

A1 in Northumberland: Morpeth to Ellingham

Scheme Number: TR010059

7.26.3 Updated Combined Effects Technical Note (Clean)

Rule 8(1)(c)

Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010

Planning Act 2008

June 2021

Infrastructure Planning

Planning Act 2008

**The Infrastructure Planning
(Examination Procedure) Rules
2010**

**The A1 in Northumberland: Morpeth to
Ellingham**

Development Consent Order 20[xx]

Updated Combined Effects Technical Note (Clean)

| | |
|--|--|
| Rule Reference: | 8(1)(c) |
| Planning Inspectorate Scheme Reference: | TR010059 |
| Document Reference: | 7.26.3 |
| Author: | A1 in Northumberland: Morpeth to Ellingham Project Team, Highways England |

| Version | Date | Status of Version |
|----------------|-------------|--------------------------|
| Rev 2 | June 2021 | Deadline 10 |

CONTENTS

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1. | OVERVIEW | 1 |
| 1.2 | SCREENING MATRIX | 2 |
| 1.3 | RECEPTOR GROUPS | 2 |
| 1.4. | STRUCTURE OF THE TECHNICAL NOTE | 2 |
| 1.5. | UPDATES TO THE APPLICATION | 3 |
| 2 | SCREENING FOR CROSS TOPIC COMBINED EFFECTS PART A | 4 |
| 2.1 | INTRODUCTION | 4 |
| 2.2 | ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY | 4 |
| 2.3 | UPDATED DMRB GUIDANCE | 4 |
| 2.4 | MITIGATION AND MONITORING | 5 |
| 3 | SCREENING FOR CROSS TOPIC COMBINED EFFECTS PART B | 33 |
| 3.1 | INTRODUCTION | 33 |
| 3.2 | ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY | 33 |
| 3.3 | UPDATED DMRB GUIDANCE | 33 |
| 3.4 | MITIGATION AND MONITORING | 34 |
| 4 | SCREENING FOR CROSS TOPIC COMBINED EFFECT INTERACTIONS THE SCHEME | 50 |
| 4.1 | INTRODUCTION | 50 |
| 4.2 | ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY | 50 |
| 4.3 | UPDATED DMRB GUIDANCE | 50 |
| 4.4 | MITIGATION AND MONITORING | 51 |

TABLES

TABLES

| | |
|---|----|
| Table 2-1 – Screening of Receptors Groups for Cross Topic Combined Effects during Construction (Part A) | 6 |
| Table 2-2 – Screening of Receptors Groups for Cross Topic Combined Effect during Operation (Part A) | 20 |
| Table 3-1 - Screening of Receptors Groups for Cross Topic Combined Effects during Construction (Part B) | 35 |
| Table 3-2 - Screening of Receptors Groups during Operation (Part B) | 44 |
| Table 4-1 - Screening of Receptor Group for Cross Topic Combined Effects during Construction (The Scheme) | 52 |

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

SCREENING MATRIX (PART A)

APPENDIX B

SCREENING MATRIX (PART B)

APPENDIX C

SCREENING MATRIX (THE SCHEME)

APPENDIX D

FIGURES

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1. OVERVIEW

- 1.1.1. Item 10 of the Examining Authority's (ExA) Hearings Action Points asked the Applicant to provide further detail on how they have arrived at the conclusions included in **Table 15-4 of Chapter 15: Assessment of Combined Effects Part A** of the ES [APP-060] and **Table 16-9 of Chapter 16: Assessment of Cumulative Effects** of the ES [APP-062], as discussed during Issue Specific Hearing 3 (ISH3) held on 22 April 2021. As detailed at item 8.4 of **Table 1-2 in the Applicant's Written Summaries of Oral Submissions to Hearings [REP6-044]**, at Deadline 6 of the Examination the Applicant produced an initial overview of the methodology used to group individual receptors and determine the residual effects experienced by each receptor group, in **Appendix E – Combined Effects Technical Note [REP6-047]**. In that note, it was explained that the full details of the screening of receptor groups for cross topic combined effects would be provided for all receptor groups and associated individual receptors for Deadline 7 of the Examination.
- 1.1.2. This Technical Note provides the screening of receptors to group individual receptors and determine the residual effects experienced by each receptor group identified in **Table 15-4 of Chapter 15: Assessment of Combined Effects Part A** of the ES [APP-060] and **Chapter 15: Assessment of Combined Effects Part B** of the ES [APP-061], and **Table 16-9 in Chapter 16: Assessment of Cumulative Effects** of the ES [APP-062].
- 1.1.3. The combined effects reported within **Chapter 15: Assessment of Combined Effects Part A** of the ES [APP-060] and **Chapter 15: Assessment of Combined Effects Part B** of the ES [APP-061], and **Table 16-9 in Chapter 16: Assessment of Cumulative Effects** of the ES [APP-062] has been reviewed and updated to account for the Change Request and the additional effects identified following the application of the updated DMRB guidance outlined in **Appendix 4.5: DMRB Sensitivity Test [APP-197]** and provided at Deadline 1 and Deadline 3 (refer to Section 1.5 of this Technical Note). This technical note therefore supersedes the assessment of combined effects presented in the ES as follows:
- **Table 15-4 of Chapter 15: Assessment of Combined Effects Part A [APP-060]** is replaced with Table 2-1 and Table 2-2 of this technical note
 - **Table 15-4 of Chapter 15: Assessment of Combined Effects Part B [APP-061]** is replaced with Table 3-1 and 3-2 of this Technical Note
 - **Table 16-9 of Chapter 16: Assessment of Cumulative Effects** of the ES [APP-062] is replaced with Table 4-1 of this Technical Note.
- 1.1.4. The remainder of **Chapter 15: Assessment of Combined Effects Part A [APP-060]**, **Chapter 15: Assessment of Combined Effects Part B [APP-061]** and **Chapter 16: Assessment of Cumulative Effects** of the ES [APP-062] remains valid and unchanged unless otherwise noted in Chapter 2, Chapter 3 and Chapter 4 of this Technical Note.

1.2 SCREENING MATRIX

- 1.2.1. Common sensitive receptors from **Technical Chapters 5 to 13** for Part A and Part B of the Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-040 to APP-059] that are exposed to residual effects of 'minor' or above by one or more technical topics as a result of the Scheme were collated into a cross topic combined effects screening matrix. This matrix provides the residual effects identified for each individual receptor that make up the receptor groups identified in **Table 15-4 of Chapter 15: Assessment of Combined Effects Part A [APP-060]** and **Chapter 15: Assessment of Combined Effects Part B [APP-061]**, and **Table 16-9 in Chapter 16: Assessment of Cumulative Effects [APP-062]**. For example, all individual residential receptors that are exposed to residual effects of 'minor' or above for more than one technical topic have been listed within the cross topic combined effects screening matrix under the common receptor group heading 'Residents'. The impacts and residual significance of effects have been listed out for each individual receptor. The combined effect of all the different residual effects on a single receptor have then been reported in the 'Potential combined effects' column. To ensure a robust assessment, the combined effects assessment is based on the worst-case effects reported for each relevant receptor within the technical chapters of the ES. The 'overall combined effect on common receptor group' column sets out the overall range of significance of combined effects for the receptors in the common receptor group as a reasonable worst case.

1.3 RECEPTOR GROUPS

- 1.3.1. The screening matrix has been used to provide more detail on how individual receptors have been grouped and the residual effects that the grouped receptors would experience. For example, residential receptors would be exposed to effects as a result of the Scheme, which results in the overall cross topic combined effect reported in **Table 15-4 in Chapter 15: Assessment of Combined Effects Part A [APP-060]** and **Chapter 15: Assessment of Combined Effects Part B [APP-061]**, and **Table 16-9 in Chapter 16: Assessment of Cumulative Effects [APP-062]**. This has been collated into a screening of receptor groups for cross topic combined effects table for construction and operation for Part A and Part B, as well as a separate table for the screening of receptor groups for cross topic combined effect interactions considered for the Scheme (refer to **Table 16-9, Chapter 16: Assessment of Cumulative Effects [APP-062]**).

1.4. STRUCTURE OF THE TECHNICAL NOTE

- 1.3.2. This technical note is structured as follows:
- **Chapter 1: Introduction** to this technical note providing an overview of the methodology for screening of cross topic combined effects, the scope of this technical note and the amendments to the application;
 - **Chapter 2: Screening for Cross Topic Combined Effects Part A** provides the full details of the screening of receptor groups for cross topic combined effects for Part A construction (**Table 2-1**) and operation (**Table 2-2**), the updated DMRB guidance and mitigation and monitoring;

- **Chapter 3: Screening for Cross Topic Combined Effects Part B** provides the full details of the screening of receptor groups for cross topic combined effects for Part B construction (**Table 3-1**) and operation (**Table 3-2**), the updated DMRB guidance and mitigation and monitoring;
- **Chapter 4: Screening for Cross Topic Combined Effects The Scheme** provides the full details of the cross topic combined effect interactions for the Scheme (**Table 4-1** (as originally presented in **Table 16-9, Chapter 16: Assessment of Cumulative Effects [APP-062]**), the updated DMRB guidance and mitigation and monitoring.

1.5. UPDATES TO THE APPLICATION

- 1.3.3. Due to the postponement of the proposed Scheme opening from 2023 to 2024, with a consequential design year of 2039, a reassessment of the operational noise assessment and operational air quality assessment for an opening year of 2024 and a design year of 2039 was undertaken to validate the assessment presented in **Chapter 16: Assessment of Cumulative Effects** of the ES [APP-062]. These reassessments also followed the updated DMRB guidance and are presented in **Noise Addendum [REP1-019 to 022]**, the **Air Quality Assessment (Scheme Opening Year 2024) [REP3-012]** and the **Updated Biodiversity Air Quality DMRB Sensitivity Assessment [REP3-010]**.
- 1.3.4. In addition, three amendments to the application were proposed (Change Request) at Deadline 4 of the Examination. On 9 April 2021, the Examining Authority (ExA) confirmed it accepted the Change Request as part of the application. The DMRB sensitivity appraisal for the Change Requests are presented in **Environmental Statement Addendum: Earthworks Amendments for Change Request [REP4-061]**, **Environmental Statement Addendum: Stabilisation Works for Change Request [REP4-063]** and **Environmental Statement Addendum: Southern Access Works for Change Request [REP4-064]**.
- 1.3.5. The screening matrices presented in this Technical Note have been reviewed and updated to include all reported effects from the Scheme, including the additional effects from the application of the updated DMRB guidance (provided in Chapter 2, Chapter 3 and Chapter 4 of this Technical Note), the reassessments for operational noise and air quality assessments and the Change Request.

2 SCREENING FOR CROSS TOPIC COMBINED EFFECTS PART A

2.1 INTRODUCTION

- 2.1.1. **Table 2-1** and **Table 2-2** identify the common sensitive receptors from **Part A Technical Chapters 5 to 13 [APP-040 to APP-056]** that are exposed to residual effects with a significance of 'minor' or above and sensitive receptors that have the potential for cross topic combined effects. The significance of residual effect for each individual receptor has been reviewed to present the cross topic combined effects for each individual receptor. The overall combined effect for that receptor group is then provided.
- 2.1.2. The screening matrix for common sensitive receptors and residual effects during construction and operation of Part A is provided in Appendix A of this Technical Note. The locations of sensitive receptors are shown in Appendix D, Figure 1: Combined Effects Receptor Locations Part A of this technical note. Where receptors aren't visible on the plan, for example due to difference scales required to identify the location of the receptor, a reference to the existing plan showing these receptor locations has been included within the relevant 'common receptor group' of Table 2-1 and Table 2-2.

2.2 ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

- 2.2.1. The assessment methodology and legislative and policy framework is in accordance with the methodology set out in **Chapter 15: Assessment of Combined Effects Part A** of the ES [APP-060].

2.3 UPDATED DMRB GUIDANCE

- 2.3.1. Some DMRB guidance documents were updated in 2019 and 2020 (and associated IANs replaced), by which time the EIA for the Scheme was largely complete. However, a sensitivity test was undertaken in April / May 2020 by the Applicant either to demonstrate that the assessments reported in the ES were already compliant with the updated guidance, or to identify any changes to the conclusions of the assessments as a result of the updated guidance (determined through further assessment). A sensitivity appraisal was undertaken for the Part A cross topic combined effects assessment.
- 2.3.2. As outlined in **Section 15.8, paragraph 15.8.2 and 15.8.3 of Chapter 15: Assessment of Combined Effects Part A [APP-060]**, a number of environmental effects that arose from Part A increased in significance as a result of the application of the updated DMRB guidance (refer to **Appendix 4.5: DMRB Sensitivity Test [APP-197]**). **Section 15.8, paragraph 15.8.2 and 15.8.3 of Chapter 15: Assessment of Combined Effects Part A [APP-060]** considers whether the changes to these significant effects would change the outcome of the combined effects assessment.
- 2.3.3. As set out in **Section 15.8, paragraph 15.8.2 of Chapter 15: Assessment of Combined Effects Part A [APP-060]**, with the application of the updated DMRB guidance, the permanent loss of North Gate House would increase from a moderate adverse effect to

large adverse effect. This would increase the cross topic combined effect from Moderate Adverse to Large Adverse for North Gate House.

- 2.3.4. Following the reassessment of operational noise [REP1-019 to 022], the additional beneficial noise effects outlined in **Section 15.8, paragraph 15.8.3 of Chapter 15: Assessment of Combined Effects Part A [APP-060]**, have been reviewed and the screening matrix for Part A has been updated to include the changes in operational noise effects as a result of the application of the updated DMRB guidance. These additional effects have been included within the screening matrix in **Table 2-2**.

2.4 MITIGATION AND MONITORING

- 2.4.1. As outlined in **Section 15.9 of Chapter 15: Assessment of Combined Effects Part A [APP-060]**, following the best practice and mitigation measures outlined in the **Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [REP6-025 and 026]**, no further combined significant residual effects have been identified above the level of significance of those residual effects reported in **Part A Technical Chapters 5 to 13 [APP-040 to APP-056]**. Therefore, no further mitigation or monitoring is required above that already presented in **Part A Technical Chapter 5 to 13 [APP-040 to APP-056]** and the **Outline CEMP [REP6-025 and 026]**.
- 2.4.2. **Appendix GEN.4 Justification for Significant Residual Effects WQ GEN.1.35 [REP1-036]** provides a justification for the residual significant effects reported in **Technical Chapter 5 to Chapter 17 [APP-040 to 062]** of the ES and why no further mitigation is proposed to be implemented. As set out in **Table 1 of Appendix GEN.4 Justification for Significant Residual Effects WQ GEN.1.35 [REP1-036]**, no further mitigation measures are feasible to reduce the residual significant, cross topic combined effects anticipated as a result of Part A. Therefore, significant effects would remain for combined effects.

Table 2-1 – Screening of Receptors Groups for Cross Topic Combined Effects during Construction (Part A)

