questions where I started and back to Tilbridge Solar
(laughs)
381
00:24:24.986 --> 00:24:28.572
decision. Uh-Um, Secretary of State added Natural
382
00:24:28.722 --> 00:24:32.182
England as a consultee within a number of requirements
383
00:24:32.341 --> 00:24:36.002
there. Um, does the applicant consider that reference to
384
00:24:36.062 --> 00:24:39.762
consultation, um, with, I th- I think you've defined statutory
385
00:24:39.841 --> 00:24:43.822
nature conservation body, meaning Natural England, um, might
386
00:24:43.882 --> 00:24:47.762
possibly need to be added to requirements 13, the, the
00:24:47.822 --> 00:24:51.522
KEMP, 14, the OMP, uh, 19,
388
00:24:51.601 --> 00:24:55.482
so- soil management, and/or 21,
389
00:24:55.502 --> 00:24:58.882
uh, decommissioning and restoration in the draft
390
00:24:58.902 --> 00:25:02.662
DCO?
391
00:25:08.282 --> 00:25:09.882
Uh, Claire Budgell for the applicant.
392
00:25:09.942 --> 00:25:13.382
In terms of the use of the term statutory nature conservation body,
that's what
393
00:25:13.402 --> 00:25:17.012
```

```
Natural England asked us to put in, just for clarity.
394
00:25:17.062 --> 00:25:20.802
I, my understanding is we've added them in, in respect of the
requirements
395
00:25:20.862 --> 00:25:24.662
they asked us to add them in to, um, but we
396
00:25:24.702 --> 00:25:26.952
will go back and double-check that.
397
00:25:27.042 --> 00:25:30.581
Um, I think it was mentioned yesterday, there is a statement of
common ground
398
00:25:30.682 --> 00:25:33.562
in, in discussion about that, so we will, um, seek to
399
00:25:33.601 --> 00:25:37.062
clarify, uh, the point. But we, we did add them in where they had
400
00:25:37.081 --> 00:25:39.922
specifically requested, um, to be added.
401
00:25:40.022 --> 00:25:41.462
Um, and then just coming back to Mr.
402
00:25:41.482 --> 00:25:45.202
Grant's point, we will have a look through the requirements and,
403
00:25:45.302 --> 00:25:48.822
um, either correct the wording or if it is
404
00:25:48.882 --> 00:25:51.642
intentional, then we will provide a justification for that.
405
00:25:51.662 --> 00:25:53.482
Thank you.
406
00:25:53.562 --> 00:25:55.622
```

```
Thank you.
407
00:25:56.802 --> 00:26:00.642
Right. I think that then brings us on to agenda item
408
00:26:00.922 --> 00:26:04.702
3.2. So, um, prot- protective provisions, uh,
409
00:26:04.782 --> 00:26:05.702
schedule 15
410
00:26:06.682 --> 00:26:10.341
of the draft DCO. Um, so
411
00:26:10.462 --> 00:26:14.242
if, uh, if I could start by, um, asking the,
412
00:26:14.341 --> 00:26:17.442
uh, applicant to provide an update on
413
00:26:17.642 --> 00:26:20.081
negotiations concerning protective provisions,
414
00:26:20.122 --> 00:26:25.122
please.
415
00:26:27.562 --> 00:26:31.081
Alison Devlin for the underta- uh, for the
416
00:26:31.142 --> 00:26:34.542
applicant. Uh, yes. So first, in
417
00:26:34.581 --> 00:26:37.902
respect of the Environment Agency, um, the Environment
418
00:26:37.982 --> 00:26:41.362
Agency requested some further details about the proposed
419
00:26:41.402 --> 00:26:44.802
locations and the nature of a potential flood risk
```

```
420
00:26:44.862 --> 00:26:48.762
activity. This is needed for the Environment Agency to
421
00:26:48.822 --> 00:26:52.642
agree to the disapplication of the requirement for a flood risk
activity
422
00:26:52.682 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.062
permit in article 6, paragraph 1,
423
00:26:56.142 --> 00:27:00.062
sub-paragraph E of the draft DCO. Uh, the information
424
00:27:00.081 --> 00:27:03.871
has been shared with the Environment Agency by email on the 9th of
425
00:27:03.942 --> 00:27:07.822
December and is currently being reviewed by its technical team.
426
00:27:07.902 --> 00:27:11.662
Uh, the protective provisions that are included in the draft DCO
include a number
427
00:27:11.742 --> 00:27:15.251
of amendments to the Environment Agency's standard form of
428
00:27:15.262 --> 00:27:19.081
PPs, which are primarily to remove references to
429
00:27:19.101 --> 00:27:22.642
tidal defenses, um, that are not relevant to the scheme.
430
00:27:23.742 --> 00:27:27.642
Our understanding is that th- these, the protective provisions that
provide the
431
00:27:27.742 --> 00:27:31.322
EA with assurances that are needed in order to be
432
00:27:31.362 --> 00:27:35.162
confident that the requirement for a flood risk activity permit may
```

