

### A1 in Northumberland: Morpeth to Ellingham

Scheme Number: TR010059

# 7.6C Statement of Common Ground with Environment Agency

Rule 8 (1)(e)

Planning Act 2008

Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010



#### Infrastructure Planning

Planning Act 2008

## The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009

## The A1 in Northumberland: Morpeth to Ellingham

Development Consent Order 20[xx]

## Statement of Common Ground with Environment Agency

Rule Reference:	Rule 8 (1)(e)
Planning Inspectorate Scheme	TR010059
Reference:	
Doc Reference:	7.6C
Author:	A1 in Northumberland: Morpeth to Ellingham
Addion	Project Team, Highways England

Version	Date	Status of Version
Rev 1	March 2021	Deadline 4



### **CONTENTS**

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	PURPOSE OF THIS DOCUMENT	1
1.2	PARTIES TO THIS STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND	1
1.3	TERMINOLOGY	1
2	RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT	3
3	ISSUES	15
	TABLES	
	Table 2-1 - Record of Engagement for the Whole Scheme	4
	Table 2-2 - Record of Engagement for Part A Only	5
	Table 2-3 - Record of Engagement for Part B Only	12
	Table 3-1 - Issues Related to the Whole Scheme	15
	Table 3-2 - Issues Related to Part A Only	16
	Table 3-3 - Record of Engagement for Part B Only	17



#### 1 INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 PURPOSE OF THIS DOCUMENT

- 1.1.1. This Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) relates to an application made by Highways England (the Applicant) on 7 July 2020 to the Secretary of State (SoS) for Transport via Planning Inspectorate (the Inspectorate) under the Planning Act 2008 (the 2008 Act) for a Development Consent Order (DCO). The application was accepted for examination by the Inspectorate on 4 August 2020.
- 1.1.2. If made, the DCO would grant consent for the A1 in Northumberland, Morpeth to Ellingham (the Scheme). The Scheme is formed of two parts as follows: A1 Morpeth to Felton (Part A) and A1 Alnwick to Ellingham (Part B). A detailed description of the Scheme can be found in Chapter 2: The Scheme of the Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-037].
- 1.1.3. This SoCG does not seek to replicate information which is available elsewhere within the Application documents. All documents are available on the Inspectorate website <a href="https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/projects/North%20East/A1-in-Northumberland---Morpeth-to-Ellingham/">https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/projects/North%20East/A1-in-Northumberland---Morpeth-to-Ellingham/</a>
- 1.1.4. The SoCG has been produced to confirm to the Examining Authority where agreement has been reached between the parties to it, and where agreement has not (yet) been reached. SoCGs are an established means in the planning process of allowing all parties to identify and so focus on specific issues that may need to be addressed during the examination.

#### 1.2 PARTIES TO THIS STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND

- 1.2.1. Highways England became the Government-owned Strategic Highways Company on 1 April 2015. It is the highway authority in England for the strategic road network and has the necessary powers and duties to operate, manage, maintain and enhance the network. Regulatory powers remain with the SoS. The legislation establishing Highways England made provision for all legal rights and obligations of the Highways Agency, including in respect of the Application, to be conferred upon or assumed by Highways England.
- 1.2.2. The Environment Agency is an executive non-departmental public body, sponsored by the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs with the stated purpose "to protect or enhance the environment, taken as a whole". Within England it is responsible for, amongst other things: regulating major industry and waste; treatment of contaminated land; water quality and resources; fisheries; inland river, estuary and harbour navigations; conservation and ecology; and managing the risk of flooding from main rivers, reservoirs, estuaries and the sea.

#### 1.3 TERMINOLOGY

1.3.1. In the tables in the Issues chapter of this SoCG, "Not Agreed" indicates a final position, and "Under discussion" where these points will be the subject of on-going discussion wherever



possible to resolve, or refine, the extent of disagreement between the parties. "Agreed" indicates where the issue has been resolved.

1.3.2. It can be taken that any matters not specifically referred to in the Issues chapter of this SoCG are not of material interest or relevance to the Environment Agency, and therefore have not been the subject of any discussions between the parties. As such, those matters can be read as agreed, only to the extent that they are either not of material interest or relevance to the Environment Agency.