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|--|--------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Residents Refer to Figure 7.6: Visual Effects Drawing Residential Properties Part A [APP-093] for receptor locations | Air Quality | Changes to air quality within 200 m of construction activities | Residents within 200m of Part A would experience no residual effects after mitigation (not significant) | There are no residual effects on residents from air quality and noise and vibration after the implementation of mitigation measures as outlined within Chapter 5: Air Quality Part A [APP-040] and Chapter 6: Noise and Vibration Part A [APP-042] . Some residents have the potential for combined effects from Population and Human Health effects and Landscape and Visual. The following residents have the potential to experience a combined effect no worse than slight adverse (not significant) from effects on human health, private assets and changes to views: <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Ardyne (R17)■ Bothy Lodge (R18)■ The Old Sawmill (R19)■ Felton Park (R20)■ St Mary's House (R21)■ B6345 The Nook (R24)■ B6345 Riverside House (R25)■ B6345 The Boarding House (R26)■ Hemelspeth (R27)■ Shothaugh Farm (R30)■ Tithemans Cottage (R32)■ Bockenfield (R42)■ Bockenfield Manor (R43)■ Helm (R47)■ Causey Park Lodge (South) (R49)■ Causey Park (R51)■ Thornbank (R64)■ Earsdon Mill (R66)■ Earsdon Moor House (R69)■ South View (R74)■ Shield Green (R76)■ Middle Fenrother (R80)■ Fenrother (R81)■ Gamekeepers Cottage (R84)■ Hebron Hill (The Cottage) (R87)■ West View (R107)■ West View (R108) | Overall, the combined effect of Part A would be no worse than moderate to large adverse (significant) significance on residents during construction. |
| | Noise and Vibration | Increased noise and vibration levels within 300 m of construction activities | Residents within 300m of Part A would experience no residual effects after mitigation (not significant) | | |
| | Landscape and Visual | Change to views | Residents at the following properties would experience temporary, direct short-term slight adverse (not significant) residual effects: <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Ardyne (R17)■ Bothy Lodge (R18)■ The Old Sawmill (R19)■ Felton Park (R20)■ St Mary's House (R21)■ B6345 The Nook (R24)■ B6345 Riverside House (R25)■ B6345 The Boarding House (R26)■ Hemelspeth (R27)■ Shothaugh Farm (R30)■ Tithemans Cottage (R32)■ Bockenfield (R42)■ Bockenfield Manor (R43)■ Helm (R47)■ Causey Park Lodge (South) (R49)■ Causey Park (R51)■ Thornbank (R64)■ Earsdon Mill (R66)■ Earsdon Moor House (R69)■ South View (R74)■ Shield Green (R76)■ Middle Fenrother (R80)■ Fenrother (R81)■ Gamekeepers Cottage (R84)■ Hebron Hill (The Cottage) (R87)■ West View (R107)■ West View (R108) | | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|---|---|--|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">West View (R109)Middle Rigg (R110) | <ul style="list-style-type: none">West View (R109)Middle Rigg (R110) | |
| | | | <p>Residents at the following properties would experience temporary, direct short-term moderate adverse (significant) residual effects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Longfield Cottage (R9)Thirston New House (R34)Causey Park Lodge (North) (R48)Causey Hag (R50)New Build Off Causey park (R56)Four Gables (R57)The Oak Inn (R61)New Houses Farm (R65)Portland House (R71)Welbeck House (R72)The Old School (R73)Stonebrook Cottage (R78)East Fenrother (R79)High Highlaws Cottage (R94)High Highlaws (R95) | <p>The following residents have the potential to experience a combined effect no worse than moderate adverse (significant) from change to views, human health and disruption to access:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Longfield Cottage (R9)Thirston New House (R34)Causey Park Lodge (North) (R48)Causey Hag (R50)New Build Off Causey park (R56)Four Gables (R57)The Oak Inn (R61)New Houses Farm (R65)Portland House (R71)Welbeck House (R72)The Old School (R73)Stonebrook Cottage (R78)East Fenrother (R79)High Highlaws Cottage (R94)High Highlaws (R95) | |
| | | | <p>Residents at the following properties would experience temporary, direct short-term large adverse (significant) residual effects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">The Cottage (R35)West Moor House (R36)West Moorhouse (R37)Joiners Cottage (R58)The Bungalow (R59)Bridge House (R60)Tindale Hill (R68)Earsdon Moor farm (R70)Stafford House (R93)Capri Lodge (R96)Warreners Barns (R97)Northgate Farm (R98)Warreners Cottages (R100)Warreners House (R101)Warreners House (R102) | <p>The following residents have the potential to experience a combined effect no worse than large adverse (significant) from change to views, human health and disruption to access:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">The Cottage (R35)West Moor House (R36)West Moorhouse (R37)Joiners Cottage (R58)The Bungalow (R59)Bridge House (R60)Tindale Hill (R68)Earsdon Moor farm (R70)Stafford House (R93)Capri Lodge (R96)Warreners Barns (R97)Northgate Farm (R98)North Gate House (R99) (from loss of private property only) | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | Population and Human Health | Effects on disruption to access, socio-economic benefits, human health and private property | <p>During construction there would be works within the vicinity of residential properties which would experience temporary, short-term slight adverse (not significant) residual effects for human health. Of these residents, the properties with the potential for combined effects are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Longfield Cottage (R9)Ardyne (R17)Bothy Lodge (R18)The Old Sawmill (R19)Felton Park (R20)St Mary's House (R21)B6345 The Nook (R24)B6345 Riverside House (R25)B6345 The Boarding House (R26)Hemelspeth (R27)Shothaugh Farm (R30)Tithemans Cottage (R32)Thirston New House (R34)The Cottage (R35)West Moor House (R36)West Moorhouse (R37)Bockenfield (R42)Bockenfield Manor (R43)Helm (R47)Causey Park Lodge (North) (R48)Causey Park Lodge (South) (R49)Causey Hag (R50)Causey Park (R51)New Build Off Causey park (R56)Four Gables (R57)Joiners Cottage (R58)The Bungalow (R59)Bridge House (R60)The Oak Inn (R61)Thornbank (R64)New Houses Farm (R65)Earsdon Mill (R66)Tindale Hill (R68)Earsdon Moor House (R69)Earsdon Moor farm (R70)Portland House (R71)Welbeck House (R72) | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Warreners Cottages (R100)Warreners House (R101)Warreners House (R102) | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|---|----------------------------|--|
| | | | <div><ul style="list-style-type: none">■ The Old School (R73)■ South View (R74)■ Shield Green (R76)■ Stonebrook Cottage (R78)■ East Fenrother (R79)■ Middle Fenrother (R80)■ Fenrother (R81)■ Gamekeepers Cottage (R84)■ Hebron Hill (The Cottage) (R87)■ High Highlaws Cottage (R94)■ High Highlaws (R95)■ Stafford House (R93)■ Capri Lodge (R96)■ Warreners Barns (R97)■ Northgate Farm (R98)■ Warreners Cottages (R100)■ Warreners House (R101)■ Warreners House (R102)■ West View (R107)■ West View (R108)■ West View (R109)■ Middle Rigg (R110)</div> <div>Residents at the following properties would experience temporary, direct short-term slight adverse (not significant) residual effects from temporary disruption to access:</div> <div><ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Tithemans Cottage (R32)■ Thirston New House (R34)■ The Cottage (R35)■ West Moor House (R36)■ West Moorhoouse (R37)■ Blackwood Hall(R40)■ Causey Park Lodge (North) (R48)■ Causey Hag (R50)■ Causey Park (R51)■ New Build Off Causey park (R56)■ Four Gables (R57)■ Joiners Cottage (R58)■ The Bungalow (R59)■ Bridge House (R60)■ High Trees (R62)</div> | | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|--|--------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Field View (R63)Thornbank (R64)New Houses Farm (R65)Earsdon Mill (R66)Tindale Hill (R68)Earsdon Moor Farm (R70)Stafford House (R93)High Highlaws Cottage (R94)High Highlaws (R95)Capri Lodge (R96)Warreners Barns (R97)Northgate Farm (R98)Warreners Cottages (R100)Warreners House (R101)Warreners House (R102)West View (R107)West View (R108)West View (R109)Middle Rigg (R110) <p>Residents at the following properties would experience temporary, direct short-term large adverse (significant) residual effects from the loss of private property:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">North Gate House (R99) | | |
| Areas of Amenity surrounding Part A Refer to Appendix D, Figure 1 for receptor locations | Air Quality | Changes to air quality within 200 m of construction activities | There would be no residual effects after mitigation | There are no residual effects on areas of amenity from air quality and noise and vibration after the implementation of mitigation measures as outlined within Chapter 5: Air Quality Part A [APP-040] and Chapter 6: Noise and Vibration Part A [APP-042] . Some areas of amenity have the potential to experience combined effects from changes to perceived journey amenity, human health, changes to landscape character and temporary removal of a heritage asset. This is dependent on the location and nature of the construction works and areas of amenity. As such, there is the potential for areas of amenity to experience a direct, temporary, short-term combined | Overall, the combined effect for Part A would be no worse than moderate adverse (significant) significance. |
| | Noise and Vibration | Increased noise and vibration levels within 300 m of construction activities | There would be no residual effects after mitigation | | |
| | Landscape and Visual | Changes to the perception of landscape character | The following landscape character areas would experience temporary, direct short-term slight adverse (not significant) residual effects from changes to the perception of landscape character: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Broad Lowland Valley – Northgate (35b)Lowland Farm Ridges – Wingates Ridge (37a) | | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|---|-----------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lowland Rolling Farmland – Longframlington (38a) Lowland Rolling Farmland – Hub of Recreational Activity (38b) Coalfield Farmland – Coastal Coalfields (39a) | effect no worse than moderate adverse (significant) from changes to journey amenity, human health, changes to landscape character and temporary removal of a heritage asset. | |
| | | | <p>Users at the following areas of amenity would experience temporary, direct short-term moderate adverse (significant) residual effects from changes to the perception of landscape character:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Broad Lowland Valley – Coquet Valley (35a) (localised Large Adverse (significant) effects around the construction of the River Coquet Bridge). Lowland Rolling farmland – Longhorsley (38b) Coquet Valley (Alnwick Landscape Character SPD) (17) (localised Large Adverse (significant) effects around the construction of the River Coquet Bridge). | | |
| | Cultural Heritage | Temporary removal of a heritage asset (Grade II listed milestone) from existing location to new surroundings | The removal of the Grade II Listed Building Milepost (NHL 1153544) would result in a direct, short-term slight adverse (not significant) residual effect. This feature is located within the Lowland Rolling Farmland – Longhorsley (38b) landscape character area. | | |
| | Geology and Soils | Impacts on water quality | There would be a temporary, short-term slight adverse (not significant) residual effects from pollution to controlled water bodies (River Coquet and Secondary A Aquifer) during construction. | | |
| | Population and Human Health | Effects on journey amenity and human health | <p>There would be a temporary, short-term slight adverse (not significant) residual effect on human health receptors including recreational facilities and users of PRow during construction.</p> <p>There would be a temporary, short-term slight adverse (not significant) residual effect on journey amenity from visual intrusion during construction.</p> | | |
| Road Users Refer to Appendix D, Figure 1 for representative | Landscape and Visual | Effects on views | <p>Road users at the following viewpoints would experience temporary, direct short-term slight adverse (not significant) residual effects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Users of the A1: Section 1 (Viewpoint-21, Viewpoint-19, Viewpoint-9) | There are no residual effects on road users from driver stress after the implementation of mitigation measures as outlined within Chapter 12: Population and Human Health Part A [APP-054] . | Overall, the combined effect for Part A would be no worse than moderate to |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|--|-----------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| receptor viewpoint locations. | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">View looking west from the Widdrington Road at the Bungalow (Viewpoint-13)View looking east from Fenrother Lane (west) at Fenrother (Viewpoint-35) | Users of the A1 and adjoining roads at Viewpoint-27 have the potential to experience a combined effect from landscape and visual and population and human health effects no worse than moderate to large adverse (significant) significance. | large adverse (significant) significance for road users during construction. |
| | | | Road users at the following representative viewpoints would experience temporary, direct short-term moderate adverse (significant) residual effects: <ul style="list-style-type: none">View looking west from Hebron Road within the vicinity of the Church of St Cuthbert (Viewpoint-4)View looking east from PRow 422/011 adjacent to Burgham Park Golf and Leisure Club (Viewpoint-28)View looking east from Causey Park hag / Causey Park Road (Viewpoint-31) | | |
| | | | Road users at the following viewpoints would experience temporary, direct short-term large adverse (significant) residual effects: <ul style="list-style-type: none">View looking north-east from Howdens Glebe cottages, off West Moor Road (Viewpoint-27) | | |
| | Population and Human Health | Effects on road users from increased driver stress and changes to views for vehicle travellers | Road users would experience no residual effects after mitigation for driver stress. | | |
| | | | There would be no residual effects on road users from changes to views for vehicle travellers with the exception of users of the A1 who would experience temporary, short-term moderate adverse (not significant) residual effects during construction. | | |
| Users of PRow (WCH) Refer to Appendix D, Figure 1 for receptor locations | Air Quality | Changes to air quality within 200 m of construction activities | WCH using PRow within 200m of Part A would experience no residual effects after mitigation | There are no residual effects on users of PRow from air quality and noise and vibration after the implementation of mitigation measures as outlined within Chapter 5: Air Quality Part A [APP-040] and Chapter 6: Noise and Vibration Part A [APP-042] . Some users of PRow have the potential for combined effects from Population and Human Health effects, including changes to community severance, human health and journey amenity, and Landscape and Visual from changes to views. WCH at the following PRow have the potential to experience a combined | Overall, the combined effect for Part A would be no worse than moderate to large adverse (significant) significance during construction. |
| | Noise and Vibration | Increased noise and vibration levels within 300 m of construction activities | WCH using PRow within 300m of Part A would experience no residual effects after mitigation | | |
| | Landscape and Visual | Effects on views | WCH at the following PRow would experience temporary, direct short-term slight adverse (significant) residual effects: | | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 407/013: Footpath 407/012: Footpath 407/004: Footpath 407/001: Footpath 407/002: Footpath 423/008: Footpath 422/018: Byway 422/011: Footpath 422/003: Footpath 115/008: Footpath 115/013: Footpath 422/002: Footpath 422/001: Footpath 422/009: Footpath | <p>effect no worse than slight adverse (not significant) from effects to community severance, journey amenity, human health and changes to views:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 407/012: Bridleway 407/004: Footpath 407/001: Footpath 407/002: Footpath 423/008: Footpath 422/018: Byway 422/003: Footpath 422/001: Footpath 422/009: Footpath | |
| | | | <p>WCH at the following PRow would experience temporary, direct short-term moderate adverse (significant) residual effects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 407/010: Bridleway | <p>Users at the following PRow have the potential to experience a combined effect no worse than moderate adverse (significant) from effects to community severance, journey amenity, human health and changes to views:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 407/013: Footpath 407/010: Bridleway 422/011: Footpath 115/008: Footpath 115/013: Footpath 422/002: Footpath | |
| | | | <p>WCH at the following PRow would experience temporary, direct short-term large adverse (significant) residual effects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 407/018: Footpath 423/001: Footpath 423/002: Footpath 423/006: Footpath 423/013: Footpath 423/011: Footpath 422/020: Footpath 115/009: St Oswald's Way: Long Distance Trail 115/016: Footpath | <p>Users at the following PRow have the potential to experience a combined effect no worse than large adverse (significant) from effects to community severance, journey amenity, human health and changes to views:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 407/018: Footpath 423/001: Footpath 423/002: Footpath 423/006: Footpath 423/013: Footpath 423/011: Footpath 422/020: Footpath 115/009: St Oswald's Way: Long Distance Trail 115/016: Footpath | |
| | Population and Human Health | Effects on community severance from temporary and permanent closures and/or diversions, | <p>WCH at the following PRow would experience temporary, direct short-term slight adverse (significant) residual effects for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 407/001: Footpath 407/002: Footpath 407/019: Bridleway | | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|--|--|----------------------------|--|
| | | access, journey amenity and human health | <p>WCH at the following PRow would experience temporary, direct short-term moderate adverse (significant) residual effects for community severance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">407/013: Footpath407/010: Footpath407/018: Footpath423/006: Footpath423/013: Footpath422/011: Footpath422/020: Footpath115/008: Footpath115/016: Footpath115/013: Footpath422/002: Footpath423/007: Footpath115/009: St Oswald's Way: Long Distance Trail <p>Users of the following PRow would experience temporary, direct short-term slight adverse (significant) residual effects for journey amenity and human health:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">407/013: Footpath407/012: Footpath407/004: Footpath407/001: Footpath407/002: Footpath423/008: Footpath422/018: Byway422/011: Footpath422/003: Footpath115/008: Footpath115/013: Footpath422/002: Footpath422/001: Footpath422/009: Footpath407/010: Bridleway407/018: Footpath423/001: Footpath423/002: Footpath423/006: Footpath423/013: Footpath423/011: Footpath422/020: Footpath | | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|--|-----------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">115/009: St Oswald's Way: Long Distance Trail115/016: Footpath423/007: Footpath407/019: Bridleway | | |
| Statutory and Non-Statutory designated ecological sites/ local biodiversity Refer to Appendix D, Figure 1 for receptor locations and Figure 9.1: Final Phase 1 Plan Part A [APP-105] for habitat locations | Air Quality | Changes to air quality within 200 m of construction activities | Ecological receptors within 200m of Part A would experience no residual effects after mitigation (see Table 2-2 below in relation to effects of air quality on biodiversity). | There are no residual effects on users of statutory and non-statutory designated ecological sites from air quality and noise and vibration after the implementation of mitigation measures as outlined within Chapter 5: Air Quality Part A [APP-040] and Chapter 6: Noise and Vibration Part A [APP-042] . The following ecological receptors have the potential to experience a combined effect no worse than slight adverse (not significant) from direct loss of habitats and/or pollution and degradation and impacts to ecological quality of habitat: <ul style="list-style-type: none">WatercoursesAquatic InvertebratesFish The River Coquet and Coquet Valley Woodlands SSSI (which encompasses Duke's Bank Ancient Woodland) has the potential to experience a combined effect no worse than very large adverse (significant) due to the loss of irreplaceable ancient woodland, loss of riverbank habitat and changes to geomorphology of the River Coquet. The implementation of compensation measures (refer to Chapter 9: Biodiversity Part A [048] and the Ancient Woodland Strategy Part A [APP-249] and revised Ancient Woodland Strategy Part A For Change Request [REP4-054] the combined effect is not anticipated to be greater than the individual effect. The creation of habitats including woodland and semi-improved grassland would have a moderate beneficial (significant) effect as part of Part A with the potential to contribute to a combined effect. | Overall, the combined effect for Part A would be no worse than very large adverse to moderate beneficial significance during construction. |
| | Noise and Vibration | Increased noise and vibration levels within 300 m of construction activities | Ecological receptors within 300m of Part B would experience no residual effects after mitigation | | |
| | Road and Drainage and Water | Impacts to the ecological quality of watercourses through sedimentation, and localised geomorphological impacts (refer to REP4-063 and REP4-064) | There would be no residual effects on ecological receptors from change to the ecological quality of waterways with the exception of nearby watercourses including the River Coquet and Coquet Valley Woodlands SSSI and River Coquet Watercourse Habitat of Principal Importance (HPI) (which constitute the same ecological receptor) which would experience temporary, short-term neutral to slight adverse (not significant) residual effects during construction. The Stabilisation Works and Southern Access Works would have a Slight Adverse residual effect on the River Coquet from changes to sediment regime, channel morphology and natural fluvial processes (refer to Change Request Environmental Statement Addendum: Stabilisation Works [REP4-063] and Change Request Environmental Statement Addendum: Southern Access Works [REP4-064]). | | |
| | Biodiversity | Direct loss of habitats and/or pollution, habitat degradation and changes to geomorphology (refer to REP4-063 and REP4-064). | <p>The following ecological receptors experience slight adverse (not significant) residual effects after mitigation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Arable Field MarginsHedgerowWatercoursesFishAquatic Invertebrates <p>The Coquet River Felton Park LWS would experience moderate adverse (significant) residual effects after mitigation from loss</p> | | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|--|--------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| | | | of broadleaved woodland as outlined within Chapter 9: Biodiversity Part A of the ES [APP-048] and Change Request Environmental Statement Addendum: Stabilisation Works [REP4-063] and Change Request Environmental Statement Addendum: Southern Access Works [REP4-064].: | | |
| | | | The following ecological receptors experience very large adverse (significant) residual effects after mitigation from the loss of ancient woodland: <ul style="list-style-type: none">River Coquet and Coquet Valley Woodlands SSSIDuke Bank Wood ancient woodland | | |
| | | | The permanent loss of riverbank habitat as a result of the Stabilisation Works and the Southern Access Works would result in a direct, permanent Moderate Adverse (significant) effect on the River Coquet and Coquet Valley Woodlands SSSI and River Coquet Watercourse HPI (which constitutes the same ecological receptor) (refer to Change Request Environmental Statement Addendum: Stabilisation Works [REP4-063] and Change Request Environmental Statement Addendum: Southern Access Works [REP4-064]). | | |
| | | | There would be a moderate beneficial (significant) effect on the creation of broadleaved woodland – seminatural and neutral grassland – semi-improved as part of Part A. | | |
| Commercial Properties Refer to Appendix D, Figure 1 for receptor locations | Air Quality | Changes to air quality within 200 m of construction activities | Commercial properties within 200m of Part A would experience no residual effects after mitigation (not significant) | There are no residual effects on commercial properties from air quality and noise and vibration after the implementation of mitigation measures as outlined within Chapter 5: Air Quality Part A [APP-040] and Chapter 6: Noise and Vibration Part A [APP-042] . The following commercial properties have the potential to experience a combined effect no worse than moderate adverse (significant) from change to views, human health and access: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Oak Inn (Public House) (C02)Jackson G K and Sons Garage (C13) The following commercial properties have the potential to experience a combined effect no worse | Overall, the combined effect for Part A would be no worse than minor to moderate adverse significance (not significant) during construction. |
| | Noise and Vibration | Increased noise and vibration levels within 300 m of construction activities | Commercial properties within 300m of Part A would experience no residual effects after mitigation (not significant) | | |
| | Landscape and Visual | Effects on views | The following commercial properties experience slight adverse (not significant) residual effects: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Eshott Airfield (C17)Burgham Park Golf Course (C05)Bockenfield Holiday park / Felmoor Park (C14 and C15)The shooting ground at Bywell (C07) | | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|---|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Heighley Gate garden Centre (C01) <p>The following commercial properties experience moderate adverse residual effects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Oak Inn (Public House) (C02) Jackson G K and Sons Garage (C13) | <p>than slight adverse (not significant) from change to views, human health and private assets (access):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Eshott Airfield (C17) Burgham Park Golf Course (C05) Bockenfield Holiday Park / Felmoor Park (C14 and C15) The Shooting Ground at Bywell (C07) Heighley Gate Garden Centre (C01) | |
| | Population and Human Health | Effects on access, private property and human health | <p>The following commercial properties experience slight adverse (not significant) residual effects after mitigation for both human health and access:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Eshott Airfield (C17) Burgham Park Golf Course (C05) Bockenfield Holiday park / Felmoor Park (C14 and C15) The shooting ground at Bywell (C07) Oak Inn (Public House) (C02) Jackson G K and Sons Garage (C13) Heighley Gate garden Centre (C01) G Youll & Son Fencing (C04) Causey Park Bridge Café (C03) Coquet Cottages (C06) Northumberland Canine Centre (C08) Jet petrol Station (C10) Londis Supermarket (C11) The Quit Shop (C12) Northumberland Woodland Burials (C18) Thurston garage (C19) Command Zone Paintball (C20) Alnthumbria Veterinary Practice (C09) | | |
| Agricultural Land and associated rural enterprises Refer to Appendix 12.1: Agricultural Assessment Part A (CONFIDENTIAL) [APP-266] for receptor locations | Geology and Soils | Temporary and permanent loss of quality agricultural land | <p>The following agricultural land holdings experience minor adverse (not significant) residual effects after mitigation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bywell Farm Hebron West Farm Highlaws Hebron Hill East Fenrother Farm West Moor Other 8 (A) Other (B) Other (D) | <p>The following agricultural land holdings have the potential to experience a combined effect no worse than minor adverse (not significant) from temporary and permanent loss of agricultural land and private assets and land use(access):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bywell Farm Hebron West Farm Highlaws Hebron Hill East Fenrother Farm West Moor | Overall, the combined effect for Part A would be no worse slight to moderate adverse (significant) significance during construction |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Other (E)Other (G)Other (H) | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Other 8 (A)Other (B)Other (D)Other (E)Other (G)Other (H) <p>The following agricultural land holdings have the potential to experience a combined effect no worse than moderate adverse (significant) from temporary and permanent loss of agricultural land and private assets and land use(access):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">ClarehughHemelspeth FarmCausey ParkOther (C) | |
| | | | <p>The following agricultural land holdings experience moderate adverse (significant) residual effects after mitigation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">ClarehughHemelspeth FarmCausey ParkOther (C) | | |
| | | | <p>The following agricultural land holdings experience minor adverse (not significant) residual effects after mitigation for private assets and land use:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Bywell FarmHebron West FarmHighlawsHebron HillEast Fenrother FarmWest MoorOther 8 (A)Other (B)Other (D)Other (E)Other (F)Other (G)Other (H) | | |
| | Population and Human Health | Temporary and permanent effects on agricultural land holdings from land take and disruption to access | <p>The following agricultural land holdings experience moderate adverse (significant) residual effects after mitigation for private assets and land use:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">ClarehughHemelspeth FarmCausey ParkOther (C) | | |
| Community Facilities | Air Quality | Changes to air quality within 200 m of construction activities | Community Facilities within 200m of Part A would experience no residual effects after mitigation | There are no residual effects on commercial properties from air quality and noise and vibration after the implementation of mitigation measures as outlined within Chapter 5: Air Quality Part A [APP- | Overall, the combined effect for Part A would be of no worse |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|--|-----------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| Refer to Appendix D, Figure 1 for receptor locations | Noise and Vibration | Increased noise and vibration levels within 300 m of construction activities | Community Facilities within 300m of Part A would experience no residual effects after mitigation | 040] and Chapter 6: Noise and Vibration Part A [APP-042] . There are no residual effects from change in views on community facilities apart from Tritlington School / Tritlington Church of England School. As such, Tritlington School / Tritlington Church of England School has the potential to experience combined effects from change to views, changes to private assets (access) and human health effects of no worse than moderate adverse significance during construction. The following community facilities have the potential to experience a combined effect no worse than slight adverse (not significant) from changes to private assets (access) and human health: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Fairmoor Cemetery (A)Northgate Hospital (B) | than minor adverse significance (not significant) with the potential for a combined effect of no worse than moderate adverse (significant) on Tritlington Church of England Aided First School during construction. |
| | Landscape and Visual | Effects on views | There would be no residual effects on community facilities from effects on views with the exception of Tritlington School / Tritlington Church of England School (C) which would experience temporary, short-term moderate adverse (significant) residual effects during construction. | | |
| | Population and Human Health | Effects on community severance, access, private property and human health | Users of the following community facilities experience slight adverse (not significant) residual effects after mitigation for private assets and land use: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Tritlington Church of England Aided First School (C)Fairmoor Cemetery (A)Northgate Hospital (B) Users of the following community facilities experience slight adverse (not significant) residual effects after mitigation for human health: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Tritlington Church of England Aided First School (C)Fairmoor Cemetery (A)Northgate Hospital (B)H of St Michael and All Angels (D)Felton Surgery URC Church (E)Felton Church of England Primary School (F)Felton Post Office (G)Felton Recreational Field (H) | | |

Table 2-2 – Screening of Receptors Groups for Cross Topic Combined Effect during Operation (Part A)

