Submission ID: SE009D7B5

East Pye Solar – Deadline 2 Submission
Project Reference: EN0110014
Response to Applicant's Document EXAM/9.19 – Table 2.28, Reference KS-002
I refer to Document EXAM/9.19, Table 2.28 (Reference KS-002), in which the Applicant responds to my written summary of my oral representation at Open Floor Hearing 2 (OFH2).
The Applicant directs me to its response under Action Point 22 in the Written Summary of the Applicant's Oral Submissions and Responses at Issue Specific Hearing 1 and Response to Action Points (EXAM/9.17).
However, my original question remains unanswered.
My question was straightforward: Why does the Botley West Solar Farm scheme propose a separation distance of Solar array 250 metres from residential curtilage, whilst East Pye Solar proposes just 100 metres or less.
Both are large-scale solar developments, and I am seeking to understand what differences between the two schemes justify such a significant variation in separation distances.
Simply referring me to another document does not answer the question I raised.
I therefore ask the Applicant to provide a clear and direct explanation of:
What specific differences between Botley West and East Pye Solar justify the substantially smaller separation distance proposed at East Pye. Along with evidence or assessment that supports that decision
I respectfully request that the Examining Authority seek a direct and substantive response from the Applicant to the question, which remains outstanding.