#### 2 RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT

- 2.1.1. A summary of the meetings and correspondence that has taken place between Highways England and the Environment Agency in relation to the Application is outlined in Table 2-1.
- 2.1.2. This table has been split to reflect discussions held on Part A, Part B and the Scheme as a whole. This reflects the history of the Scheme. Part A and Part B were originally proposed to be the subject of separate applications for DCOs but were combined into the current single Scheme.
- 2.1.3. Engagement detailed within the separate sections for Part A and Part B relates to discussions held prior to the combination of the two parts of the Scheme in March 2020. All engagement following combination is detailed under the header for the Scheme.
- 2.1.4. Further meetings have been held with the Environment Agency to discuss this SoCG on 4<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> March. An additional meeting is scheduled for 19<sup>th</sup> March and 23<sup>rd</sup> April 2021.



Table 2-1 - Record of Engagement for the Whole Scheme

Date	Form of correspondence	Key Topics Discussed and Key Outcomes
07 October 2020	Telephone call with Environment Agency	Key topics  Discussion of comments received in September 2020 following Environment Agency review of Part A draft Chapter 10 Road Drainage and the Water Environment appendices dated January 2020 (Appendix 10.1 Flood Risk Assessment, [APP-254] Appendix 10.2 Water Framework Directive Assessment, [APP-255] Appendix 10.3. Drainage Network Water Quality Assessment [APP-256] Appendix 10.4 Geomorphology Assessment) [APP-257].  Discussion of proposed movement of River Coquet Bridge piers as part of Parameter 10 amendments.  Discussion of approach to agreement of SoCG.  Key outcomes  Method of assessing Parameter 10 amendments to be agreed.  Summary of proposed works to watercourses and mitigation to be provided by Highways England to support future discussions.  Lucy Mo (Environment Agency) to coordinate preparation of SoCG on behalf of Environment Agency.
27 November 2020	Telephone call with Environment Agency	Key topics Discussion of proposed changes to DCO application with regards to land stabilisation works in River Coquet.  Key outcomes Timescales for assessments required to support proposed changes to DCO application discussed. Agreement that further consultation required.
07 December 2020	Telephone call with Environment Agency and Natural England	Key topics Discussion of potential for changes to DCO application with regards to land stabilisation works in River Coquet.  Key outcomes Programme and scope for further assessment agreed with focus on potential impacts to SSSI, biodiversity and fluvial geomorphology.
10 December 2020	Telephone call with Environment Agency	<ul> <li>Key Topics</li> <li>The Environment Agency's Relevant Representations for Geomorphology were discussed with the Environment Agency's Geomorphologist. A method for quantitative 2D geomorphological modelling was presented by Highways England, which would provide the certainty sought in the Relevant Representations.</li> <li>Key items discussed: <ul> <li>Explanation of the proposed 2D modelling methodology using LiDAR;</li> <li>Reasoning for not adjusting bed level of the LiDAR due to only having one cross-section, therefore any error in bed level would be systematic through the model;</li> </ul> </li> </ul>



		<ul> <li>How the Applicant had accounted for varying bed roughness in the model – we discussed and agreed these values on the call;</li> <li>Limitations of the approach;</li> <li>Shared preliminary results showing shear stress for both baseline and the proposed scheme for the 2008 flood level;</li> <li>Shared preliminary results of Froude for both baseline and proposed;</li> <li>Agreed what flood return periods we would include within the model runs;</li> <li>AL agreed that the preliminary results are showing no relative change.</li> </ul>
		Key Outcomes
		The Environment Agency's Geomorphologist accepted the proposed methodological approach for demonstrating any relative change to geomorphological indicators and for addressing the Relevant Representations. The Environment Agency Geomorphologist stated that if the results show similar conclusions to those reported in the submitted reports, then it will provide him with the confidence he needs.
16 December 2020	Telephone call with Environment Agency and Natural England	Key topics  Discussion of potential for changes to the DCO application associated with proposed land stabilisation works and temporary bridge in the River Coquet.
		Key outcomes
		The drivers, extent, nature and programme of proposed works were clarified along with further discussion of potential impacts, required mitigation and scope/approach of assessment of effects.
16 February 2021	Skype Call between Andy Smith and Joanna Goodwin on behalf of the applicant and Lucy Mo of the EA.	Key topics  Discussion in the EA's view of the DCO application and the draft SoCG. Also, a discussion of the revisions to the Scheme that are being consulted on ahead of Deadline 4.
		Key outcomes
		It was agreed that further meetings would be held 19th March and 23rd April 2021 to progress the SoCG.

Table 2-2 - Record of Engagement for Part A Only

Date	Form of correspondence	Key Topics Discussed and Key Outcomes
09 January 2018	Meeting with Environment Agency and Northumberland County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA)	
		Methodology for Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) (including hydraulic modelling, assessment of embankments and design of watercourse crossings) and 25% climate change allowances agreed to be included in the hydrology. EA highlighted that permitting could be included in DCO application if appropriate level of detail provided, or would be applied for as a separate application if detail not available.



