



Planning Inspectorate
Arolygiaeth Gynllunio

Hearing Transcript

Project:	East Pye Solar
Hearing:	Recording of Issue Specific Hearing 1 (ISH1) – Part 4
Date:	17 September 2026

Please note: This document is intended to assist Interested Parties.

It is not a verbatim text of what was said at the above hearing. The content was produced using artificial intelligence voice to text software. It may, therefore, include errors and should be assumed to be unedited.

The video recording published on the Planning Inspectorate project page is the primary record of the hearing.

Simon Says

Transcript Export

<https://www.simonsaysai.com>

My New Project

Created on: 2026-09-17 12:03:26

Project Length: 01:11:54

Account Holder: [REDACTED]

File Name: EPS_17SEP_ISH1_PT4-PINS MP3.mp3

File Length: 01:11:54

FULL TRANSCRIPT (with timecode)

00:00:38:06 - 00:01:17:18

And components of the price proposed firm. And So firstly, I wanted to touch on the thirty three kilovolt switch rooms conversion units, including the inverters, which is work number one. And in paragraph 4.3.18 and nineteen of Chapter four of the scheme, it explains the electrical components. And they'll be housed together within a container or stand alone cabinets. And then at four point three point two two, it goes on to discuss the thirty three kilovolt switch rooms and states those will be located throughout the order limits.

00:01:18:14 - 00:01:41:08

So in terms of these structures, they can be quite significant structures with the maximum design parameters. I think, set out as eighteen meters by five meters by three point five. What I'm really looking for is if you can find an indication of the number of these components that are likely to be required throughout the order limits and their positioning throughout the scheme.

00:01:45:27 - 00:01:48:11

You. Ian Douglas for the applicant.

00:01:51:02 - 00:01:53:02

In terms of these

00:01:54:18 - 00:02:04:27

different components, there's flexibility built into the application in terms of whether or not they may be stand alone or integrated units.

00:02:06:27 - 00:02:12:08

The precise location of those would be left to the detailed design stage.

00:02:13:26 - 00:02:20:24

And in terms of a fixed number, that isn't that would be provided at the detailed design stage as well.

00:02:24:28 - 00:02:30:28

So is it the intention that every works, number one, would have these units in them?

00:02:43:08 - 00:02:44:24

Yes. Miss Hansa.

00:02:54:25 - 00:02:58:25

Okay. And I mean, considering there'll be

00:03:00:15 - 00:03:03:05

considered down the line at that detailed design stage,

00:03:04:26 - 00:03:18:14

Just wondering if thought can be given more thought can be given at this stage to the sighting and design of those parts of the development, especially considering their impact on landscape, visual immunity and the character of the area?

00:03:21:24 - 00:03:37:14

That'd it's on behalf of the applicants. Yes. We'll take that away as part of the wider consideration of the design principles, parameters, and commitments document to see if there are any other parameters that we can secure in that that allows sufficient flexibility going into detailed design.

00:03:38:08 - 00:03:42:18

And is that something you could look at in terms of indicative indicative layouts?

00:03:44:17 - 00:03:46:10

So to take it away. Sure.

00:03:46:29 - 00:03:49:21

Could they be added to the master plan illustrated master plan?

00:04:05:07 - 00:04:35:10

So if it's on behalf of the applicants, we can see if we potentially separate it out any more in sort of granular detail. The reason that they're not showing currently is because the scope of work number one, which includes the various different elements are shown already on the works plans and the master plans. And as sort of explained, there sufficient isn't detailed design information available at this stage to be able to show everything on a sort of piece by piece basis because if so, you'd then effectively be at detailed design stage. At this point, that's part of the iterative design process that's ongoing.

00:04:35:12 - 00:04:48:11

So indicative master plan at the moment already captures switch rooms, etcetera, as part of work number one in the areas identified as being work number one and the solar array, etcetera, on those plans and the works plans.

00:04:57:14 - 00:05:04:03

Okay. Moving on, a couple of questions relating to the Cabellerie corridor.

00:05:06:08 - 00:05:25:02

And in this respect, can you just explain further why there is the cable corridor, which runs from Subsite seven gsH down towards site six what what that's required for?

00:05:38:24 - 00:05:42:12

Ian Douglas for the applicant. Sorry, we're just retrieving the plan of that particular.

00:06:03:08 - 00:06:28:09

So, I mean, this is part of the cable route corridor that my colleague, Sy Gillett, was explaining earlier around how the different component parts of the scheme are laid out, the different substation locations and the effective capture of the generating

00:06:30:06 - 00:06:31:24

capability

00:06:33:29 - 00:06:50:14

of project and transferring the transferring that down through the cable route corridor to collector stations in different parts of the scheme. So this will feed down into the substation in site five.

00:06:53:16 - 00:07:02:22

So given given there's no substation at site six, but there is the substation at seven f,

00:07:05:21 - 00:07:08:29

Why why does it route down that way?

00:07:16:00 - 00:07:20:06

And site six is given over, as I understand, to habitat management.

00:07:21:24 - 00:07:23:22

I mean, you can take it away.

00:07:25:17 - 00:07:52:29

Maybe it's on behalf of the applicant. That is one more takeaway and get the relevant technical lead to to input, and we can submit that in in in writing. You can see just slightly further down on the plan, obviously, you've got Site three, which is further to the south that then has a cable corridor that comes up and joins into that. So there's obviously various moving parts, but we'll get someone to clarify and answer specific questions to why it runs down through Site six given that it is, as you say, habitat management.

00:07:53:08 - 00:08:03:19

Okay. And just taking into account that Site three does feed into Site five, so does that bit after as well. Okay.

00:08:12:23 - 00:08:17:13

Now moving on to fencing within this item.

00:08:21:27 - 00:08:52:19

So this really touching on the fencing that's proposed. There is both the Palisade fencing, which is to a maximum of height of three meters, which would surround the thirty three kilovolt substation is the best project substation, National Grid substation. And then the design promises also state that there'll be two point five meter high deer type mesh fencing surrounding the sites as well.

00:08:53:25 - 00:08:57:21

Can you just further explain the choice for the type of fencing,

00:08:59:19 - 00:09:17:26

just taking into account the sort of utilitarian type of look of steel parsley fencing, the height at three meters and how the fencing is appropriate for a rural area and particularly this part of Norfolk?

00:09:20:24 - 00:09:22:05

Ian Douglas for the applicant.

00:09:23:21 - 00:09:29:26

In respect of the Palisade fencing associated with the substations, clearly, there's a

00:09:32:10 - 00:09:39:18

security aspect that relates to those component parts of the scheme that the

00:09:43:03 - 00:10:01:12

approach of providing Palisade fencing is taken as is across many solar schemes. And the use of the two point four meter high defensings is generally applied to the perimeter of the sites and is deemed to be of

00:10:03:05 - 00:10:07:05

at least a lower impact terms in of external appearance?

