

The responses from National Grid do not adequately explain a number of things:

1 the mitigation of the effect of constructing an enormous concrete structure in the middle of an SSSI, or indeed any justification for using this vast quantity of high embedded carbon material.

2 Any consideration of other routes. this one just seems to be the cheapest rather than the best, the statement that making landfall at the Isle of Grain is too far west, doesn't consider any possible locations or the pros and cons of any locations from Faversham to Margate and beyond.

Sea levels are rising, there has been little explanation of how this structure can be future proofed.

The whole plan seems ill thought out and the arrogant unyielding attitude to criticism is never a good portent for large infrastructure projects.