Date	Form of correspondence	Key Topics Discussed and Key Outcomes
19 January 2018	Conference call with Environment Agency	Key topics  Discussion regarding stakeholder requirements and to review the available WFD information and agree (in principle) the methodology, appropriate mitigation and management options during both construction and operation.  Key outcomes  Methodology for Water Framework Directive (WFD) Assessment (including HAWRAT) agreed – no further action required.  Potential mitigation and management options would need to be developed further during the assessment. The Northumberland Rivers Trust and wider catchment projects were discussed.
06 February 2018	Email from Highways England to Environment Agency (Lucy Mo, Planning Technical Specialist)	Key topics Initial email from Highways England to Environment Agency to discuss ecological matters in relation to the proposed A1 Morpeth to Felton Scheme (i.e. Part A).  Key Outcomes Request for confirmation of the appropriate person within the Environment Agency with which to engage about ecological matters in relation to Part A. A meeting was arranged for 06 March 2018 (as detailed below).
03 March 2018	Email from Highways England to Environment Agency (Lucy Mo, Planning Technical Specialist)	Key Topics  Prior to the meeting scheduled for 06 March 2018 (See below), Highways England issued a document to the Environment Agency with an overview of the aquatic and riparian mammal surveys that had been undertaken to date and a summary of their findings (Appendix A). In addition, figures extracted from the baseline reports were also provided for reference.  Key Outcomes  The contents of the document were discussed during the meeting on 06 March 2018 (detailed below).
06 March 2018	Meeting between Highways England and the Environment Agency (Heather Harrison, Northumberland Catchment Coordinator; Sarah Beeson, Biodiversity Officer; and Robbie Stevenson, Fisheries Officer)	Key Topics  A preliminary meeting between Highways England and the Environment Agency to discuss ecological matters. Following the issue of the aquatic and riparian mammal survey summary document (issued by Highways England on 03 March 2018 (see above), Highways England requested feedback on the information provided.  In addition, the following matters were discussed during the meeting: water quality, culverts, biosecurity, water vole and otter, River Coquet and the Water Frameworks Directive (WFD) Assessment.  Key Outcomes  Aquatics and Riparian Mammal Surveys



Date	Form of correspondence	Key Topics Discussed and Key Outcomes
		The Environment Agency confirmed that the survey effort was suitable, and they did not have any significant issues with the survey work completed. Highways England explained that due to access, aquatics surveys could not be undertaken upstream of the location where Part A crosses the River Lyne and Floodgate Burn. The Environment Agency agreed that this was not a significant issue. Highways England confirmed that they intended to extrapolate the data recorded elsewhere along these watercourses to inform the impact assessment.
		Water Quality
		It was agreed by both parties that the potentially most significant impact from Part A is likely to be water quality, both from direct impacts to watercourses and also from run-off. The Environment Agency stated that of particularly importance are Longdike Burn and the River Lyne, which are both designated as WFD classification rivers.
		The Environment Agency explained that there are existing/proposed works to improve the condition of the River Lyne, however, there have been significant impacts from run-off and modification (not related to the Scheme) that have decreased the value of the water courses. The Environment Agency confirmed that the current state of the watercourse is considered to be the "new norm". The Environment Agency confirmed that two previous projects along the River Lyne aimed to improve eel and fish passage and deal with rural diffuse. The Environment Agency confirmed that these issues are considered key with regard to the River Lyne.
		The Environment Agency stated that mitigation and compensation for the Scheme should ensure that there is no impact/deterioration to the current status of each waterbody, and that tributaries should be considered when determining impacts to the three WFD designated watercourses (River Coquet, Longdike Burn and River Lyne).
		The Environment Agency raised it would be preferable to see the creation of water habitats, designed for use by both water vole and fish. Highways England confirm that current proposals include the creation of "drainage ponds" and, depending on the structural design, these could be created in a way to benefit wildlife as well as performing a hydrological function. The Environment Agency highlighted that any water habitats created for fish should consider avoiding entrapment of fish and would therefore require connectivity to the surrounding flowing watercourses.
		Culverts
		The Environment Agency explained that their preference would be to use softer engineering approaches to culverts (such as bridges). Should culverts be implemented, they should be designed to maintain fish pass by considering the depth of water along the length of the culvert. The need for features to assist passage (such as baffles) should also be considered.
		Highways England raised the possibility of replacing existing culverts along the Scheme with soft infrastructure alternatives, although Highways England highlighted that this would be above the scope of the Scheme.
		Biosecurity
		Highways England commented that the extended Phase 1 habitat survey for Part A recorded Japanese knotweed, New Zealand pygmyweed and curly waterweed (invasive non-native species) within the surveyed area. The Environment Agency raised that a Biosecurity Plan would be required to address the potential risk to spreading floral invasive non-native species listed on Schedule 9 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) and that this should also be extended to fauna (American mink and signal crayfish (both recorded during baseline surveys). Highways England confirmed that any biosecurity requirements would be addressed within the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and appropriate mitigation developed.