```
be
433
00:27:35.202 --> 00:27:38.822
disapplied by the DCO, and we are therefore expecting to
434
00:27:38.842 --> 00:27:42.782
discuss and, uh, agree the detail of the amendments, uh, once
435
00:27:42.902 --> 00:27:46.601
the flood risk activity information has been reviewed, um, and
436
00:27:46.842 --> 00:27:50.542
we are confident that we will reach agreement on the form of the
437
00:27:50.601 --> 00:27:52.452
protective provisions before the end of
438
00:27:52.522 --> 00:27:55.682
examination. Uh, in
439
00:27:56.262 --> 00:27:59.402
respect of National Highways, as was confirmed in
440
00:27:59.482 --> 00:28:03.442
ISH2, uh, the technical matters have been agreed
441
00:28:03.482 --> 00:28:05.722
between the applicant and National Highways.
442
00:28:05.782 --> 00:28:09.502
We remain in discussions with National Highways in relation to the
form of the
443
00:28:09.581 --> 00:28:13.402
protective provisions. We have received a mark-up of the
444
00:28:13.442 --> 00:28:17.282
latest draft from National Highways on the 2nd of December,
445
00:28:17.302 --> 00:28:21.282
and a meeting has been arranged with National Highways' solicitors
```

```
446
00:28:21.482 --> 00:28:25.422
for the 16th of December in order to discuss the remaining areas
447
00:28:25.482 --> 00:28:28.962
of disagreement. And a- again, we are confident
448
00:28:28.982 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.601
that agreement as to the form of protective provisions will be
reached before the
449
00:28:32.621 --> 00:28:36.302
end of examination. In relation to
450
00:28:36.542 --> 00:28:40.362
National Grid electricity transmission, uh, the
451
00:28:40.402 --> 00:28:44.212
approach to be taken in relation to an agreement and protective
452
00:28:44.222 --> 00:28:47.501
provisions for existing N-JET infrastructure has been
453
00:28:47.542 --> 00:28:51.101
agreed. Uh, N- National Grid are proposing two
454
00:28:51.182 --> 00:28:54.382
projects that include future works at or near the Grendon
455
00:28:54.442 --> 00:28:58.391
Substation. These are the Western Marsh to East Lincolnshire project
and
456
00:28:58.482 --> 00:29:02.002
the Sundon to Grendon reconductoring project.
457
00:29:02.042 --> 00:29:05.742
The applicant is seeking further technical information from National
Grid in order
458
00:29:05.842 --> 00:29:09.402
```

```
to understand how the projects will interact with the scheme, which
will help
459
00:29:09.442 --> 00:29:13.302
inform discussions on how the protective provisions will be drafted
to provide the
460
00:29:13.342 --> 00:29:16.982
necessary protection for National Grid's future projects, and also
461
00:29:17.022 --> 00:29:20.842
certainty for the scheme. Once again, we are confident that an
462
00:29:20.882 --> 00:29:23.172
agreement will be reached before the end of examination.
463
00:29:24.862 --> 00:29:28.782
In relation to National Grid electricity distribution,
464
00:29:28.842 --> 00:29:32.462
we have received data from NGED as to the
465
00:29:32.502 --> 00:29:36.182
location of its assets. This has informed a number of amendments to
the book of
466
00:29:36.242 --> 00:29:38.951
reference that will be submitted at deadline three.
467
00:29:39.062 --> 00:29:42.871
A mark-up of protective provisions and a draft agreement has also
been received,
468
00:29:42.902 --> 00:29:46.702
which is currently being reviewed and comments will be provided to
469
00:29:46.802 --> 00:29:50.442
ENGER- EN- NGED shortly. Um, again,
470
00:29:51.182 --> 00:29:54.922
we are not aware of any reason why an agreement would not be reached
by the end of
```

