From: Lower Thames Crossing To: Cc:

Principle Areas of Disagreement (PADS) Tracker - Cadent Gas Limited Subject:

10 March 2023 16:11:17 Date:

image001.jpg image002.png Attachments:

Dear Sir/Madam

Please find below a Principal Areas of Disagreement (PADS Tracker) sent on behalf of Cadent Gas Limited in response to the Examining Authority's Procedural Decision Notice dated 19^{th} December 2022.

No.	Principle Issue	The brief	Proposed	SoCG	LTC Comment
	_	concern held	resolution	Reference	
1.	Work No. G5 (HP9) – Insufficient Land Rights (Plot 28-130)	Cadent raised concerns with the Applicant on the 4 th October 2022, that it required permanent rights over plot 28-130 which is currently shown as land for temporary use only.	Cadent requests that this plot is amended to blue and includes rights for the benefit of Cadent within Schedule 8. Cadent requires permanent rights for the ongoing maintenance, protection and access of this diversion.	2.1.1	The Project is not considering promoting any changes to the Application at this point. While the suggested change is desirable to Cadent, it is not considered that the change is absolutely necessary for Cadent to exercise its powers to install, maintain, protect and access its assets.
2.	Work No. G7 (HP6) Green Lane Pond Interface	Cadent has raised concerns since conceptual design with LTC that the pond west of the A122, north of Green Lane [POS11-002], is proposed in proximity to the diverted Horndon to Clockhouse Lane pipeline (Works No.G7) HP6 cannot be delivered in this	The Applicant to provide updated design and demonstrate that there is no longer a conflict between the location of proposed attenuation ponds and Cadent's diversion in this location.	2.1.6	The Applicant acknowledges that this conflict in the preliminary design exists, as made known at SoCG item 2.1.6, and is capable of being resolved at the detailed design stage given the limits of deviation of the respective works within the Order once all of the Works alignments and associated constraints are

i	I				1
		location unless			known to the
		changes are			Project.
		made to Cadent's			
		satisfaction.			This is reflect in
					the SoCG which
					states that
					"National
					Highways will
					work with Cadent
					during the detailed
					design to ensure
					the pond and the
					pipeline have the
					required separations for
					operation and
					construction of the
					pond. This matter
					is made known to
					the detailed
					designer via
					inclusion within
					the Designers Risk
					Management Tool.
					To be considered
					for inclusion in the
					Side Agreement."
					Could you clarify
					whether Cadent is
					seeking some
					further
					commitment in the
					side agreement in
					this regard and if
					so, what?
3.	Work No. G6	Cadent has raised	To compensate	2.1.12	The Project will
	(HP8) Stopple	concerns in	and achieve an		continue to liaise
		relation to the tie	acceptable		with the
		in location near	ground clearance		landowner on
		to Baker Street AGI. The Under	from fitting it		Cadent's behalf and obtain the
		Pressure	will require import of more		information
		Connections that	topsoil to		required regarding
		will remain on	increase depth of		how the landowner
		the Cadent asset	stopple location.		uses and will
		after the tie in	The Applicant		continue to use the
		complete do not	will need to gain		land for the
		give the correct	approval from		consideration of
		level of clearance	Landowner.		Cadent regarding
		from Ground			the clearances
		Level to tope of			between the valves
		fitting. LTC			that will remain
		have been unable to obtain			and the equipment on the earth above
		Landowners			them.
		details as to			tiiCiii.
		confirm plough			In the instance that
1	I	-ommin prougn			1

ĺ		depth.			these clearances are not sufficient,
					the Project will
					consult with the
					landowner regarding the
					possible
					importation of
					more topsoil to
					provide sufficient
					clearance.
4.	Work No. G10	Cadent has	The Applicant to	2.1.11 &	The Project is
	(HP1)	concerns that the	provide	2.1.14	mindful of
	Construction	Order land is not sufficient to	assurances that it will provide		Cadent's concerns with regards to the
	Working Areas &	enable access,	additional land if		existing pipeline
	Construction Access	temporary works	required or		diversion
	Access	during	amend the DCO		associated with
		construction, and	to include		Works No G10
		additional	additional land.		and any existing
		working areas to			pipeline in the
		undertake decommissioning			area. The Project
		works for this			is exploring different avenues
		diversion.			to address
					Cadent's concerns
					and will continue
					to engage with
					Cadent regarding
		Cadent has	Th. A		this.
		concerns for the	The Applicant to provide		
		safe access from	assurances that it		
		the M25 to	will provide		
		Cadent work site	agreement with		
		and welfare.	National		
		LTC have not	Highways		
		provided clear access solution.	operations, ALL traffic		
		access solution.	management		
			throughout the		
			works and any		
			applications for		
			lane closure etc		
			required from the M25 operator		
			National		
			Highways. The		
			Applicant to		
			consider		
			amending DCO		
			for appropriate access.		
5.	Doommissississ	Cadent has	The Applicant is	2.1.11	The Project and
٥.	Decommissioning of pipelines	concerns that the	to provide	۵.1.11	Cadent are in
	oi hiheiiiies	Order and its	Cadent with		active discussion
		limits do not	assurance that		about the
		include all	adequate land,		appropriate

		decommissioning activities and works to be undertaken by Cadent. In particular, access to land and rights will be required for an extensive section of land to enable the decommissioning of Cadent's existing pipeline which is to be replaced by Work Number G6.	rights and consents have been included within the DCO to allow Cadent to undertake decommissioning works and surrender liability of assets.		approach to take with regards to Cadent's decommissioned pipeline which are outside the OL boundary in the context of the side agreement.
6.	Division of responsibility	Responsibilities for delivering mitigation, enabling works and discharge of conditions remains unclear.	The Applicant is to confirm and clarify responsibility for Pre-Construction activities such as mitigation works, enabling works and surveys and discharge of conditions.	2.1.4	As per Section 4 of the CoCP, "National Highways is responsible for the delivery of the Project and its implementation. However, National Highways will appoint Contractors and agents to implement the Project". As per conversations with Cadent, the appointed Delivery Partner (contractor) will undertake all necessary preparatory surveys, mitigation and enabling works in order to allow Cadent's contractors to commence works regarding the diversion, protection and removal of Cadent's assets. This matter is to be agreed separately between

				the parties.
Kind Regards Vicky				
?	Compulsory Pu Ardent The Co Birmingham, B4 Mobile:	n AssocRICS Landurchase & Consentsolmore Building, 20 C	5	
Follow	v Ardent on LinkedIn			