

A12 Chelmsford to A120 widening scheme

TR010060

6.3 ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT APPENDIX 7.6 GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY PHASE 2 REPORT

APFP Regulation 5(2)(a)

Planning Act 2008

Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed
Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009

Volume 6

August 2022

Infrastructure Planning

Planning Act 2008

A12 Chelmsford to A120 widening scheme

Development Consent Order 202[]

ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT APPENDIX 7.6 GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY PHASE 2 REPORT

Regulation Reference	Regulation 5(2)(a)
Planning Inspectorate Scheme Reference	TR010060
Application Document Reference	TR010060/APP/6.3
Author	A12 Project Team & National Highways

Version	Date	Status of Version
Rev 1	August 2022	DCO Application

ATCE20



A12 Chelmsford to A120, Junction 23-25, Essex

GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY REPORT

PLANNING REF. [CLICK OR TAP HERE TO ENTER TEXT.](#)

Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North
Units 23–25 & 15 | Acorn Business Centre | Balme Road | Cleckheaton BD19 4EZ

for Jacobs UK Ltd
on behalf of Costain Ltd

Draft v.1.0
16/11/2020

PROJECT INFORMATION:

PROJECT NAME	A12 Chelmsford to A120, Junction 23-25, Essex
TYPE OF WORK	Geophysical survey
PLANNING REF.	Click or tap here to enter text.
EHE NO. (OPTIONAL)	Click or tap here to enter text.
CONSULTANT/AGENT	Jacobs UK Ltd
CLIENT	Costain Ltd
PROJECT CODE	ATCE20
HAS. NO (HEREFORD ONLY)	Click or tap here to enter text.
NGR	TL 87544 18974 to TL 91517 23480
PARISH	Feering All Saints, Messing All Saints, Inworth All Saints, Easthorpe: St Mary the Virgin, Marks Tay
LOCAL AUTHORITY	Essex
FIELDWORK DATES	06/12/2019 – 06/03/2020
OASIS REF.	Click or tap here to enter text.
ARCHIVE REPOSITORY	Click or tap here to enter text.

PROJECT TEAM:

PROJECT MANAGER	Sam Harrison
AUTHOR	Matt Berry
FIELDWORK	Ross Bishop, Richard McGregor-Edwards, Peter Heykoop, Michail-Athanasios Kaikas, Glyn Sheldrick, Olivier Vansassenbrouck
GRAPHICS	Sam Harrison

PROJECT SUMMARY

Headland Archaeology (UK) Ltd undertook a geophysical (magnetometer) survey of 140 hectares on land between junctions 23 and 25 within the A12 Chelmsford to A120 Proposed Scheme, to inform an archaeological assessment of the proposed road. The survey has successfully evaluated the geophysical survey areas within the Proposed Scheme except in a small number of areas where ground conditions were deemed unsuitable for survey. Anomalies of either likely, or possible, archaeological potential have been identified. Of high archaeological potential are a series of enclosures containing a ring ditch immediately south of the old Roman Road at area GP/13f, a discrete curvilinear enclosure of possible archaeological origin in GP/13a, small rectilinear enclosures on the edge of the Proposed Scheme in GP/13k and GP/13h and curvilinear and possible pit-like anomalies in GP/22e, GP/03h and GP/03g. Other groups of linear and discrete anomalies, which might have an archaeological origin, have been identified in other areas as indicated in the results. Several dipolar anomalies have been detected which are consistent with modern activity such as buried service pipes, land drains, trackways, backfilled pits and fences. Numerous linear anomalies, corresponding with field boundaries marked on historic Ordnance Survey maps, have also been identified throughout the survey areas. Several irregularly shaped low magnitude anomalies have been detected which are probably due to natural or geological causes. Overall, most of the PS contains no anomalies of any archaeological potential and therefore the PS is assessed as having low to moderate archaeological potential, although locally high in the vicinity of the clearly defined areas of archaeological activity.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	<u>INTRODUCTION</u>	1
	1.1. SITE LOCATION, TOPOGRAPHY AND LAND-USE	1
	1.2. GEOLOGY AND SOILS	1
2.	<u>ARCHAEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND</u>	2
3.	<u>AIMS, METHODOLOGY AND PRESENTATION</u>	2
	3.1. MAGNETOMETER SURVEY	4
	3.2. REPORTING	4
4.	<u>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</u>	4
	4.1. FERROUS AND MODERN ANOMALIES	5
	4.2. AGRICULTURAL ANOMALIES	5
	4.3. GEOLOGICAL ANOMALIES	5
	4.4. POSSIBLE ARCHAEOLOGICAL ANOMALIES	5
5.	<u>CONCLUSION</u>	9
6.	<u>REFERENCES</u>	9
7.	<u>APPENDICES</u>	10
	APPENDIX 1 MAGNETOMETER SURVEY	10
	APPENDIX 2 SURVEY LOCATION INFORMATION	11
	APPENDIX 3 GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY ARCHIVE	11
	APPENDIX 4 DATA PROCESSING	11
	APPENDIX 5 OASIS	11