```
471
00:29:54.962 --> 00:29:57.121
examination. In relation-
472
00:29:57.202 --> 00:30:00.942
Sorry to jump in, but just, um, so that I don't lose the, the
473
00:30:01.121 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.012
point while it's in my head. Um, in relation to that change, to, uh,
the changes
474
00:30:05.081 --> 00:30:09.052
to the book of reference and the, um, ENGED, NGED, uh,
475
00:30:09.162 --> 00:30:12.502
assets, um, w- are they, are they sort of
476
00:30:12.702 --> 00:30:16.482
new assets or is it things that were y- you'd
477
00:30:16.522 --> 00:30:20.002
originally attributed to ENGET? Um...
478
00:30:20.101 --> 00:30:23.882
My understanding is that they are all, uh, assets that had
479
00:30:23.922 --> 00:30:27.542
been identified incorrectly as ris- as b- belonging
480
00:30:27.642 --> 00:30:31.121
to National Grid Transmission that have been
481
00:30:31.162 --> 00:30:33.302
reallocated to distribution.
482
00:30:34.330 --> 00:30:36.630
Thank you. Sorry for interrupting.
483
00:30:36.710 --> 00:30:40.250
Uh, in relation to Anglian Water, we've received, uh,
```

```
484
00:30:40.290 --> 00:30:44.170
confirmation of their agreement to the form of protective provisions
that
485
00:30:44.210 --> 00:30:47.890
were included in revision A of the draft DCO,
486
00:30:47.950 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.810
that's rep 1-008. Um, this
487
00:30:51.830 --> 00:30:54.830
should be confirmed in the next iteration of the statement of common
ground with
488
00:30:54.890 --> 00:30:58.430
Anglian Water. Um, and finally, we have protective provisions
489
00:30:58.490 --> 00:31:02.470
for Cadent Gas. The applicant has not had any contact from
490
00:31:02.510 --> 00:31:06.150
Cadent Gas, but will continue to seek confirmation from Cadent that
it is
491
00:31:06.170 --> 00:31:09.780
content with the form of the protective provisions that are
included.
492
00:31:09.810 --> 00:31:13.470
Thank you.
493
00:31:17.890 --> 00:31:21.550
Right, thank you for that update.
494
00:31:22.230 --> 00:31:25.630
Um, if, if I could turn to, uh, National
495
00:31:25.730 --> 00:31:29.530
Highways, um... I, I hope you heard that summery from,
496
00:31:29.650 --> 00:31:33.510
```

```
summary from the applicant, um, on, um, the
497
00:31:33.570 --> 00:31:37.530
position with, with yourselves. Um, is there anything else that you
would like
498
00:31:37.590 --> 00:31:40.350
to raise at this time?
499
00:31:41.530 --> 00:31:44.390
Vicky Fowler on behalf of National Highways. Um, thank you, sir.
500
00:31:44.410 --> 00:31:47.170
Um, and that, that's a, a fair summary.
501
00:31:47.230 --> 00:31:51.200
I suppose just in the context of this hearing and being on the DCO,
Ι
502
00:31:51.230 --> 00:31:55.050
did say that there was some of the articles, um, which
503
00:31:55.150 --> 00:31:59.130
National Highways do have concern with, um, that do need to
504
00:31:59.170 --> 00:32:00.890
flow through into the protective provisions.
00:32:00.910 --> 00:32:04.690
I'm not aware at this point in time there's necessarily an issue,
and
506
00:32:04.730 --> 00:32:08.250
obviously we'll discuss those, but perhaps for your
507
00:32:08.350 --> 00:32:12.210
note, um, sir, I would just note, so within the
508
00:32:12.250 --> 00:32:15.790
protective provisions, we have, uh, part six,
509
```

```
00:32:15.830 --> 00:32:18.110
paragraph 58 (3),
510
00:32:18.930 --> 00:32:22.630
um, effectively various articles whereby the
511
00:32:22.670 --> 00:32:26.410
applicant is not to, um, exercise powers under the
512
00:32:26.470 --> 00:32:30.190
articles in relation to the strategic road network
513
00:32:30.810 --> 00:32:34.330
or National Highways land without National Highways' consent.
514
00:32:35.070 --> 00:32:37.910
Now, at the moment, there's a carve-out for safe, um,
515
00:32:38.790 --> 00:32:41.790
in the case of emergency access, which, which isn't agreed.
516
00:32:41.830 --> 00:32:44.870
Again, just giving the nature of the strategic road network,
517
00:32:45.730 --> 00:32:48.770
um, and obviously the con- severe safety concerns with,
518
00:32:48.850 --> 00:32:52.519
um, people going onto that, that network without, um,
519
00:32:53.210 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.210
National Highways' approval and assistance.
520
00:32:56.250 --> 00:33:00.120
Um, but there's, there's just three other, um, articles which
haven't
521
00:33:00.150 --> 00:33:04.010
found their way into, um, that particular
522
00:33:04.110 --> 00:33:07.690
```

