

A303 Amesbury to Berwick Down TR010025

6.3 Environmental Statement Appendices

Appendix 4.2 Major Accidents and Disasters Long List

APFP Regulation 5(2)(a)

Planning Act 2008

Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009

October 2018





| | Major Event | Relevance to the Proposed Scheme | Potential Receptors | Include on Long List? |
|-----|--|---|---|--------------------------|
| | Natural Disasters | | | |
| 1 | Geological disasters | | | |
| 1.1 | Avalanches and landslides | The Geotechnical team will consider this risk as a fundamental part of their design. This will ensure that the risk is designed out, both in terms of the vulnerability of the Scheme to these types of event, and also in terms of the potential for the Scheme to increase the risk of such an event happening. There is considered to be no receptor that could therefore be of greater risk. | N/A | No |
| 1.2 | | The site is not in a geologically active area and as such earthquakes are not considered to be a risk to or as a result of the propsoed Scheme | N/A | No |
| 1.3 | Sinkholes | Although this is likely to be covered in the geotechnical design, there are sufficient examples of roads that have been affected by sinkholes to warrant taking this event forward for further consideration. | Road users | Yes |
| 1.4 | Volcanic eruptions | Although volcanic eruptions can impact on air travel, for example, it is considered highly unlikely that an ash cloud could significantly impact on any aspect of the A303 project. | N/A | No |
| 2 | Hydrological disasters | | | |
| 2.1 | Floods | Both the vulnerability of the project to flooding, and its potential to exacerbate flooding, are to be covered in the Flood Risk Assessment and will also be reported in EIA terms in the of the ES, both in terms of the risk to the A303 Project and increased risk due to the A303 project. | Road users, property and people in areas of increased flood risk. | Yes |
| 2.2 | Tsunami/Storm surge | Not applicable as not in a coastal location. | N/A | No |
| 3 | Meteorological disasters | | | |
| | Blizzards | Blizzard conditions could cause road users to be trapped on the road, however the risk is no different from other roads/road users in the UK, and as such is not considered further. | N/A | No |
| 3.2 | | No - not applicable to the climate of the UK | N/A | No |
| 3.3 | Droughts | Droughts are only considered as a disaster due to water shortages for essential services and where there are indirect impacts on food production, loss of soils etc. The Scheme is not considered to be vulnerable to, or a potential contributor to drought. | N/A | No |
| 3.4 | Lightning Strikes | The viaduct is elevated, so some consideration will be given to the potential risk of lightning strikes, though the risk is not considered to be any greater than any other road bridge. | Road users | Yes |
| 3.5 | Hailstorms | No | N/A | No |
| 3.6 | Heat waves | Some consideration will be given to the potential for the tunnel to be more sensitive to heat wave conditions, though it is likely that a tunnel will be less sensitive than the open road, which is directly exposed to the sun. | Road users | Yes |
| 3.7 | | Although tornadoes do occur in the UK, their destructive force tends to be much less than in other parts of the world and the Scheme is not particularly vulner able to any potential effects. | N/A | No |
| 3.8 | Wildfires | There may be some potential for scrub, grassland or heathland fires, though the risk is no greater than the existing road. The reduced accident rate means the risk of an RTA causing a fire is reduced. | Road users, habitats and species. | Yes |
| 3.9 | Air Quality Events | Although relevant, as vehicles emissions can contribute to poor air quality, it is not considered necessary to undertake any more assessment than is already proposed for the Air Quality assessment. | Road users and local residents | Yes |
| 5 | Space disasters | | | |
| 5.1 | Impact avants and airburst | The Scheme is considered to be no more vulnerable than any other development. | N/A | No |
| 5.2 | Solar flare | Solar flares can interrupt radio and other electronic communications. The increased reliance on roadside technology could mean the new scheme is more vulnerable than | Road users | Yes |
| 6 | Transport | the existing route. | | |
| | Road Accidents | The risk posed by spillage from hazardous loads as a result of a road traffic accident e.g. fuel tankers will be considered in the Road Drainage and Water Environment Chapter. Although military vehicles may use the road, they already use the existing road, and given the reduced accident rate, it is unlikely that there will be any increase in relation to Major Events. | Road users, aquatic environment. | Yes |
| 6.2 | | No railways located close to the scheme. | N/A | No |
| 6.3 | Aircraft Disasters | Although there is an RAF base in the vicinity, it is to the east of Amesbury, and there is not considered to be an increased risk to road users. Potential increases in bird strike risk, as a result of any habitat creation, will need to be discussed with the MoD safeguarding team. | Road users, pilots and aircraft. | Yes |
| | Maritime Disasters | The proposed Scheme is remote from coastal areas | N/A | No |
| | Engineering Accidents/Failures | A sind set and fluores for some of a College | Dand | Vaa |
| | Bridge Failure Tuppel Collapse or Fire | A viaduct and flyoncer form part of the design. A tunnel forms a key part of the road design. | Road users | Yes |
| | Tunnel Collapse or Fire Dam Failure | Will be a consideration in the flood risk assessment | Road users Road users | Yes Yes |
| | Flood Defence Failure | The flood risk assessment would consider potential breaches of flood defences. | Road users | Yes |
| | Mast and Tower Collapse | Can be designed out through separation distances for wind turbines, communications towers etc, but needs to remain on the long list for now. There are no buildings sufficiently close to the Scheme to present a significant | Road users | Yes |
| | Building failure or fire Utilities failure (gas, electricity, water, sewage, | risk | N/A | No |
| | oil, communications) Industrial Accidents - historical and existing | High pressure gas pipeline - check for others | Road users | Yes |
| 8 | risks. Defence industry/military accidents | No defence manufacturing nearby, however there is a nearby military base and | Road users | Yes |
| 5.1 | 2 S. C. 100 M. dustry fillitury declucines | munition storage, as well as some potential for UXO. | | |