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group | |
|---|--------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| Residents Refer to Figure 7.6: Visual Effects Drawing Residential Properties Part A [APP-093] for receptor locations and Figure 5.2: Human and Ecological Receptors Assessed Part A [APP-076] for air quality receptor locations | Air Quality | Exposure to increased or reduced pollution (NO ₂ and PM ₁₀) from changes to traffic flow, mix and speed | No residual effects on residents are reported in the reassessment [REP3-012] as total concentrations of pollutants remains well below the air quality objective therefore no significant residual effects are likely. Air quality modelling has shown that the following receptors with the potential for combined effects may be exposed to some increase in annual mean concentrations of NO ₂ and PM ₁₀ (not significant) as a result of Part A: <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Lane Head Farm North of Felton (R006)■ West Moor House, West Moor Junction (R36 and R007)■ Northgate Farm, adjacent to the A1 (R98 and R009)■ Fairmoor adjacent to the A1 near Morpeth (R012)■ Causey Park (R51 and R020)■ Causey Park Hag (R50 and R021)■ Newgate Street (A192) Morpeth (R025) | There are no residual effects on residents from air quality after the implementation of mitigation measures as outlined within the reassessment [REP3-012]. Some residents have the potential for combined effects from Noise and Vibration, Landscape and Visual and Population and Human Health effects. Residents at the following properties have the potential to experience a combined effect no worse than slight beneficial to slight adverse (not significant) from changes to views and changes to access: <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Hebron Hill (R87) | Overall, the combined effects for Part A would be no worse than major beneficial and major adverse (significant) significance during operation. | |
| | | | No residual effects on residents are reported in the reassessment [REP3-012] as total concentrations of pollutants remains well below the air quality objective therefore no significant residual effects are likely. Air quality modelling has shown that the following receptors with the potential for combined effects may be exposed to some reduction in annual mean concentrations of NO ₂ and PM ₁₀ (not significant) as a result of Part A: <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Brokenfield Holiday Park adjacent to Part A (R008)■ School House (R013)■ Longhorsley (R017)■ Main Street Felton (R018)■ Tindale Hill (R68 and R019)■ Oak Inn, Causey Park Bridge (R61 and R022)■ Longframlington on A697 (R023) | Residents at the following properties have the potential to experience a combined effect no worse than slight beneficial to slight adverse (not significant) from changes to views and reduction in noise levels: <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Longfield Cottage (R9)■ Bockenfield Manor (R43)■ Shield Green (R76)■ Capri Lodge (R96) (including change to access)■ Warreners Barns (R97) (including change to access)■ Northgate Farm (R98) (no adverse effects from noise levels anticipated should PNB1 be constructed) (including change to access)■ Warreners House (R101) (including change to access)■ Warreners House 2 (R102) (including change to access) | | |
| | Noise and Vibration | Both an experienced increase and reduction in noise across Part A | Residents at Four Gables (R57) would experience a permanent, direct, long-term major decrease (significant) in noise levels with the construction of PNB2. | Residents at the following properties have the potential to experience a combined effect no worse than slight adverse (not significant) from changes to views and noise levels: | | |
| | | | Residents at the following properties would experience permanent, direct and long-term major and moderate decrease (significant) residual effects: | | | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|---|---|--|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ The Cottage (R35)■ Home Cottage (R46)■ Helm (R47)■ Causey Park Lodge (R48)■ Causey Park Lodge South (R49)■ New Build off Causey Park (R56 – also referred to as Oakwood Holiday Cottages)■ The Oak Inn (R61)■ High Trees (R62)■ Field View (R63)■ Thornbank (R64)■ Earsdon Mill (R66)■ Earsdon Cottage (R67)■ Earsdon Moor House (R69)■ Earsdon Moor Farm (R70)■ Portland House (R71)■ Welbeck House (R72)■ The Old School (R73)■ Warreners Cottages (R100)■ South View (R74)■ Priest Bridge House (R82)■ Woodlands (R83) | <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Gamekeepers Cottage (R84) <p>Residents at the following properties have the potential to experience a combined effect no worse than slight beneficial to moderate adverse (significant) from changes to views, changes to access and noise levels:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Stafford House (R93) <p>Residents at the following properties have the potential to experience a combined effect no worse than moderate adverse (significant) from changes to views and increase in noise levels:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Fenrother Grange (R78)■ The Old Barn (R78)■ Stonebrook Cottage (R78)■ East Fenrother Farm (R79)■ The Cottage (R79)■ 3 The Cottage (R79)■ Tindale Hill (R68) <p>Residents at the following properties have the potential to experience a combined effect no worse than moderate adverse (significant) to major adverse (significant) from changes to views and increase in noise levels:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Joiners Cottage (R58)■ The Cottage (R59 – also referred to as The Bungalow) <p>Residents at the following properties have the potential to experience a combined effect no worse than minor beneficial (not significant) to large adverse (significant) from changes to views and noise levels:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ West Moor House (R36)■ West Moorhouse (R37) <p>Residents at the following properties have the potential to experience a combined effect no worse</p> | |
| | | | <p>Residents at the following properties would experience permanent, direct and long-term minor to negligible decrease (not significant) residual effects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Cowlsip Hill (R8)■ Longfield Cottage (R9)■ West Moor House (R36)■ West Moorhouse (R37)■ West Moor Plantation Cottage (R38)■ The Paddock (R41)■ Bockenfield (R42)■ Bockenfield Manor (R43)■ The Arches (R44)■ Burgham (R45)■ Shield Green (R75)■ Shield Green (R76)■ Stafford House (R93) | | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Capri Lodge (R96)Warreners Barns (R97)North Gate House (R99)Warreners House (R101)Warreners House 2 (R102)West View (R107)West View (R108) | than slight beneficial to major adverse (significant) from changes to views, changes access and noise levels: <ul style="list-style-type: none">New Houses Farm (R65) | |
| | | | <p>Residents at the following properties would experience permanent, direct and long-term minor increase (not significant) residual effects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Swarland Dene (R2)West Moor Plantation (R39)Gamekeepers Cottage (R84)West Farm Cottage (R89)Hebron West Farm (R90)Keepers Cottage (R91)The Blacksmiths Cottage (R92) <p>Residents at Northgate Farm (R98) would experience a permanent, direct, long-term minor increase (significant) in noise levels should PNB1 not be able to be constructed. If PNB1 can be constructed, Northgate Farm is predicted not to experience a significant adverse operational noise effect.</p> | <p>Residents at the following properties have the potential to experience a combined effect no worse than slight adverse (not significant) to major or moderate beneficial (significant) from changes to views and reduction in noise levels:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">The Old School (R73)South View (R74)The Oak Inn (R61)Causey Park Lodge (R48)New Build off Causey Park (R56 – also referred to as Oakwood Holiday Cottages)Helm (R47)Warreners Cottages (R100) (including change to access) | |
| | | | <p>Residents at the following properties would experience permanent, direct and long-term moderate increase (significant) residual effects from increase in noise during operation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Tindale Hill (R68)Fenrother Grange (R78)The Old Barn (R78)Stonebrook Cottage (R78)East Fenrother Farm (R79)The Cottage (R79)3 The Cottage (R79) | <p>Residents at the following properties have the potential to experience a combined effect no worse than moderate adverse (significant) to major or moderate beneficial (significant) from changes to views and reduction in noise levels:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Earsdon Moor Farm (R70)Portland House (R71)Welbeck House (R72)Four Gables (R57) (subject to PNB2) | |
| | | | <p>Residents at the following properties would experience permanent, direct and long-term major or moderate increase (significant) in noise levels during operation:</p> | <p>Residents at the following properties have the potential to experience a combined effect no worse than slight beneficial (not significant) to major or moderate beneficial (significant) from changes to views and reduction in noise levels:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Thornbank (R64)Earsdon Mill (R66) | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|----------------------------|--|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Joiners Cottage (R58)The Cottage (R59 – also referred to as The Bungalow) | | |
| | | | Residents at New Houses Farm (R65) would experience permanent, direct and long-term major increase (significant) in noise levels during operation. | | |
| | Landscape and Visual | Change to views | Residents at the following properties would experience permanent, direct long-term slight adverse (not significant) residual effects in Year 1 reducing to Neutral in Year 15: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Ardyne (R17)Bothy Lodge (R18)The Old Sawmill (R19)Felton Park (R20)St Mary's House (R21)B6345 The Nook (R24)B6345 Riverside House (R25)B6345 The Boarding House (R26)Tithemans Cottage (R32)Bockenfield Manor (R43)Helm (R47)Gamekeepers Cottage (R84) | | |
| | | | Residents at the following properties would experience permanent, direct long-term slight adverse (not significant) residual effects at Year 1 and Year 15: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Longfield Cottage (R9)Hemelspeth (R27)Shothaugh Farm (R30)Causey Park (R51)The Oak Inn (R61)New Houses Farm (R65)The Old School (R73)South View (R74)Shield Green (R76)Middle Fenrother (R80)Fenrother properties (R81)Hebron Hill (R87)High Highlaws (R95) | | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|----------------------------|--|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Capri Lodge (R96)Warreners Barns (R97)Northgate Farm (R98)Warreners Cottages (R100)Warreners House (R101)Warreners House 2 (R102) | | |
| | | | Residents at the following properties would experience permanent, direct long-term moderate adverse (significant) residual effects in Year 1 reducing to slight adverse (not significant) in Year 15: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Thirston New House (R34)Causey Park Lodge (R48)Causey Park Hag (R50)New Build off Causey Park (R56)Four Gables (R57)Stonebrook Cottage (R78)East Fenrother (R79)High Highlaws Cottage (R94) | | |
| | | | Residents at the following properties would experience permanent, direct long-term moderate adverse (significant) residual effects at Year 1 and Year 15: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Portland House (R71)Welbeck House (R72)Strafford House (R93) | | |
| | | | Residents at the following properties would experience permanent, direct long-term large adverse (significant) residual effects in Year 1 reducing to moderate adverse in Year 15: <ul style="list-style-type: none">The Cottage (R35)West Moor House (R36)West Moorhouse (R37)Joiners Cottage (R58)The Bungalow (R59)Bridge House (R60)Tindale Hill (R68)Earsdon Moor farm (R70) | | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|---|-----------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| | Population and Human Health | Effects on access and private property | Residents at the following properties would experience permanent, direct long-term slight beneficial (not significant) residual effects for changes to access: <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ New Houses Farm (R65)■ Hebron Hill (R87)■ Hebron Hill Farm (R88)■ Strafford House (R93)■ Capri Lodge (R96)■ Warreners Barns (R97)■ Northgate Farm (R98)■ Warreners Cottages (R100)■ Warreners House (R101)■ Warreners House 2 (R102) | | |
| Areas of Amenity surrounding Part A Refer to Appendix D, Figure 1 for receptor locations for receptor locations | Air Quality | Exposure to increased pollution (NO ₂ and PM ₁₀) from changes to traffic flow, mix and speed | There would be no residual effects after mitigation | There are no residual effects on areas of amenity from air quality, noise and vibration, cultural heritage and population and human health after the implementation of mitigation measures as outlined within Chapter 5: Air Quality Part A [APP-040] ; the reassessment [REP3-012] Chapter 6: Noise and Vibration Part A [APP-042] ; noise addendum [REP1-019] and Chapter 12 Population and Human Health Part A [APP-054] . Some users of areas of amenity have the potential for combined effects from Population and Human Health effects, including changes to amenity, access and driver stress; Landscape and Visual Effects, including effects on landscape character and Road Drainage and the Water Environment effects including effects on watercourses. Some residual effects are anticipated to reduce once mitigation planting [as shown on Figure 7.8 Landscape Mitigation Masterplan Part A [REP4-060]] has established. | Overall, the combined effects of Part A would be no worse than minor beneficial and minor adverse significance (not significant) during operation. |
| | Noise and Vibration | Both an experienced increase and reduction in noise across Part A | There would be no residual effects after mitigation | | |
| | Landscape and Visual | Change to views | The following landscape character areas would experience permanent, direct, long-term slight adverse (not significant) residual effects in Year 1 reducing to Neutral in Year 15: <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Broad Lowland Valley – Northgate (35b)■ Coalfield Farmland – Coastal Coalfields (39a) | | |
| | | | The following landscape character areas would experience permanent, direct, long-term slight adverse (not significant) residual effects: <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Broad Lowland Valley – Coquet Valley (35a)■ Coquet Valley (17) | | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|---|-----------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| | | | The following landscape character areas would experience permanent, direct, long-term moderate adverse (significant) residual effects in Year 1 reducing to slight adverse in Year 15: ■ Lowland Rolling Farmland – Longhorsley (38b) | As such, there is the potential for areas of amenity to experience a permanent, long-term a combined effect no worse than minor beneficial to minor adverse from changes to amenity, access, driver stress, changes to landscape character and works within watercourses. | |
| | Road and Drainage and Water | Impacts from works within watercourses | There would be no residual effects on areas of amenity from change to the ecological quality of waterways with the exception of works within watercourses which would experience permanent, long-term slight adverse (not significant) residual effects on some watercourses during operation. | | |
| | Population and Human Health | Effects on access, amenity value, driver stress | There would be a slight adverse (not significant) residual effect on recreational users of the River Coquet and Felton Park from a change in amenity and access. | | |
| | | | There would be a slight beneficial (not significant) residual effect from reduced driver stress which may include users of areas of amenity. | | |
| Road Users Refer to Appendix D, Figure 1 for viewpoint locations. | Noise and Vibration | Both an experienced increase and reduction in noise across Part A | There would be no residual effects on road users from change to the noise levels with the exception road users some permanent, long-term minor increase (not significant) in noise levels at the Church of St Cuthbert during operation of Part A. | Some road users have the potential for combined effects from Population and Human Health effects, Noise and Vibration and Landscape and Visual. Road users at the following viewpoints have the potential to experience a combined effect no worse than slight beneficial to neutral (not significant) from changes to views and driver stress: ■ Users of the A1 (Viewpoint-21, Viewpoint-19, Viewpoint-9) ■ View looking west from Widdrington Road at the Bungalow (Viewpoint-13) Road users at the following viewpoints have the potential to experience a combined effect no worse than slight beneficial to slight adverse (not significant) from driver stress, changes to noise levels and changes to views: | Overall, the combined effects of Part A would be no worse than minor beneficial (not significant) and moderate adverse (significant) during operation. |
| | | | Road users travelling along the following roads and at the following viewpoints would experience permanent, direct, long-term slight adverse (not significant) residual effects in Year 1 reducing to neutral/negligible in Year 15: ■ Users of the A1 (Viewpoint-21, Viewpoint-19, Viewpoint-9) ■ View looking west from Widdrington Road at the Bungalow (Viewpoint-13) | | |
| | Landscape and Visual | Effects on views | Road users travelling along the following roads and at the following viewpoints would experience permanent, direct, long-term slight adverse (not significant) residual effects: ■ View looking east from Fenrother Lane (west) at Fenrother (Viewpoint-35) | | |
| | | | | | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| | | | <p>Road users travelling along the following roads and at the following viewpoints would experience permanent, direct, long-term moderate adverse (significant) residual effects in Year 1 reducing to slight adverse in Year 15:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">View looking west from Hebron Road within the vicinity of the Church of St Cuthbert (Viewpoint-4)View looking east from PRow 422/011 adjacent to Burgham Park Golf and Leisure Club (Viewpoint-28)View looking east from Causey park Hag/Causey Park Road (Viewpoint-31) | <ul style="list-style-type: none">View looking west from Hebron Road within the vicinity of the Church of St Cuthbert (Viewpoint-4) <p>Road users at the following viewpoints have the potential to experience a combined effect no worse than slight beneficial to slight adverse (not significant) from changes to driver stress and changes to views:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">View looking east from PRow 422/011 adjacent to Burgham Park Golf and Leisure Club (Viewpoint-28)View looking east from Causey Park Hag/Causey Park Road (Viewpoint-31)View looking east from Fenrother Lane (west) at Fenrother (Viewpoint-35) | |
| | Population and Human Health | Effects on road users from increased driver stress | <p>Road users travelling along the following roads and at the following viewpoints would experience permanent, direct, long-term slight beneficial (not significant) residual effects for driver stress:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Users of the A1 (Viewpoint-21, Viewpoint-19, Viewpoint-9)View looking west from Widdrington Road at the Bungalow (Viewpoint-13)View looking west from Hebron Road within the vicinity of the Church of St Cuthbert (Viewpoint-4)View looking north-east from Howdens Glebe Cottages, off West Moor Road (Viewpoint-27)View looking east from PRow 422/011 adjacent to Burgham Park Golf and Leisure Club (Viewpoint-28)View looking east from Causey park Hag/Causey Park Road (Viewpoint-31)View looking east from Fenrother Lane (west) at Fenrother (Viewpoint-35)The unnamed road between the A1 and A697 which provides access to proposed Highlaws Junction, west of the A1The unnamed road to the east of Part A from the A1 to Tritlington, where Tritlington Church of England First School is located | <p>Road users at the following viewpoints have the potential to experience a combined effect no worse than slight beneficial to moderate adverse (significant) from changes to driver stress and changes to views:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">View looking north-east from Howdens Glebe Cottages, off West Moor Road (Viewpoint-27) | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|---|--------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Earsdon Road, located to the east of the A1, which provides access to the community of Easdon from the A1 An unnamed road to the east of the A1, between the A1 and Eshott Burn Bywell Road located to the east of the A1 Unnamed road to the east of the A1 which provides access from the A1 to Thirston New Houses The B6345 which runs perpendicular to the A1 to the north of the River Coquet | | |
| Users of PRow (WCH) Refer to Appendix D, Figure 1 for receptor locations. | Air Quality | Exposure to increased pollution (NO ₂ and PM ₁₀) from changes to traffic flow, mix and speed | There would be no residual effects after mitigation | There are no residual effects on users of PRow from air quality and noise and vibration after the implementation of mitigation measures as outlined within the air quality reassessment [REP3-012] the noise addendum [REP1-019]. | Despite the potential for some minor beneficial effects (not significant) the overall combined effects of Part A would be no worse than moderate adverse (significant) during operation. |
| | Noise and Vibration | Both an experienced increase and reduction in noise across Part A | There would be no residual effects after mitigation | Some users of PRow have the potential for combined effects from Population and Human Health effects, including changes to community severance and journey amenity, and Landscape and Visual. Users of the following PRow have the potential to experience a combined effect no worse than slight adverse (not significant) from population and human health and changes to views: | |
| | Landscape and Visual | Effects on views | <p>WCH at the following PRow would experience permanent, direct, long-term slight adverse (not significant) residual effects in Year 1 reducing to neutral in Year 15:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 407/010: Bridleway 407/004: Footpath 422/018: Byway 422/020: Footpath 115/008: Footpath 115/016: Footpath <p>WCH at the following PRow would experience permanent, direct, long-term slight adverse (not significant) residual effects in Year 1 and Year 15:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 423/002: Footpath 423/011: Footpath 422/011: Footpath 422/003: Footpath 115/013: Footpath 422/022: Footpath | <p>Users of the following PRow have the potential to experience a combined effect no worse than slight adverse (not significant) from population and human health and changes to views:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 407/010: Bridleway 407/004: Footpath 423/002: Footpath 423/011: Footpath 422/018: Byway 422/003: Footpath St Oswald's Way: Long Distance Trail 115/013: Footpath 422/022: Footpath 422/001: Footpath 422/009: Footpath <p>Users of the following PRow have the potential to experience a combined effect no worse than slight beneficial (not significant) from population and human health and changes to views:</p> | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">422/001: Footpath422/009: Footpath | <ul style="list-style-type: none">423/008: Footpath <p>Users of the following PRow have the potential to experience a combined effect no worse than slight adverse to slight beneficial (not significant) from population and human health and changes to views:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">407/018: Footpath422/011: Footpath422/020: Footpath115/008: Footpath115/016: Footpath <p>Users of the following PRow have the potential to experience a combined effect no worse than moderate adverse (significant) from population and human health and changes to views:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">423/001: Footpath423/006: Footpath423/013: Footpath | |
| | | | WCH at the following PRow would experience permanent, direct, long-term moderate adverse (significant) residual effects in Year 1 reducing to neutral in Year 15: <ul style="list-style-type: none">115/009: St Oswald's Way: Long Distance Trail | | |
| | | | WCH at the following PRow would experience permanent, direct, long-term large adverse (significant) residual effects in Year 1 reducing to moderate adverse in Year 15: <ul style="list-style-type: none">423/001: Footpath423/006: Footpath423/016: Footpath407/018: Footpath (large adverse to slight adverse in Year 15) | | |
| | | | WCH at the following PRow would experience permanent, direct, long-term slight beneficial (not significant) residual effects: <ul style="list-style-type: none">423/008: Footpath | | |
| | Population and Human Health | Effects on community severance, access, journey amenity and human health | WCH at the following PRow would experience permanent, direct, long-term slight adverse (not significant) residual effects for community severance: <ul style="list-style-type: none">423/013: Footpath423/017: Footpath | | |
| | | | WCH at the following PRow would experience permanent, direct, long-term moderate adverse (significant) residual effects for community severance: <ul style="list-style-type: none">423/001: Footpath | | |
| | | | WCH at the following PRow would experience permanent, direct, long-term slight beneficial (not significant) residual effects for community severance: <ul style="list-style-type: none">407/018: Footpath422/011: Footpath422/020: Footpath | | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|--|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | | | <div><div><ul style="list-style-type: none">115/008: Footpath115/016: Footpath422/002: Footpath407/001: Footpath</div><div>WCH at the following PRow would experience permanent, direct, long-term slight adverse (not significant) residual effects for journey amenity:<ul style="list-style-type: none">407/010: Bridleway407/004: Footpath407/018: Footpath423/001: Footpath423/002: Footpath423/006: Footpath423/013: Footpath423/011: Footpath422/018: Byway422/011: Footpath422/003: Footpath422/020: Footpath115/009: St Oswald's Way: Long Distance Trail115/008: Footpath115/016: Footpath115/013: Footpath422/022: Footpath422/001: Footpath422/002: Footpath407/001: Footpath422/009: Footpath</div><div>WCH at the following PRow would experience permanent, direct, long-term slight beneficial (not significant) residual effects for journey amenity:<ul style="list-style-type: none">423/008: Footpath423/017: Footpath</div></div> | | |
| Statutory and Non-Statutory designated | Air Quality | Increase in nitrogen deposition on ecological receptors | <div>The following ecological receptors would experience a moderate adverse (significant) effect from nitrogen deposition:<ul style="list-style-type: none">Borough Woods LNR</div> | There are no residual effects on ecological receptors from noise and vibration after the implementation of | Overall, the combined effect of Part |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|--|--------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| ecological sites/local biodiversity Refer to Appendix D, Figure 1 for receptor locations and Figure 9.1: Final Phase 1 Plan Part A [APP-105] for habitat locations | | | <p>The following ecological receptors would experience a very large adverse (significant) effect from nitrogen deposition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Borough Woods Ancient Woodland■ Well Wood Ancient Woodland■ Veteran trees T682 and T701 | <p>mitigation measures as outlined within the noise addendum [REP1-019].</p> <p>There is the potential for a combined effect of no worse than slight adverse (not significant) significance on watercourses including the River Coquet and River Coquet and Coquet Valley Woodland SSSI from effects on morphology, water quality and effects on supporting species. There is also the potential for a combined effect from the loss of commuting habitat for bats of no worse than slight adverse (not significant) significance.</p> | A would be no worse than minor adverse (not significant) during operation. |
| | | | <p>The following ecological receptors would experience a slight adverse (not significant) effect from nitrogen deposition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Coquet River Felton Park LWS■ Wansbeck and Hartburn Woods LWS■ Cawledge Burn LWS | | |
| | | | <p>The following ecological receptors experience slight beneficial (not significant) residual effects from decrease in nitrogen deposition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Ulgham Meadows LWS | | |
| | Noise and Vibration | Both an experienced increase and reduction in noise across Part A | There would be no residual effects after mitigation | | |
| | Landscape and Visual | Impacts to retained arboricultural features (e.g. salt spray, wind exposure, road surface run off) and compensation planting | There would be a slight adverse (not significant) effect on high and medium value retained arboricultural features including Duke's Bank Wood. | | |
| | | | There would be a slight beneficial (not significant) effect on low and very low features as the replacement planting matures. | | |
| | Biodiversity | Direct loss of habitats and/or pollution and habitat degradation | <p>The following ecological receptors experience slight adverse (not significant) residual effects after mitigation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Bats■ Aquatic invertebrates■ Fish■ River Coquet Watercourse (HPI)■ River Coquet and Coquet Valley Woodlands SSSI | | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| | Road Drainage and the Water Environment | Localised permanent changes to morphology from proposed scour protection | There would be localised geomorphological impacts to the River Coquet and River Coquet and Coquet Valley Woodlands SSSI from the proposed Southern Access Works [REP4-064] and Stabilisation works [REP4-063] of slight (not significant) . | | |
| Community Facilities Refer to Appendix D, Figure 1 for receptor locations | Air Quality | Exposure to increased pollution (NO ₂ and PM ₁₀) from changes to traffic flow, mix and speed | There would be no residual effects after mitigation | There are no residual effects on community facilities from air quality after the implementation of mitigation measures as outlined within air quality reassessment [REP3-012]. Some community facilities have the potential for combined effects from Noise and Vibration, Landscape and Visual and Population and Human Health effects. Tritlington Church of England Aided First School would experience a combined effect from changes to noise, views and access and journey amenity of no worse than moderate and major beneficial (significant) to neutral residual effects during operation. The combined effect is therefore no greater than the individual effect. | Overall, the combined effect of Part A would be no worse than minor adverse (not significant) for community facilities with the exception of Tritlington Church of England Aided First School which would experience a combined effect of no worse than moderate and major beneficial (significant) significance during operation. |
| | Noise and Vibration | Both an experienced increase and reduction in noise across Part A | There would be no residual effects on community facilities (including Northumbria Woodland Burials) from changes to noise levels with the exception of Tritlington Church of England Aided First School which would experience permanent, long-term major and moderate decrease (significant) in noise levels during operation. | | |
| | Landscape and Visual | Effects on views | There would be no residual effects on community facilities from effects on views with the exception of Tritlington Church of England Aided First School which would experience slight adverse (not significant) residual effects in Year 1 reducing to Neutral in Year 15. | | |
| | Population and Human Health | Effects on access, amenity and private property | Users of the following community facilities experience slight adverse (not significant) residual effects after mitigation for access and amenity: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Felton Park (H) | | |
| | | | Users of the following community facilities experience slight beneficial (not significant) residual effects after mitigation for access and amenity: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Tritlington Church of England Aided First School (C) | | |

3 SCREENING FOR CROSS TOPIC COMBINED EFFECTS PART B

3.1 INTRODUCTION

- 3.1.1. **Table 3-1** and **Table 3-2** identify the common sensitive receptors from **Part B Technical Chapters 5 to 13** of the ES [**APP-040 to APP-057**] that are exposed to residual effects with a significance of 'minor' or above and sensitive receptors that have the potential for cross topic combined effects. The significance of residual effect for each individual receptor has been reviewed to present the potential combined effects for each individual receptor. The overall combined effect for that receptor group is then provided.
- 3.1.2. The screening matrix for common sensitive receptors and residual effects during construction and operation of Part B is provided in Appendix B of this Technical Note. The locations of sensitive receptors are shown in Appendix D, Figure 2: Combined Effects Receptor Locations Part B of this technical note. Where receptors aren't visible on the plan, for example due to difference scales required to identify the location of the receptor, a reference to the existing plan showing these receptor locations has been included within the relevant 'common receptor group' of Table 3-1 and Table 3-2.