```
paragraph. And that's just article 14, access to
523
00:33:07.730 --> 00:33:11.560
works, 17, discharge of water, and
524
00:33:11.830 --> 00:33:13.930
20, authority to survey and investigate land.
525
00:33:13.950 --> 00:33:17.120
But, but we'll carry on discussing those with the applicant and
hopefully get those
526
00:33:17.150 --> 00:33:20.900
resolved. Um, we did raise comments about
527
00:33:20.910 --> 00:33:24.480
deemed consent, and that has now been addressed in the protective
528
00:33:24.550 --> 00:33:27.250
provisions for which we're grateful.
529
00:33:27.260 --> 00:33:31.120
And then otherwise, the, the sort of differences, um, are sort
of matters
530
00:33:31.170 --> 00:33:34.900
of detail, but includes settlement of, uh, National Highways costs
and
531
00:33:34.950 --> 00:33:38.810
indemnities. But as I say, we'll continue those discussions and
we'll update
532
00:33:38.889 --> 00:33:42.870
you on areas of disagreement at, um, future deadlines if, if we
can't
533
00:33:42.889 --> 00:33:46.150
reach agreement. Thank you, sir.
534
00:33:46.210 --> 00:33:49.970
Thank you, Mrs. Fowler. And whilst I've, um, just quickly got you on
```

```
the
535
00:33:49.990 --> 00:33:53.730
screen still, um, is, is, uh... I'm
00:33:53.790 --> 00:33:57.050
guessing because you haven't mentioned it, um, are things
537
00:33:57.070 --> 00:34:00.920
progressing, um, satisfactorily in relation to
538
00:34:00.970 --> 00:34:04.889
the horizontal directional, uh, drilling works below the
539
00:34:05.150 --> 00:34:09.090
proposed, below the A45? They, uh,
540
00:34:09.130 --> 00:34:12.909
it, the... It sounds rather reminiscent of a, uh, a previous
development
541
00:34:13.010 --> 00:34:16.409
consent order that, uh, we, uh, we, we were on last
542
00:34:16.489 --> 00:34:17.110
vear.
543
00:34:17.120 --> 00:34:20.790
(laughs) Vicki Fowler on behalf of National Highways. Um, yeah, yes.
544
00:34:20.810 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.489
So I mean, I mean, there's matters of detail.
545
00:34:22.500 --> 00:34:26.370
So the way the protective provisions have been, um, drafted, you'll
546
00:34:26.429 --> 00:34:30.239
see as they now split out those, um, works
547
00:34:30.350 --> 00:34:34.290
that are, that are roadworks, and effectively we're expecting that
```

```
largely to be
548
00:34:34.550 --> 00:34:38.489
traffic management, and then the directional drilling.
549
00:34:38.510 --> 00:34:42.070
And I think some of the discussions is around the rel- what's
550
00:34:42.110 --> 00:34:45.750
relevant to each. So, um, so there's provisions that you
551
00:34:45.830 --> 00:34:49.730
might think, "Oh, well, they're not relevant because w- we're
552
00:34:49.810 --> 00:34:53.208
dealing with drilling beneath the SRN." And actually they are, they
553
00:34:53.250 --> 00:34:56.810
relevant. But as I say, those, those points of detail we're discus-
554
00:34:56.850 --> 00:35:00.710
discussing, and, um, if there are main points of, of difference,
555
00:35:00.719 --> 00:35:04.000
then we'll, we'll report them to you so you can consider those,
556
00:35:04.010 --> 00:35:05.490
sir.
557
00:35:07.230 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.210
Right. Thank, thank you very much.
558
00:35:10.510 --> 00:35:14.450
Um, was there anything the applicant wanted to come back on, uh, any
of Mrs.
559
00:35:14.470 --> 00:35:15.290
Fowler's comments
560
00:35:15.410 --> 00:35:20.990
```

