

I object to the application on the grounds that

1. The site is a SSI and should be protected as such, otherwise it renders meaningless any such status.
2. The site has been subject to flooding and as such is unsuitable for development. In 1953 the great flood reconstituted the Wantsum Channel. In lieu of predicted climate warming, and resultant sea-level rises this would make this more likely.
3. The battery storage farm proposal combined with the flooding risk must be unacceptable with the potential for thermal runaway due to the short circuiting.