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Illus 1 Site location (1:50,000)

Illus 2 Overview of greyscale magnetometer data (1:25,000)

Illus 3 Overall greyscale plot of magnetometer data; West (1:10,000)

Illus 4 Overall interpretation of magnetometer data; West (1:10,000)

Illus 5 Overall greyscale plot of magnetometer data; East (1:10,000)

Illus 6 Overall interpretation of magnetometer data; East (1:10,000)

Illus 7 - 36 Processed greyscale magnetometer data (1:2,500), XY trace plot of minimally processed magnetometer data (1:2,500), and Interpretation of magnetometer data (1:2,500)

Table 1 Description of Archaeological Geophysical Survey Areas

Table 2: Summary of findings by Geophysical Survey Areas

A12 CHELMSFORD TO A120, JUNCTION 23-25, ESSEX

GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY REPORT

1. INTRODUCTION

Headland Archaeology (UK) Ltd was commissioned by Jacobs UK Ltd (The Consultant), on behalf of Costain Ltd (The Principal Contractor), and Highways England (The Client) to undertake a geophysical (magnetometer) survey from Junction 23 to Junction 25 within the A12 Chelmsford to A120 Proposed Scheme (PS). Ten survey areas, as numbered in Table 1, totalling 140 hectares, were surveyed along this corridor (Illus 1).

The results of the survey will inform future archaeological strategy at the site. The survey was undertaken to assess the impact of the PS on the historic environment. It was undertaken in accordance with an Archaeological Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) (Jacobs 2019) and Early Works Order (Jacobs 2020), with guidance within the National Planning Policy Framework (MHCLG 2019) and in line with current best practice (Chartered Institute for Archaeologists 2014, Europae Archaeologia Consilium 2016). The results will be disseminated through the preparation and deposition of an ordered archive submitted to the Archaeology Data Service (ADS) and a suitable final repository.

The surveys were carried out between September 2nd 2020 and October 14th 2020.

1.1. SITE LOCATION, TOPOGRAPHY AND LAND-USE

This section of the PS starts at Junction 23 (Kelvedon South Interchange) to the south-west of Kelvedon, extending to Junction 25 (Marks Tey), excluding any

areas already surveyed during the Phase 1 geophysical survey.

The PS lies within the county of Essex and passes through the local planning authority areas of Chelmsford, Braintree, and Maldon. The Great Eastern Main Line runs parallel with the A12 for the whole length of the PS.

This section of the PS covered by this report passes through predominantly open countryside comprising large open arable fields and Grade 2 (very good) agricultural land. Other than Kelvedon to the west there are no towns located on this section of the route. The PS starts north of the village of Inworth and passes the nearest villages of Stocks Green, Feering and Easthorpe located either side of the A12.

Close to the western end of the PS, south of Witham and Kelvedon, lies the Blackwater Valley. The Blackwater Valley has large areas of floodplain associated with the River Blackwater which flows close to the PS through Kelvedon and immediately west of the Feering village.

Further details of land use for each area are given in Table 1, below.

1.2. GEOLOGY AND SOILS

All the area considered for archaeological geophysical survey is underlain by London Clay, part of the Thames Group, mainly consisting of clay with some silts and sands. All the survey areas have a covering of superficial deposits with a significant majority of the PS covered by Diamicton of the Lowestoft Formation. The very western part of the PS

considered in this phase of work close to Kelvedon and Feering, has mixed superficial deposits of River Terrace Sand and Gravel and Head Clay, Silt Sand and Gravel deposits (NERC 2018). Full details for each area are given in Table 1, below.

Most of the soils covered by the geophysical survey area are classified in the Soilscape 9 Association, characterised as lime-rich loams and clays with impeded drainage. At the western end of the PS areas GP/29, 38, 47 and 48 have soils in the Soilscape 8 Association, characterised as slightly acid loamy and clayey soils with impeded drainage and GP/22 is characterised in the Soilscape 6 Association as freely draining slightly acid loamy soils (Cranfield University 2020).

2. ARCHAEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

Essex is a rich county, archaeologically, with evidence for human occupation dating back approximately 500,000 years and the area along the A12 has, in places, been extensively archaeologically investigated. The underlying geology of sands and gravels are recognised as favourable conditions for early settlement and the A12 formed a significant communications route within the area from at least the Roman period. There are therefore numerous archaeological remains recorded for the area within the Essex Historic Environment Record suggesting that there is a high potential for the presence of unknown archaeological remains throughout the PS.

The Cultural Heritage Desk Based Assessment (Jacobs 2018) shows that much of the Historic Landscape Type for this phase of the PS is recorded as post-1950 boundary loss. It also details the Palaeolithic potential of the PS as generally deemed to be low with one small area of moderate to very high potential identified at the western end in fields

adjacent the A12 located between Kelvedon and Inworth. Neighbouring the PS to the east at Marks Tey is an area deemed very high in Palaeolithic potential. Here important information about the environment and landscape during the Palaeolithic has been recovered from well preserved lake deposits.