```
there?
561
00:35:27.190 --> 00:35:28.350
Uh, Claire Project, the applicant.
00:35:28.430 --> 00:35:31.970
Um, no, we will, um, hopefully obviously be able to provide a very
short
563
00:35:32.090 --> 00:35:35.790
update for deadline, uh, three. So to the extent we
564
00:35:35.850 --> 00:35:39.790
can, uh, there are provisions that are agreed to in the, in the
meeting that's to
565
00:35:39.810 --> 00:35:43.450
be held, um, next week. Then we will try, if we can, to incorporate
those in
566
00:35:43.510 --> 00:35:47.230
the draft DCO being submitted for, for deadline three.
567
00:35:47.270 --> 00:35:51.250
If not, then they'll be in the, the next version after that. Thank
you.
568
00:35:53.650 --> 00:35:56.550
Okay. Thank you. Thank you very much.
569
00:35:57.670 --> 00:36:01.430
Um, I th- I think I, I actually only had a couple of very quick
570
00:36:01.490 --> 00:36:04.950
questions and then I'll, I'll just check whether there is anybody
else who wants
571
00:36:04.990 --> 00:36:07.990
to, um, raise anything related to protective
572
00:36:08.029 --> 00:36:11.950
provisions. Um, and apologies if
```

```
573
00:36:11.970 --> 00:36:15.010
I've missed it somewhere in the application documentation.
574
00:36:15.090 --> 00:36:18.770
Um, but I just wonder whether you could explain, um, why, uh,
specific
575
00:36:18.850 --> 00:36:22.570
protective provisions, um, and I suppose particularly given that y-
you said the
576
00:36:22.610 --> 00:36:26.130
lack of contact with them, um, have been deemed to be
577
00:36:26.210 --> 00:36:29.210
needed under part eight for, um, Cadent
578
00:36:29.670 --> 00:36:33.590
Gas, uh, Limited. Um, and, you know, why, why
579
00:36:33.810 --> 00:36:37.670
are they not satisfactorily covered by, um, part one for
580
00:36:37.730 --> 00:36:40.650
utility undertakers? Thank you.
581
00:36:41.913 --> 00:36:43.614
Uh, Claire Budgwick for the applicant.
582
00:36:43.674 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.513
Um, experience on other DCOs is that
583
00:36:47.574 --> 00:36:50.953
Cadent typically request bespoke protective provisions that
584
00:36:50.993 --> 00:36:54.694
include measures similar to those that we've put in in Part Eight.
585
00:36:54.754 --> 00:36:55.074
So,
```

```
586
00:36:56.294 --> 00:36:59.694
the applicant has, sort of, proactively put in what we
587
00:37:00.214 --> 00:37:04.174
e-expect Cadent to ask for, um, and has historically done
588
00:37:04.314 --> 00:37:08.294
in other, i-in other, uh, DCOs that both we've
589
00:37:08.354 --> 00:37:11.433
acted on and that we're aware of on other schemes, so that's the
reason why.
590
00:37:11.504 --> 00:37:11.803
(sniffs)
591
00:37:13.194 --> 00:37:16.394
Thank you. And, and just by way of a, a very quick follow-up.
592
00:37:16.453 --> 00:37:20.334
Um, I think I noted that, uh, Cadent is the only body that
593
00:37:20.574 --> 00:37:23.533
is not specifically referenced in section
00:37:23.694 --> 00:37:26.513
5.15 of the explanatory
595
00:37:26.574 --> 00:37:30.374
memorandum, um, and, d- you know, does that indicate that
596
00:37:30.714 --> 00:37:34.314
Part Eight and Cadent Gas was, uh, uh, effectively a bit of a later
597
00:37:34.334 --> 00:37:37.594
addition into Schedule 15?
598
00:37:39.154 --> 00:37:41.864
Uh, Claire Budgwick for the applicant. Quite possibly, yes.
```

```
599
00:37:41.864 --> 00:37:45.274
(laughs) Um, if we are doing another iteration of
600
00:37:45.354 --> 00:37:49.053
the explanatory memorandum, which we said we were going to do, um,
601
00:37:49.114 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.794
explain, um, the drafting in relation to the time limit for
602
00:37:52.834 --> 00:37:55.874
compulsory acquisition, we'll make a note, um, to add that in.
603
00:37:55.913 --> 00:37:56.993
I believe they're listed in the
604
00:37:57.814 --> 00:38:00.854
statement of reasons, so they, they were flagged, but yeah, I might,
I think it's
605
00:38:00.894 --> 00:38:03.354
just an omission from the explanatory memorandum.
606
00:38:03.374 --> 00:38:06.524
Thank you.
607
00:38:08.933 --> 00:38:12.774
Okay. Um, f- uh, th- that was all I wanted to, um,
608
00:38:12.834 --> 00:38:15.134
get out of the session on protective provisions.
609
00:38:15.174 --> 00:38:18.594
Obviously, um, I noted from earlier in this, this
610
00:38:18.714 --> 00:38:22.433
hearing that, um, that there was a potential question
611
00:38:22.493 --> 00:38:26.433
mark, or, or a, a bit of further thought that might go into
```

