

My comments refer to the key points:

Lack of transparency over use of the hoverport – National Grid have been very inconsistent about how they've said they'll use the hoverport. Why not?

Environmental issues – NG haven't carried out any ecological surveys nor have they assessed how the very fragile surface will cope with a lot of heavy machinery.

Why not?

Impact on health and wellbeing - NG have assessed this impact as 'insignificant'. NG say that much of the hoverport will still be accessible. But a narrow strip by the cliffs next to a building site is not exactly what most of us value about the hoverport. This is a significant reduction and negative change.