Date	Form of correspondence	Key Topics Discussed and Key Outcomes
		Water Vole and Otter
		The Environment Agency highlighted that the field records for water vole within the survey area for Part A were surprising and interesting. Anecdotally, water vole have been considered by some as absent from Northumberland. Highways England confirmed that an updated water vole and otter report was expected, which may provide clarity or information regarding the distribution of water vole and activity of a burrow recorded along Londike Burn.
		Highways England confirmed that a potential otter hold was recorded on the River Coquet, which would be lost to the construction of the new bridge for Part A. Highways England confirmed that information was not available at the time to confirm if the potential holt was active and therefore, if required, the impact assessment would assume the hold was active and devise mitigation accordingly.
		River Coquet
		Highways England confirmed that the design of the new bridge over the River Coquet (at the time of the survey) avoided entering the watercourse, with piers located parallel with those of the existing bridge. As such, no impacts to fish passage were predicted as a result of obstruction.
		Highways England explained that given the known sensitivity and importance of fish species within the River Coquet, the assumed presence of migratory species passing through the study area to reach spawning grounds (based on desk study record) and the large size of the Coquet within the study area, it was deemed unnecessary to undertake a fish survey of this watercourse. The Environment Agency confirmed that as whilst baseline surveys did not record fish within the River Coquet (with the exception of an incidental juvenile salmon record during the crayfish survey), as targeted surveys were not undertaken, the impact assessment should operate under the assumption that priority species (such as salmon) are present. Highways England agreed with this approach.
		WFD Assessment
		Highways England requested if there were any specific requirements for inclusion in a WFD assessment that would be highlighted by the Environment Agency. The Agency confirmed that information had previously been supplied to Highways England's technical specialist undertaking the WFD assessment.
09 March 2018	Email from Highways England to Environment Agency (Lucy Mo, Planning Technical Specialist)	Key topics Submission of meeting minutes following meeting with Environment Agency 06 March 2018.  Key Outcomes Submission of meeting minutes to Environment Agency conturing discussions at meeting.
		Submission of meeting minutes to Environment Agency capturing discussions at meeting.
07 April 2018	Email from Highways England to Environment Agency (Lucy Mo, Planning Technical Specialist)	Key topics  Confirmation from Environment Agency of accuracy and agreement to submitted meeting minutes from 06 March 2018.
		Key Outcomes
		No outcomes – request for confirmation of acceptance of meeting minutes by Environment Agency only.



Date	Form of correspondence	Key Topics Discussed and Key Outcomes
23 May 2018	Email from Highways England to Environment Agency (Lucy Mo, Planning Technical Specialist)	Key topics Request for comment on proposed culvert design and mitigation.
		Key Outcomes  Request for discussion and Environment Agency's position on requirements for mitigation at all culverts or those only with confirmed presence of fish/otter/water vole.
14 June 2018	Email from Highways England to Environment Agency (Lucy Mo, Planning Technical Specialist)	Key topics  Request for confirmation of Highways England's understanding of Environment Agency position on need for culvert mitigation.
		Key Outcomes  Request for confirmation from the Environment Agency that all culverts will need to consider mitigation to facilitate fish and mammal passage, not just those where presence has been previously recorded.
14 June 2018	Email from Environment Agency (Lucy Mo, Planning Technical Specialist) to Highways England	Key topics Confirmation of Environment Agency's stance on mitigation requirements with regards culverts
		Key Outcomes  Confirmation of the Environment Agency's stance on the need for mitigation to be considered for all culverts irrespective of the absence of evidence of fish passage or mammals. Advice taken into account in design of Part A. Mammal ledges have been incorporated into the design of culverts where possible, subject to topography and design constraints, to provide safe passage for mammals beneath Part A. Culverts of Part A have been designed where possible, subject to flow rates and topography/design constraints. to include natural beds and maintain and assist fish passage. The existing wooden baffles of a culvert along Longdike Burn would be replaced with more durable and long-lasting material to improve the long-term function of this feature. Further, baffles would be retrospectively installed within the existing culvert beneath the existing A1 along the River Lyne, to provide an improvement to current conditions.
05 September 2018	Meeting with Environment Agency and Northumberland County Council as LLFA	Key topics  Review of Part A's proposals and proposed mitigation with regard to maintaining hydraulic connectivity, consideration of fish passage requirements where appropriate, natural beds where appropriate, SuDS ponds and habitat loss.
		Key Outcomes  Environment Agency familiar with Part A proposals and proposed strategy for mitigation agreed in principal, no further action required.  Environment Agency confirmed that trash screens would not be required on any proposed culverts.