```
612
00:38:26.634 --> 00:38:30.413
whether protective provisions might be appropriate,
613
00:38:30.513 --> 00:38:33.754
uh, in relation to, uh, West Northamptonshire
614
00:38:33.814 --> 00:38:37.243
Council's point regarding the, um, uh, sort of
615
00:38:37.533 --> 00:38:41.124
ordinary water course consent issue.
616
00:38:41.124 --> 00:38:44.834
Um, but I think that was the only other, sort of, crossover point
that
617
00:38:44.933 --> 00:38:48.594
I'd, I'd picked up so far, um, during the course of the hearing.
618
00:38:48.654 --> 00:38:52.433
Um, but I, I will just, um, throw it open whether there's anybody in
the
619
00:38:52.493 --> 00:38:56.094
room or online who wanted to raise anything else that was
620
00:38:56.294 --> 00:38:57.803
specific to Schedule
00:38:57.913 --> 00:39:00.473
15.
622
00:39:04.614 --> 00:39:07.564
I see no hands up, so, um, that, that's
623
00:39:07.734 --> 00:39:09.334
fine.
624
00:39:11.013 --> 00:39:14.973
Um, so I think, um, we could just move on,
```

```
625
00:39:14.993 --> 00:39:18.814
uh, quickly as, as we, um, actually have sufficient time at the end
of
626
00:39:18.874 --> 00:39:22.814
this ISH. Um, just to, um, uh,
627
00:39:22.834 --> 00:39:26.794
review with the applicant, um, the, um, if,
628
00:39:26.854 --> 00:39:30.654
if you would perhaps, um, read out the list of action
629
00:39:30.734 --> 00:39:34.674
points that you've been collecting, uh, during the course of the
630
00:39:34.714 --> 00:39:38.643
hearing, and, and we'll just double-check it against the, the list
that
631
00:39:38.654 --> 00:39:39.953
my colleagues have been scribbling
632
00:39:39.993 --> 00:39:43.094
down.
633
00:39:45.894 --> 00:39:46.874
Uh, Claire Budgwick for the applicant.
634
00:39:46.894 --> 00:39:50.044
We're just double-checking that the lists align as...
635
00:39:50.114 --> 00:39:53.774
Mm. Thank you.
636
00:40:00.913 --> 00:40:03.774
Uh, Alison Dablin for the applicant.
637
00:40:03.854 --> 00:40:06.183
Uh, there are a number of, um,
```

```
638
00:40:07.214 --> 00:40:10.134
cross-referencing errors that have been identified in the hearing.
639
00:40:10.194 --> 00:40:14.174
We've made a note but don't propose to go through the detail of
them, uh, at this
640
00:40:14.234 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.834
point. Um, so the first action is
00:40:18.094 --> 00:40:21.834
that the applicant will amend the period for the discharge of
requirements
642
00:40:22.073 --> 00:40:25.774
to eight weeks. There is an action for the
643
00:40:25.874 --> 00:40:29.453
applicant to consider whether anything can be done to
644
00:40:29.513 --> 00:40:33.394
provide more certainty for the local authorities as
645
00:40:33.453 --> 00:40:36.754
to when applications for the discharge of requirements are likely to
be
646
00:40:36.814 --> 00:40:40.334
made. Um, and also to
647
00:40:40.374 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.214
consider whether it's clear that, if an application
648
00:40:44.254 --> 00:40:48.214
is made for the discharge of multiple requirements, that this is
considered
649
00:40:48.294 --> 00:40:51.993
as separate applications, albeit they are under one covering
650
00:40:52.054 --> 00:40:55.933
```

```
letter. Um, the applicant is to hold further
651
00:40:55.944 --> 00:40:59.054
discussions with, um, West Northamptonshire
00:40:59.194 --> 00:41:03.094
Council's, um, lead local, uh, as lead local flood
653
00:41:03.134 --> 00:41:06.674
authority, to go through the approach to ordinary water course
654
00:41:06.734 --> 00:41:10.654
consent, and then consider potentially having protective provisions
if
655
00:41:10.714 --> 00:41:14.404
that is required. And I, I think that this would also be extended to
656
00:41:14.674 --> 00:41:18.243
Milton Keynes and North Northamptonshire Councils as well.
657
00:41:18.374 --> 00:41:22.134
Um, in relation
658
00:41:22.214 --> 00:41:26.013
to requirement 16, which is the operational traffic
659
00:41:26.073 --> 00:41:29.774
management plan, um, there's an action to add in consultation with
the
660
00:41:29.794 --> 00:41:33.614
relevant highway authority. The applicant is also to
661
00:41:33.654 --> 00:41:37.513
consider if there is a, uh, a, a mechanism that can be
662
00:41:37.654 --> 00:41:40.814
used to provide a clearer reference back to
663
00:41:40.874 --> 00:41:44.594
```