00:10:10:09 - 00:10:11:01

Thank you.

00:10:14:02 - 00:10:36:23

Okay. I mean, just thinking about in it slightly more detail. In some respects, you will have the National Grid substation or other substations with the Palisade fencing and envisaging the deer fencing additionally in that respect or not?

00:10:39:17 - 00:10:45:14

I think the Palisade fencing is tends to will

00:10:48:04 - 00:11:00:00

form parts of the compounds around the substations and within those parameters that are set for those compounds within each works.

00:11:01:17 - 00:11:07:05

And the defending, as I say, would be likely to be at the perimeter of the sites.

00:11:08:06 - 00:11:20:18

And just coming back to that point of taking into account Norfolk and the rural area, there's no other options other than Palisade?

00:11:21:24 - 00:11:32:14

I think this is a matter we can take away and discuss with local with host authorities in regular dialogue that we have. Certainly something we can we can look at.

00:11:32:23 - 00:11:33:10

Okay.

00:11:33:25 - 00:12:04:02

So it'd on behalf of the applicant just to supplement that in the meantime as well. I'm sure you're you're well aware, for the benefit of the recording, we've got requirement ten in the draft development consent order, latest versions AS-thirty two, and that relates to fencing and other means of enclosure. That includes effectively two parts of it. One is in relation to temporary fences, that and must be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority as part of detailed design.

00:12:04:11 - 00:12:36:14

And then the same also applies for permanent fences in respect of the temporary fences that those written details will be submitted for approval includes those that are set out already in or what will be the final construction traffic construction management plan. The outlined version of that construction environmental management plan is already available at APP196. So just to clarify, there's information as to how the fencing temporary fencing is anticipated to be used in the outlined plan.

00:12:37:05 - 00:12:49:29

That plan will be submitted for approval in accordance to the requirement thirteen. And then requirement ten ensures that there's also an approval mechanism in relation to both temporary and permanent fencing, and that will be subject to the approval of the relevant planning authority.

00:12:53:10 - 00:13:14:05

Just one further quick point for me on the fencing. I understand that the Palisade fencing is also proposed around the conversion units and switch rooms that we were just talking about before, which obviously would be placed within a field of solar panels. You've got a conversion unit switch rooms surrounded by

00:13:16:29 - 00:13:52:01

maximum height of three meter high palletized fencing. It just doesn't sound very conducive to a rural environment to me. Could that be looked at? And certainly, why is that required, and could that be looked at? Because the visual implications of that would seem to be quite significant. I know it's been

tested in the s, but why is three meter high parasite fencing required around those conversion units in the middle of a the field? It would be interesting to get a little background on that as to why it is necessary and other approaches on other solar farms where, obviously, fencing has been an issue.

00:13:58:23 - 00:14:02:03

Yes. Doctor. Barry. Thank you. So I

00:14:02:05 - 00:14:35:10

just wanted to ask a question about fencing. So in the South Norfolk Claylands ecological network document, it identifies the areas of woodland core zone with connectivity between different areas of woodland for our ecology. And obviously, this extensive amount of fencing is going to have a significant impact on the ability of wildlife to be able to travel through the landscape as it currently does. So I wanted to ask how they have taken that into consideration, particularly for our large herds of red deer, which are in our ancient woodland.

00:14:35:26 - 00:15:12:00

But my second point as well is that I wanted to understand how they propose that small mammals are able to enter and exit these sites. So we have seen at Cleave Hill sort of cat flap style gates through the defending to allow small mammals to pass in, but these are almost uniformly overgrown and don't work. So we wanted to know what their approach will be to ensure that the connectivity of our landscape, obviously, which is so important for ecology, is maintained because of this fencing.

00:15:12:02 - 00:15:24:07

I'll come to the applicant. I think I have seen some commentary around hares and deer, but if you want to come in briefly on this.

00:15:24:16 - 00:16:03:18

So on behalf of the applicant, terms of specific species and assessment, that would be more suitable for an ecologist, which we'd be more than happy to explore future hearing. And in the meantime, Chapter eight, the Ecology Chapter, deals with that from an assessment perspective. In terms of measures that will then be provided, it's the outline landscape and ecology management plan, which is APP-one hundred ninety nine. And within that, that includes and the first reference to it is at paragraph 1.2.3 of that document that refers to how the strengthening of habitat connectivity has been considered as part of

00:16:03:20 - 00:16:04:05

that

00:16:04:07 - 00:16:10:29

mitigation offering. But as I say, happy to explore that further within the colleges to open response to questions or at future hearings.

00:16:13:24 - 00:16:17:03

Yep. And I think it will it will be picked up at future hearings as well.

00:16:29:15 - 00:16:32:09

K. Now moving on to other works

00:16:34:19 - 00:17:04:04

and work number seven, which relates to general works. This sort of comes back to that point of buildings within the order limit. So work number seven includes provision for operational maintenance buildings measuring up to twelve meters by five meters by three point five meters with up to one in each site and three across site seven. Can you explain the purpose of these buildings and how their location would be controlled?

00:17:08:02 - 00:17:23:11

Ian Douglas for the applicant. The operational and maintenance buildings will accommodate and hold equipment that's used for operational and maintenance purposes. So for those intermittent visits to the scheme for

00:17:26:01 - 00:17:30:05

maintenance purposes, cleaning the panels and such like.

00:17:34:28 - 00:18:04:03

There are controls in the DPPC in respect of citing these buildings outside of the higher risk flood zones. But generally, they are provided for within that work number seven across the scheme. But clearly, the detailed design stage, the sighting and location of those will be taken into account in terms of the particular sensitivities of each location.

00:18:09:20 - 00:18:12:26

It seems like quite a number of buildings.

00:18:14:24 - 00:18:30:14

And going back to the point of this thirty three kilovolt switch rooms, the conversion units, the additional visual clutter that this adds into the solar subsites.

00:18:32:17 - 00:18:36:14

Can you just explain further how that's been assessed within the documents?

00:18:47:27 - 00:19:20:15

So it's on behalf of the applicants. It will depend on what topic or receptor that's that's being discussed. As you identify the different sites have potentially various combinations of infrastructure, including the operation and maintenance buildings, solar panels, arrays, etcetera. And as we'd explained earlier, the parameters for each of those are set out in that design principles, parameters and commitments document and whichever would constitute a reasonable worst case is what will have been applied for the specific receptor in respect of those sites.

00:19:20:17 - 00:19:50:02

So as Mr. Douglas was explaining in respect of the buildings, there's buffers secured within that document to have a thirty meter distance from all badges sets, ten meters from hedgerows, etcetera. So the assessment has ensured that it's being carried out on the assumption that those embedded mitigation measures are secured as they are throughout the scheme and then the effects that are

concluded are on a reasonable worst case basis, including all of those different combinations of infrastructure.

00:19:58:09 - 00:20:00:29

Okay. Going back to slide six, would it include slide six?