Date	Form of correspondence	Key Topics Discussed and Key Outcomes
01 November 2018	Meeting with Environment Agency	Key topics  Discussion regarding Part A's proposals for the new River Coquet bridge crossing in regard to flood risk and geomorphological assessments.
		Key outcomes  Potential assessment methodologies for flood risk and geomorphological assessments to be considered further and reviewed in subsequent meetings.  Environment Agency confirmed that hydraulic modelling would not be required for the permanent works scenario based on the proposed new piers being aligned with the existing piers.
30 November 2018	Email from Highways England to Environment Agency (Heather Harrison, Northumberland Catchment Coordinator)	Key topics  Request from Highways England to Environment Agency to advise of any projects/schemes proposed for improvement/enhancement of watercourses
		<b>Key Outcomes</b> Request for information regarding any known projects/schemes where improvement/enhancement of watercourses is proposed that could be considered by Highways England for compensation purposes owing to a net loss of watercourse resulting from Part A
05 December 2018	Call held between Environment Agency and Highways England	Key topics Call to discuss mitigation options and potential for net loss of watercourse habitat as a result of Part A.
		Key Outcomes  Production of meeting minutes capturing meeting discussions and clarity received of the Environment Agency's position on mitigation requirements to address likely net loss of watercourse. The Environment Agency stated that mitigation and compensation for Part A should ensure that there is no impact/deterioration to the current status of each waterbody, and that tributaries should be considered when determining impacts to the three WFD designated watercourses (River Coquet, Longdike Burn and River Lyne)."
05 December 2018	Email from Environment Agency (Heather Harrison, Northumberland Catchment Co-ordinator) to Highways England	Key topics  Response to request for information regarding projects/schemes that could be consideration for compensation for loss of watercourse.  Key Outcomes
		Information provided by the Environment Agency about current projects/schemes the Environment Agency is aware of or actively working on. The information was taken into consideration as part of the proposed mitigation and compensation strategy for Part A, but not taken forward owing to the mitigation designed into Part A.
19 December 2018	Meeting with Environment Agency	Key topics  Further discussion of the flood risk and geomorphological assessment requirements for the new River Coquet bridge crossing.



Date	Form of correspondence	Key Topics Discussed and Key Outcomes  Key outcomes  Assessment methodology agreed in principal – no further action required.
14 January 2019	Email from Highways England to Environment Agency (Lucy Mo, Planning Technical Specialist)	Key topics Request for Environment Agency's advice in relation to need for a Permit to facilitate investigative survey of River Coquet Bridge.
		Key Outcomes  Request for the Environment Agency to provide advice as the requirement for a Permit to allow investigative works on the River Coquet Bridge.
18 January 2019	Email from Environment Agency (Lucy Mo, Planning Technical Specialist) to Highways England	Key topics Confirmation of requirement for Permit in advance of investigative survey works on River Coquet Bridge
		Key Outcomes
		The Environment Agency confirmed there would be a requirement to obtain a permit in advance of undertaking investigative survey works on the River Coquet Bridge. The Environment Agency additionally advised the potential requirement for a Flood Risk Activity Permit (FRAP). However, the Environment Agency requested additional information to be able to confirm any such requirement.
25 January 2019	Email from Highways England to Environment Agency (Lucy Mo, Planning Technical Specialist)	Key topics  Submission of a draft specification document to the Environment Agency detailing information of the proposed structure investigation works proposed to the River Coquet Bridge.
		Key Outcomes
		Request for the Environment Agency to confirm whether the information contained within the specification document and associated figures to allow them to determine the need for permits to allow works, confirming the type of permits required.
19 February 2019	Email from Environment Agency (Lucy Mo, Planning Technical Specialist) to Highways England	Key topics Confirmation from Environment Agency of requirement for a Flood Risk Activity Permit in advance of bridge investigation works  Key Outcomes
		Flood Risk Activity Permit advice taken into account and programming of investigative survey works of River Coquet bridge.



