

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [South East Anglia Link](#)  
**Subject:** [REDACTED] Compulsory Acquisition Hearing Action point 27  
**Date:** 20 April 2026 14:09:55

---

Trudy Duffield and Matthew Garrard [REDACTED]

As owners of the above property we wish to formally object to compulsory acquisition of plots 1/2, 1/4, 1/5, 1/7, 1/8 1/9, 1/10, 1/11 as this will directly affect our property and we have outlined our objections throughout the Sealink process. We are affected persons for the purpose of the Planning Act 2008 and interested parties and our interested party reference numbers are above. We continue to formally object to this development.

The plots outlined would significantly affect our rights to a private and family life as located very close to our property with the development limits now extending to our boundary and we have been identified as having category 3 interests. There would prolonged long term harm in terms of noise, vibration, dust traffic and artificial lighting. These effects would last beyond the construction period into the future blighting our latter years which we wish to enjoy in our home. The scheme would result in a degradation of our homes setting and is wholly inappropriate in a rural setting. The applicant has made no formal mechanism to compensate me for the blight and reduction of value of our home. There are no formal compensation measures made by the applicant to address this. The applicant is going forward with compulsory purchase but has not demonstrated the need adequately to justify this. We are aware as local homeowners that there are better alternatives to delivering this scheme. There has been no meaningful engagement by the applicant to avoid, mitigate or reduce the impacts on our property and the surrounding rural landscape. This failure undermines the justification for the exercise of compulsory powers. Cumulative impacts on us as property owners have not been considered effectively and I maintain my objection to the compulsory acquisition and or temporary possession of land within the order limits.

I hope that the examining authority will agree that the impact of compulsory purchase within this scheme will have disproportionate and unjustified impacts on interested parties and that the Applicant has not met the statutory tests required to justify compulsory purchase. I apologise for the use of emotive language but this scheme will blight the rural landscape of [REDACTED] and our property sits within this as one of the original Lodges of [REDACTED]. Suffolk is being unfairly blighted by energy infrastructure and we believe these special landscapes need to be preserved for not just ourselves but future generations. The impact of this development will severely effect our health and socioeconomic wellbeing and there is nothing within the Applicants submissions that will adequately compensate us for this.

Trudy Duffield and Matthew Garrard.