00:20:06:09 - 00:20:11:03

Ian Douglas for the applicant. In respect to the operational and maintenance buildings, no.

00:20:11:22 - 00:20:12:13

Okay.

00:20:24:01 - 00:20:37:12

So that's my questions on that agenda item. I will just come to, yes, South Norfolk District Council. Okay. So South Norfolk District Council, we have Yes. Was gonna

00:20:38:18 - 00:21:05:29

Thank you. You. Richie Parikh for South Norfolk district council. Sorry, Madam. This goes back to the last sub point under this agenda, entity with fencing. We just wanted clarification on the acoustic fencing as well or acoustic barrier. So I think our understanding is that this will be at four meters, and it would be a solid fence. Can we just or or solid barrier rather. Could we just get confirmation or clarity on that?

00:21:07:13 - 00:21:17:06

Thank you. Yes. I did have questions on that, but I sort of deferred was going to defer that to written questions. If you want to come in briefly?

00:21:18:10 - 00:21:31:17

Okay. It's on behalf of the applicants. Yes. Page thirty six of the design principles, parameters and commitments document secures the maximum heights of up to four meters in respective acoustic barriers.

00:21:33:27 - 00:21:39:25

Thank you. And I'll just come around if there's any further questions. Doctor. Barry? Thank you. I wanted

00:21:39:27 - 00:22:05:14

to ask about Site six, as you were just saying. So as well as a ecological area, it's going to be used as a construction compound. So I wanted to know whether there would be buildings on that while it is a construction compound and whether it will return to use as a construction compound during panel replacement after twenty five years or at decommissioning? Thank you.

00:22:08:13 - 00:22:15:21

If I can come to the applicant. I do have some other construction compound, but if we can answer doctor Barry, and then we can move on.

00:22:34:10 - 00:22:50:27

So be it's on behalf of the applicants, and we'll come back and and and confirm that my understanding is that it's a it's a construction compound, and therefore, would be there for the construction phase only. And then the site would be used for the habitat management as as explained without any electrical components as is explained in the scheme chapter.

00:22:51:09 - 00:22:53:04

Okay. If we could take that as an action point.

00:22:55:16 - 00:22:57:23

Okay. I will now move on to

00:23:00:20 - 00:23:20:00

item H. In the interest of time, I was going to defer aspects on construction period to recent questions and as well on scheme lifetime and replacement. Sorry, Mr. Hoegh. Thank

00:23:21:10 - 00:23:44:10

you. I just wanted to we've skipped over the issue of lighting. And in this context, I'd like to say that I'm speaking on behalf of CPRE. I'm a longtime campaigner against light pollution locally, nationally and internationally. And I think it's absolutely crucial that at this point, and I've made points about the

00:23:46:10 - 00:24:09:13

maps before, but the misuse of our interactive map in the ES and the way it's presented is not the way that the CPRE interactive light pollution map actually looks like when you look at it. It's the same with our Tranquility map, but I hope I get a chance to return to that this afternoon.

00:24:11:08 - 00:24:51:04

Can you please confirm that during the construction and operation phase that any if the scheme is permitted, that any lighting on-site will be enclosed in full cutoff flat glass fittings that are pointed directly downwards to ensure there's no upwards or outwards light spillage? And will you please ensure that they will not be controlled by dusk to dawn sensors, I. E, no permanent overnight lighting at any time? And will you please confirm that, therefore, they will be operated and only on when needed for operation purposes.

00:24:51:18 - 00:25:11:02

And also there are concerns about the use of PIR sensors being triggered by animals. So I think it's really important in a rural dark landscape, which is treasured locally, nationally and internationally, that lighting is treated sympathetically and very, very well.

00:25:13:02 - 00:25:31:14

Thank you for your question, Ms. Hook, which I'm sure the applicants heard. I did have questions on Lighting. I will have questions on Lighting for EX Q1. But if you could take Mr. Hook's comment away, I think it's quite technical. So yes, if you could come back to that at deadline one, I think.

00:25:32:05 - 00:25:38:03

Maybe it's on behalf of the applicant. Absolutely. There's information already in the document so we can signpost.

00:25:38:12 - 00:25:39:04

Great.

00:25:44:27 - 00:26:29:11

Yes. So item H, I will defer matters on construction period, best in panel replacement and maintenance, two written question along with scheme lifetime. I really wanted to touch on phasing briefly following some of yesterday's discussion. And really just understand and you can take this point away and come back to us, but just how you envisage the phasing working considering other you've projects worked on in the pipeline and whether you can provide us with an indicative or outline phasing plan or something to that effect to assist us on that point?

00:26:33:03 - 00:27:15:27

Toby, it's on behalf of the applicant. I understood from submissions yesterday, this is a matter that the applicant will discuss with the host authorities. At the moment, in respect of phasing, I direct you to Figure two point one of the outlined construction traffic management plan, and that's APP-two zero one. And that sets out an indicative construction program, and it splits up the associated sites and works and then how each of those works are anticipated on an indicative basis to fall within the twenty four month construction period that's been assessed across the environmental statement.

00:27:16:11 - 00:27:49:20

As I explained, that's an indicative program at this stage. When it comes to detailed design stage, and this is where the discussion will go ahead with the host authorities. There is a requirement in the draft ECO, requirement two around the commencement of the authorized development. And as I explained yesterday, that includes a commitment to provide a written scheme setting out the phase or phases of the construction of the development to the relevant planning authority, and that must include a timetable for the construction of the phase or phases of the authorized development.

00:27:49:22 - 00:27:58:25

So that secured mechanism is already in there in the DCO, and we'll happily have conversations to discuss any suggested amendments to that from the host authorities.

00:27:59:29 - 00:28:03:15

Okay. Understood. And yes, carry on those discussions.

00:28:10:12 - 00:28:12:19

Doctor. Barry? Sorry. So I just wanted to

00:28:12:21 - 00:28:45:08

ask about your phasing arrangement. So obviously, there's a lot of construction work going on in South Norfolk in the period that you're proposing to build this project, including extensive new housing. There are eighteen hundred new houses planned to be built around Longstratten as well as multiple other energy projects and the Norwich to Tilbury pylon lines. I just wanted to check whether

your phasing will take all of these other projects into consideration so that those of us who live here can actually continue to function.

00:28:45:29 - 00:28:46:14

You.

00:28:51:06 - 00:28:53:01

Yes. Before I come to the applicant.

00:28:54:02 - 00:29:40:29

Toby, it's on behalf of the applicant. Yes, that is considered. It's considered in the assessments and will be considered as part of discharge in the assessments. It comes to a sort of specific assessment, and we cover, for example, transport and access later, so we can talk to specificities there. Same with respect to cumulative and how that captures the potential effects from various other schemes, also in relation to transport in terms of actually delivering the works. There is control mechanisms within the order so that when the power is to do certain aspects of works to the highways or in discharge in the construction traffic management plan, for example, there's controls in there that ensures that any potential effects, either from the scheme alone or in combination with other schemes, is suitably controlled.