#### Table 2-3 - Record of Engagement for Part B Only

Date	Form of Correspondence	Key Topics Discussed and Key Outcomes
1 November 2018	Environment Agency and Northumberland County Council as LLFA	Key topics  Discussion regarding stakeholder requirements and to review the available flood information and agree (in principle) appropriate mitigation and management options during construction and operation. Methodology for the FRA and WFD (including hydromorphological assessment) was discussed and it was agreed that consultation regarding the surface water drainage strategy would be through Northumberland County Council as LLFA.
		Key Outcomes
		Methodology for FRA including hydraulic modelling approach and WFD Assessment (including HAWRAT) agreed – no further action required.
		Agreement on climate change allowance of 25% to be used in the hydrology.
09 January 2019	Email from Highways England to Environment Agency (Lucy Mo, Planning Technical Specialist)	Key topics Initial contact with Environment Agency to present the Alnwick to Ellingham scheme (i.e. Part B) with a link to the Scoping Report and request for a meeting/call to discuss Part B.
		Key Outcomes
		Request for meeting/call to discuss Part B and any concerns Environment Agency may have over impacts to water environments and impacts to fish and aquatic fauna.
10 January 2019	Call from Environment Agency (Lucy Mo, Planning Technical Specialist) to Highways England	Key topics Call discussing Part B, with Environment Agency pointing Highways England in the direction of the Environment Agency's scoping response.  Key Outcomes
		The Environment Agency directed Highways England to their response to the Scoping Report, which captures key considerations. Details of the EA's response to the Scoping Report were considered through development of the Scheme, the approach to surveys, assessment and mitigation.
28 February 2019	Email from Environment Agency (Morton Heddell-Cowie, Fisheries Technical Officer) to Highways England	Key topics Information regarding appropriate electric fishing survey window from the Environment Agency.  Key Outcomes
		Information regarding timing of surveys taken into consideration in programming of electric fishing surveys and submission of application for Section 27A fishing/trapping authorisation.
28 February 2019	Email from Environment Agency (Morton Heddell-Cowie, Fisheries Technical Officer) to Highways England	Key topics Clarification from Environment Agency over permit for crayfish survey.



Date	Form of Correspondence	Key Topics Discussed and Key Outcomes		
		Key Outcomes		
		Recommendations from Environment Agency taken into account in aquatic ecology survey programming.		
05 March 2019	Email from Environment Agency (Morton Heddell-Cowie, Fisheries Technical Officer) to Highways England	Key topics  Email declining request to undertake electric fishing along the Shipperton Burn due to survey window being requested sitting outwith the Environment Agency's preferred fish survey window.  Key Outcomes  Highways England sought clarity as to the Environment Agency's position in an email dated 06 March 2019.		
05 March 2019	Email from Environment Agency (Morton Heddell-Cowie, Fisheries Technical Officer) to Highways England	Key topics Response to Highways England from the Environment Agency clarifying position on use of electric fishing and timing of surveys.  Key Outcomes Recommendations from Environment Agency taken into account in aquatic ecology survey programming.		
06 March 2019	Email from Highways England to Environment Agency (Neil Winter, Fish Movements Team Leader)	Key topics  Email to Environment Agency seeking clarity regarding differences in the advice provided with regard to the acceptance of electric fish survey timings.  Key Outcomes  Request for clarity and discussion as to the variation in advice provided with regards electric fishing survey timing restrictions when compared to other schemes.		
02 April 2019	Email from Environment Agency (Morton Heddell-Cowie, Fisheries Technical Officer) to Highways England	Key topics  Email from Environment Agency providing justifications for advice surrounding electric fishing surveys and confirmation of survey window  Key Outcomes  Electric fishing surveys were programmed to take into account the Environment Agency's advice regarding the timing of the surveys. Subsequent issue of an application for Section 27A fishing/trapping authorisation.		
15 April 2019	Email from Environment Agency to Highways England	Key topics Confirmation of receipt of application for a Section 27A fishing/trapping authorisation.  Key Outcomes		



Date	Form of Correspondence	Key Topics Discussed and Key Outcomes		
		No outcome – confirmation email acknowledging receipt of application.		
16 April 2019	Email from Environment Agency to Highways England	Key topics Receipt of Equipment Permit.		
		Key Outcomes  No outcome – receipt of Equipment Permit attached to email for electric fishing on Shipperton Burn.		
11 March 2021	Meeting between Andy Smith (WSP on behalf of the applicant) and the Environment Agency	Key topics Position of the parties in relation to the submitted documents.  Key Outcomes The Environment Agency confirm that they are in agreement to the FRA and they have no comments on the Surface Water Drainage Strategy or the Drainage Network Water Quality Assessment as NCC are the responsible authority.		
		Key topics Groundwater, Flood Risk, Biodiversity and WFDa  Key Outcomes Clarity obtained between all parties as to the current position, with a request for clarity and further discussion on the impacted waterbodies. To be followed up with a meeting on 19 <sup>th</sup> March 2021.		