```
the... Uh, in Schedule 12 relating to hedgerows,
664
00:41:44.654 --> 00:41:47.814
um, a reference back to the control within the
00:41:47.874 --> 00:41:50.874
article, to sort of provide a clarification signposting
666
00:41:50.913 --> 00:41:54.654
there. Um, we have an
667
00:41:54.694 --> 00:41:58.433
action to review the explanatory memorandum, to ensure that
668
00:41:58.493 --> 00:42:02.413
the explanation is clear as to the drafting around the time
00:42:02.473 --> 00:42:03.484
limits, um,
670
00:42:04.294 --> 00:42:06.554
for the exercise of compulsory acquisition
671
00:42:06.634 --> 00:42:09.904
powers. The, um,
672
00:42:10.834 --> 00:42:14.234
definition of authorized development from the Till Bridge
673
00:42:14.374 --> 00:42:17.993
decision is, uh, also something we need to consider.
674
00:42:18.973 --> 00:42:22.314
Um, in relation to article 16, paragraph
675
00:42:22.433 --> 00:42:25.594
four, we are to confirm whether this is a
676
00:42:25.973 --> 00:42:29.774
standard approach reflecting, uh, wider highways law in relation to
```

```
677
00:42:29.814 --> 00:42:33.573
consultation with the police. Uh, we are also to
678
00:42:33.594 --> 00:42:37.573
clarify the waste strategy approach within the,
00:42:37.594 --> 00:42:41.013
uh, LEMP. And
680
00:42:42.054 --> 00:42:45.834
then there's the action to consider if the community
681
00:42:45.913 --> 00:42:49.674
liaison group should be established at an earlier date than the
682
00:42:49.714 --> 00:42:53.533
commencement of the, uh, of the authorised development, and if
683
00:42:53.594 --> 00:42:57.500
so, what that would be. And-...
684
00:42:57.500 --> 00:43:01.290
the applicant is also to signpost where the elements of, uh,
685
00:43:01.350 --> 00:43:05.058
work number six are secured within the various, uh, management plans
and
686
00:43:05.090 --> 00:43:08.810
requirements. Um, there is an
687
00:43:08.870 --> 00:43:12.420
action to confirm the reasons why the Health and Safety
688
00:43:12.470 --> 00:43:16.330
Executive is not specified as a consultee in relation to the,
689
00:43:16.400 --> 00:43:19.240
the approval of the detailed battery safety management
690
```

```
00:43:19.310 --> 00:43:23.130
plan. Uh, the applicant is to consider whether a
691
00:43:23.170 --> 00:43:26.870
commitment f- of greater than 10% in
692
00:43:26.930 --> 00:43:30.029
habitat units should be included in the BNG requirement.
693
00:43:31.549 --> 00:43:35.419
And an applic- um, and an action for the applicant to confirm with
Natural
694
00:43:35.430 --> 00:43:39.299
England that they are happy that they are,
695
00:43:39.390 --> 00:43:42.890
uh, detailed as a consultee in relation to the requirements as
696
00:43:42.910 --> 00:43:46.440
appropriate, uh, and finally to c- um,
697
00:43:46.850 --> 00:43:50.290
in up- uh, in updating the explanatory memorandum to ensure
698
00:43:50.350 --> 00:43:53.620
that the cadent protective provisions are
00:43:53.650 --> 00:43:54.690
referenced.
00:43:56.230 --> 00:44:03.650
Thank
701
00:44:03.730 --> 00:44:04.110
you.
702
00:44:33.830 --> 00:44:37.549
I'm sorry, we were just, uh, checking (laughs) against, against our
list there.
```

