

I am against change 1 - access to the hoverport, Kent. Foot traffic only is allowed in this natural habitat that is considered as much a part of this protected area as Pegwell Bay. This area of land is home to many different species of plants and animals and is a biodiversity hotspot. It is completely unsuitable for what is proposed. It is built on top of colliery spoil, meaning it is unstable and use of heavy machinery would almost certainly end in disaster and put the local community, local homes, roads and nature living there in danger. It is an area utilised by the local community to enjoy the unique habitat brought about by the minestone laid decades prior. I regularly take my children there to pick blackberries, sloe berries and see the many rabbits that live in the area. It has had no development for this reason and no exception will be made now or in future. National Grid has severely downplayed what this piece of land actually is and I know that no barriers or signage will keep the local community, like myself, out of this treasured area. I question this entire project, destroying and trampling protected and natural areas certainly isn't sustainable and doesn't abide by the clean, fair and affordable 'values'. None of this project is 'fair' to our local community or the nature that will lose its life to it. None of this will be 'clean' to our local environment and precious natural spaces and none of this will be 'affordable' when these spaces are lost forever because of company greed.