2.1.5. It is agreed that this is an accurate record of the key meetings and consultation undertaken between (1) the Applicant and (2) the Environment Agency in relation to the issues addressed in this SoCG.



#### 3 ISSUES

#### Table 3-1 - Issues Related to the Whole Scheme

Item	Document	Environment Agency	Highways England Response	Status
1	Culvert Mitigation Strategy - Rev 0 [REP1- 066]	Under discussion - to be the subject of a meeting on 19th March 2021.	Under discussion.	Under discussion.
2	Flood Risk Addendum - Rev 0 [REP1-067]	The Environment Agency confirm that they are happy with the content of the <b>Flood Risk Addendum Rev 0</b> [REP1-067] on Table in Section 2 – 11th March 2021.	Agreed.	Agreed.
3	Biodiversity No Net Loss Assessment for the Scheme [REP2- 009]	Under discussion - to be the subject of a meeting on 19 <sup>th</sup> March 2021.	Under discussion.	Under discussion.
4	Annex A - Approach to the Assessment of Losses and Gains of Watercourses [REP2- 010]	Under discussion - to be the subject of a meeting on 19th March 2021.	Under discussion.	Under discussion.
5	Environmental Impact Assessment - Flood Risk Outside Order Limits [REP3-007]	The Environment Agency confirm that they are happy with the content of the <b>Flood Risk Outside Order Limits</b> [REP3-007] on Table in Section 2 – 11th March 2021 - Meeting (Minutes to follow).	Agreed.	Agreed.
6	Environmental Impact Assessment - Surface Water Outfall Strategy [REP3-011]	The Environment Agency confirm that they have no comments on the content of the <b>Environmental Impact Assessment - Surface Water Outfall Strategy</b> [REP3-011] on Table in Section 2 – 11th March 2021 - Meeting (Minutes to follow).		Agreed.
7	Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan – Rev 2 [REP3-013]	Under discussion.	Under discussion.	Under discussion.



Table 3-2 - Issues Related to Part A Only

	issues related to 1 art A only			
Item	Document	Environment Agency	Highways England Response	Status
1	Chapter 9: Biodiversity Part A [APP-048]	Under discussion - to be the subject of a meeting on 19 <sup>th</sup> March 2021.	Under discussion.	Under discussion.
2	•	The Environment Agency confirmed that trash screens would not be required on any proposed culverts during the meeting on the 05/09/2018. The Environment Agency agree that Flood Risk, Surface Water Drainage and Water Quality aspects are agreed.  WFDa related aspects as per the Environment Agency's letters remain under discussion.  As set out in Table in Section 2 – 11th March 2021 - Meeting (Minutes to follow).	Under discussion.	Under discussion.
3	Chapter 11: Geology and Soils Part A [APP-052]	Under discussion.	Under discussion.	Under discussion.
4	Appendix 9.20 Biodiversity No Net Loss Report Part A [APP-246]	Under discussion - to be the subject of a meeting on 19 <sup>th</sup> March 2021.	Under discussion.	Under discussion.
5		The Environment Agency confirm that they are happy with the content of the <b>Flood Risk Assessment Part A</b> [REP-254] on Table in Section 2 – 11th March 2021 - Meeting (Minutes to follow).	Agreed.	Agreed.
6	Appendix 10.2 Water Framework Directive Assessment Part A [APP-255]	Under discussion - to be the subject of a meeting on 19 <sup>th</sup> March 2021.	Under discussion.	Under discussion.
7	Appendix 10.3: Drainage Network Water Quality Assessment - Part A [APP-256]		Agreed.	Agreed.
8	Geomorphology	The Environment Agency confirmed during the meeting on the 01/11/2018 that hydraulic modelling would not be required for the permanent works scenario based on the proposed new piers being aligned with the existing piers.	Modelling was not undertaken to inform the assessment submitted as part of the DCO submission. However, following further consultation with the Environment Agency in December 2010 (as summarised in consultation held on 10 December 2020) 2D modelling of the River Coquet has been undertaken. This is discussed below under comments received in relation to	