```
00:44:37.610 --> 00:44:41.509
Um, I think, I think the only one that we don't necessarily think
got
704
00:44:41.569 --> 00:44:44.950
picked up there was, um, perhaps the, the point that Mr.
00:44:44.990 --> 00:44:48.950
Grant had been, uh, making in relation
706
00:44:49.009 --> 00:44:52.880
to sort of the, the consistency of, um, uh,
707
00:44:52.880 --> 00:44:56.590
how relevant authority or planning authorities have
708
00:44:56.650 --> 00:44:59.029
been, uh, referred to across
709
00:44:59.150 --> 00:45:02.430
requirements. Apologies if, if you did say that.
710
00:45:02.470 --> 00:45:04.330
It was quite a long list, but, uh...
711
00:45:04.390 --> 00:45:06.950
Uh, Alison Dablin for the, uh, applicant.
712
00:45:07.049 --> 00:45:10.850
I think I included that as correcting referencing
713
00:45:10.910 --> 00:45:13.730
errors to be completely honest with you, but I have noted that down
714
00:45:13.790 --> 00:45:17.049
separately.
715
00:45:18.009 --> 00:45:21.670
... applicant. Just to note that obviously we will be
716
00:45:21.690 --> 00:45:25.630
```

```
submitting an updated version of the DCO for, for deadline three, so
we
717
00:45:25.670 --> 00:45:29.430
will try and incorporate the answers to s- the majority of those
718
00:45:29.790 --> 00:45:33.730
there. But where they perhaps, um, require us
719
00:45:33.770 --> 00:45:36.580
to check the position with another party, I'm, I'm quite conscious
that there isn't
720
00:45:36.630 --> 00:45:39.890
a lot of time (laughs) between now and the deadline, so there may be
some that have
721
00:45:39.910 --> 00:45:42.659
to roll over into deadline four, so...
722
00:45:42.690 --> 00:45:46.670
Yeah. No, I, I, I appreciate that. I think deadline three is, uh,
Wednesday
723
00:45:46.690 --> 00:45:50.670
next week, isn't it? So, um, yeah, uh, I think we'll be happy with
724
00:45:50.690 --> 00:45:54.670
deadline four for anything that just doesn't happen to be picked up
by
725
00:45:54.710 --> 00:45:58.310
an updated D, D, DCO or updated explanatory
726
00:45:58.370 --> 00:46:02.279
memorandum. Um, was there any f- any other
727
00:46:02.509 --> 00:46:06.450
points that people think, or action points that people think have
been missed
```

```
00:46:06.490 --> 00:46:10.439
from that list? Oh, uh, Mr. Anthony, your hand was
729
00:46:10.509 --> 00:46:12.310
Yes, Philip Anthony, Stoke Green Hill.
730
00:46:12.370 --> 00:46:15.590
I just didn't hear a reference to, uh, the
731
00:46:15.790 --> 00:46:18.840
consultation with the police only, but I...
732
00:46:18.870 --> 00:46:21.170
You may have said it and I missed it but...
733
00:46:21.210 --> 00:46:23.630
Just thought I'd mention.
734
00:46:23.670 --> 00:46:26.630
I, I think that was captured. I think it was just the fact it was
735
00:46:26.730 --> 00:46:30.610
mentioned. Uh... I think, yeah, I, I
736
00:46:30.770 --> 00:46:34.310
think you mentioned Article 16 (4) and whether it's a sort of
standard
737
00:46:34.350 --> 00:46:38.190
approach, uh, and that... So that does capture that point but thank
738
00:46:38.230 --> 00:46:38.990
you for checking
739
00:46:39.029 --> 00:46:43.670
that.
740
00:46:55.650 --> 00:46:59.630
So I, I think in that case, um, that's c- certainly covered
741
00:46:59.650 --> 00:47:03.370
```

```
everything that the examining authority wanted to get out of this
742
00:47:03.470 --> 00:47:07.310
morning's, uh, session. So thank you
743
00:47:07.470 --> 00:47:10.730
everybody, um, both in the room and online for your
744
00:47:10.850 --> 00:47:14.788
constructive participation, uh, throughout this morning.
745
00:47:14.890 --> 00:47:18.259
Uh, and just a, a reminder for anyone that, uh,
746
00:47:18.310 --> 00:47:21.290
compulsory acquisition hearing one
747
00:47:21.430 --> 00:47:25.160
follows, uh, on this afternoon, starting at 2:00
748
00:47:25.190 --> 00:47:28.450
PM and with a registration from
749
00:47:28.710 --> 00:47:30.230
1:30 PM.
750
00:47:31.490 --> 00:47:35.109
Uh, so the time is now, uh,
751
00:47:35.130 --> 00:47:39.109
12:48 and this hearing is closed. Thank
752
00:47:39.190 --> 00:47:47.330
you.
```