00:29:42:02 - 00:29:46:02

Thank you. And I'm sure we'll revisit it in other hearings as well.

00:29:48:29 - 00:29:53:18

Okay. There's nothing further. I will move on to

00:29:55:13 - 00:30:01:04

item I in relation to construction compounds.

00:30:02:22 - 00:30:18:25

There are some indicative construction compounds that are shown on Figure four point two, Volume two of the ES APP sixty. Can you just explain why they're indicative? And how they relate to the construction compounds shown on the work plans?

00:30:22:13 - 00:30:34:11

Ian Douglas for the applicant. Yes, the figure you're referring to clearly does indicate indicative locations, but these do correlate with the

00:30:37:26 - 00:30:57:29

locations of compounds on the works plans, which are shown in work number six in respect of construction compounds within the cable route corridor and work number eight in respect of construction compounds within the sites.

00:30:58:23 - 00:31:02:11

Okay. So we're saying not indicative, we're saying?

00:31:02:25 - 00:31:03:29

Yes, effectively.

00:31:06:15 - 00:31:16:23

And then are you able to provide any details in terms of length of time that the construction compounds are required?

00:31:19:03 - 00:31:22:21

You can come back to this point if you want.

00:31:25:26 - 00:31:47:13

I mean, only to the extent of the at this stage, to the extent of the duration of the construction period we have indicated in the environmental statement and assessed is a two year period, but we can look tying at that into matters in relation to phasing and consideration in relation to phasing. So we can take that away.

00:31:47:25 - 00:31:48:26

I think that would be helpful.

00:31:53:28 - 00:31:57:28

Okay. If anyone else has questions, yes, Doctor. Barry? Thank you.

00:31:58:00 - 00:32:15:18

I just wanted to ask about the construction compounds. So at the point where you have to replace all of the solar panels or equally elements from the best, which construction compounds will you retain for those purposes to be used later?

00:32:17:11 - 00:32:36:07

Or will you get rid of all of them and then create new construction compounds for those processes? I mean, the replacement of all of the panels is almost like a new construction phase altogether. So you will require some kind of construction compound to facilitate that. Thank

00:32:39:01 - 00:32:51:29

Thank you, George Barry. If I could come to the applicant on that one. And if I could, just before that, just mention the action point, sorry, so we can keep record of the previous point we're discussing.

00:32:54:11 - 00:33:00:12

Alan Fronting, on behalf of the applicant, the construction compounds, when the modules are replaced will not all be

00:33:00:27 - 00:33:04:00

Yeah. Sorry about that.

00:33:04:02 - 00:33:04:27

Can you hear me now?

00:33:05:04 - 00:33:19:28

There we go. When the modules are replaced after the lifespan of the modules, not all the fields will be replaced at the exact same time, so they will be staged. So, yes, the exact areas will be needed again, but not all at the same time.

00:33:24:26 - 00:33:32:23

Are you saying the exact areas of the construction compounds? Yes. Okay. And Doctor. Barry, if I could

00:33:32:26 - 00:33:46:24

So how does that work then with it being an ecological area if it, after twenty years, is then going to be returned to being a compound for construction? Surely, two are entirely incompatible.

00:33:52:19 - 00:33:54:16

If I can come to the applicant on that one.

00:33:54:28 - 00:33:59:13

Tobias, on behalf of the applicant, I suggest we take that one away and get input from an ecologist.

00:34:05:00 - 00:34:08:04

Take that as an action point just so we've got a record.

00:34:10:06 - 00:34:17:12

Okay. I will take these two questions, and then we'll move on to the next. Miss Smollett.

00:34:19:00 - 00:34:33:26

Thank you. I just wondered how if there's anything in their documents that state how they will return the compounds or what those compounds will be used for, whether they will be used for mitigation in some way or whether they will just become an eyesore. Thank you.

00:34:36:18 - 00:34:38:06

You want to respond to Ms. Mullet?

00:34:41:10 - 00:35:01:09

Toby, it's on behalf of the applicant. So at the end of the construction period, the compounds would be demobilized, and then they'd be reused at the same location as necessary on a phased approach as Mr. Compton has picked up. But we can sort of take each of these points and wrap it into a single response in writing to provide further detail.

00:35:02:03 - 00:35:44:12

Yes. I think that one was really looking at them after they finished being used. Miss Chandler? Victoria Chandler, Monthorpe, Freedom Power to Council. I want to ask specifically about the construction compound, the large one in site five, because my understanding, partly transport mitigation is to bring the the big HDVs from Hemphno roundabout from another site, which

construction materials and workers will be actually working out of site five? Where will they be going? So that the residents can understand how busy that construction site will be, please.

00:35:46:12 - 00:35:49:03

I can come see applicant if you want to.

00:35:56:11 - 00:36:05:12

Toby, it's on behalf of the applicant. We're joined by mister Tim Hapwood who's a transport consultant for the project. You can pick up on that as it relates to sort of traffic movements.

00:36:07:29 - 00:36:13:05

Okay. If we can keep it high level, brief, we appreciate it.

00:36:13:07 - 00:36:29:18

Yeah. Of course. Tim Papgood for the applicant. Yeah. Materials for site five would firstly be brought to Site 4A, which is by Hempnal Roundabout. The vehicles would then wait there and then be called forward to Site 5A.

00:36:32:00 - 00:36:32:15

Thank you.

00:36:34:22 - 00:36:42:01

And I've got one more question for Mr. Catchpole. If you just you've got a microphone. Thank you.

00:36:53:14 - 00:36:55:26

Hello? It's working nice. Thank you.

00:36:57:12 - 00:37:04:01

Sorry. Sorry. Carl Cashwell. I represent the South Norfolk division of Longstraten. So and I'm also a county councilor.

00:37:05:19 - 00:37:49:23

Sorry to sound like a stuck record, but I'm quite convinced this is the way forward. We're talking about construction and decommissioning and devastation of farmland. But my question is, has the applicant ever has the applicant agree there are upcoming new technology options to reach net zero decarbonization in the form of SMRs? And has the applicant ever researched this product? If anyone on the panel on the opposite side know of this. And do they accept Chinese glass solar panels will be yesterday's technology and should be put where they originally designed it for, and that's on the rooftops of houses? In the next two to three years, this project, if went ahead with, will be looked upon as a white elephant and an extremely poor decision in my eyes.

00:37:50:17 - 00:37:59:23

And I just want to know their thoughts on and this is taken into consideration the company Rolls Royce. One small nuclear

00:38:00:05 - 00:38:05:26

If I could just come in there, is it related to this point on

00:38:06:03 - 00:38:09:04

Very much so. This is the future of South Norfolk.

00:38:10:10 - 00:38:13:16

We're speaking specifically about construction compounds right now.

00:38:13:18 - 00:38:17:16

This is protecting this construct there would need be less need for construction.