3.2 ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

- 3.2.1. The assessment methodology and legislative and policy framework is in accordance with the methodology set out in **Chapter 15: Assessment of Combined Effects Part B** of the ES [**APP-061**].

3.3 UPDATED DMRB GUIDANCE

- 3.3.1. Some DMRB guidance documents were updated in 2019 and 2020 (and associated IANs replaced), by which time the EIA for the Scheme was largely complete. However, a sensitivity test was undertaken in April / May 2020 by the Applicant either to demonstrate that the assessments reported in this ES are already compliant with the updated guidance, or to identify any changes to the conclusions of the assessments as a result of the updated guidance (determined through further assessment). A sensitivity appraisal was undertaken for the Part B cross topic combined effects assessment.
- 3.3.2. As outlined in **Section 15.8, paragraphs 15.8.2 and 15.8.3** of **Chapter 15: Assessment of Combined Effects Part B** of the ES [**APP-061**], a number of environmental effects that arose from Part B increased in significance as a result of the application of the updated DMRB guidance (refer to **Appendix 4.5: DMRB Sensitivity Test [APP-197]**. **Section 15.8, paragraph 15.8.2 and 15.8.3** of **Chapter 15: Assessment of Combined Effects Part B** of the ES [**APP-061**] considers whether the changes to these significant effects would change the outcome of the combined effects assessment.
- 3.3.3. As set out in **Section 15.8, paragraph 15.8.2** of **Chapter 15: Assessment of Combined Effects Part B** [**APP-061**], with the application of the updated DMRB guidance, the effect

on Grade 3b agricultural land would increase from a slight adverse effect to a moderate adverse effect.

- 3.3.4. As set out in **Section 15.8, paragraph 15.8.3 of Chapter 15: Assessment of Combined Effects Part B** of the ES [APP-061], an existing beneficial significant effect for noise would increase from moderate beneficial to major beneficial as a result of application of the updated DMRB guidance. Following the reassessment of operational noise [REP1-019 to 022], the screening matrix for Part B has been reviewed and updated to include the changes in operational noise effects as a result of the application of the updated DMRB guidance. These additional effects have been included within the screening matrix in **Table 3-2**.

3.4 MITIGATION AND MONITORING

- 3.4.1. As outlined in **Section 15.9 of Chapter 15: Assessment of Combined Effects Part B** [APP-061], following the best practice and mitigation measures outlined in the **Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP)** [REP6-025 and 026], no further combined significant residual effects have been identified above the level of significance of those residual effects reported in **Part B Technical Chapters 5 to 13** [APP-040 to APP-057]. Therefore, no further mitigation or monitoring is required above that already presented in **Part B Technical Chapter 5 to 13** [APP-040 to APP-057] and the **Outline CEMP** [REP6-025 and 026].
- 3.4.2. **Appendix GEN.4 Justification for Significant Residual Effects WQ GEN.1.35** [REP1-036] provides a justification for the residual significant effects reported in **Technical Chapter 5 to Chapter 17** [APP-040 to 062] of the ES and why no further mitigation is proposed to be implemented. As set out in **Table 1 of Appendix GEN.4 Justification for Significant Residual Effects WQ GEN.1.35** [REP1-036], no further mitigation measures are feasible to reduce the residual significant, cross topic combined effects anticipated as a result of Part B and significant effects would remain for combined effects.

Table 3-1 - Screening of Receptors Groups for Cross Topic Combined Effects during Construction (Part B)

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|---|-----------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Residents Refer to Appendix D, Figure 2 for receptor locations | Air Quality | Changes to air quality within 200 m of construction activities | Residents within 200m of Part B would experience no residual effects after mitigation | <p>There are no residual effects on residents from air quality and noise and vibration after the implementation of mitigation measures as outlined within Chapter 5: Air Quality Part B and Chapter 6: Noise and Vibration Part B [APP-041 and APP-043].</p> <p>Some residents have the potential for combined effects from Population and Human Health effects including human health, change to access, community severance and reduced access to PRow. The following residents have the potential to experience a combined effect no worse than slight to moderate adverse (significant) from effects on human health, private assets, community severance and reduced access to PRow:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Properties at South Charlton (18)Silvermoor (22)Goldenmoor (23)Properties at Denwick (24) <p>The following residents have the potential to experience combined effects of no worse than moderate adverse (significant) from change to views, human health, private assets, community severance and reduced access to PRow:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Broom House (1)Loaning Head (2)Broxfield and Surrounding Properties (9)Rock Midstead Cottages and Rock Midstead Farmhouse (11)Rock South Farm (12)Rock Moor House (14)West Lodge (15)Properties at East Linkhall (16)Properties at North Charlton (17) <p>The following residents have the potential to experience combined effects of no worse than large adverse (significant) from change to views, human health, private assets, community severance and reduced access to PRow:</p> | Overall, Part B would have a combined, temporary residual effect of no worse than large adverse (significant) significance on residents during construction. |
| | Noise and Vibration | Increased noise and vibration levels within 300 m of construction activities | Residents within 300m of Part B would experience no residual effects after mitigation | | |
| | Landscape and Visual | Change to views | Residents at the following properties would experience temporary, direct short-term moderate adverse (significant) residual effects: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Broom House (1)Loaning Head (2)Broxfield and Surrounding Properties (9)Rock Midstead Cottages and Rock Midstead Farmhouse (11)Rock South Farm (12)Rock Moor House (14)West Lodge (15)Properties at East Linkhall (16)Properties at North Charlton (17) | | |
| | | | Residents at the following properties would experience temporary, direct short-term large adverse (significant) residual effects: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Heckley House and Heckley Cottage (3)Heckley Fence (4)Heiferlaw Bank (5)Rock Lodge (6)Rock Nab (7)Holywell Cottage (8)West Linkhall Farmhouse and surrounding properties (including Patterson Cottage) (10)Drythrottle (13) | | |
| | Population and Human Health | Effects on human health | During construction there would be works within the vicinity of residential properties which would experience temporary, short-term slight adverse (not significant) residual effects for human health. | The following residents have the potential to experience combined effects of no worse than large adverse (significant) from change to views, human health, private assets, community severance and reduced access to PRow: | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| | | | <p>These residents with the potential for combined effects are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Broom House (1)■ Loaning Head (2)■ Heckley House and Heckley Cottage (3)■ Heckley Fence (4)■ Heiferlaw Bank (5)■ Rock Lodge (6)■ Rock Nab (7)■ Holywell Cottage (8)■ Broxfield and Surrounding Properties (9)■ West Linkhall Farmhouse and surrounding properties (10)■ Rock Midstead Cottages and Farmhouse (11)■ Rock South Farm (12)■ Drythropple (13)■ Rock Moor House (14)■ West Lodge (15)■ Properties at East Linkhall (16)■ Properties at North Charlton (17)■ Properties at South Charlton (18)■ Brockley Hall Cottages and Brockley Hall (20)■ Silvermoor (22)■ Goldenmoor (23)■ Properties at Denwick (24) | <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Heckley House and Heckley Cottage (3)■ Heckley Fence (4)■ Heiferlaw Bank (5)■ Rock Lodge (6)■ Rock Nab (7)■ Holywell Cottage (8)■ West Linkhall Farmhouse and surrounding properties (including Patterson Cottage) (10)■ Drythropple (13) <p>All residents have the potential for minor beneficial effects (not significant) from socio-economic benefits during construction of the Part B.</p> | |
| | | Effects on community severance and reduced access to PRow | <p>Residents at the following properties would experience temporary, direct short-term slight to moderate adverse (significant) residual effects for community severance and reduced access to PRow:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Broom House (1)■ Loaning Head (2)■ Heckley House and Heckley Cottage (3)■ Heckley Fence (4)■ Heiferlaw Bank (5)■ Rock Lodge (6)■ Rock Nab (7)■ Holywell Cottage (8)■ Broxfield and Surrounding Properties (9)■ West Linkhall Farmhouse and surrounding properties (10) | | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|----------------------------|--|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Rock Midstead Cottages and Farmhouse (11)▪ Rock South Farm (12)▪ Drythropple (13)▪ Rock Moor House (14)▪ West Lodge (15)▪ Properties at East Linkhall (16)▪ Properties at North Charlton (17)▪ Properties at South Charlton (18)▪ Brockley Hall Cottages and Brockley Hall (20)▪ Silvermoor (22)▪ Goldenmoor (23)▪ Properties at Denwick (24) | | |
| | | | <p>Residents at the following properties would experience temporary, direct short-term minor beneficial (not significant) residual effects for socio-economic:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Broom House (1)▪ Loaning Head (2)▪ Heckley House and Heckley Cottage (3)▪ Heckley Fence (4)▪ Heiferlaw Bank (5)▪ Rock Lodge (6)▪ Rock Nab (7)▪ Holywell Cottage (8)▪ Broxfield and Surrounding Properties (9)▪ West Linkhall Farmhouse and surrounding properties (10)▪ Rock Midstead Cottages and Farmhouse (11)▪ Rock South Farm (12)▪ Drythropple (13)▪ Rock Moor House (14)▪ West Lodge (15)▪ Properties at East Linkhall (16)▪ Properties at North Charlton (17)▪ Properties at South Charlton (18)▪ Brockley Hall Cottages and Brockley Hall (20)▪ Silvermoor (22)▪ Goldenmoor (23)▪ Properties at Denwick (24) | | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|---|--------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| | | Change in access to the A1 and proximity to construction activities | Residents at the following properties would experience temporary, direct short-term slight adverse (not significant) residual effects from change in access: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Heckley Fence (4)Rock Nab (7)West Linkhall Farmhouse and surrounding properties (10)Rock South Farm (12)West Lodge (15)Properties at East Linkhall (16)Properties at South Charlton (18) | | |
| | | Loss of private property | Residents at the following properties would experience permanent, direct large adverse (not significant) residual effects for private assets and land use as these properties will be demolished during construction to facilitate the Scheme: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Charlton Mires FarmEast Cottage | | |
| | | Socio-Economic benefits during construction | The construction stage would see a beneficial, temporary effect on the local economy through enhancing local labour and supporting local business through expenditure from direct spend on materials for Part B. There may also be beneficial effects from any construction labour employed from outside the region who would need to use local hotels and/or restaurants. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary effect on local (Northumberland) receptors including local residents of minor beneficial significance (not significant). | | |
| Road Users Refer to Appendix D, Figure 2 for receptor locations | Landscape and Visual | Effects on views | There would be no residual effects on road users from change in views with the exception of Users of the A1 which would experience temporary, short-term moderate adverse (significant) residual effects during construction. | There are no residual effects from change in views on road users with the exception of users of the A1. As such, users of the A1 have the potential to experience combined effects from change to views and increased driver stress of no worse than | Overall, Part B would have a combined temporary effect of no worse than moderate |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|--|-----------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| | Population and Human Health | Effects on road users from increased driver stress | Road users travelling on the following roads would experience temporary adverse effects (not significant) for driver stress: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Users of the A1 (37)Users of the B6347 (38)Users of the B6341 (39)Users of the B1340 (40)Alnmouth Road between the A1, B1340, A1069 and DenwickThe unnamed road to the east between the A1 at Charlton Mires to Rock Moor Farm | moderate adverse significance during construction. | adverse (significant) significance on road users during construction |
| Users of PRow (WCH) Refer to Appendix D, Figure 2 for receptor locations | Air Quality | Changes to air quality within 200 m of construction activities | WCH using PRow within 200m of Part B would experience no residual effects after mitigation (not significant) | There are no residual effects on users of PRow from air quality and noise and vibration after the implementation of mitigation measures as outlined within Chapter 5: Air Quality Part B [APP-041] and Chapter 6: Noise and Vibration Part B [APP-043] . Users of the following PRow have the potential to experience a combined effect of no worse than moderate adverse (significant) from changes in views, effects on community severance, access, human health and journey amenity: <ul style="list-style-type: none">PRoW 110/013PRoW 110/004 Users of the following PRow have the potential to experience a combined effect of no worse than large adverse (significant) from changes in views, effects on community severance, access, human health and journey amenity: <ul style="list-style-type: none">PRoW 129/004PRoW 129/005 | Overall, Part B would have a combined effect of no worse than large adverse (significant) significance on PRow users during construction |
| | Noise and Vibration | Increased noise and vibration levels within 300 m of construction activities | WCH using PRow within 300m of Part B would experience no residual effects after mitigation (not significant) | | |
| | Landscape and Visual | Effects on views | WCH at the following PRow would experience temporary, direct short-term slight adverse (significant) residual effects: <ul style="list-style-type: none">PRoW 110/004 | | |
| | | | WCH at the following PRow would experience temporary, direct short-term moderate adverse (significant) residual effects: <ul style="list-style-type: none">PRoW 112/008PRoW 112/009PRoW 110/013PRoW 129/006 WCH at the following PRow would experience temporary, direct short-term large adverse (significant) residual effects: <ul style="list-style-type: none">PRoW 129/004PRoW 129/005PRoW 141/013 | | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--|---|----------------------------|--|
| | Population and Human Health | Effects on community severance, access, journey amenity and human health | <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ PRow 141/002 | | |
| | | | WCH at the following PRow would experience temporary, direct short-term slight adverse (significant) residual effects for community severance: <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ PRow 129/005■ PRow 129/013 | | |
| | | | WCH at the following PRow would experience temporary, direct short-term moderate adverse (significant) residual effects for community severance: <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ PRow 129/004■ PRow 129/009 and 110/003■ PRow 110/019■ PRow 129/022■ PRow 110/013■ PRow 110/004■ PRow 129/014■ PRow 129/024 | | |
| | | | WCH at the following PRow would experience temporary, direct short-term slight adverse (not significant) residual effects for journey amenity: <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ PRow 129/004■ PRow 129/005■ PRow 129/009 and 110/003■ PRow 110/019■ PRow 129/022■ PRow 110/013■ PRow 110/004■ PRow 129/014■ PRow 129/013■ PRow 129/024 | | |
| | | | Users of the following PRow would experience temporary, slight adverse (not significant) effect on human health: <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ PRow 112/008■ PRow 112/009 | | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|--|-----------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">PRoW 129/004PRoW 129/009 and 110/003PRoW 110/019PRoW 129/022PRoW 110/013PRoW 110/004PRoW 129/014PRoW 129/006PRoW 141/013PRoW 141/002PRoW 422/020PRoW 129/013PRoW 129/024 | | |
| Statutory and Non-Statutory designated ecological sites Refer to Appendix D, Figure 2 for receptor locations and Figure 9.3: Phase 1 Habitat Survey Part B [APP-155] for habitat locations | Air Quality | Changes to air quality within 200 m of construction activities | Ecological receptors within 200 m of Part B would experience no residual effects after mitigation | There are no residual effects on ecological receptors from air quality and noise and vibration after the implementation of mitigation measures as outlined within Chapter 5: Air Quality Part B [APP-041] and Chapter 6: Noise and Vibration Part B [APP-043] . The following ecological receptors have the potential to experience combined effects of no worse than moderate adverse (significant) from direct loss of habitats and/or pollution and degradation and impacts to ecological quality of habitat: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Watercourses (including Shipperton Burn)Aquatic InvertebratesFish The creation/reinstatement of compensatory woodland at a quantity significantly greater than that lost (10.14 ha created in comparison to 0.69 ha lost) would result in a moderate beneficial (significant) effect on Habitats of Principal Importance (including broadleaved semi-natural woodland). | Overall, Part B would have a combined effect of no worse than moderate adverse and moderate beneficial significance (significant) on ecological receptors during construction |
| | Noise and vibration | Increased noise and vibration levels within 300 m of construction activities | Ecological receptors within 300 m of Part B would experience no residual effects after mitigation | | |
| | Road and Drainage and Water | Impacts to the ecological quality of watercourses | Shipperton Burn (watercourse) would experience a slight adverse (not significant) residual effect during construction due to the extension of the culvert. | | |
| | Biodiversity | Direct loss of habitats and/or pollution and habitat degradation/creation | The following ecological receptors experience slight adverse (not significant) residual effects after mitigation: <ul style="list-style-type: none">HedgerowWatercoursesBatsAquatic Invertebrates | | |
| | | | Fish would experience moderate adverse (significant) residual effects after mitigation. | | |
| | | | Broadleaved semi-natural woodland would experience moderate beneficial (significant) residual effects after mitigation. | | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|---|-----------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Commercial Properties Refer to Appendix D, Figure 2 for receptor locations | Air Quality | Changes to air quality within 200 m of construction activities | Commercial properties within 200m of Part B would experience no residual effects after mitigation | There are no residual effects on users of commercial properties from air quality, noise and vibration and landscape and visual after the implementation of mitigation measures as outlined within Chapter 5: Air Quality Part B [APP-041] and Chapter 6: Noise and Vibration Part B [APP-043] and Chapter 7: Landscape and Visual Part B [APP-045] . There is the potential for combined effect of no worse than slight adverse (not significant) from effects to human health and access for the following commercial properties: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Rock Lodge Holiday LetsReading Rooms CottageThe Old Stables Tea RoomThe Armstrong Household and Farming MuseumPatterson's Cottage Boarding KennelsMiddlemoor CottageRocking Horse Café and GalleryRock Moor House Bed and BreakfastBeal ME and SonsDrythroppleGrahamslaw JEG and SonsBlossoms Plantation PodsCharlton Hall Wedding VenueLionheart Industrial Estate | Overall, Part B would have a combined effect of slight adverse significance (not significant) on commercial properties during construction |
| | Noise and Vibration | Increased noise and vibration levels within 300 m of construction activities | Commercial properties within 300m of Part B would experience no residual effects after mitigation | | |
| | Landscape and Visual | Effects on views | Commercial properties would experience no residual effects after mitigation (not significant) | | |
| | Population and Human Health | Effects on access and human health | The following commercial properties experience slight adverse (not significant) residual effects after mitigation for both human health and access: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Rock Lodge Holiday LetsReading Rooms CottageThe Old Stables Tea RoomThe Armstrong Household and Farming MuseumPatterson's Cottage Boarding KennelsMiddlemoor CottageRocking Horse Café and GalleryRock Moor House Bed and BreakfastBeal ME and SonsDrythroppleGrahamslaw JEG and SonsBlossoms Plantation PodsCharlton Hall Wedding VenueLionheart Industrial Estate | | |
| Agricultural Land and associated rural enterprises Refer to Appendix 12.1: Likely Impacts on Agricultural Businesses Part B (CONFIDENTIAL) for receptor locations | Geology and Soils | Temporary and permanent loss of quality agricultural land | The following agricultural land holdings experience slight adverse (not significant) residual effects after mitigation: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Broxfield FarmDrythropple CottageGoldenmoor FarmHumbleheugh FarmRock NabSilvermoor FarmNorth Charlton FarmRock Estate | There is the potential for some agricultural land holdings to experience combined adverse effects from loss of quality agricultural land, land take and loss of private property. The following agricultural land holdings have the potential to experience a combined effect of no worse than slight adverse (not significant) significance from loss of quality agricultural land, severance, nuisance, reduction in viability and land take: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Broxfield FarmDrythropple Cottage | Overall, Part B would have a combined effect of no worse than very large adverse significance (significant) on agricultural land and identified rural enterprises during construction. |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Population and Human Health | Temporary and permanent effects on agricultural land holdings from severance, nuisance, reduction in viability, land take and loss of property | The following agricultural land holdings experience large adverse (significant) residual effects after mitigation: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Charlton Mires FarmEast CottageEast Link Hall FarmNorth Charlton FarmRock EstateRock FarmsWest Link Hall Farm | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Goldenmoor FarmHumbleheugh FarmRock NabSilvermoor FarmNorth Charlton FarmRock Estate <p>The following agricultural land holdings have the potential to experience a combined effect of no worse than large adverse (significant) significance from loss of quality agricultural land, severance, nuisance, reduction in viability and land take:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Charlton Mires FarmEast Link Hall FarmNorth Charlton FarmRock EstateRock FarmsWest Link Hall Farm <p>East Cottage has the potential to experience a combined effect of very large adverse significance (significant) from loss of quality agricultural land, permanent land take and demolition of farm buildings resulting in the loss of private property.</p> | |
| | | | The following agricultural land holdings experience slight adverse (not significant) residual effects after mitigation: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Broxfield FarmDrythrottle CottageEast Link Hall FarmGoldenmor FarmHeckley FarmHumbleheugh FarmNorth Charlton FarmRock EstateRock FarmsRock NabSilvermoor FarmWest Link Hall FarmNorthumberland EstateWest Farm | | |
| | | | Charlton Mires Farm would experience large adverse (significant) residual effects after mitigation from land take and the loss of private property. | | |
| | | | East Cottage would experience very large adverse (significant) residual effects after mitigation from land take and the loss of private property. | | |

Table 3-2 - Screening of Receptors Groups during Operation (Part B)

