

I am disappointed NG have responded to our IP representations in a thematic way including Kent and Suffolk together. I do not believe the mitigation put forward by the applicant presently will prevent harm coming to East Suffolk for the following reasons.

1. There are no guarantees valuable farmland won't be lost to the industrialization of our landscape. There is no indication this farmland will be as productive as it was before Sealink works.
2. The applicant has minimised the impact of 7 day working on local residents and there appears to be no guaranteed limit to working hours. The applicant does not recognise the construction work and change of landscape will have a profound and long lasting impact on local residents well being and stress levels. There are no meaningful measures to manage the anxiety this will cause to people during the ongoing works.
3. I can see no commitment to longterm employment opportunities to local people and no real acknowledgement of the devastation to the local tourist economy during construction and beyond.
4. Biodiversity and ecology will be severely disrupted and no meaningful mitigation for its recovery.
5. The applicant believes national priority justifies this scheme but does not acknowledge it can be delivered more effectively by going offshore no real consideration has been given.
6. There is no real evidence of collaboration by the applicant to ensure that traffic is managed and emergency planning will protect residents from fire and flood.
7. No acknowledgement by the applicant of the cumulative impacts of the project whilst other snips take place in the area. The tenure of the applicants response lead me to believe they consider this to be a done deal and want to deliver this in the cheapest way possible with no concern for local residents. I strongly believe the planning examining authority should reject this application or ensure that the project causes the least harm to residents and the environment as possible.