

I wholeheartedly disagree that this planned pylon route is correct for Essex county. I REJECT the costings that undersea is more expensive- because of the beneficial impact that this undersea route will have on the economy. I reject the way that national grid have gone about their consultations, relying on protestors to investigate key issues along the route on their behalf. I reject that national grid have the country's best interests in mind, and this is very much a quick get it done solution rather than listening to the issues we have all legitimately have with this project. The impact on agricultural businesses should not be undermined or undervalued. I reject that this route is solely for the purpose of bringing electricity to London. The government should not be blindsided to businesses such as national grid and their share holders. They do not have the interest of the county at heart and are only interested in profits for the share holders. It is time Great Britain was great again and stood up to these type of bullies. No short sighted planning, a full consideration and counter costing alternatives with independent businesses that can achieve smaller underground channeling, or cheaper off shore cabling. The effect this route will have on our property will be devastating. The suggestion of a community fund to resolve these issues is so greatly undervalued per household and this is not factored into the costing of raising this route across the countryside. What devaluation of our country will it cost? How much wildlife is expected to be crushed in the production and installation and final use? This information has been hidden, slighted and not clear to the general public. Each home should be valued and represented accurately and paid by national grid for any loss of income.

Health implications need to be reviewed - emf ruling needs to be updated to consider newer environmental issues- wildfires, carbon collection on the actual cables, dust from crop growing for example. The increased flood risk from all the new areas that will be concreted and made into hard standings. I urge the goveerent and all bodies looking into this planning development to really think and consider this for 15,20, 60 years time. Is this type of build really appropriate.