00:38:17:25 - 00:38:19:06

Okay. You could finish

00:38:19:08 - 00:38:20:29

finish Literally, I know about.

00:38:21:01 - 00:38:22:00

Thank you. Yeah.

00:38:22:19 - 00:38:33:11

The Rolls Royce SMR is designed to produce four seventy megawatts of continuous low carbon power enough for roughly one million homes operating day and night, not relying on daylight sunlight.

00:38:35:05 - 00:38:45:20

Unlike so, they are not dependent on the weather. So I just want to know what the thoughts are and what the stance is with Ireland Green Power and Macquarie Bank and their subsidiaries. Have they looked at these alternatives?

00:38:46:14 - 00:38:57:23

Okay. Thank you for the comment. I think we heard from you on that in the end before hearing yesterday as well. Surely, the applicant will come back in writing a deadline one.

00:38:58:00 - 00:39:31:23

There'll be some of half the applicants. Yes. We will do, but I could I can deal with it quickly now given the gentleman's in the room. Okay. So chapter five, reasonable alternatives and design evolution, APP dash zero four one. Paragraph five point four point three of that chapter explains that nuclear power is not considered a suitable alternative for the scheme given the high cost of electricity and the length of time it would take. So for example, the statement of need refers to Hinkley Point C that started in the late 2000s and is scheduled for completion between twenty twenty nine and two thousand and thirty one.

00:39:31:25 - 00:39:56:12

So if the math serves approximately thirty years, the scheme of consented is expected to be built and operational by two thousand and thirty one. So it's the speed of delivery as supported by the national

policy, EN1, that supports solar power. That doesn't mean that, in principle, it's against nuclear power as a separate form of development, but it's not a reasonable alternative for the scheme that's being put in front of the examining authority today.

00:39:57:09 - 00:40:27:05

Can we just continue with what's on the agenda? Because I think this discussion is slightly away from the scope of the developments. I completely understand your point, Mr. Catchpole, which you have made, obviously, the open floor hearing as well, and by all means, follow-up with risk representations at deadline. But I just want to stick at the moment to the specifics of the detail of the project that is before us. So if you could expand on that, if you wish, deadline one, but I would like to keep to the discussion of the of the detailed gender items, if that's alright.

00:40:29:14 - 00:40:30:01

One quick

00:40:32:25 - 00:40:33:10

hello?

00:40:33:12 - 00:40:33:27

Okay.

00:40:33:29 - 00:40:45:13

Just one quick point. What you just referred to, Hinckley Point, that's something that's archaic. And, you know, twenty six years ago, this is present. I'm talking about completely different subject. It's a different product, and it's not relevant, this point you made. So

00:40:46:04 - 00:40:52:04

I always raise that in writing, and the applicant then can respond in writing. But as I said, I would like to thank you for the specifics. Sorry.

00:40:52:28 - 00:40:53:15

Okay.

00:40:55:24 - 00:41:17:07

Moving on to item J, which is around the green infrastructure and the mitigation enhancement areas, works number ten. So the indicative master plan shows areas of retained agricultural land, which don't correspond to the works plan. Can you explain these further?

00:41:27:13 - 00:42:02:12

So it's on behalf of the applicant that the scope of the works are set out on the works plans, I've explained in relation to other infrastructure is the intended scope of the the consent and works that's being sought and secured under the DCO to the extent that isn't consistent with an indicative master plan, we can consider that and rectify that. The intention of the indicative plan is to show how within the scope of work shown on the works plans, the works may be cited or fit together. So if it falls outside of that scope, then we absolutely take that away and we'd have to rectify and submit an updated version of the plan.

00:42:04:09 - 00:42:36:19

Yes. I think it'd be helpful if you could, for example, Site nine, the indicative master plan has a substantial area that's marked as retained agricultural land. The works plan, it's works number ten. I think for others in the room, it's probably quite confusing what might be going on. So there are other areas where that occurs. So yes, if you could take that away, we'll mark that as an action point.

00:42:37:28 - 00:42:40:24

Absolutely. And

00:42:44:05 - 00:42:47:21

then in terms of other discrepancies,

00:42:50:10 - 00:42:57:21

this one relates to Churchill near Saxony and Nethergate,

00:42:59:07 - 00:43:19:28

which is shown on the master plan as being for potential mitigation and enhancement. And on the works plans, it's showing works number ten, which is electrical communication cabling. Again, it's slightly confusing what is going on there. And if it is cabling, where that cabling is going to?

00:43:22:00 - 00:43:49:03

So on behalf of the applicant, just to confirm, work number ten in schedule one is works to create and maintain habitat management areas, and that includes fencing, signs, earthworks, landscaping and biodiversity mitigation, means of access and drainage. So I've not got the plan in front of me at the moment, but if it pertains to work number ten, then that doesn't extend to cabling. And as I explained a moment ago, if does it extend beyond that, we can take away and provide an explanation.

00:43:49:05 - 00:43:49:20

But I

00:43:49:22 - 00:43:52:07

just wanted to clarify Yeah. The scope of number ten.

00:43:52:09 - 00:44:03:03

Understood. I think it's the other way. It's showing for electrical and communication cabling, but it's it's just not very clear. Bear with me.

00:44:12:14 - 00:44:14:00

Sheet three of the works plans.

00:44:17:15 - 00:44:26:11

It's at the bottom of Churchill heading towards Saxon and Green. It's just one area. There may be others, but if you could take that away.

00:44:27:17 - 00:44:40:14

Tobias, on behalf of the applicant, absolutely, we'll take it away. The answer may be related to the fact that the cabling is underground cabling, and therefore, it's not mutually exclusive from other activities carrying on in that area, but we'll provide an explanation in writing.

00:44:41:19 - 00:44:50:08

Thank you. Okay. That's my questions on item J. If anyone has any other questions, Doctor. Berry.

00:44:50:18 - 00:45:32:24

There are some areas where there are areas of mitigation sorry, where there are areas of mitigation, which also are the access areas for the same sites. So I'm also concerned that if those are for ecological mitigation, what happens at points where the sites need to be accessed for cleaning the panels or replacing the panels? So there was a particular site in Site seven that you were you changed the layout during the targeted consultation, and that was kept for Red List Bird habitat, but it is also an access area into into that land parcel.

00:45:32:26 - 00:45:33:11

Thank you.

00:45:35:09 - 00:45:37:00

If I can come over to the applicant.

00:45:50:13 - 00:46:05:12

So it's on behalf of the applicant, and I'll pass initially to Mr. Hapkod, who can talk about the site access element. And then we're also joined by our landscape consultant, who can potentially talk to it briefly now, or we can take it away in in writing if that's preferred.

00:46:05:26 - 00:46:08:06

Yep. Briefly now is is fine.

00:46:10:06 - 00:46:18:23

Yep. Tim Hapgood on behalf of the applicant. I was gonna suggest, since it's quite a specific issue, we actually take that away and address it in writing.

