

Submission ID: [REDACTED]

Ultimately I do not wish for this project to go ahead. I have confidence that the Inspectorate Team are looking at all areas within scope although I believe that the scope of the NSIP may not cover some important factors. I do not have confidence that the SoS will adhere to the Inspectorates recommendation which is very concerning.

The only way I would consider the project is if we could reduce the vast areas of solar arrays and reduce the fields which border PROW and rural roads. This would give people some natural breathing space on PROW and reduce the sunlight glare on rural roads and allow the natural habitat to thrive. My recommendations would be as follows:-

Site A

Remove or reduce the solar arrays next to the road

AF 14, 15, 16, 17 and AF 29 - I appreciate that AF 29 has now been removed but we need to go much further.

Site A2

Remove AF1 and AF2

Site C

Remove CF1 and CF6 as they are too close to buildings

CF5 Push the panels back away from the road

Site D

Remove DF1,2 and 3 as they are all too close to farms and business

Site E

Remove EF33 - Too close to the road

EF 22 - Push back panels to start in line with field EF23

EF 10,11,12 and 5 - Push back away from the road so less obtrusive

EF 13,14,15 and 16 - Push panel back away from the road to make a clearer corridor

Site F

FF10 Push panels back from the PROW

FF11 reduce the panels from the NE and SE side of the field around the PROW

FF18 and FF19 - Push the panels back further to make a wider PROW

Site G

GF1 Reduce panels in the South side of the field

GF3 Reduce panels in the north of the field on the farm boundary

DF4 and reduce panels on the north side and east side of the field along the PROW

GF5 and GF7 reduce panels along the east side of the field

GF6 Reduce panels along the west south and east of fields adjacent to the PROW

GF9 reduce panels on the east and north sides running along the PROW

GF13 Reduce panels on the east side advance to the buildings by note 5 on plan.

This would be far more amenable to local communities who will be seriously impacted if this goes ahead.

Please do not approve this project as it is.