



## Meeting note

<b>File reference</b>	TR010022
<b>Status</b>	<b>Final</b>
<b>Author</b>	Callan Burchell
<b>Date</b>	26 July 2016
<b>Meeting with</b>	Highways England
<b>Venue</b>	Telecom from Temple Quay House, Bristol
<b>Attendees</b>	Monica Corso Griffiths – Project Manager Katy Leach – Assistant Project Manager Simon Betts – AECOM Planning Lead  Susannah Guest - Infrastructure Planning Lead Stephanie Newman – EIA Advisor Callan Burchell - Assistant Case Officer
<b>Meeting objectives</b>	A38 Project Update Meeting.
<b>Circulation</b>	All attendees

### **Summary of key points discussed and advice given:**

The developer was reminded of the Planning Inspectorate's openness policy that any advice given will be recorded and published on the planning portal website under s51 of the Planning Act 2008 (as amended by the Localism Act 2011) (PA 2008) and that any advice given does not constitute legal advice upon which the developer (or others) can rely.

Introductions were made by everyone present, and individual roles were explained.

### **General Update**

The developer provided a general project update since the previous meeting. In summary, the developer outlined several route options that have been considered as a result of on-going engagement with the Breadsall A38 Action Group (BAAG) and the wider Little Eaton Stakeholder Reference Group.

The developer provided further information on the route options that have been considered most recently. The developer outlined the proposed route options *2a*, *2b*, *X* and *X1* as recommended by Little Eaton Parish Council and the BAAG, outlining the fit between the proposals and the stated objectives of the scheme. The developer informed the Inspectorate that it has been proposed that no further option recommendations will be considered at this stage of the scheme development.

However the developer did note that there will be a future statutory consultation period.

The developer informed the Inspectorate that the Environmental Assessment Report (EAR) is progressing. The developer informed the Inspectorate that currently there is nothing unexpected arising from this work but noted that there was still assessment work to be undertaken in respect of air quality and noise. The developer informed the Inspectorate that there were currently no issues with gaining site access to undertake Ground Investigation works.

The developer highlighted that they have been in discussion with local District, Borough and County authorities and have prepared a Technical Note for the Steering Group in respect of the role of the organisations in the Planning Act process. The developer confirmed that the material also provided signposts to the [Planning Inspectorate's Advice Note series](#).

The Planning Inspectorate enquired about any update in respect of Public Open Space and potential exchange land. The developer informed the Inspectorate that several discussions had occurred with Derby City Council and that approval in principle was granted for a proposed exchange land area, but that no detailed design work was being conducted at this stage.

The Planning Inspectorate queried the progress relating to Compulsory Acquisition matters. The developer informed the Inspectorate that matters and discussions were still on-going with relevant parties.

The developer informed the Inspectorate that the Preferred Route Announcement is anticipated for December 2016.

### **Lessons Learnt**

The Planning Inspectorate reminded the developer of the importance of undertaking a thorough review of those who it considers should be included as part of any statutory consultation and noted that if the red line boundary changes this can cause small but significant changes to the individuals, organisations, bodies who should be consulted under relevant regulations.

### **Actions / Next steps**

- Project update meeting tentatively scheduled for early September 2016.