Item	Document	Environment Agency	Highways England Response	Status
		Aspects as per the Environment Agency's letters remain under discussion and a meeting is being arranged to discuss this aspect.	Appendix 10.7: Part A Geomorphology Assessment - River Coquet Parameter 10 [APP-260].	
9	Appendix 10.5: Drainage Strategy Report – Part A [APP- 258]	The Environment Agency confirm that they have no comments on the content of the <b>Drainage Strategy Report – Part A</b> [REP-258] on Table in Section 2 – 11th March 2021 - Meeting (Minutes to follow).	Agreed.	Agreed.
10	Appendix 10.6: Road Drainage and the Water Environment DMRB Sensitivity Test Part A [APP-259]	Aspects as per the Environment Agency's letters remain under discussion.	Under discussion.	Under discussion.
11	Appendix 10.7 Geomorphology Assessment – River Coquet Parameter 10 Part A [App-260]	Under discussion.	Under discussion.	Under discussion.
12	Environment Agency Meeting Minutes Geomorphology - Rev 0 [REP1-069]	Under discussion.	Under discussion.	Under discussion.
13	Environmental Impact Assessment - River Coquet Geomorphology Modelling Assessment [REP3-009]	Under discussion.	Under discussion.	Under discussion.

#### Table 3-2 - Issues Related to Part B Only

	Item	ES Chapter	Environment Agency	Highways England Response	Status
Γ	1	Chapter 9: Biodiversity Part B [APP-049]	Under discussion - to be the subject of a meeting on 19 <sup>th</sup> March 2021.	Under discussion.	Under discussion.
	2	Chapter 10: Road Drainage and the Water	The Environment Agency confirmed that trash screens would not be required on any proposed culverts during the meeting on the 05/09/2018.	Under discussion.	Under discussion.



Item	ES Chapter	Environment Agency	Highways England Response	Status
	Environment Part B [APP-051]	The Environment Agency agree that Flood Risk, Surface Water Drainage and Water Quality aspects are agreed.		
		WFDa related aspects as per the Environment Agency's letters remain under discussion.		
		As set out in Table in Section 2 – 11th March 2021 - Meeting (Minutes to follow).		
3	Chapter 11 Geology and Soils Part B [APP-053]	Under discussion.	Under discussion.	Under discussion.
4	Appendix 9.11 Biodiversity No Net Loss Assessment Report Part B [APP-309]		Under discussion.	Under discussion.
5	Appendix 10.1 Part B Flood Risk Assessment [APP-311]		Agreed.	Agreed.
6	Appendix 10.2: Water Framework Directive Assessment - Part B [APP-312]	Under discussion - to be the subject of a meeting on 19 <sup>th</sup> March 2021.	Under discussion.	Under discussion.
7		The Environment Agency confirm that they have no comments on the content of the <b>Drainage Network Water Quality Assessment - Part B</b> [REP-313] on Table in Section 2 – 11th March 2021 - Meeting (Minutes to follow).	Agreed.	Agreed.
8	Appendix 10.4: Drainage Strategy Report – Part B [APP- 314]	The Environment Agency confirm that they have no comments on the content of the <b>Drainage Strategy Report – Part B</b> [REP-314] on Table in Section 2 – 11th March 2021 - Meeting (Minutes to follow).	Agreed.	Agreed.
9	Appendix 10.5: Road Drainage and the Water Environment DMRB	Aspects as per the Environment Agency's letters remain under discussion.	Under discussion.	Under discussion.

Statement of Common Ground – Environment Agency



Item	ES Chapter	Environment Agency	Highways England Response	Status
	Sensitivity Test Part B [APP-315].			

#### © Crown copyright 2021.

You may re-use this information (not including logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence. To view this licence: visit www.nationalarchives.gov.uk /doc/open-government-licence/ write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU, or email

psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk.

This document is also available on our website at www.gov.uk /highways

If you have any enquiries about this document A1inNorthumberland@highwaysengland.co.uk or call **0300 470 4580**\*.

\*Calls to 03 numbers cost no more than a national rate call to an 01 or 02 number and must count towards any inclusive minutes in the same way as 01 and 02 calls.

These rules apply to calls from any type of line including mobile, BT, other fixed line or payphone. Calls may be recorded or monitored.

Registered office Bridge House, 1 Walnut Tree Close, Guildford GU1 4LZ
Highways England Company Limited registered in England and Wales number
99346363