00:46:18:26 - 00:46:31:12

That's fine. We'll mark it as an action point. Thank you. This gentleman in the comes to the gentleman in the if there's a roving microphone for oh.

00:46:37:05 - 00:46:38:16

Mister Jolliffe?

00:46:38:18 - 00:47:13:25

Yes. Ross Jolliffe. Just at the wildlife mitigation areas, put me in mind that these little bramble patches you sometimes see in churchyards and school playgrounds. Nature, there you are, go in there. This colossal scheme stretched out the way is enormously disruptive and needs some really, really detailed

ecological thought about how on earth you replace the connectivity such through open fields and things, long, long fences, hedgerows taken out in some cases, possibly enhanced in others.

00:47:13:27 - 00:47:27:06

But it's really quite vague. The Norfolk Wildlife Trust recommended a document in their relevant representation. I don't know what that document says, but if they've recommended it, it should be pretty good. I'd like to see some real steely

00:47:29:17 - 00:48:15:19

data which says we've really studied this subject and here's what we're going to do and we know it's going to work because of some other scientific information rather than a patch. And you can tell how these things are being considered, which is it's a car park or whatever while the thing is being built, which is two years. So what's the wildlife mitigation sequencing over the period of the project? Because one would start to say, if you're going to disrupt wildlife quite violently when you start, shouldn't you have a strategy which acknowledges that and not just use that as a site compound as if it's a secondary thing that once everything's working, then we'll a few hedgerows in or something of that kind.

00:48:16:06 - 00:48:17:05

Thanks very much.

00:48:17:12 - 00:48:19:17

Thank you. Thanks very much. Yes,

00:48:21:02 - 00:48:32:10

I'm a more detailed point, and we will be coming to those in other hearings and through written questions. But if I can come to the applicant.

00:48:32:20 - 00:49:06:00

There'll be ace on behalf of the applicants. Yes, thank you. But I just want to report the suggestion about the violent harm being caused, detailed assessments by reference to appropriate guidance and well established guidance has been carried out by professional expert on oncology and then there is no conclusion of likely significant effect as reported in the environmental statements. I just wanted to clarify that initially the detail of that assessment is in Chapter eight, as I've mentioned. And again, the secured mechanism is the landscape and environmental management plan.

00:49:06:09 - 00:49:44:25

An outline form of that document is already available, just APP-one hundred ninety nine and that's secured by requirement seven of the draft development consent order. Again, the final form of that plan will be submitted to the relevant planning authority for approval, And the requirement also obliges consultation with the relevant statutory nature conservation body, which is Natural England rather than the organization that the gentleman mentioned. So the assessment's been done. There was no effects given the effectiveness of the mitigation that's being proposed, and that mitigation is secured with the measures in the draft development consent order.

00:49:49:24 - 00:49:53:23

That that's everything on item

00:49:55:16 - 00:50:00:12

k. And I'm gonna pass to my colleague, mister Cliff, to cover item k.

00:50:00:14 - 00:50:49:01

Just very briefly on item k, which is design approach document, and touched I've on this earlier on. This is obviously an important document, setting out the applicant's design framework with the aim of achieving good design. Also, it doesn't amount to a design code or even design guidance necessary. Includes how good design will be secured post consent through a suite of management plans and requirements. So that's what it seeks to do, I think, the applicant's words because I've got that from the document. Just going back to this point that I would really encourage the parties to engage with that document, particularly the local authorities, to ensure that the design principles and commitments are appropriately worded to ensure that there is certainty that a good standard of design can be achieved reflecting the need to reduce environmental effects.

00:50:49:03 - 00:51:21:21

I'm sure that we'll come back to this throughout the examination, but I just want to stress that this, as we see it, is an important document. And as we see it also at the moment, I think it could be enhanced. I think it could be elaborated on to be more fit for purpose, should we say, in in our view at the moment. I hope that's okay. Was saying that. I just think it could be further developed, and I would encourage the local authorities to engage with that. Indeed, doctor Berry, if I don't what to add to your workload because, obviously, you're spending lots of time on this.

00:51:21:23 - 00:51:29:15

But if you wanted to also engage with that document and suggest enhancements, then by all means, do. But I'm conscious of not placing interest

00:51:29:17 - 00:51:37:23

parties in the I'd be happy to do that. I think it would be useful if Norfolk Wildlife Trust were also involved in discussions around Very

00:51:37:25 - 00:51:45:00

Very possible. I'm happy for anybody who wants to make comments on on it to to, you know, suggest comments, which obviously the applicant will take into account.

00:51:45:02 - 00:52:12:05

Just say as well that for the local population, this scheme is conceived in a very piecemeal way. And the landscape that you create through this project is the landscape that we're going have to live with permanently because a lot of these features, like tree planting, hedge planting, is going to be here long after the scheme. So you need to actually design a beautiful landscape for us. If we're going change our landscape

00:52:12:15 - 00:52:48:20

is understood. Think that came out very clearly from some of the air submissions at the open floor hearings. And I would just finally say for the applicant's benefit, everyone's benefit, I think lots of

things that have been talked about today, substations, converter units, fencing, etcetera. In terms of and obviously, these matters will be secured through the DCO for approval of documents, but obviously, once consent is granted. But I think in terms of stepping stone before that to ensure that examining authority and the Secretary of State can be sure that the effects are mitigated as far as is reasonably possible, that document could be enhanced.

00:52:48:22 - 00:52:57:08

And I think that needs I would encourage engagement on that. That's all I've got to say on that before my colleague, Mr. McAvoy. So BH, on behalf of

00:52:57:10 - 00:53:27:25

the applicant, just on that, absolutely welcome. Further engagement and the way it feeds into the design principles, parameters, commitment document, the various outline management plans has obviously already been done by the applicant. And I suggest if those documents can be considered too, so that the applicant can incorporate provisions into those documents, as I'm sure you were suggesting, to make sure that they then are secured as part of the development consent order. Again, just whilst I've got the mic, I wanted to clarify something I said a moment ago in response to the points made about ecology.

00:53:27:27 - 00:53:34:19

I said there wasn't any likely significant effects. Just to clarify, there's no adverse likely significant effects.

00:53:37:23 - 00:54:00:24

You'll see from Chapter twenty, which is APP-fifty six, the summary of residual significant effects. There's a whole suite of moderate beneficial residual beneficial significant effects in relation to things like hedgerows, ponds, skylark, bats, scrub. Won't list them all. There's quite a few. So there are beneficial effects that are reported.

00:54:00:26 - 00:54:21:29

I'm sure everyone can read those and obviously comment on those in due course. Let's close this item there, please, and move on to item four. We have sort of twenty or so minutes before lunchtime. Mr. Beckham, apologies for slightly overrunning. Over to you before lunch.

00:54:23:02 - 00:54:39:19

You very much. Moving to agenda item number four. We just want to reassure those attending the examining authorities' perspective, these five topic items, it does not indicate that these are the only key issues. Thank you for that. So moving first to aviation safety.