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|---|--------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Residents Refer to Appendix D, Figure 2 for receptor locations and Figure 5.2: Human Receptors Assessed Part B [APP-124] for air quality receptor locations | Air Quality | Exposure to increased pollution (NO ₂ and PM ₁₀) from changes to traffic flow, mix and speed | <p>No residual effects on residents are reported in the air quality reassessment [REP3-012] however, the following receptors may experience an increase in annual mean concentrations of NO₂ and PM₁₀ (not significant) as a result of Part B:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Properties at North Charlton, adjacent to the A1 (17 and R05) Broom House, near B6341 roundabout (1 and R12) Properties north east of Alnwick adjacent to the B1340 and near the A1 (R13) <p>No residual effects on residents are reported in the air quality reassessment [REP3-012] however, the following receptors would experience an improvement in air quality from a reduction in annual mean concentrations of NO₂ and PM₁₀ as a result of Part B (not significant):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Properties at South Charlton, adjacent to the B6347 (10 and R06) Rock Lodge, adjacent to the B6341 and near A1 (6 and R09) Heiferlaw Bank, adjacent to B6341 (5 and R10) | <p>The following residents have the potential to experience combined effects of no worse than slight adverse from increase change to views, increase in noise and change to access:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Broom House (1) <p>The following residents have the potential to experience combined effects of no worse than major beneficial to moderate adverse from change to noise and vibration levels, change to views and change to access:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3 properties at West Linkhall Farmhouse and surrounding properties (including 1 and 2 West Linkhall and Patterson Cottage) (10) <p>The following residents have the potential to experience combined effects of no worse than minor beneficial to moderate adverse at design year (2038) from change to noise and vibration levels, change to views and private assets and land use:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Heckley Fence (4) Heiferlaw Bank (5) (R10) Rock Lodge (6) (R09) Rock Nab (7) Holywell Cottage (8) <p>Properties at Heiferlaw Bank and Rock Lodge would also experience a reduction in air pollutants.</p> <p>The following residents have the potential to experience combined effects of no worse than minor beneficial to slight adverse from change to noise and vibration levels, change to views and private assets and land use:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Loaning Head (2) Rock Midstead Cottages and Rock Midstead Farmhouse (11) Rock South Farm (12) Drythropple (13) Rock Moor House (14) West Lodge (15) | Overall, the combined effect of Part B would be no worse than major beneficial to moderate adverse significance effects on residents during operation |
| | Noise and Vibration | Increase and /or reduction in noise across Part B | <p>Residents at the following properties would experience long-term minor to negligible decrease (not significant) residual effects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Loaning Head (2) Heckley Fence (4) Heiferlaw Bank (5) Rock Lodge (6) Rock Nab (7) Holywell Cottage (8) Rock Midstead Cottages and Rock Midstead Farmhouse (11) Rock South Farm (12) Drythropple (13) Rock Moor House (14) West Lodge (15) Properties at East Linkhall (16) | <p>Properties at Heiferlaw Bank and Rock Lodge would also experience a reduction in air pollutants.</p> <p>The following residents have the potential to experience combined effects of no worse than minor beneficial to slight adverse from change to noise and vibration levels, change to views and private assets and land use:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Loaning Head (2) Rock Midstead Cottages and Rock Midstead Farmhouse (11) Rock South Farm (12) Drythropple (13) Rock Moor House (14) West Lodge (15) | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|---|--|--|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">1 property at North Charlton (17) | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Properties at East Linkhall (16)Properties at North Charlton (17) <p>The following residents have the potential to experience combined effects of no worse than slight adverse to beneficial effects (not significant) from reduction in air pollutants and change to access:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Properties at South Charlton (R06) (18) <p>Properties at North Charlton and Broom House would also experience an increase in air pollutants during operation.</p> | |
| | | | Residents at the following properties would experience long-term major to moderate decrease (significant) residual effects: <ul style="list-style-type: none">3 dwellings at West Linkhall Farmhouse and surrounding properties (including 1 and 2 West Linkhall and Patterson Cottage) (10) | | |
| | | | Residents at the following properties would experience long-term minor increase (not significant) residual effects: <ul style="list-style-type: none">3 properties at North Charlton (17) (referred to as 1 – 10 The cottages in the Noise Addendum [REP1-019])1 property at Broom House (1) | | |
| | Landscape and Visual | Effects on views | Properties at North Charlton (17) would experience slight adverse in year 1 reducing to neutral in year 15. | | |
| | | | Residents at the following properties would experience moderate adverse in year 1 reducing to slight adverse in year 15: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Broom House (1)Loaning Head (2)Broxfield and surrounding properties (9)Rock Midstead Cottage and Rock Midstead Farmhouse (11)Rock South Farm (12)Drythropple (13)Rock Moor House (14)West Lodge (15)Properties at East Linkhall (16) | | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|---|-----------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| | | | Residents at the following properties would experience large adverse in year 1 reducing to moderate adverse in year 15: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Heckley House and Heckley Cottage (3)Heckley Fence (4)Heiferlaw Bank (5)Rock Lodge (6)Rock Nab (7)Holywell Cottage (8)West Linkhall Farmhouse and surrounding properties (10) | | |
| | Population and Human Health | Effects on private property from change in access | Residents at the following properties would experience permanent slight adverse (not significant) residual effects from change in access: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Heckley Fence (4)Rock Nab (7)West Linkhall Farmhouse and surrounding properties (10)Rock South Farm (12)West Lodge (15)Properties at East Linkhall (16)Properties at North Charlton (17)Properties at South Charlton (18) | | |
| Road Users Refer to Appendix D, Figure 2 for receptor locations | Landscape and Visual | Effects on views | There would be no residual effects on road users from change in views with the exception of Users of the A1 which would experience permanent, long-term slight adverse (not significant) residual effects during operation. | There are no residual effects from change in views on road users with the exception of users of the A1. As such, users of the A1 have the potential to experience combined effects from change to views and improved driver stress of no worse than slight beneficial to slight adverse (not significant) significance during operation. | Overall, the combined effect of Part B would be of no worse than slight beneficial to slight adverse significance (not significant) effects on road users during operation. |
| | Population and Human Health | Effects on driver stress | Road users travelling on the following roads would experience permanent slight beneficial residual effects (not significant) from improved driver stress: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Road users travelling along the A1 (37)Road users travelling the B6347 (38)Road users travelling along the B6341 (39)Road users travelling along the B1340 (40)Alnmouth Road between the A1, B1340, A1069 and Denwick | | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|--|--------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">The unnamed road to the east between the A1 at Charlton Mires to Rock Moor Farm | | |
| Users of PRow (WCH) Refer to Appendix D, Figure 2 for receptor locations | Air Quality | Exposure to increased pollution (NO ₂ and PM ₁₀) from changes to traffic flow, mix and speed | No residual effects from changes to air pollutants are reported within Chapter 5: Air Quality Part B [APP-041] and reassessment [REP3-012] with the exception of those locations covered under ‘Residents’. Due to the proximity of some PRow to these locations, there is the potential for changes in air pollutants to be experienced by users of PRow although, given the transient nature of the receptor, these impacts would be temporary. | Users of the following PRow have the potential to experience combined effects no worse than moderate adverse (significant) from changes in views, changes to noise, changes to air pollutants, effects on community severance and effects on journey amenity: <ul style="list-style-type: none">PRoW 129/004PRoW 129/005PRoW 110/013PRoW 110/004PRoW 129/013 | Overall, the combined effect of Part B would be of no worse than slight beneficial to moderate adverse significance effects on PRow users during operation. |
| | Noise and Vibration | Increase and /or reduction in noise across Part B activities | No residual effects from a change in noise are reported in the noise addendum [REP1-019] . However, there is the potential for changes in operational noise to be experienced by users of PRow dependant on their locations although given the transient nature of the receptor, this impact would be temporary. | Users of the following PRow have the potential to experience combined effects no worse than moderate adverse (significant) from changes to noise, changes to air pollutants, changes to community severance and journey amenity: | |
| | Landscape and Visual | Effects on views | WCH at the following PRow would experience slight adverse effects in Year 1 reducing to negligible in year 15: <ul style="list-style-type: none">PRoW 141/003PRoW 141/022PRoW 129/013 | <ul style="list-style-type: none">PRoW 129/009 and 110/003PRoW 110/019PRoW 129/022PRoW 129/014PRoW 129/024 | |
| | | | WCH at the following PRow would experience permanent long-term slight adverse effects: <ul style="list-style-type: none">PRoW 110/013PRoW 110/004PRoW 129/006 | | |
| | | | WCH at the following PRow would experience moderate adverse effects in year 1 reducing to slight adverse in year 15: <ul style="list-style-type: none">PRoW 112/008PROW 112/009PRoW 129/004PRoW 129/005 | | |
| | | | | | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|--|-----------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| | Population and Human Health | Effects on community severance and journey amenity | WCH at the following PRoW would experience permanent, direct long-term moderate adverse (significant) residual effects for community severance: <ul style="list-style-type: none">PRoW 129/004PRoW 129/009 and 110/003PRoW 110/019PRoW 129/022PRoW 110/013PRoW 110/004PRoW 129/014PRoW 129/013PRoW 129/024 | | |
| | | | WCH at the following PRoW would experience permanent, direct long-term slight adverse (not significant) residual effects for journey amenity: <ul style="list-style-type: none">PRoW 129/004PRoW 129/005PRoW 129/009 and 110/003PRoW 110/019PRoW 129/022PRoW 110/013PRoW 110/004PRoW 129/014PRoW 129/013PRoW 129/024 | | |
| Statutory and Non-Statutory designated ecological sites Refer to Appendix D, Figure 2 for receptor locations | Air Quality | Exposure to increased pollution (NO ₂ and PM ₁₀) from changes to traffic flow, mix and speed on designated sites and local biodiversity | There would be no residual effects after mitigation | There are no residual effects on ecological receptors from air quality, noise and vibration and biodiversity after the implementation of mitigation measures as outlined within Chapter 5: Air Quality Part B [APP-041] , the air quality reassessment [REP3-012] , Chapter 6: Noise and Vibration Part B [APP-043] , the noise addendum [REP1-019] and Chapter 9: Biodiversity Part B [APP-049] . | Overall, there would be no combined effect (not significant) on ecological receptors during operation. |
| | Noise and Vibration | Increase and /or reduction in noise across Part B | There would be no residual effects after mitigation | | |
| | Biodiversity | Disturbance on local biodiversity | There would be no residual effects on ecological receptors from direct loss of habitats and/or pollution and habitat degradation. | | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|--|-----------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| Commercial Properties Refer to Appendix D, Figure 2 for receptor locations | Air Quality | Changes to air quality from changes to traffic flow, mix and speed | There are no residual effects after mitigation (not significant) on commercial properties during operation of the Scheme. | There are no residual effects on users of commercial properties from air quality, noise and vibration and landscape and visual after the implementation of mitigation measures as outlined within Chapter 5: Air Quality Part B [APP-041] , the air quality reassessment [REP3-012] , and Chapter 7: Landscape and Visual Part B [APP-045] . Patterson's Cottage Boarding Kennels has the potential to experience a combined effect of no worse than major beneficial (significant) to slight adverse (not significant) from improvements in noise and changes to access. | Overall, the combined effect would be of no worse than major beneficial (significant) to slight adverse (not significant) on commercial properties during operation |
| | Noise and Vibration | Increase and reduction in noise across Part B | Following the application of mitigation, there would be no residual effects on commercial properties from change in noise levels from Part B with the exception of Patterson's Cottage Boarding Kennels which would experience permanent, long-term major and moderate decrease (significant) residual effects during operation. | | |
| | Landscape and Visual | Changes to views | No residual effects from change to views are reported within Chapter 7: Landscape and Visual [APP-045] on commercial properties during operation of Part B. | | |
| | Population and Human Health | Effects on journey time, access, human health and private property | All commercial properties experience slight adverse (not significant) residual effects after mitigation for access and negligible (not significant) effects for human health: <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Rock Lodge Holiday Lets■ Reading Rooms Cottage■ The Old Stables Tea Room■ The Armstrong Household and Farming Museum■ Patterson's Cottage Boarding Kennels■ Middlemoor Cottage■ Rocking Horse Café and Gallery■ Rock Moor House Bed and Breakfast■ Beal ME and Sons■ Drythrottle■ Grahamslaw JEG and Sons■ Blossoms Plantation Pods■ Charlton Hall Wedding Venue■ Lionheart Industrial Estate | | |

4 SCREENING FOR CROSS TOPIC COMBINED EFFECT INTERACTIONS THE SCHEME

4.1 INTRODUCTION

- 4.1.1. **Table 4-1** identifies the common sensitive receptors from **Technical Chapters 5 to 13** of the Scheme [**APP-040 to APP-057**] that are exposed to residual effects with a significance of 'minor' or above and sensitive receptors that have the potential for cross topic combined effects. The significance of residual effect for each individual receptor has been reviewed to present the cross topic combined effects for each individual receptor. The overall combined effect for that receptor group is then provided.
- 4.1.2. As outlined in **Section 16.4, paragraph 16.4.44 and 16.4.45 of Chapter 16: Assessment of Cumulative Effects [APP-062]**, the cross topic combined effects assessment was undertaken individually for Part A (refer to **Chapter 15: Assessment of Combined Effects Part A [APP-060]** and Part B (refer to **Chapter 15: Assessment of Combined Effects Part A [APP-061]**), therefore the cross topic combined effects assessment considered within **Chapter 16: Assessment of Cumulative Effects [APP-062]** considered only sensitive receptors that are common between Part A and Part B (i.e. sensitive receptors that would be affected by both Part A and Part B when considered together).
- 4.1.3. This identified common sensitive receptors as residents within the vicinity of the Main Compound only due to the shared use of the compound for Part A and Part B and construction traffic traveling between the Main Compound and Part B.
- 4.1.4. The screening matrix for residual effects on residents during construction of The Scheme is provided in Appendix C of this Technical Note. As the Main Compound is located within the Order Limits for Part A, the locations of sensitive receptors are shown in Appendix D, Figure 1: Combined Effects Receptor Locations Part A of this technical note.

4.2 ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

- 4.2.1. The assessment methodology and legislative and policy framework is in accordance with the methodology set out in **Chapter 16: Assessment of Cumulative Effects** of the ES [**APP-062**].

4.3 UPDATED DMRB GUIDANCE

- 4.3.1. Some DMRB guidance documents were updated in 2019 and 2020 (and associated IANs replaced), by which time the EIA for the Scheme was largely complete. However, a sensitivity test was undertaken in April / May 2020 by the Applicant either to demonstrate that the assessments reported in this Environmental Statement (ES) are already compliant with the updated guidance, or to identify any changes to the conclusions of the assessments as a result of the updated guidance (determined through further assessment). A sensitivity appraisal was undertaken for the Scheme cross topic combined effects assessment.

- 4.3.2. As outlined in **paragraph 16.8.66 of Chapter 16: Assessment of Cumulative Effects** of the ES [APP-062], the updated DMRB guidance does not affect the assessment outcomes for construction dust, construction noise, community severance and human health receptors. For visual amenity, the updated DMRB guidance assigns scattered residential receptors and small settlements a reduced sensitivity, meaning the assessment in **Table 4-1** presents a worst case scenario. The assessment on the economy and employment is not required under the updated DMRB. Although not required under DMRB, the assessment of economy and employment has been undertaken making reference to overall significance criteria. It is considered that the assessment of economy and employment is robust and with the application of the updated guidance the conclusions of the assessment would remain unchanged. Therefore, the assessment presented in **Table 4-1** would remain unchanged as a result of the updated DMRB guidance.

4.4 MITIGATION AND MONITORING

- 4.4.1. As outlined in **Section 16.10 of Chapter 16: Assessment of Cumulative Effects [APP-062]**, no further likely combined significant residual effects have been identified above the level of significance of those reported for the Scheme alone. Therefore, no further mitigation or monitoring is required other than that set out in **Part A Technical Chapters 5 to 13 [APP-040 to APP-056]** and **Part B Technical Chapters 5 to 13 [APP-040 to APP-057]** [APP-040 to 061] and **Outline CEMP [REP6-025 and 026]**.
- 4.4.2. **Appendix GEN.4 Justification for Significant Residual Effects WQ GEN.1.35 [REP1-036]** provides a justification for the residual significant effects reported in **Technical Chapter 5 to Chapter 17 [APP-040 to 063]** of the ES and why no further mitigation is proposed to be implemented. As set out in **Table 3 of Appendix GEN.4 Justification for Significant Residual Effects WQ GEN.1.35 [REP1-036]**, no further mitigation measures are feasible to reduce the residual significant, cross topic combined effects anticipated as a result of the Scheme and significant effects would remain for cross topic combined effects.

Table 4-1 - Screening of Receptor Group for Cross Topic Combined Effects during Construction (The Scheme)

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|---|-------------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| Residents within the vicinity of the Main Compound that could be affected by the use of the Main Compound and construction traffic travelling between the Main Compound and Part B. Refer to Appendix D, Figure 1 for receptor locations. | Air Quality (Part A) | Changes to air quality within 200 m of construction activities | Residents within 200m of Part A would experience no residual effects after mitigation (not significant) | <p>There are no residual effects on residents from air quality and noise and vibration after the implementation of mitigation measures as outlined within Chapter 5: Air Quality Part A [APP-040] and Part B [APP-041], and Chapter 6: Noise and Vibration Part A [APP-042] and Part B [APP-043].</p> <p>Residents have the potential to experience combined effects from landscape and visual and population and human health. Residents at the following properties have the potential to experience combined effects of no worse than slight beneficial to slight adverse (not significant) for changes to views and effects on community severance, private property, human health and socio-economics:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ B6345 (The Boarding House) (R26)■ Hemelspeth (R27)■ Glenshotton (R28)■ Cahone Cottage (R31)■ Tithemans Cottage (R32)■ Thirston New Houses (R33) <p>Residents at the following properties have the potential to experience combined effects of no worse than slight beneficial to moderate adverse (significant) for change to views and effects on community severance, private property, human health and socio-economics:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Thirston New House (R34) | Overall, when considering the air quality, noise and vibration as well as population and human health effects along with the visual effects, the Scheme would have a combined temporary, residual effect of no worse than moderate adverse to slight beneficial significance on residents closest to the Scheme during construction |
| | Air Quality (Part B) | Changes to air quality within 200 m of construction activities | Residents located within the vicinity of the Main Compound would experience no residual effects after mitigation from changes to air quality associated with construction of Part B. | | |
| | Noise and Vibration (Part A) | Increased noise and vibration levels within 300 m of construction activities | Residents within 300m of Part A would experience no residual effects after mitigation (not significant) | | |
| | Noise and Vibration (Part B) | Increased noise and vibration levels within 300 m of construction activities | Residents located within the vicinity of the Main Compound would experience no residual effects after mitigation from noise and vibration associated with construction of Part B. | | |
| | Landscape and Visual (Part A) | Changes to views | <p>Residents at the following properties would experience temporary, direct short-term neutral (not significant) residual effects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Glenshotton (R28)■ Cahone Cottage (R31)■ Thirston New Houses (R33) <p>Residents at the following properties would experience temporary, direct short-term slight adverse (not significant) residual effects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ B6345 (The Boarding House) (R26)■ Hemelspeth (R27)■ Tithemans Cottage (R32) | | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|--|----------------------------|--|
| | Landscape and Visual (Part B) | Changes to Views | Residents at the following properties would experience temporary, direct short-term moderate adverse (significant) residual effects: ■ Thirston New House (R34) | | |
| | | | Residents located within the vicinity of the Main Compound would experience no residual effects after mitigation for changes to views from construction of Part B. | | |
| | | | Residents at the following properties would experience temporary, direct short-term slight adverse (not significant) residual effects for community severance: ■ B6345 (The Boarding House) (R26) ■ Hemelspeth (R27) ■ Glenshotton (R28) ■ Cahone Cottage (R31) ■ Tithemans Cottage (R32) ■ Thirston New Houses (R33) ■ Thirston New House (R34) | | |
| | | | Residents at the following properties would experience temporary, direct short-term slight adverse (not significant) residual effects for private property: ■ B6345 (The Boarding House) (R26) ■ Hemelspeth (R27) ■ Glenshotton (R28) ■ Cahone Cottage (R31) ■ Tithemans Cottage (R32) ■ Thirston New Houses (R33) ■ Thirston New House (R34) | | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|----------------------------|--|
| | | | Residents at the following properties would experience temporary, direct short-term slight adverse (not significant) residual effects for human health: <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ B6345 (The Boarding House) (R26)■ Hemelspeth (R27)■ Glenshotton (R28)■ Cahone Cottage (R31)■ Tithemans Cottage (R32)■ Thirston New Houses (R33)■ Thirston New House (R34) | | |
| | | | The construction stage would see a beneficial, temporary effect on the local economy through enhancing local labour and supporting local business through expenditure from direct spend on materials for Part A. There may also be beneficial effects from any construction labour employed from outside the region who would need to use local hotels and/or restaurants. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary effect on local (Northumberland) receptors including local residents of minor beneficial significance (not significant). | | |
| | Population and Human Health (Part B) | Effects on community severance, human health and socio-economic: | Residents located within the vicinity of the Main Compound would experience negligible (not significant) residual effects for human health as a result of Part B using the Main Compound during construction. | | |
| | | | Residents located within the vicinity of the Main Compound would experience no residual effects after mitigation for community severance from construction of Part B. | | |
| | | | The construction stage would see a beneficial, temporary effect on the local | | |

| Common receptor group | Relevant technical topic | Potential impact | Significance of residual effects | Potential combined effects | Overall combined effect on common receptor group |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|----------------------------|--|
| | | | economy through enhancing local labour and supporting local business through expenditure from direct spend on materials for Part B. There may also be beneficial effects from any construction labour employed from outside the region who would need to use local hotels and/or restaurants. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary effect on local (Northumberland) receptors including local residents of minor beneficial significance (not significant). | | |

Appendix A

SCREENING MATRIX (PART A)

Key:

| | |
|---|---|
| - | No residual effects of 'minor' or above significance after mitigation |
|---|---|