1



| | | | | 1 |
|------|---------------------------------------|--|------------|-----|
| 8.2 | Energy Industry (fossil fuel) | No petrochemical refinery, storage or power generation nearby | N/A | No |
| 8.3 | Nuclear Power | None nearby | N/A | No |
| 8.4 | Oil and gas refinery/storage | None nearby | N/A | No |
| 8.5 | Food Industry | None nearby | N/A | No |
| 8.6 | Chemical Industry | None nearby | N/A | No |
| 8.7 | Manufacturing Industry | None nearby | N/A | No |
| 8.8 | Mining Industry | Potential for current or past mining activity in the vicinity to lead to unstable ground | Road users | Yes |
| 0.0 | | conditions. | | |
| 9 | Terrorism/Crime/Civil unrest | | | |
| 9.1 | Bomb/vehicle attack on people | Possibility that the tunnel could be a target for a terrorist attack. | Road users | Yes |
| 9.2 | Bomb/vehicle attack on Infrastructure | Possibility that the tunnel could be a target for a terrorist attack. | Road users | Yes |
| 9.3 | Mass shooting | Unlikely to be more of a target for this type of incident due to low number of exposed | N/A | No |
| 9.3 | | targets. | IN/A | |
| 9.4 | Chemical/gas attack | Unlikely to be more of a target for this type of incident due to low number of exposed | N/A | No |
| 9.4 | | targets. | N/A | 140 |
| 9.5 | Rioting | Highly unlikely to occur in such a rural location. | N/A | No |
| 9.6 | Cyber attacks | the increasing reliance on roadside technology could render the Scheme | Road users | Yes |
| 9.6 | | more vulnerable to a cyber attack. | | |
| 10 | War | | | |
| 10.1 | Conventional | No more vulnerable than any other infrastructure. | N/A | No |
| 10.2 | Chemical | No more vulnerable than any other infrastructure. | N/A | No |
| 10.3 | Nuclear | No more vulnerable than any other infrastructure. | N/A | No |
| 11 | Disease | | | |
| 11.1 | Human disease | No more vulnerable than any other infrastructure. | N/A | No |
| 11.2 | Animal disease | No more vulnerable than any other infrastructure. | N/A | No |
| 11.3 | Plant disease | No more vulnerable than any other infrastructure. | N/A | No |
| | | | - | |

If you need help accessing this or any other Highways England information, please call **0300 123 5000** and we will help you.



© Crown copyright 2018.

You may re-use this information (not including logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence. To view this licence:

visit www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/ write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU, or email psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk.

This document is also available on our website at www.gov.uk/highways

If you have any enquiries about this document email $\underline{info@a303stonehenge.co.uk}$ or call $0300\ 123\ 5000^*.$

*Calls to 03 numbers cost no more than a national rate call to an 01 or 02 number and must count towards any inclusive minutes in the same way as 01 and 02 calls.

These rules apply to calls from any type of line including mobile, BT, other fixed line or payphone. Calls may be recorded or monitored.

Registered office Bridge House, 1 Walnut Tree Close, Guildford GU1 4LZ Highways England Company Limited registered in England and Wales number 09346363