00:54:44:13 - 00:55:20:12

Guess my questions will be directed to the applicant in the first instance. So really what I want to cover in this particular agenda sub item is to understand the assessment work undertaken to date, the evidence relied upon, the consultation carried out with aviation stakeholders and the basis for the conclusions presented within the application documents. So looking first at the scope of the assessment to the applicant, Mr. Yates, why did the applicant conclude that a standalone aviation assessment was not necessary? Thank you.

00:55:46:02 - 00:55:48:22

Steve, if you want to take that away, that's quite okay.

00:55:49:16 - 00:55:55:25

Yes, sir. I will do to save it from waiting here. And if we find an answer in the breakout room, we can update you later as well.

00:55:56:02 - 00:56:16:11

Just to cover the matter of, if we raise it as an action point now, can we discuss later on? Understood. If not, then it will be an action point. Thank you. So again, just in the same vein, in terms of scope of the assessment, just for clarification, were any aviation related matters scoped out of the assessment? Thank you.

00:56:19:12 - 00:56:40:28

There is on behalf of the applicants and that conformed part of the written piece. That's why I was looking for the scoping opinion. And I'm sure you'll be aware that the Queen de Glare assessment has been carried out. I was just considering the extent to which any other aviation matters had been considered, which weren't immediately jumping out at me. So we'll badge that into a singular response either at the break or in writing.

00:56:41:15 - 00:56:51:22

That's very helpful. I note we have two hands up online. I believe the first one was, I hope I'm right, Jeremy Bloom. Mr. Bloom, can you hear me?

00:56:53:10 - 00:57:20:00

I can. Jeremy Bloom, Natural Hyways. Apologies. I put my hand up right at the beginning of item four. I was going to respectfully request if we could deal with transport issues first to enable me to sit through that before this afternoon so I could complete and deal with some of the commitments. That was why I was putting my hand up. Sorry if that's inconvenient.

00:57:23:01 - 00:57:41:08

Mr Bloom, it's not overly inconvenient. I'm happy to draw a close on aviation. We've put up about fifteen minutes to discuss transport, which was my intended timeline. Mr. Blumey, if you can't join us after lunch, your submissions will be very important to the examination authority. Can you confirm you can't join us after lunch?

00:57:42:29 - 00:57:55:07

I can join shortly after lunch to deal with the item if necessary. It was just that if we were able to deal with it before lunch, it would have been appreciated. But I'm happy to follow your timeline.

00:57:55:25 - 00:58:05:10

Mr. Blum, we do intend sorry, to start at thirteen forty five, and we will deal with transport matters at thirteen forty five. Would that be acceptable?

00:58:05:12 - 00:58:09:03

That would be very helpful and much appreciated, sir. Thank you.

00:58:09:13 - 00:58:16:22

Mr. Blum, thank you. Second hand up is Anne Bartby, I believe. Ms. Bartby, you're online. Can you hear me?

00:58:25:23 - 00:58:27:11

Miss Barbie, can you hear me?

00:58:28:00 - 00:58:29:18

Yes, sir. I can.

00:58:29:20 - 00:58:30:05

Thank you.

00:58:30:07 - 00:58:32:14

Miss Barbie, can you raise your question, please? Thank you.

00:58:34:05 - 00:59:14:19

Well, first of all, sir, I'm Anne Bartaby, the head of planning for the General Aviation Awareness Council. I was effectively invited to come today, and I thank you for the opportunity to speak. I'm sorry that mister Calmdon, who is Tibbonham's flight safety officer, is unable to be here today. In his absence, I've shared everything I propose to say with the chairman of the Norfolk Gliding Club, the head of air air traffic control at Duxford, the chairman of the General Aviation Awareness Council, and the chairman of the all party parliamentary group aviation.

00:59:15:03 - 00:59:59:09

They concur with what I am going to say. At unlicensed aerodromes, the aerodrome operator is regarded by the Civil Aviation Authority as the expert on safety at its aerodrome. The applicant's approach to aviation safety in respect of Tibetanum differs from its recent approach to seething aerodrome. At seething, the applicant undertook a site specific assessment, but nothing comparable was undertaken at Tibenham, where the applicant's safety assessment only refers to glint and glare and rejects this as insignificant.

01:00:00:00 - 01:00:39:26

At seething, the assessment has led the applicant to amend the location of its proposed solar panels. Because of this, mister Cowden has applied the seething method to Tibbonham. This shows that following an engine failure on takeoff from Tibbonham's runway zero eight, there are predictable circumstances in which an aircraft would land on areas of the proposed solar site. It is relevant that there's a broad spectrum of aviation activities at Tibetan, involving gliders and a wide range of fixed wing aircraft.

01:00:40:28 - 01:01:11:14

It's standard practice that the most likely off airfield zone where an aircraft subject to an engine failure on takeoff would be forced to land should be kept clear of hard structures. However, this application proposes to locate hard solar panels, a Bess, and the National Grid substation within this area.

01:01:12:15 - 01:01:42:25

The applicant has not addressed the risks to human health and life and an aircraft crash on the hard surfaces currently planned. There are planning precedents which demonstrate that this is a material consideration that should be taken into account on this scheme. There's a very town recent and country planning appeal decision which was dismissed because of the consequences of serious harm to aircraft.

01:01:43:14 - 01:02:07:07

This was related to an unlicensed aerodrome. And, additionally, in connection with the Bockley Bockley West solar farm, the applicant has entered into a statement of common ground with London Oxford Airport to remove solar panels from the scheme in order to allow for safe emergency landing.

01:02:09:02 - 01:02:35:07

So I would like to put this in the context of the importance of Tibetan aerodrome. I do appreciate that time is short. I believe that there are many issues relating to the aviation safety here, which have not been adequately dealt with by the applicant. So I would like to continue speaking, but I don't wish to cause problems with your timetable.

01:02:35:21 - 01:02:45:27

Miss Pappy, thank you. That's very helpful. I'm gonna suggest to the applicant, is it feasible possible that you can engage in dialogue with Ms. Parpy and the General Aviation Council, please?

01:02:46:14 - 01:03:21:03

Obvious on behalf of the applicant, yes, we'll continue to do so, and they're engaging as part of the statement of common ground process for the draft to be submitted or, at the moment, drafts one for each, but we can see how that goes and potentially combine them. At deadline one. Might be useful now just in response to those points made, and I can't go into the detail because I'm not an aviation expert, but I have got details on at least the assessments that were carried out. So in response to your point before, sir, the answer here is that Glint and Glare has been assessed as an environmental matter.

01:03:21:12 - 01:04:01:08

And the Glint and Glare assessment most recently is set out at AS-twenty five to AS-twenty nine. But then in addition to that and recognizing that other aviation matters fall outside of the scope of environmental assessment as it were, doesn't then mean that the applicant hasn't considered it. The applicant has carried out an assessment and that's set out in the high level investigative report, which is APP-two twenty two. And that's been carried out following discussions that have been ongoing between Pager Power, who the are advisers for the applicant and Norfolk Gliding Club and I believe the Aviation Awareness Council.

