From:	A Eastwood
To:	Whitestone Solar
Cc:	network.management@doncaster.gov.uk; [redacted]@doncaster.gov.uk
Subject:	A630-001 — SR-01: Adequacy of highway safety and construction access evidence
Date:	28 October 2026 21:08:47

Good Morning,

1. The Applicant proposes SR-01 on the A630 Sheffield Road as the principal construction traffic entrance serving Whitestone 1. The Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (APP/5.12, Table 3-4) forecasts 189 two-way staff-vehicle movements and 69 two-way HGV movements per day through this access.

2. City of Doncaster Council's Relevant Representations (EN0110020-000406, paragraph 4.8.1) identify unresolved concerns about the layout and appropriateness of several proposed highway accesses.

3. Appendix 1 of those representations, the Highways Technical Note, assesses SR-01 and considers the proposed 160 m visibility splays achievable, subject to vegetation removal. The review refers to available Street View imagery when considering horizontal and vertical road alignment.

4. Although this provides relevant evidence concerning visibility, the Technical Note does not itself present a detailed peak-hour junction capacity assessment, queuing assessment or contingency evaluation for the proposed principal W1 construction entrance.

5. The draft DCO provides for subsequent approval of a Construction Traffic Management Plan under Schedule 2, Requirement 5. Clarification is required as to whether sufficient evidence has been presented at the examination stage to establish that the proposed access is suitable in principle, rather than relying upon further technical assessments after consent.

6. It is therefore requested that the Examining Authority seek the following information from the Applicant:

1. All completed Road Safety Audits for SR-01, together with the Applicant's responses and any outstanding recommendations.

2. The peak-hour construction traffic forecasts and junction operation, turning and queuing assessments.

3. The engineering evidence establishing that the access can accommodate all proposed HGV and abnormal-load movements.

4. The arrangements for maintaining emergency access and managing temporary

obstruction of the principal construction entrance.

5. Doncaster Council's latest technical position on the revised SR-01 proposal.
6. Confirmation of which essential safety and operational measures are fixed before consent and how they will be secured.

7. These matters are directly relevant to determining whether Whitestone 1 can be safely constructed using the proposed access arrangements. Should fundamentally access safety or deliverability concerns remain unresolved and incapable of satisfactory mitigation, it is requested that the Examining Authority consider whether Whitestone 1 should be removed from the proposed Development Consent Order.

Many Thanks

Angela Eastwood
Interested Party reference [redacted]