



**Interested Party Number:** [REDACTED]

### RR-1383: Comments on the Applicant's response in PDA-012

## The East Pye Solar is looking at the wrong culvert

The culvert carrying water from the field next to Site 5 under Boylandhall Lane and into the field is a different culvert.

**If East Pye Solar is relying on where access crosses Boylandhall Lane, or saying that lane will not carry heavy lorries, it is looking at the wrong structure. My concern is about the heavy vehicles using the B1527 above the old brick tunnel.**

**I know this tunnel from having walked through it when I was younger. I want East Pye Solar to identify it correctly on a plan, inspect its condition and explain whether it can safely take the proposed heavy loads. It must also**



explain how it will prevent damage that could block the stream and worsen flooding or lead to it collapsing. It is extremely old and needs protecting.

An answer about a different culvert does not settle this.

### **Concerns which still need answers**

In **WAT-020**, East Pye Solar gives a general answer about grass cover and drainage. I cannot see an answer about the existing flooding at the ditch and culvert opposite my home, near the proposed access. What checks have been made there, and how will flooding be prevented from getting worse during construction?

My reference is included in **NOI-002**, but I cannot find a separate answer there about construction noise and vibration. If another section is meant to answer it, please identify it and explain how it applies to my home and wood yard.

I also cannot find a clear answer about the effect on my particular wood yard. A general reply about businesses does not explain how my business, its access and day to day work will be affected.

The traffic replies do not clearly explain how large construction vehicles will safely pass on the narrow stretch of B1527 I described. Nor can I find a clear answer showing how fencing the Site 5 fields will avoid pushing deer onto that road.

The replies about planting, wildlife and lighting give general promises. I still cannot see how the effects immediately around my home have been answered, including the loss of old hedges and trees, disturbance to existing wildlife and the change to dark skies.

Most of all, I cannot see where East Pye Solar has considered what these effects mean together for someone who both lives and works so close to this construction.


### **Things I did not say**

The following points are linked to me but were not in my representation:



My original objection still stands. I ask that it is read alongside this statement, rather than relying only on East Pye Solar's grouped summaries that are not correct.

Yours Sincerely

A solid black rectangular box used to redact the signature of the sender.

N Earl

**AI declaration:** The person helping me used OpenAI ChatGPT to help search and compare PDA-012 (EXAM/8.10) with my original Relevant Representation RR-1383, and to help draft my comments on that comparison. The source material used was PDA-012 and my original RR-1383. AI was not used to create or alter the underlying evidence or source documents.

I have checked the comparison and the final wording against the source documents and I take responsibility for the accuracy and content of this submission.