01:04:01:10 - 01:04:31:25

But if not, we'll certainly look to engage from now on, but I'm sure engagement has been carried out. As part of that assessment that was carried out, it considers aviation concerns, including the combined

aerodrome safeguarding team and advice note, but that doesn't prescribe a formal methodology. There's also no known guidance in the UK in relation to engine failure after takeoff and the glider launch failure. Again, that doesn't mean it hasn't been assessed.

01:04:31:27 - 01:05:17:29

It has been assessed using precautionary assumptions in absence of information that I believe has been requested from the gliding club. And that detail is set out in that investigative report, the conclusion of which says that effectively it won't significantly reduce the available space for emergency landing scenarios. And having advised on the Botley West solar farm, that can be distinguished from the example given there where discussions led to an agreement that the Afartu area would be reduced to the extent where the applicant was therefore willing to commit to a reduction in salary, but that justification doesn't arise here as a result of the assessments that are being carried out because there wouldn't be a significant reduction in landing scenario.

01:05:19:14 - 01:05:52:17

If we continue as well that same report deals with matters of turbulence, wind shear effects, etcetera, as well. There's no known guidance for that. However, the applicant has considered guidance, which was published by the Civil Aviation Authority. So it's the best available as far as I'm aware. And again, we can get aviation expert input to supplement this in writing. And again, in terms of glints and glare and how it fits into this, if you want to drill into that, I'm joined by the glints and glare expert.

01:05:52:20 - 01:06:26:14

What I would just like to say on that is that paragraph two point one zero point one five one of NPS EN3 explains that whilst there is some evidence that glints and glare from solar farms can be experienced by pilots and air traffic controllers in certain conditions, there is no evidence that glints and glare from solar farms results in significant impairment on aircraft safety. So what that explains is, yes, there's the potential for it to, but the starting position is that there's no evidence that suggests that there is that impairment.

01:06:27:16 - 01:07:01:01

That paragraph continues, therefore, to explain, unless a significant impairment can be demonstrated, the Secretary of State is unlikely to give any more than limited weight to claims of aviation interference because of glint and glare. A point there is that we haven't seen any demonstration or evidence of any impairment, never mind a significant impairment to depart from the starting position for the Secretary of State that no more than limited weight should be given to the claims made Glint and around Glare and the effect on solar farms.

01:07:01:13 - 01:07:28:10

I'll leave that there for now. Think that's hopefully sufficient to at least explain how the assessment has been carried out, has been reported. And as I'm sure you're aware, in response to the letter that was published by the examining authority, the applicant has submitted the position paper on aviation, a procedural deadline A, which captures this potentially better than I've managed to whiz for it at this stage, that's PDA -six and that engagement will continue through our examination.

01:07:28:12 - 01:07:50:17

Mr. H, thank you. I'm not aware there's additional new assessments in the document you've just referenced, but you spoke of information related to aviation safety being contained elsewhere in the environmental statement aside from the clinical assessment. Is it possible or practicable on that basis to generate a stand alone aviation assessment document as part of the examination library?

01:07:52:10 - 01:08:24:06

There'll be some on behalf of the applicant. We can look into it, sir. I'm not sure how much additional benefit we'd be able to provide beyond the position statement because the effect of that is that it does combine the investigative report, the conclusions there as well as the glint and glare assessment. It also then adds in points around engagement with the Norfolk Guiding Club and General Aviation Awareness Council. So it already achieves a purpose that I believe a new document would produce. But if there's a specific point to pick up, obviously, of course, can take that away.

01:08:26:01 - 01:08:34:03

Data selection points. I'm very grateful. I just want to confirm that you will be engaging with the General Aviation Awareness Council. That's a commitment that you're willing to give here and now?

01:08:34:29 - 01:08:52:05

Tobias, on behalf of the applicant, absolutely. If we're not doing already, if not got a note immediately in front of me, I'd expect there has been some engagement outside of the process. Obviously, engagement has been carried out as part of the strict process, but absolutely happy to continue that conversation outside of the process as well.

01:08:54:24 - 01:09:03:21

Sorry, Serge, just got a message as I'd finished. I understand the meeting has been arranged for October. So that's already in the works, as it were.

01:09:04:10 - 01:09:14:28

Thank I'll just return to Ms. Brapier Ms. very Brapier, have you heard the response to Mr. Yates in respect of the consultation and engagement with General Aviation and Railing Council? Thank you.

01:09:15:00 - 01:09:52:14

Yes. I have. But it's completely inadequate, and it has not responded to what I have been saying. The meeting in October is a statement of common ground. There is no common ground. Engine failure has not been properly addressed, and the introduction of the BES and the National Grid infrastructure makes the situation worse than it was originally. This is an extremely serious matter and and brushing it off today and saying there'll be further discussion.

01:09:52:16 - 01:10:11:09

There has been no constructive discussion on this matter since the project was commenced. And I think it's this is an inadequate response to the serious issues that have now been raised and effectively endorsed by what has happened at seething.

01:10:12:10 - 01:10:33:16

Miss Papi, thank you. You've made those points very powerfully. I will allow the applicant to engage with your good self forthcoming, and I hope that those disagreements can begin to be resolved. And

but if not, the statement of common ground will certainly reflect the status of your discussions as time goes on during the examination. Ms. Poppe, thank you. Doctor. Berry?

01:10:33:18 - 01:11:02:01

Thank you. I just wanted to ask about the applicant's statement that there was no evidence of Glintenglue having an effect on aircraft. So at Amsterdam Airport, they actually had to remove the solar panels from around the airport because the glint and glare was reducing the hours on which they could use the runway, and they have since been removed. So I think that is quite an evident example. Thank you. I'm surprised we don't know of it.

01:11:02:03 - 01:11:05:07

Doctor Barry, thank you. I'll ask the applicant to respond. Mr Yates?

01:11:06:11 - 01:11:12:06

Toby Yates on behalf of the applicant. I was just quoting from National Policy, but I have nothing further to add.

01:11:17:24 - 01:11:23:26

Thank you. I want to return to miss Papi, if I may. Miss Papi, I believe you made reference to an appeal decision.

01:11:24:06 - 01:11:24:21

Yes.

01:11:24:23 - 01:11:29:01

Could you please enter that information into examination by deadline one, please?

01:11:29:03 - 01:11:30:29

Yes. Certainly. No problem.

01:11:31:25 - 01:11:40:05

Ms. Barbie, thank you indeed. Thank you. At this stage, have no further questions on aviation safety. Just look to my panel lead.

01:11:43:10 - 01:11:48:02

Thank you. At that point, we'll break for lunch. We'll be returning at thirteen forty five. Thank you.