A57 Link Roads (previously known as Trans Pennine Upgrade Programme) Planning Inspectorate Reference: TR010034

Peter Simon (A57L-001) - Deadline 12 Submission

Response to - Applicant's **Document 9.91**, "The Applicant's response to the letter to the Examining Authority from Interested Parties submitted at Deadline 10 - Revision 1.0"

On P12 of this document **9.91** The Applicant continues to maintain

"An assessment of the impacts of the forecast increases in traffic flow due to the Scheme on Glossop, Hadfield and Padfield, including Dinting Road, Shaw Lane, Hadfield Road and Cemetery Road, has been undertaken. This assessment has found that the impacts of the Scheme on these roads are insufficient to result in any significant adverse effects that require mitigation".

For the record I therefore need to restate that I have queried the lack of referenced evidence for such a position on several occasions. Most recently at D11 I wrote:

2. Here in this D11 representation I further consider the lack of precision and selective indifference of the Applicant's approach to impacts on the affected road network outside the immediate DCO area. In the Applicant's response to my REP9-049 it emerges that an assessment they claim to have to made of impacts of the Scheme on local villages in fact cannot be identified. The Applicant therefore simply falls back on a claim of "professional judgment" again notably unreferenced and simply anecdotal. NH can produce no reasoned case with evidence that any meaningful or safe conclusion has been reached here. (Ps 1 &2 - REP11-024 – my highlighting).

As the Examination reaches its nominal end I can continue to demonstrate therefore that, whilst repeatedly sought, no such referenced "assessment" has been supplied by the Applicant to the Examination. So within this 9.1 document this continues to be an unsubstantiated claim on the Applicant's part and the threat, as identified by the LHA, from the Scheme to the Villages of Hadfield and Padfield remains unresolved.