

Throughout this process, it has been totally clear that National Grid have consistently prioritised their shareholders interests over those of local communities and the tax payer. Starting with the site chosen for onshore infrastructure being the easiest to access the grid, time and again failing to provide comparisons of alternate options - such as the sort of offshore infrastructure that is now being commissioned for other wind farms.

They have failed to provide a response to SEAS' needs case argument. They have failed to fully consider the effect of the additional load in terms of traffic and economic disruption that their proposal adds to all the other NSIP projects in a very small area.

The impression given to local communities is simply that this is a fait accompli, that they do not need to provide us with answers to the thousands of questions that have been asked. They are no doubt delighted with the rise in their share price and their senior team will be delighted with the size of bonus to which this will give rise.

Individuals effected by all the developments in this area (Suffolk Coast) have spent millions of pounds of private money in putting up alternative options and identifying error after error in NG's application and feel that NG have tried to ride rough shod over the Inspector as well as themselves. Please demand answers to the questions and concerns posed by SEAS, Suffolk Coastal DC and Suffolk County Council. Failure to respond satisfactorily must lead to their application being refused. They should not be allowed more time.