

Submission ID: S349E9F09


This representation is made on behalf of Griffin Farms who own land impacted by the proposals.  
The respondent wishes to see the order limits reduced and a pylon more reasonably placed on a field boundary  
The attached document explains the position

# Norwich to Tilbury Deadline 1 Submission


Respondent: Griffin Farms Ltd

Position of Respondent: Freeholder

Property: NK523970



The image above shows the interaction between the Respondents land ownership and the Applicants proposed scheme



The Respondent seeks the removal of the white area, noted as Redundant Area, from the Order Limits.

The Applicant included two options over this land and that to the North at the early stages of design and has since settled on the western route, as shown on all application documents.

The Respondent considers the ongoing inclusion of the Redundant Area to be an unnecessary blight and burden on their property and seeks to understand why the Applicant has retained it within the Order Limits.

The Respondent seeks the repositioning of Pylon RG07 to a location approximately 30m further south, as shown by point GF07.

The position of RG07 will impede future use of the field for agriculture and result in 'dead ground'. Whilst understanding that this is inevitable in some cases, the distance to the field boundary to the south is so modest that it seems unreasonable not to make a better attempt to position the pylon on said boundary.