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------|
| | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
| Sensitive Receptor | | | | | | | | | |
| CONSTRUCTION | | | | | | | | | |
| RESIDENTS | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | ✓ | |
| R9: Longfield Cottage | - | - | Moderate Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R17: Ardyne | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R18: Bothy Lodge | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R19: The Old Sawmill | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R20: Felton Park | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R21: St Mary's House | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R24: B6345 (The Nook) (4 properties) | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R25: B6345 (Riverside House) (4 properties) | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R26: B6345 (The Boarding House) (6 properties) | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R27: Hemelspeth (1 property) | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R30: Shothaugh Farm | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R32: Tithemans Cottage | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R34: Thirston New House | - | - | Moderate Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R35: The Cottage | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |

| Sensitive Receptor | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------|
| | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| | - | - | - | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse | |
| | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse | |
| | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse | |
| | - | - | Moderate Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse | |
| | - | - | Moderate Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R36: West Moor House | | | | | | | | | |
| R37: West Moorhouse (4 properites) | | | | | | | | | |
| R40: Blackwood Hall | | | | | | | | | |
| R42: Bockenfield | | | | | | | | | |
| R43: Bockenfield Manor | | | | | | | | | |
| R47: Helm (2 properties) | | | | | | | | | |
| R48: Causey Park Lodge (North) | | | | | | | | | |
| R49: Causey Park Lodge (South) | | | | | | | | | |
| R50: Causey Hag (2 properties) | | | | | | | | | |
| R51: Causey Park | | | | | | | | | |
| R56: New Build Off Causey Park | | | | | | | | | |
| R57: Four Gables | | | | | | | | | |

| Sensitive Receptor | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|----------------------|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------|
| R58: Joiners Cottage | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R59: The Bungalow | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R60: Bridge House | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R61: The Oak Inn | - | - | Moderate Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R62: High Trees | - | - | - | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R63: Field View | - | - | - | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R64: Thornbank | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R65: New Houses Farm | - | - | Moderate Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R66: Earsdon Mill | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R68: Tindale Hill | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |

| Sensitive Receptor | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|--|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| R69: Earsdon Moor House | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R70: Earsdon Moor Farm | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R71: Portland House | - | - | Moderate Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R72: Welbeck House (2 properties) | - | - | Moderate Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R73: The Old School (1 properties) | - | - | Moderate Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R74: South View | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R76: Shield Green (2 properties) | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R78: Stonebrook Cottage (5 properties) | - | - | Moderate Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R79: East Fenrother (3 properties) | - | - | Moderate Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R80: Middel Fenrother (4 properties) | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R81: Fenrother (4 properties) | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R84: Gamekeepers Cottage | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R87: Hebron Hill (The Cottage) | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R93: Strafford House | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R94: High Highlaws Cottage | - | - | Moderate Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R95: High Highlaws | - | - | Moderate Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |

| Sensitive Receptor | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|--------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------|
| | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| | - | - | Not assessed as being demolished | | | | | Physical Asset Moderate Adverse (loss of prop) Human Health Slight adverse | |
| | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R108: West View (8 properties) | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |

| | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|--|-------------|---------------------|--|---|--------------|-------------------------|--|---|--------------------|
| Sensitive Receptor | | | | | | | | | |
| R109: West View (2 properties) | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| R110: Middle Rigg | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| AREAS OF AMENTIY SURROUNDING PART A | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | ✓ | ✓ | |
| 35a Broad Lowland Valley - Coquet Valley | - | - | Moderate (localised large adverse around the bridge construction) | - | | | Slight adverse (pollution on River Coquet which is located in this LCA) | Journey amenity Slight adverse Human health Slight adverse | |
| 35b (2) Broad Lowland Valley - Northgate | - | - | Slight Adverse | - | | | - | Journey amenity Slight adverse Human health Slight adverse | |
| 37a Lowland Farm Ridges - Wingates Ridge | - | - | Slight Adverse | - | | | - | Journey amenity Slight adverse Human health Slight adverse | |
| 38a Lowland Rolling Farmland - Longframlington | - | - | Slight Adverse | - | | | - | Journey amenity Slight adverse Human health Slight adverse | |
| 38b Lowland Rolling Farmland - Longhorsley | - | - | Moderate Adverse | Removal of Grade II Listed Building Milepost Slight Adverse (not significant) | | | - | Journey amenity Slight adverse Human health Slight adverse | |
| 38b (1) Lowland Rolling Farmland - Hub of Recreational Activity | - | - | Slight Adverse | - | | | - | Journey amenity Slight adverse Human health Slight adverse | |

| Sensitive Receptor | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|--|-------------|---------------------|---|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------|
| 39a Coalfield Farmland - Coastal Coalfields | - | - | Slight Adverse | - | | | - | Journey amenity Slight adverse Human health Slight adverse | |
| 17 Coquet Valley (Alnwick Landscape Character SPD Adopted May 2010) | - | - | Moderate Adverse* (localised Large Adverse around the bridge construction) | - | | | - | Journey amenity Slight adverse Human health Slight adverse | |
| ROAD USERS | | | ✓ | | | | | ✓ | |
| Viewpoint-21, Viewpoint-19, Viewpoint-9 - Users of the A1 - section 1 for Driver Stress | | | Slight adverse | | | | | <u>Driver Stress</u> No overall change in driver stress Vehivle travellers (views) moderate adverse | |
| Viewpoint-4 - View looking west from Hebron Road within the vicinity of the Church of St Cuthbert - section 3 Driver Stress | | | Moderate Adverse | | | | | <u>Driver Stress</u> No overall change in driver stress | |
| Viewpoint-13 - View looking west from the Widdrington Road at the Bungalow - section 7 for Driver Stress | | | Slight adverse | | | | | <u>Driver Stress</u> No overall change in driver stress | |
| Viewpoint-27 - View looking north-east from Howdens Glebe cottages, off West Moor Road - section 12 Driver Stress | | | Large Adverse | | | | | <u>Driver Stress</u> No overall change in driver stress | |
| Viewpoint-28 - View looking east from PRoW (422/011) adjacent to Burgham Park Golf and Leisure Club - section 10 Driver Stress | | | Moderate Adverse | | | | | <u>Driver Stress</u> No overall change in driver stress | |
| Viewpoint-31 - View looking east from Causey Park Hag/Causey Park Road - section 8 Driver Stress | | | Moderate Adverse | | | | | <u>Driver Stress</u> No overall change in driver stress | |

| | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|--|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------|
| Sensitive Receptor | | | | | | | | | |
| Viewpoint-35 - View looking east from Fenrother Lane (west) at Fenrother - section 5 Driver Stress | | | Slight adverse | | | | | Driver Stress No overall change in driver stress | |
| USERS OF FOOTPATHS AND PUBLIC RIGHTS OF WAY (WALKING, CYCLING AND HORSE RIDING) | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | ✓ | |
| 407/013:Footpath | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Community Severance Moderate adverse Human Health Slight adverse Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 407/012: Bridleway | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 407/010: Bridleway | - | - | Moderate Adverse | | | | | Community Severance Moderate adverse Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 407/004: Footpath | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 407/018: Footpath | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | Community Severance Moderate adverse Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 407/001: Footpath | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Community Severance Slight adverse Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 407/002: Footpath | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Community Severance Slight adverse Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 423/001: Footpath | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | Community Severance Moderate adverse Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |

| Sensitive Receptor | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|--|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 423/002: Footpath | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | Community Severance Slight adverse Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 423/006: Footpath | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | Community Severance Moderate adverse Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 423/008: Footpath | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 423/013: Footpath | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | Community Severance Moderate adverse Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 423/011: Footpath | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 422/018: Byway open to all traffic | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 422/011: Footpath | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Community Severance Moderate adverse Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 422/003: Footpath | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 422/020: Footpath | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | Community Severance Moderate adverse Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 115/009 St Oswald's Way: Long Distance Trail | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 115/008: Footpath | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Community Severance Moderate adverse Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 115/016: Footpath | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | Community Severance Moderate adverse Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |

| Sensitive Receptor | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|---|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------|
| 115/013: Footpath | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Community Severance Moderate adverse Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 422/002: Footpath | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Community Severance Moderate adverse Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 422/001: Footpath | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 422/009: Footpath | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 423/007 | - | - | - | | | | | Community Severance Moderate adverse Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 115/009 | - | - | - | | | | | Community Severance Moderate adverse* Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 407/019 | - | - | - | | | | | Community Severance Slight adverse Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| STATUTORY AND NON-STATUTORY DESIGNATED ECOLOGICAL SITES/LOCAL BIODIVERSITY | ✓ | ✓ | | | ✓ | ✓ | | | |
| River Coquet and Coquet Valley Woodlands SSSI | - | - | | | Very Large Adverse (loss of ancient woodland) and Moderate Adverse (loss of riverbank habitat) | Slight Adverse | | | |
| Coquet River Felton Park LWS | - | - | | | Moderate Adverse | - | | | |
| Duke Bank Wood ancient woodland | - | - | | | Very Large Adverse | - | | | |

| | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---|-------------------|---|--------------------|
| Sensitive Receptor | | | | | | | | | |
| Broadleaved woodland - semi-natural | - | - | | | Moderate Beneficial | - | | | |
| Neutral grassland - semi-improved | - | - | | | Moderate Beneficial | - | | | |
| Arable field margins | - | - | | | Slight Adverse | - | | | |
| Hedgerow | - | - | | | Slight Adverse | - | | | |
| Watercourses | - | - | | | Slight Adverse | Neutral to Slight Adverse on watercourses | | | |
| Fish | - | - | | | Slight Adverse | - | | | |
| Aquatic invertebrates | - | - | | | Slight Adverse | - | | | |
| Watercourses: | | | | | | | | | |
| Fenrother Burn | - | - | | | - | Neutral-Slight Adverse | | | |
| Cotting Burn | - | - | | | - | Neutral-Slight Adverse | | | |
| Shieldhill Burn | - | - | | | - | Neutral-Slight Adverse | | | |
| Floodgate Burn | - | - | | | - | Neutral-Slight Adverse | | | |
| River Lyne | - | - | | | - | Neutral-Slight Adverse | | | |
| Unamed tributary of Thirston Burn | - | - | | | - | Neutral-Slight Adverse | | | |
| Earsdon Burn | - | - | | | - | Neutral-Slight Adverse | | | |
| Longdike Burn | - | - | | | Slight adverse | Neutral-Slight Adverse | | | |
| Bradley Brook and Back Burn | - | - | | | - | Neutral-Slight Adverse | | | |
| COMMERCIAL PROPERTIES | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | ✓ | |
| Eshott Airfield (C17) | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| Burgham Park Golf Course (C05) | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |

| Sensitive Receptor | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|--|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------|
| Bockenfield Holiday Park / Felmoor Park (C14 and C15) | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| The shooting ground at Bywell (C07) | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| Oak Inn (Public House) (C02) | - | - | Moderate Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| Jackson G K and Sons garage (C13) | - | - | Moderate Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| Heighley Gate Garden Centre (C01) | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| G Youll & Son Fencing (C04) | - | - | - | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| Causey Park Bridge Café (C03) | - | - | - | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| Coquet Cottages (shown as Sunflower and Clover Cottages) (C06) | - | - | - | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| Northumberland Canine Centre (C08) | - | - | - | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |

| | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|--|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------|
| Sensitive Receptor | | | | | | | | | |
| Jet Petrol Station (C10) | - | - | - | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| Londis Supermarket (C11) | - | - | - | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| The Quilt Shop (C12) | - | - | - | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| Northumberland Woodland Burials (C18) | - | - | - | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| Thurston Garage (C19) | - | - | - | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| Command Zone Paintball (C20) | - | - | - | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| Alnorthumbria Veterinary Practice (C09) | - | - | - | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| AGRICULTURAL LAND AND ASSOCIATED RURAL ENTERPRISES | | | | | | | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Bywell Farm | | | | | | | Minor | Minor adverse | |
| Thirston New Houses | | | | | | | Negligible | negligible | |
| Hebron West Farm | | | | | | | Minor | Minor adverse | |
| Clarehugh | | | | | | | Moderate | Moderate adverse | |
| Hemelspeth Farm | | | | | | | Moderate | Moderate adverse | |
| Highlaws | | | | | | | Minor | Minor adverse | |

| | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|---|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------|
| Sensitive Receptor | | | | | | | | | |
| Causey Park | | | | | | | Moderate | Moderate adverse | |
| Hebron Hill | | | | | | | Minor | Minor adverse | |
| East Fenrother Farm | | | | | | | Minor | Minor adverse | |
| West Moor | | | | | | | Minor | Minor adverse | |
| Other 8 (A) | | | | | | | Minor | Minor adverse | |
| (B) | | | | | | | Minor | Minor adverse | |
| © | | | | | | | Moderate | Moderate adverse | |
| (D) | | | | | | | Minor | Minor adverse | |
| € | | | | | | | Minor | Minor adverse | |
| (F) | | | | | | | negligible | Minor adverse | |
| (G) | | | | | | | Minor | Minor adverse | |
| (H) | | | | | | | Minor | Minor adverse | |
| COMMUNITY FACILITIES | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | ✓ | |
| Tritlington School / Tritlington Church of England School (C) | - | - | Moderate Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| Fairmoor Cemetery (A) | - | - | - | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| Northgate Hospital (B) | - | - | - | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight adverse Human Health Slight adverse | |
| H of St Michael and All Angels (D) | - | - | - | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse | |
| Felton Surgery URC Church (E) | - | - | - | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse | |
| Felton Church or England Primary School (F) | - | - | - | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse | |
| Felton Post Office (G) | - | - | - | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse | |
| Felton Recreational Field (H) | - | - | - | | | | | Human Health Slight adverse | |

| | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|---|-------------|--|---|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| Sensitive Receptor | | | | | | | | | |
| OPERATION | | | | | | | | | |
| RESIDENTS | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | ✓ | |
| R2: Swarland Dene (2 properties) | - | Minor adverse (not significant) | - | | | | | - | |
| R8: Cowslip Hill (3 properties) | - | Minor to negligible beneficial (not significant) | - | | | | | - | |
| R9: Longfield Cottage | - | Minor to negligible beneficial (not significant) | Slight Adverse | | | | | - | |
| R17: Ardyne | - | - | Slight Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Neutral in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| R18: Bothy Lodge | - | - | Slight Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Neutral in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| R19: The Old Sawmill | - | - | Slight Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Neutral in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| R20: Felton Park | - | - | Slight Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Neutral in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| R21: St Mary's House | - | - | Slight Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Neutral in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| R24: B6345 (The Nook) (4 properties) | - | - | Slight Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Neutral in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| R25: B6345 (Riverside House) (4 properties) | - | - | Slight Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Neutral in Year 15 | | | | | - | |

| | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|--|--|--|--|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| Sensitive Receptor | | | | | | | | | |
| R26: B6345 (The Boarding House) (6 properties) | - | - | Slight Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Neutral in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| R27: Hemelspeth (1 property) | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | - | |
| R30: Shothaugh Farm | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | - | |
| R32: Tithemans Cottage | - | - | Slight Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Neutral in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| R34: Thirston New House | - | - | Moderate Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Slight Adverse in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| R35: The Cottage | - | Major to moderate decrease (significant) | Large Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Moderate Adverse in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| R36: West Moor House | increase in pollutants (not significant) | Minor to negligible beneficial (not significant) | Large Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Moderate Adverse in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| R37: West Moorhouse (4 properties) | - | Minor to negligible beneficial (not significant) | Large Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Moderate Adverse in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| R38: West Moor Plantation Cottage | - | Minor to negligible beneficial (not significant) | - | | | | | - | |
| R39: West Moor Plantation | - | Minor adverse (not significant) | - | | | | | - | |
| R41: The Paddock | - | Minor to negligible beneficial (not significant) | - | | | | | - | |
| R42: Bockenfield | - | Minor to negligible beneficial (not significant) | - | | | | | - | |

| Sensitive Receptor | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| R43: Bockenfield Manor | - | Minor to negligible beneficial (not significant) | Slight Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Neutral in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| R44: The Arches | - | Minor to negligible beneficial (not significant) | - | | | | | - | |
| R45: Burgham | - | Minor to negligible beneficial (not significant) | - | | | | | - | |
| R46: Home Cottage | - | Major to moderate decrease (significant) | - | | | | | - | |
| R47: Helm (2 properties) | - | Major to moderate decrease (significant) | Slight Adverse in Year 1 reducing to No change in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| R48: Causey Park Lodge | - | Major to moderate decrease (significant) | Moderate Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Slight Adverse in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| R49 Causey Park Lodge South | - | Major to moderate decrease (significant) | Neutral | | | | | - | |
| R50: Causey Park Hag (2 properties) | potential increase in pollutants (not significant) in properties at | - | Moderate Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Slight Adverse in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| R51: Causey Park | potential increase in pollutants (not significant) in properties at Causey Park | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | - | |

| Sensitive Receptor | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|--------------------------------|---|--|--|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------|
| R56: New Build Off Causey Park | - | Major to moderate decrease (significant) | Moderate Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Slight Adverse in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| R57: Four Gables | - | Major beneficial (PNB2) | Moderate Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Slight Adverse in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| R58: Joiners Cottage | - | major or moderate increase (significant) | Large Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Moderate Adverse in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| R59: The Bungalow | - | major or moderate increase (significant) | Large Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Moderate Adverse in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| R60: Bridge House | - | - | Large Advserse in Year 1 reducing to Slight Adverse in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| R61: The Oak Inn | reduction in pollutants (not significant) | Major to moderate decrease (significant) | Slight Adverse | | | | | - | |
| R62: High Trees | - | Major to moderate decrease (significant) | - | | | | | - | |
| R63: Field View | - | Major to moderate decrease (significant) | - | | | | | - | |
| R64: Thornbank | - | Major to moderate decrease (significant) | Slight Beneficial | | | | | - | |
| R65: New Houses Farm | - | Major increase (significnt) | Slight Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight beneficial | |

| Sensitive Receptor | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|---|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| R66: Earsdon Mill | - | Major to moderate decrease (significant) | Slight Beneficial | | | | | - | |
| R67: Earsdon Cottage | - | Major to moderate decrease (significant) | Neutral | | | | | - | |
| R68: Tindale Hill | reduction in pollutants (not significant) | moderate increase (significant) | Large Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Moderate Adverse in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| R69: Earsdon Moor House | - | Major to moderate decrease (significant) | - | | | | | - | |
| R70: Earsdon Moor Farm | - | Major to moderate decrease (significant) | Large Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Moderate Adverse in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| R71: Portland House | - | Major to moderate decrease (significant) | Moderate Adverse | | | | | - | |
| R72: Welbeck House (2 properties) | - | Major to moderate decrease (significant) | Moderate Adverse | | | | | - | |
| R73: The Old School (1 property) | - | Major to moderate decrease (significant) | Slight Adverse | | | | | - | |
| R74: South View | - | Major to moderate decrease (significant) | Slight Adverse | | | | | - | |
| R75 : Shield Green (2 properties) | - | Minor to negligible beneficial (not significant) | - | | | | | - | |

| | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|---|-------------|--|--|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------|
| Sensitive Receptor | | | | | | | | | |
| R76: Shield Green (2 properties) | - | Minor to negligible beneficial (not significant) | Slight Adverse | | | | | - | |
| R78: Stonebrook Cottage (5 properties) | - | moderate increase (significant) | Moderate Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Slight Adverse in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| R79: East Fenrother (3 properties) | - | moderate increase (significant) | Moderate Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Slight Adverse in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| R80: Middle Fenrother (4 properties) | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | - | |
| R81: Fenrother properties (4 properties) | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | - | |
| R82 Priest Bridge House | - | Major to moderate decrease (significant) | - | | | | | - | |
| R83: Woodlands | - | Major to moderate decrease (significant) | - | | | | | - | |
| R84: Gamekeepers Cottage | - | Minor adverse (not significant) | Slight Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Neutral in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| R87: Hebron Hill (The Cottage) | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight beneficial | |
| R88: Hebron Hill Farm | - | - | - | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight beneficial | |
| R89: West Farm Cottage (3 properties) | - | Minor adverse (not significant) | - | | | | | - | |
| R90: Hebron West Farm | - | Minor adverse (not significant) | - | | | | | - | |
| R91: Keepers Cottage | - | Minor adverse (not significant) | - | | | | | - | |
| R92: The Blacksmiths Cottage (5 properties) | - | Minor adverse (not significant) | - | | | | | - | |

| Sensitive Receptor | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|---|--|--|--|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------|
| R93: Strafford House | - | Minor to negligible beneficial (not significant) | Moderate Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight beneficial | |
| R94: High Highlaws Cottage | - | - | Moderate Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Slight Adverse in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| R95: High Highlaws | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | - | |
| R96: Capri Lodge | - | Minor to negligible beneficial (not significant) | Slight Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight beneficial | |
| R97: Warreners Barns (2 properties) | - | Minor to negligible beneficial (not significant) | Slight Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight beneficial | |
| R98: Northgate Farm | increase in pollutants (not significant) | minor increase (if no PNB1) | Slight Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight beneficial | |
| R99: North Gate House | - | Minor to negligible beneficial (not significant) | - | | | | | - | |
| R100: Warreners Cottages (2 properties) | - | Major to moderate decrease (significant) | Slight Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight beneficial | |
| R101: Warreners House | - | Minor to negligible beneficial (not significant) | Slight Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight beneficial | |
| R102: Warreners House (2) | - | Minor to negligible beneficial (not significant) | Slight Adverse | | | | | Physical Asset (access) Slight beneficial | |
| R107: West View | - | Minor to negligible beneficial (not significant) | - | | | | | - | |

| Sensitive Receptor | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|--|-------------|--|--|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------|
| R108: West View | - | Minor to negligible beneficial (not significant) | | | | | | - | |
| AREAS OF AMENTIY SURROUNDING PART A | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | ✓ | | ✓ | |
| 35a Broad Lowland Valley - Coquet Valley | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | - | | slight adverse (not significant) on recreational use of River Coquet and Felton Park human health and journey amenity | |
| 35b (2) Broad Lowland Valley - Northgate | - | - | Slight Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Neutral in Year 15 | | | - | | - | |
| 38b Lowland Rolling Farmland - Longhorsley | - | - | Moderate Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Slight Adverse in Year 15 | | | - | | - | |
| 39a Coalfield Farmland - Coastal Coalfields | - | - | Slight Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Neutral in Year 15 | | | - | | - | |
| 17 Coquet Valley (Alnwick Landscape Character SPD Adopted May 2010) | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | - | | - | |
| Works within Watercourses | - | - | - | | | Slight Adverse | | - | |
| ROAD USERS | | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | ✓ | |
| VP-21, VP-19, VP-9 - Users of the A1 | | - | Slight adverse year 1 to neutral year 15. | | | | | Driver Stress Slight Beneficial | |
| VP-4 - View looking west from Hebron Road within the vicinity of the Church of St Cuthbert - section 3 Driver Stress | | minor adverse on st cuthbert church | Moderate Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Slight Adverse in Year 15 | | | | | Driver Stress Slight Beneficial | |
| VP-13 - View looking west from the Widdrington Road at the Bungalow - section 7 for Driver Stress | | - | Slight adverse year 1 reducing to neutral in Year 15 | | | | | Driver Stress Slight Beneficial | |

| Sensitive Receptor | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|---|-------------|---------------------|--|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------|
| VP-27 - View looking north-east from Howdens Glebe cottages, off West Moor Road - section 12 Driver Stress | | - | Moderate Adverse Year 1 and Year 15 | | | | | Driver Stress Slight Beneficial | |
| VP-28 - View looking east from PRoW (422/011) adjacent to Burgham Park Golf and Leisure Club - section 10 Driver Stress | | - | Moderate Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Slight Adverse in Year 15 | | | | | Driver Stress Slight Beneficial | |
| VP-31 - View looking east from Causey Park Hag/Causey Park Road - section 8 Driver Stress | | - | Moderate Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Slight Adverse in Year 15 | | | | | Driver Stress Slight Beneficial | |
| VP-35 - View looking east from Fenrother Lane (west) at Fenrother - section 5 Driver Stress | | - | Slight adverse year 1 and year 15 | | | | | Driver Stress Slight Beneficial | |
| USERS OF FOOTPATHS AND PUBLIC RIGHT | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | ✓ | |
| 407/010: Bridleway | - | - | Slight Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Neutral in Year 15 | | | | | Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 407/004: Footpath | - | - | Slight Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Neutral in Year 15 | | | | | Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 407/018: Footpath | - | - | Large Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Slight Adverse in Year 15 | | | | | Community Severance Slight beneficial Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 423/001: Footpath | - | - | Large Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Moderate Adverse in Year 15 | | | | | Community Severance Moderate adverse Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 423/002: Footpath | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 423/006: Footpath | - | - | Large Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Moderate Adverse in Year 15 | | | | | Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |

| Sensitive Receptor | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|---|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------|
| 423/008: Footpath | - | - | Slight Beneficial | | | | | Journey Amenity Slight Beneficial | |
| 423/013: Footpath | - | - | Large Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Moderate Adverse in Year 15 | | | | | Community Severance Slight adverse Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 423/011: Footpath | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 422/018: Byway open to all traffic | - | - | Slight Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Neutral in Year 15 | | | | | Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 422/011: Footpath | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Community Severance Slight beneficial Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 422/003: Footpath | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 422/020: Footpath | - | - | Slight Adverse in Year 1 reducing to slight adverse in Year 15 | | | | | Community Severance Slight beneficial Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |

| Sensitive Receptor | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|---|-------------|--|---|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------|
| 115/009: St Oswald's Way: Long Distance | - | As the area of minor increase makes up a very small percentage of the whole PRow, the change in noise level caused by Part A is deemed not significant for St Oswald's Way | Large Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Slight adverse in Year 15 | | | | | Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 115/008: Footpath | - | - | Slight Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Neutral in Year 15 | | | | | Community Severance Slight beneficial Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 115/016: Footpath | - | - | Slight Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Neutral in Year 15 | | | | | Community Severance Slight beneficial Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 115/013: Footpath | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 422/022: Footpath | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 422/001: Footpath | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 422/002 | - | - | - | | | | | Community Severance Slight beneficial Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |

| Sensitive Receptor | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|--|---|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------|
| 423/017 | - | - | - | | | | | Community Severance Slight adverse Journey Amenity Slight Beneficial | |
| 407/001 | - | - | - | | | | | Community Severance Slight beneficial Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 422/009: Footpath | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| STATUTORY AND NON-STATUTORY DESIGNATED ECOLOGICAL SITES/LOCAL BIODIVERSITY | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ | ✓ | | | |
| Wansbeck and Hartburn Woods LWS | slight adverse (not significant) effect from nitrogen deposition | - | - | | Slight Adverse | - | | | |
| Cawledge Burn LWS | slight adverse (not significant) effect from nitrogen deposition | - | - | | Slight Adverse | - | | | |
| Well Wood Ancient Woodland | very large adverse (significant) effect from nitrogen deposition | - | - | | - | - | | | |
| Ulgham Meadow LWS | slight beneficial (not significant) effect from nitrogen deposition | - | - | | Slight Beneficial | - | | | |

| Sensitive Receptor | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|---|--|--|---|-------------------|---|---|-------------------|---|--------------------|
| Borough Wood LNR | moderate adverse (significant) effect from nitrogen deposition | - | - | | - | - | | | |
| Borough Wood ancient woodland | very large adverse (significant) effect from nitrogen deposition | - | - | | Slight Adverse | - | | | |
| Coquet River Felton LWS | slight adverse (not significant) effect from nitrogen deposition | - | - | | - | - | | | |
| Bats | - | - | - | | Slight Adverse from severance | - | | | |
| High and Medium value retained arboriculture features | - | - | slight adverse (not significant) | | Slight Adverse (Duke's Bank Wood would be impacted by salt spray) | - | | | |
| Low and very low arboriculture features | - | - | slight beneficial (not significant) | | Slight Beneficial | - | | | |
| River Coquet and Coquet Valley Woodlands SSSI | - | The predicted long term change in noise level follows a similar pattern, with a small area | - | | Slight adverse | Slight adverse (Change request SA and SW) | | | |
| Fish and aquatic invertebrates | - | - | - | | Slight adverse | - | | | |
| River Coquet watercourse (HPI) | - | - | - | | slight adverse | - | | | |
| COMMUNITY FACILITIES | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | ✓ | |
| Tritlington School / Tritlington Church of England School (C) | - | Major to moderate Significant (Beneficial) | Slight Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Neutral in Year 15 | | | | | Physical Asset (amenity) Slight beneficial Journey Amenity Slight Beneficial | |

| | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|---|-------------|---|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------|
| Sensitive Receptor | | | | | | | | | |
| Fairmoor Cemetery (A) | - | - | - | | | | | - | |
| Northgate Hospital (B) | - | - | - | | | | | - | |
| H of St Michael and All Angels (D) | - | - | - | | | | | - | |
| Felton Surgery URC Church (E) | - | - | - | | | | | - | |
| Felton Church or England Primary School (F) | - | - | - | | | | | - | |
| Felton Post Office (G) | - | - | - | | | | | - | |
| Felton Recreational Field (H) | - | - | - | | | | | - | |
| Felton Park | - | - | - | | | | | Physical Asset (amenity) Slight adverse | |
| Northumberland Woodland Burials | - | and long-term, the noise levels as a result of Part A | - | | | | | - | |

Appendix B

SCREENING MATRIX (PART B)

Key:

| | |
|---|---|
| - | No residual effects of 'minor' or above significance after mitigation |
|---|---|

| | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|---|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------|
| Sensitive Receptor | | | | | | | | | |
| CONSTRUCTION | | | | | | | | | |
| RESIDENTS | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | ✓ | |
| 1. Broom House (5 properties) | - | - | Moderate Adverse | | | | | <u>Human Health</u> Slight Adverse <u>Community Severance</u> Slight to Moderate Adverse <u>Reduced Access to PRow</u> Slight to Moderate Adverse <u>Socio-economic Effects</u> Minor Beneficial | |
| 2. Loaning Head | - | - | Moderate Adverse | | | | | <u>Human Health</u> Slight Adverse <u>Community Severance</u> Slight to Moderate Adverse <u>Reduced Access to PRow</u> Slight to Moderate Adverse <u>Socio-economic Effects</u> Minor Beneficial | |
| 3. Heckley House and Heckley Cottage (2 properties) | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | <u>Human Health</u> Slight Adverse <u>Community Severance</u> Slight to Moderate Adverse <u>Reduced Access to PRow</u> Slight to Moderate Adverse <u>Socio-economic Effects</u> Minor Beneficial | |

| Sensitive Receptor | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|--------------------|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Heckley Fence | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | <u>Private Assets and Land Use</u> Slight Adverse <u>Human Health</u> Slight Adverse <u>Community Severance</u> Slight to Moderate Adverse <u>Reduced Access to PRow</u> Slight to Moderate Adverse <u>Socio-economic Effects</u> Minor Beneficial | |
| 5. Heiferlaw Bank | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | <u>Human Health</u> Slight Adverse <u>Community Severance</u> Slight to Moderate Adverse <u>Reduced Access to PRow</u> Slight to Moderate Adverse <u>Socio-economic Effects</u> Minor Beneficial | |
| 6. Rock Lodge | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | <u>Human Health</u> Slight Adverse <u>Community Severance</u> Slight to Moderate Adverse <u>Reduced Access to PRow</u> Slight to Moderate Adverse <u>Socio-economic Effects</u> Minor Beneficial | |
| 7. Rock Nab | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | <u>Private Assets and Land Use</u> Slight Adverse <u>Human Health</u> Slight Adverse <u>Community Severance</u> Slight to Moderate Adverse <u>Reduced Access to PRow</u> Slight to Moderate Adverse <u>Socio-economic Effects</u> Minor Beneficial | |

| Sensitive Receptor | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|--|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------|
| 8. Holywell Cottage (4 properties) | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight Adverse Community Severance Slight to Moderate Adverse Reduced Access to PRow Slight to Moderate Adverse Socio-economic Effects Minor Beneficial | |
| 9. Broxfiled and surrounding properties (2 properties) | - | - | Moderate Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight Adverse Community Severance Slight to Moderate Adverse Reduced Access to PRow Slight to Moderate Adverse Socio-economic Effects Minor Beneficial | |
| 10. West Linkhall Farmhouse and surrounding properties including Patterson Cottage | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use Slight Adverse Human Health Slight Adverse Community Severance Slight to Moderate Adverse Reduced Access to PRow Slight to Moderate Adverse Socio-economic Effects Minor Beneficial | |
| 11. Rock Midstead Cottages and Rock Midstead Farmhouse (6 properties) | - | - | Moderate Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight Adverse Community Severance Slight to Moderate Adverse Reduced Access to PRow Slight to Moderate Adverse Socio-economic Effects Minor Beneficial | |

| Sensitive Receptor | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------|
| 12. Rock South Farm (7 properties) | - | - | Moderate Adverse | | | | | <u>Private Assets and Land Use</u> Slight Adverse <u>Human Health</u> Slight Adverse <u>Community Severance</u> Slight to Moderate Adverse <u>Reduced Access to PRow</u> Slight to Moderate Adverse <u>Socio-economic Effects</u> Minor Beneficial | |
| 13. Drythrople | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | <u>Human Health</u> Slight Adverse <u>Community Severance</u> Slight to Moderate Adverse <u>Reduced Access to PRow</u> Slight to Moderate Adverse <u>Socio-economic Effects</u> Minor Beneficial | |
| 14. Rock Moor House | - | - | Moderate Adverse | | | | | <u>Human Health</u> Slight Adverse <u>Community Severance</u> Slight to Moderate Adverse <u>Reduced Access to PRow</u> Slight to Moderate Adverse <u>Socio-economic Effects</u> Minor Beneficial | |
| 15. West Lodge | - | - | Moderate Adverse | | | | | <u>Private Assets and Land Use</u> Slight Adverse <u>Human Health</u> Slight Adverse <u>Community Severance</u> Slight to Moderate Adverse <u>Reduced Access to PRow</u> Slight to Moderate Adverse <u>Socio-economic Effects</u> Minor Beneficial | |

| Sensitive Receptor | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|--|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------|
| 16. Properties at East Linkhall (2 properties) | - | - | Moderate Adverse | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use Slight Adverse Human Health Slight Adverse Community Severance Slight to Moderate Adverse Reduced Access to PRow Slight to Moderate Adverse Socio-economic Effects Minor Beneficial | |
| 17. Properties at North Charlton | - | - | Moderate Adverse | | | | | Human Health Slight Adverse Community Severance Slight to Moderate Adverse Reduced Access to PRow Slight to Moderate Adverse Socio-economic Effects Minor Beneficial | |
| 18. Properties at South Charlton | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use Slight Adverse Community Severance Slight to Moderate Adverse Reduced Access to PRow Slight to Moderate Adverse Socio-economic Effects Minor Beneficial | |
| 19. Whinny | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | Outside of 1km study area | |
| 20. Brockley Hall Cottages and Brockley Hall | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | Human Health Slight Adverse Community Severance Slight to Moderate Adverse Reduced Access to PRow Slight to Moderate Adverse Socio-economic Effects Minor Beneficial | |
| 21. South Charlton Farm | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | Outside of study area | |

| Sensitive Receptor | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------|
| 22. Silvermoor | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | Human Health Slight Adverse Community Severance Slight to Moderate Adverse Reduced Access to PRow Slight to Moderate Adverse Socio-economic Effects Minor Beneficial | |
| 23. Goldenmoor | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | Human Health Slight Adverse Community Severance Slight to Moderate Adverse Reduced Access to PRow Slight to Moderate Adverse Socio-economic Effects Minor Beneficial | |
| 24. Properties at Denwick | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | Human Health Slight Adverse Community Severance Slight to Moderate Adverse Reduced Access to PRow Slight to Moderate Adverse Socio-economic Effects Minor Beneficial | |
| 41. Greensfield Moor Farm | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | - | |
| 46. The Boarding House (6 properties) | - | - | - | | | | | - | |
| 47. Hemelspeth (8 properties) | - | - | - | | | | | - | |
| 48. Glenshotton | - | - | - | | | | | - | |
| 49. Cahore Cottage (3 properties) | - | - | - | | | | | - | |
| 50. Tithemans Cottage (2 properties) | - | - | - | | | | | - | |
| 51. Thirston New Houses | - | - | - | | | | | - | |
| 52. Thirston New House | - | - | - | | | | | - | |

| Sensitive Receptor | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------|
| Charlton Mires Farm | Not assessed as being demolished | Not assessed as being demolished | Not assessed as being demolished | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use Large Adverse | |
| East Cottage | Not assessed as being demolished | Not assessed as being demolished | Not assessed as being demolished | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use Large Adverse | |
| ROAD USERS | | | ✓ | | | | | ✓ | |
| 37. Road users travelling along the A1 (1) | | | Moderate Adverse | | | | | Driving Stress* Temporary Adverse Effects | |
| 38. Road users travelling along the B6347 (5) | | | - | | | | | Driving Stress Temporary Adverse Effects | |
| 39. Road users travelling along the B6341 (3) | | | - | | | | | Driving Stress Temporary Adverse Effects | |
| 40. Road users travelling along the B1340 (4) | | | - | | | | | Driving Stress Temporary Adverse Effects | |
| 44. Road users travelling along the unnamed road | | | - | | | | | N/A | |
| 55. Road users travelling along the the unnamed local road | | | - | | | | | N/A - Part of M2F | |
| USERS OF FOOTPATHS AND PUBLIC RIGHTS OF WAY (WALKING, CYCLING AND HORSE RIDING) | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | ✓ | |
| 25. PRoW Ref: 112/008 | - | - | Moderate Adverse | | | | | Human health Slight adverse | |
| 26. PRoW Ref: 112/009 | - | - | Moderate Adverse | | | | | Human health Slight adverse | |
| 27. PRoW Ref: 129/004 | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | Community Severance Moderate Adverse Journey Amenity Slight Adverse Human health Slight adverse | |

| Sensitive Receptor | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------|
| 28. PRoW Ref: 129/005 | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | <u>Community Severance</u> Slight Adverse <u>Journey Amenity</u> Slight Adverse Human health Slight adverse | |
| 29. PRoW Ref: 129/009 and 110/003 | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | <u>Community Severance</u> Moderate Adverse <u>Journey Amenity</u> Slight Adverse Human health Slight adverse | |
| 30. PRoW Ref: 110/019 | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | <u>Community Severance</u> Moderate Adverse <u>Journey Amenity</u> Slight Adverse Human health Slight adverse | |
| 31. PRoW Ref: 110/010 | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | Human health Slight adverse | |
| 32. PRoW Ref: 129/022 | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | <u>Community Severance</u> Moderate Adverse <u>Journey Amenity</u> Slight Adverse | |
| 33. PRoW Ref: 110/013 | - | - | Moderate Adverse | | | | | <u>Community Severance</u> Moderate Adverse <u>Journey Amenity</u> Slight Adverse Human health Slight adverse | |
| 34. PRoW Ref: 110/004 | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | <u>Community Severance</u> Moderate Adverse <u>Journey Amenity</u> Slight Adverse Human health Slight adverse | |

| Sensitive Receptor | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|---|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--|---|-------------------|--|--------------------|
| 35. PRoW Ref: 129/014 | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | Community Severance Moderate Adverse Journey Amenity Slight Adverse Human health Slight adverse | |
| 36. PRoW Ref: 129/006 | - | - | Moderate Adverse | | | | | Human health Slight adverse | |
| 42. PRoW Ref: 141/013 | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | Human health Slight adverse | |
| 43. PRoW Ref: 141/002 | - | - | Large Adverse | | | | | Human health Slight adverse | |
| 53. PRoW Ref: 422/020 | - | - | - | | | | | Human health Slight adverse | |
| PRoW Ref: 129/013 | - | - | - | | | | | Community Severance Slight adverse Journey Amenity Slight Adverse Human health Slight adverse | |
| PRoW Ref: 129/024 | - | - | - | | | | | Community Severance Moderate Adverse Journey Amenity Slight Adverse Human health Slight adverse | |
| Statutory and non-statutory designated ecological sites | ✓ | ✓ | | | ✓ | ✓ | | | |
| Statutory and non-statutory designated sites | - | - | | | - | - | | | |
| Broadleaved seminatural woodland | - | - | | | Moderate Beneficial | - | | | |
| Hedgerow | - | - | | | Slight Adverse | - | | | |
| Watercourses | - | - | | | Slight Adverse from net loss of length; neutral from | Slight adverse* effect on Shipperton Burn | | | |
| Badger | - | - | | | - | | | | |
| Water Vole | - | - | | | - | | | | |
| Otter | - | - | | | - | | | | |
| Red Squirrel | - | - | | | - | | | | |

| Sensitive Receptor | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|--|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|--|-------------------|--|--------------------|
| Bats | - | - | | | Slight Adverse | | | | |
| Breeding and Wintering Birds | - | - | | | - | | | | |
| Barn Owl | - | - | | | - | | | | |
| Great Crested Newt | - | - | | | - | | | | |
| Reptiles | - | - | | | - | | | | |
| Fish | - | - | | | Moderate Adverse | Slight adverse* effect on Shipperton Burn | | | |
| Aquatic Invertebrates | - | - | | | Slight Adverse | Slight adverse* effect on Shipperton Burn | | | |
| Commercial Properties | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | ✓ | |
| 45. Lionheart Industrial Estate | - | - | - | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use Slight Adverse Human Health temporary adverse | |
| Rock Lodge Holiday Lets | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use Slight Adverse Human Health Slight Adverse | |
| Reading Rooms Cottage | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use Slight Adverse Human Health Slight Adverse | |
| The Old Stables Tea Room | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use Slight Adverse Human Health Slight Adverse | |
| The Armstrong Household and Farming Museum | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use Slight Adverse Human Health Slight Adverse | |
| Patterson's Cottage boarding kennels | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use Slight Adverse Human Health Slight Adverse | |
| Middlemoor Cottage | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use Slight Adverse Human Health Slight Adverse | |

| Sensitive Receptor | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|---|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|---|---|--------------------|
| Rocking Horse Café and Gallery | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use Slight Adverse Human Health Slight Adverse | |
| Rock Moor House Bed and Breakfast | - | - | - | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use Slight Adverse Human Health Slight Adverse | |
| Beal ME and Sons | - | - | - | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use Slight Adverse Human Health Slight Adverse | |
| Drythropple (sells produce from the residential property) | - | - | - | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use Slight Adverse Human Health Slight Adverse | |
| Grahamslaw JEG and Sons | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use Slight Adverse Human Health Slight Adverse | |
| Blossoms Plantation Pods | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use Slight Adverse Human Health Slight Adverse | |
| Charlton Hall Wedding Venue | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use Slight Adverse Human Health Slight Adverse | |
| Agricultural Land and associated rural enterprises | | | | | | | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Broxfield Farm | | | | | | | Slight Adverse | Private Assets and Land Use Slight Adverse | |
| Charlton Mires Farm | | | | | | | Large Adverse | Private Assets and Land Use Large Adverse | |
| Drythropple Cottage | | | | | | | Slight Adverse | Private Assets and Land Use Slight Adverse | |
| East Cottage | | | | | | | Large Adverse | Private Assets and Land Use Very Large Adverse | |
| East Link Hall Farm | | | | | | | Large Adverse to No Residual Effects After Mitigation | Private Assets and Land Use Slight Adverse | |

| Sensitive Receptor | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|-----------------------|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|--|--|--------------------|
| Goldenmoor Farm | | | | | | | Slight Adverse to No Residual Effects After Mitigation | <u>Private Assets and Land Use</u> Slight Adverse | |
| Heckley Farm | | | | | | | - | <u>Private Assets and Land Use</u> Slight Adverse | |
| Humbleheugh Farm | | | | | | | Slight Adverse | <u>Private Assets and Land Use</u> Slight Adverse | |
| North Charlton Farm | | | | | | | Large Adverse to Slight Adverse | <u>Private Assets and Land Use</u> Slight Adverse | |
| Rock Estate | | | | | | | Large Adverse to Slight Adverse | <u>Private Assets and Land Use</u> Slight Adverse | |
| Rock Farms | | | | | | | Large Adverse | <u>Private Assets and Land Use</u> Slight Adverse | |
| Rock Nab | | | | | | | Slight Adverse | <u>Private Assets and Land Use</u> Slight Adverse | |
| Silvermoor Farm | | | | | | | Slight Adverse | <u>Private Assets and Land Use</u> Slight Adverse | |
| West Link Hall Farm | | | | | | | Large Adverse | <u>Private Assets and Land Use</u> Slight Adverse | |
| Northumberland Estate | | | | | | | - | <u>Private Assets and Land Use</u> Slight Adverse | |
| West Farm | | | | | | | - | <u>Private Assets and Land Use</u> Slight Adverse | |

Key:

| | |
|---|--|
| - | No residual effects of 'minor' or above significance after mitigation |
|---|--|

| | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|---|---|---|--|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------|
| Sensitive Receptor | | | | | | | | | |
| OPERATION | | | | | | | | | |
| RESIDENTS | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | ✓ | |
| 1. Broom House (5 properties) | increase in pollutant levels (not significant) | minor increase (not significant) | Moderate Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Slight Adverse in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| 2. Loaning Head | - | Minor to Negligable Beneficial Significance | Moderate Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Slight Adverse in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| 3. Heckley House and Heckley Cottage (2 properties) | - | - | Large Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Moderate Adverse in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| 4. Heckley Fence | Imperceptible change | Minor to Negligable Beneficial Significance | Large Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Moderate Adverse in Year 15 | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use Slight Adverse | |
| 5. Heiferlaw Bank | reduction in pollutant levels (not significant) | Minor to Negligable Beneficial Significance | Large Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Moderate Adverse in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| 6. Rock Lodge | reduction in pollutant levels (not significant) | Minor to Negligable Beneficial Significance | Large Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Moderate Adverse in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| 7. Rock Nab | Imperceptible change | Minor to Negligable Beneficial Significance | Large Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Moderate Adverse in Year 15 | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use Slight Adverse | |
| 8. Holywell Cottage (4 properties) | - | Minor to Negligable Beneficial Significance | Large Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Moderate Adverse in Year 15 | | | | | - | |

| Sensitive Receptor | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|--|--|---|---|--|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | 9. Broxfiled and surrounding properties (2 properties) | - | - | Moderate Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Slight Adverse in Year 15 | | | | - | |
| | 10. West Linkhall Farmhouse and surrounding properties including Patterson Cottage | reduction in pollutant levels (not significant) | Major to Moderate Beneficial Significance | Large Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Moderate Adverse in Year 15 | | | | <u>Private Assets and Land Use</u> Slight Adverse | |
| | 11. Rock Midstead Cottages and Rock Midstead Farmhouse (6 properties) | - | Minor to Negligable Beneficial Significance | Moderate Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Slight Adverse in Year 15 | | | | - | |
| | 12. Rock South Farm (7 properties) | - | Minor to Negligable Beneficial Significance | Moderate Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Slight Adverse in Year 15 | | | | <u>Private Assets and Land Use</u> Slight Adverse | |
| | 13. Drythropple | - | Minor to Negligable Beneficial Significance | Moderate Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Slight Adverse in Year 15 | | | | - | |
| | 14. Rock Moor House | - | Minor to Negligable Beneficial Significance | Moderate Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Slight Adverse in Year 15 | | | | - | |
| | 15. West Lodge | - | Minor to Negligable Beneficial Significance | Moderate Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Slight Adverse in Year 15 | | | | <u>Private Assets and Land Use</u> Slight Adverse | |
| | 16. Properties at East Linkhall (2 properties) | - | Minor to Negligable Beneficial Significance | Moderate Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Slight Adverse in Year 15 | | | | <u>Private Assets and Land Use</u> Slight Adverse | |
| 17. Properties at North Charlton | increase in pollutant levels (not significant) | Minor to Negligable Beneficial Significance | Slight Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Neutral in Year 15 | | | | | <u>Private Assets and Land Use</u> Slight Adverse | |
| 18. Properties at South Charlton | Imperceptible change | - | Scoped out | | | | | <u>Private Assets and Land Use</u> Slight Adverse | |
| 19. Whinny | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | - | |
| 20. Brockley Hall Cottages and Brockley Hall | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | - | |

| | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|---|--|---------------------|--|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Sensitive Receptor | | | | | | | | | |
| 21. South Charlton Farm | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | - | |
| 22. Silvermoor | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | - | |
| 23. Goldenmoor | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | - | |
| 24. Properties at Denwick | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | - | |
| Property North east of Alnwick adjacent to the B1340 and near the A1, predominantly rural. Increase in traffic flows along the A1. (appendix 5.4) | increase in pollutant levels (not significant) | - | - | | | | | - | |
| 41. Greensfield Moor Farm | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | - | |
| 46. The Boarding House (6 properties) | - | - | - | | | | | - | |
| 47. Hemelspeth (8 properties) | - | - | - | | | | | - | |
| 48. Glenshotton | - | - | - | | | | | - | |
| 49. Cahore Cottage (3 properties) | - | - | - | | | | | - | |
| 50. Tithemans Cottage (2 properties) | - | - | - | | | | | - | |
| 51. Thirston New Houses | - | - | - | | | | | - | |
| 52. Thirston New House | - | - | - | | | | | - | |
| ROAD USERS | | | ✓ | | | | | ✓ | |
| 37. Road users travelling along the A1 | | | Slight Adverse | | | | | Driving Stress Slight Beneficial | |
| 38. Road users travelling along the B6347 | | | - | | | | | Driving Stress Slight Beneficial | |
| 39. Road users travelling along the B6341 | | | - | | | | | Driving Stress Slight Beneficial | |
| 40. Road users travelling along the B1340 | | | - | | | | | Driving Stress Slight Beneficial | |
| 44. Road users travelling along the unnamed road | | | - | | | | | Driving Stress Slight Beneficial | |
| 55. Road users travelling along the the unnamed local road | | | - | | | | | Driving Stress Slight Beneficial | |
| USERS OF PUBLIC RIGHTS OF WAY | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | ✓ | |
| 25. PRoW Ref: 112/008 | - | - | Moderate Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Slight Adverse in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| 26. PRoW Ref: 112/009 | - | - | Moderate Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Slight Adverse in Year 15 | | | | | - | |

| Sensitive Receptor | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|--|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | 27. PRoW Ref: 129/004 | - | - | Moderate Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Slight Adverse in Year 15 | | | | Community Severance Moderate Adverse Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| | 28. PRoW Ref: 129/005 | - | - | Moderate Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Slight Adverse in Year 15 | | | | Community Severance No Residual Effects After Mitigation Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| | 29. PRoW Ref: 129/009 and 110/003 | - | - | Scoped out | | | | Community Severance Moderate Adverse Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| | 30. PRoW Ref: 110/019 | - | - | Scoped out | | | | Community Severance Moderate Adverse Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| | 31. PRoW Ref: 110/010 | - | - | Scoped out | | | | - | |
| | 32. PRoW Ref: 129/022 | - | - | Scoped out | | | | Community Severance Moderate Adverse Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| | 33. PRoW Ref: 110/013 | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | Community Severance Moderate Adverse Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| | 34. PRoW Ref: 110/004 | adjacent to R12 in AQ assessment (increase in air pollutants) | - | Slight Adverse | | | | Community Severance Moderate Adverse Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 35. PRoW Ref: 129/014 | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | Community Severance Moderate Adverse Journey Amenity Slight Adverse | |
| 36. PRoW Ref: 129/006 | - | - | Slight Adverse | | | | | - | |
| 42. PRoW Ref: 141/003 | - | - | Slight Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Neutral in Year 15 | | | | | - | |

| | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|---|--|---------------------|---|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------|
| Sensitive Receptor | | | | | | | | | |
| 43. PRoW Ref: 141/022 | - | - | Slight Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Neutral in Year 15 | | | | | - | |
| 53. PRoW Ref: 422/020 | - | - | - | | | | | - | |
| PRoW Ref: 129/013 | - | - | Slight Adverse in Year 1 reducing to Neutral in Year 16 | | | | | <u>Community Severance</u> Moderate Adverse <u>Journey Amenity</u> Slight Adverse | |
| PRoW Ref: 129/024 | adjacent to R09 in AQ assessment (reduction in air pollutants) | - | - | | | | | <u>Community Severance</u> Moderate Adverse <u>Journey Amenity</u> Slight Adverse | |
| Statutory and non-statutory designated ecological sites | ✓ | ✓ | | | ✓ | | | | |
| Statutory and non-statutory designated sites | - | - | | | - | | | | |
| Broadleaved seminatural woodland | - | - | | | - | | | | |
| Hedgerow | - | - | | | - | | | | |
| Watercourses | - | - | | | - | | | | |
| Badger | - | - | | | - | | | | |
| Water Vole | - | - | | | - | | | | |
| Otter | - | - | | | - | | | | |
| Red Squirrel | - | - | | | - | | | | |
| Bats | - | - | | | - | | | | |
| Breeding and Wintering Birds | - | - | | | - | | | | |
| Barn Owl | - | - | | | - | | | | |
| Great Crested Newt | - | - | | | - | | | | |
| Reptiles | - | - | | | - | | | | |
| Fish | - | - | | | - | | | | |
| Aquatic Invertebrates | - | - | | | - | | | | |
| COMMERCIAL PROPERTIES | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | ✓ | |
| 45. Lionheart Industrial Estate | - | - | - | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use (access) Slight Adverse Human Health Negligible | |

| | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|--|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------|
| Sensitive Receptor | | | | | | | | | |
| Rock Lodge Holiday Lets | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use (access) Slight Adverse Human Health Negligible | |
| Reading Rooms Cottage | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use (access) Slight Adverse Human Health Negligible | |
| The Old Stables Tea Room | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use (access) Slight Adverse Human Health Negligible | |
| The Armstrong Household and Farming Museum | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use (access) Slight Adverse Human Health Negligible | |
| Patterson's Cottage boarding kennels | - | Major Beneficial | Scoped out | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use (access) Slight Adverse Human Health Negligible | |
| Middlemoor Cottage | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use (access) Slight Adverse Human Health Negligible | |
| Rocking Horse Café and Gallery | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use (access) Slight Adverse Human Health Negligible | |
| Rock Moor House Bed and Breakfast | - | - | | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use (access) Slight Adverse Human Health Negligible | |

| | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Cultural Heritage | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water | Geology and Soils | Population and Human Health | Material Resources |
|---|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------|
| Sensitive Receptor | | | | | | | | | |
| Beal ME and Sons | - | - | | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use (access) Slight Adverse Human Health Negligible | |
| Drythropple (sells produce from the residential property) | - | - | | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use (access) Slight Adverse Human Health Negligible | |
| Grahamslaw JEG and Sons | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use (access) Slight Adverse Human Health Negligible | |
| Blossoms Plantation Pods | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use (access) Slight Adverse Human Health Negligible | |
| Charlton Hall Wedding Venue | - | - | Scoped out | | | | | Private Assets and Land Use (access) Slight Adverse Human Health Negligible | |


Appendix C

SCREENING MATRIX (THE SCHEME)

| PART A | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Population and Human Health | PART B | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Landscape and Visual | Population and Human Health |
|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--|---|---|-----------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| CONSTRUCTION | | | | | CONSTRUCTION | | | | |
| Main Comound | | | | | Main Compound | | | | |
| RESIDENTS | | | | | | | | | |
| R26: B6345 (The Boarding House) (6 properties) | No residual effects after mitigation | No residual effects after mitigation | Slight Adverse | Community severance Slight adverse Private property: Slight adverse Human Health: Slight adverse Socio-economic: Slight beneficial | Effects from additional construction traffic movements insignificant. | Effects from additional construction traffic movements insignificant. | No additional effects | Human Health negligible Driver Stress negligible Community Severance No effects | |
| R27: Hemelspeth (1 property) | | | Slight Adverse | Community severance Slight adverse Private property: Slight adverse Human Health: Slight adverse Socio-economic: Slight beneficial | | | No additional effects | Human Health negligible Driver Stress negligible Community Severance No effects | |
| R28: Glenshotton | | | Neutral | Community severance Slight adverse Private property: Slight adverse Human Health: Slight adverse Socio-economic: Slight beneficial | | | No additional effects | Human Health negligible Driver Stress negligible Community Severance No effects | |
| R31: Cahore Cottage (3 properties) | | | Neutral | Community severance Slight adverse Private property: Slight adverse Human Health: Slight adverse Socio-economic: Slight beneficial | | | No additional effects | Human Health negligible Driver Stress negligible Community Severance No effects | |
| R32: Tithemans Cottage | | | Slight Adverse | Community severance Slight adverse Private property: Slight adverse Human Health: Slight adverse Socio-economic: Slight beneficial | | | No additional effects | Human Health negligible Driver Stress negligible Community Severance No effects | |
| R33: Thirston New Houses | | | Neutral | Community severance Slight adverse Private property: Slight adverse Human Health: Slight adverse Socio-economic: Slight beneficial | | | No additional effects | Human Health negligible Driver Stress negligible Community Severance No effects | |
| R34: Thirston New House | | | Moderate Adverse | Community severance Slight adverse Private property: Slight adverse Human Health: Slight adverse Socio-economic: Slight beneficial | | | No additional effects | Human Health negligible Driver Stress negligible Community Severance No effects | |

Appendix D

FIGURES





Key

- Order Limits
- Areas Excluded from Order Limits
- 1km Study Area
- 2km Study Area
- Ancient Woodland Inventory
- Statutory Main Rivers
- Surface Watercourses
- Public Rights of Way
- Listed Building
- Viewpoint Location
- Commercial Properties
- Community Receptors
- Local Wildlife Sites
- 35b(1), Morpeth
- 35b(2), Northgate
- 35b, Broad Lowland Valley - Font and Wansbeck Valleys
- 37a, Lowland Farm Ridges - Wingates Ridge
- 38b, Lowland Rolling Farmland - Longhorsley
- 39a, Coalfield Farmland - Coastal Coalfields
- 39c, Coalfield Farmland - Stannington

Note:
Please refer to the following documents for additional combined effects receptors not included on this plan:
Figure 5.2: Human and Ecological Receptors Assessed Part A [APP-076]
Figure 7.6: Visual Effects Drawing Residential Properties Part A [APP-093] for the location of residential properties
Figure 9.1: Final Phase 1 Plan Part A [APP-105] for habitat locations
Appendix 12.1: Agricultural Assessment Part A confidential [APP-266] for agricultural land and associated rural enterprises locations.


| | | | | | |
|---|----------|-------------|----------|------------------|-------------|
| P01 | 23/06/21 | First Issue | PB | NM | KS |
| Rev | Date | Description | By | Chk'd | App'd |
| Client | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Project Title | | | | | |
| A1 in Northumberland: Morpeth to Ellingham | | | | | |
| Drawing Title | | | | | |
| Figure 1: Combined Effects Receptor Locations Part A Page 1 of 3 | | | | | |
| Scale | Drawn | Checked | Approved | Authorised | |
| 1:25,000 | PB | NM | KS | DM | |
| Original Size | Date | Date | Date | Date | |
| A3 | 23/06/21 | 23/06/21 | 23/06/21 | 23/06/21 | |
| Drawing Status | | | | | Suitability |
| For Information | | | | | S1 |
| Drawing Number | | Originator | | Volume | |
| Project | | WSP | | | |
| HE551459 | | | | Project Ref. No. | |
| | | | | 70071493 | |
| M2E | | RP | | 2453 | |
| Location | | Type | | Role | |
| | | | | Number | |
| | | | | P01 | |

Date Saved: 29/06/2021 15:43:37 User Name: INPB03368
Document Path: \\uk.wspgroup.com\central\data\Projects\7003606\A1 Northumberland\03 Environment\GIS\M2E ES\Figure 2.1 - Environmental Constraints Plan Part A - Copy Rev01.mxd




- Key
- Order Limits
 - Areas Excluded from Order Limits
 - 1km Study Area
 - 2km Study Area
 - Ancient Woodland Inventory
 - Site of Special Scientific Interest
 - Statutory Main Rivers
 - Surface Watercourses
 - Public Rights of Way
 - Viewpoint Location
 - Commercial Properties
 - Community Receptors
 - 35a, Broad Lowland Valley - Coquet Valley
 - 37a, Lowland Farm Ridges - Wingates Ridge
 - 38b(1), Hub of Recreational Activity
 - 38b, Lowland Rolling Farmland - Longhorsley
 - 39a, Coalfield Farmland - Coastal Coalfields

Note:
Please refer to the following documents for additional combined effects receptors not included on this plan:
Figure 5.2: Human and Ecological Receptors Assessed Part A [APP-076]
Figure 7.6: Visual Effects Drawing Residential Properties Part A [APP-093] for the location of residential properties
Figure 9.1: Final Phase 1 Plan Part A [APP-105] for habitat locations
Appendix 12.1: Agricultural Assessment Part A confidential [APP-266] for agricultural land and associated rural enterprises locations.

| | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|------------------|---|-----------------------|------------|----------------|
| P01 | 23/06/21 | First Issue | PB | NM | KS | |
| Rev | Date | Description | By | Chk'd | App'd | |
| Client | | |  | | | |
| Project Title | | | | | | |
| A1 in Northumberland: Morpeth to Ellingham | | | | | | |
| Drawing Title | | | | | | |
| Figure 1: Combined Effects Receptor Locations Part A Page 2 of 3 | | | | | | |
| Scale 1:25,000 | Drawn PB | Checked NM | Approved KS | Authorised DM | | |
| Original Size A3 | Date 23/06/21 | Date 23/06/21 | Date 23/06/21 | Date 23/06/21 | | |
| Drawing Status For Information | | | | Suitability S1 | | |
| Drawing Number Project HE551459 | | | Originator WSP | | Volume | |
| M2E Location | | | RP Type | | LE Role | |
| | | | | | | 2453 Number |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | Project Ref. No. 70071493 | | | |
| | | | Revision P01 | | | |

Date Saved: 29/06/2021 15:43:37 User Name: INPB03368
Document Path: \\uk.wspgroup.com\central\data\Projects\70036004 - A1 Northumberland\03 Environment\GIS\M2E ES\Figure 2.1 - Environmental Constraints Plan Part A - Copy Rev01.mxd



Key

Order Limits

1km Study Area

2km Study Area

Ancient Woodland Inventory

Site of Special Scientific Interest

Local Wildlife Sites

Statutory Main Rivers

Surface Watercourses

Public Rights of Way

Viewpoint Location

Commercial Properties

Community Receptors

2b, Farmed Coastal Plain - Lower Coquet

35a, Broad Lowland Valley - Coquet Valley

38a, Lowland Rolling Farmland - Longframlington


38b(1), Hub of Recreational Activity

38b, Lowland Rolling Farmland - Longhorsley

39a, Coalfield Farmland - Coastal Coalfields

Note:
Please refer to the following documents for additional combined effects receptors not included on this plan:
Figure 5.2: Human and Ecological Receptors Assessed Part A [APP-076]
Figure 7.6: Visual Effects Drawing Residential Properties Part A [APP-093] for the location of residential properties
Figure 9.1: Final Phase 1 Plan Part A [APP-105] for habitat locations
Appendix 12.1: Agricultural Assessment Part A confidential [APP-266] for agricultural land and associated rural enterprises locations.

| | | | | | |
|------------------|----------|-------------|---|---------|----------|
| P01 | 23/06/21 | First Issue | PB | NM | KS |
| Rev | Date | Description | By | Chk'd | App'd |
| Client | | | | | |
| Project Title | | | A1 in Northumberland: Morpeth to Ellingham | | |
| Drawing Title | | | Figure 1: Combined Effects Receptor Locations Part A Page 3 of 3 | | |
| Scale | 1:25,000 | Drawn | PB | Checked | NM |
| Original Size | A3 | Date | 23/06/21 | Date | 23/06/21 |
| Drawing Status | | | For Information | | |
| Drawing Number | | | HE551459 | | |
| Project | | | WSP | | |
| M2E Location | | | RP Type | | |
| | | | LE Role | | |
| | | | 2453 Number | | |
| Project Ref. No. | | | 70071493 | | |
| Revision | | | P01 | | |



Key

- Order Limits
- Original Order Limits
- Areas Excluded from Order Limits
- 1km Study Area
- 2 km Study Area
- Public Rights of Way
- Surface Watercourses
- Statutory Main Rivers
- Transport Receptors
- Residential Receptors
- Commercial Receptors

Note:
Please refer to the following documents for additional combined effects receptors not included on this plan:
Figure 5.2: Human Receptors Assessed Part B [APP-124]
Figure 9.3: Phase 1 Habitat Survey Part B [APP-155] for habitat locations
Appendix 12.1: Likely Impacts on Agricultural Businesses Part B (confidential) [APP-324] for agricultural land and associated rural enterprises locations

| | | | | | |
|-----|----------|-------------|----|-------|-------|
| P01 | 23/06/21 | First Issue | PB | NM | KS |
| Rev | Date | Description | By | Chk'd | App'd |

Client

Project Title

A1 in Northumberland: Morpeth to Ellingham

Drawing Title

Figure 2: Combined Effects Receptor Locations Part B
Page 1 of 3

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|----------|-------|----------|---------|----------|----------|----------|------------|----------|
| Scale | 1:25,000 | Drawn | DP | Checked | NM | Approved | KS | Authorised | DM |
| Original Size | A3 | Date | 29/06/21 | Date | 29/06/21 | Date | 29/06/21 | Date | 29/06/21 |

Drawing Status


For Information

Suitability

S1

| | | | | | | | |
|----------------|----------|------------|-----|--------|------|------------------|----------|
| Drawing Number | HE551459 | Originator | WSP | Volume | 6.1 | Project Ref. No. | 70071493 |
| Project | M2E | Type | RP | Role | LE | Revision | P01 |
| Location | | | | Number | 2453 | | |

Date Saved: 29/06/2021 14:21:24 User Name: INDP03341
Document Path: \\uk.wspgroup.com\central data\Projects\700360xx\70036004 - A1 Northumberland\03 Environmental\GIS\M2E ES\Figure 2.2 - Environmental Constraints Plan Part B_Copy.mxd




Key

- Order Limits
- Original Order Limits
- Areas Excluded from Order Limits
- 1km Study Area
- 2 km Study Area
- Public Rights of Way
- Surface Watercourses
- Transport Receptors
- Residential Receptors
- Commercial Receptors

Note:
Please refer to the following documents for additional combined effects receptors not included on this plan:
Figure 5.2: Human Receptors Assessed Part B [APP-124]
Figure 9.3: Phase 1 Habitat Survey Part B [APP-155] for habitat locations
Appendix 12.1: Likely Impacts on Agricultural Businesses Part B (confidential) [APP-324] for agricultural land and associated rural enterprises locations

| | | | | | |
|---|----------|-------------|----------|------------------|-------|
| P01 | 23/06/21 | First Issue | PB | NM | KS |
| Rev | Date | Description | By | Chk'd | App'd |
| Client | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Project Title | | | | | |
| A1 in Northumberland: Morpeth to Ellingham | | | | | |
| Drawing Title | | | | | |
| Figure 2: Combined Effects Receptor Locations Part B Page 2 of 3 | | | | | |
| Scale | Drawn | Checked | Approved | Authorised | |
| 1:25,000 | DP | NM | KS | DM | |
| Original Size | Date | Date | Date | Date | |
| A3 | 29/06/21 | 29/06/21 | 29/06/21 | 29/06/21 | |
| Drawing Status | | | | Suitability | |
| For Information | | | | S1 | |
| Drawing Number | | Originator | Volume | Project Ref. No. | |
| HE551459 | | WSP | 6.1 | 70071493 | |
| M2E | | RP | LE | 2453 | |
| Location | | Type | Role | Number | |
| | | | | P01 | |

Date Saved: 29/06/2021 14:21:24 User Name: INDP03341
Document Path: \\uk.wspgroup.com\central data\Projects\700360xx\70036004 - A1 Northumberland\03 Environmental Constraints Plan Part B_Copy.mxd



Key

Order Limits

Original Order Limits

1km Study Area

2 km Study Area

Public Rights of Way

Surface Watercourses

Statutory Main Rivers

Transport Receptors

Residential Receptors

Commercial Receptors

Note:

Please refer to the following documents for additional combined effects receptors not included on this plan:

Figure 5.2: Human Receptors Assessed Part B [APP-124]

Figure 9.3: Phase 1 Habitat Survey Part B [APP-155] for habitat locations

Appendix 12.1: Likely Impacts on Agricultural Businesses Part B (confidential) [APP-324] for agricultural land and associated rural enterprises locations

| | | | | | |
|-----|----------|-------------|----|-------|-------|
| P01 | 23/06/21 | First Issue | PB | NM | KS |
| Rev | Date | Description | By | Chk'd | App'd |

Client

Project Title

A1 in Northumberland: Morpeth to Ellingham

Drawing Title

Figure 2: Combined Effects Receptor Locations Part B
Page 3 of 3

| | | | | |
|---------------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Scale | Drawn | Checked | Approved | Authorised |
| 1:25,000 | DP | NM | KS | DM |
| Original Size | Date | Date | Date | Date |
| A3 | 29/06/21 | 29/06/21 | 29/06/21 | 29/06/21 |

Drawing Status

For Information

Suitability

S1

| | | | |
|----------------|------------|--------|------------------|
| Drawing Number | Originator | Volume | Project Ref. No. |
| HE551459 | WSP | 6.1 | 70071493 |
| M2E | RP | LE | 2453 |
| Location | Type | Role | Number |

Revision

P01

© Crown copyright 2020.

You may re-use this information (not including logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence. To view this licence:

visit www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/

write to the **Information Policy Team, The National Archives,**

Kew, London TW9 4DU, or email

psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk.

This document is also available on our website at www.gov.uk/highways

If you have any enquiries about this document
A1inNorthumberland@highwaysengland.co.uk

or call **0300 470 4580***.