A full archaeological and historical background for the study area and a gazetteer is presented in the Cultural Desk Based Assessment (Jacobs 2018). A summary of the archaeological and historical background for each geophysical survey area is included in Table 1, below.

3. AIMS, METHODOLOGY AND PRESENTATION

The general aim of the geophysical survey was to provide enough information to establish the presence/absence, character and extent of any archaeological remains within the PS. This will therefore enable an assessment to be made of the impact of the proposed development on any sub-surface archaeological remains, if present.

The specific archaeological objectives of the geophysical survey were:

- to gather enough information to inform the extent, condition, character and date (as far as circumstances permit) of any archaeological features and deposits within the PS;

- to obtain information that will contribute to an evaluation of the significance of the scheme upon cultural heritage assets; and

- to prepare a report summarising the results of the survey.

Table 1: Description of Archaeological Geophysical Survey Areas (modified from Jacobs, 2019, table 5.1)

Geophysical Survey Area	Approximate Central National Grid Reference	Approximate Extent of Geophysical Survey Area (ha)	Description (known heritage assets, topography and superficial geology)
GP/48	TL8754418974	0.2	A modern pumping station of low value is listed in this area. Superficial geology comprises Diamicton.
GP/29	T 8772419014	0.3	Nearby post-medieval findspots are deemed of negligible value. Superficial geology comprises Diamicton.
GP/38	TL8780819031	0.97	Nearby post-medieval findspots are deemed of negligible value. Superficial geology comprises Diamicton and River Terrace Sand and Gravel.
GP/47	TL8790019107	0.83	A modern pumping station of low value is listed in this area. Superficial geology comprises Diamicton and River Terrace Sand and Gravel.
GP/22	TL8768919622	6.29	A cropmark of a rectangular enclosure (48301 MEX1041346) is identified at the southern end of GP/22c. Cropmarks detailing a double-ditch trackway, ring ditch with internal pit feature (8806 MEX28267) are in located the same field as GP22/e. Post-medieval findspots are deemed of negligible value in GP/22d. A modern pumping station of low value is listed at the southern end of field GP/22a. Superficial geology comprises River Terrace Sand and Gravel and Head Clay, Silt Sand and Gravel deposits.
GP/31	TL8805219857	4.1	No known archaeological remains with the PS. Bordering the PS to the south are grounds of Grade II listed Prested Hall which dates to the medieval period. Fragmentary remains of a moat are deemed of moderate value and cropmarks of former garden features and field boundaries of low value. Superficial geology comprises Diamicton and Head Clay, Silt Sand and Gravel deposits.
GP/13	TL8893621114	76.45	Cropmarks of two ring ditches (8804 MEX28260) are recorded in GP/13f, one is recorded as a pond on OS Maps. No known archaeological remains are identified for areas GP/13a-e and i-p. The 1888 OS Map shows a barn no longer extant in the south east corner of GP/13k and a further small structure surrounded by water no longer present off Gypsy Lane in GP/13i. The 1888 OS Map also shows a former field boundary in GP/13n. Superficial geology comprises Diamicton.
GP/14	TL8943521831	4.51	Cropmarks of field and woodland boundaries (named as "Domsey Grove") (14249 MEX39040) appear on OS Map of 1888 and 1946 vertical photography in GP/14c. No known archaeological remains in GP/14a-b. Superficial geology comprises Diamicton.

GP/12	TL9021322137	1.38	No known archaeological remains in GP/12a. Immediately south lay two Grade II listed post-medieval buildings (1225564 and 1238923). Superficial geology comprises Diamicton.
GP/03	TL9120322770	44.85	No known archaeological remains are identified for all areas in GP/03. Adjacent to GP/03h is a Grade II listed medieval/post-medieval building, Doggets Hammer Farm (1266767). In the adjacent field between the A12 and GP/03h several Roman and Iron Age archaeological findspots deemed of negligible value are recorded. Outside the PS south of GP/03k are several heritage asset records for various aspects of the Grade II* listed Medieval Barn (1224577) belonging to Marks Tey Hall (11721 MEX34124). The 1888 OS Map shows former field boundaries in GP/03g-h. Superficial geology comprises Diamicton.

3.1. MAGNETOMETER SURVEY

Magnetic survey methods rely on the ability of a variety of instruments to measure very small magnetic fields associated with buried archaeological remains. A feature such as a ditch, pit or kiln can act like a small magnet, or series of magnets, that produce distortions (anomalies) in the earth's magnetic field. In mapping these slight variations, detailed plans of sites can be obtained as buried features often produce reasonably characteristic anomaly shapes and strengths (Gaffney & Gater 2003). Further information on soil magnetism and the interpretation of magnetic anomalies is provided in Appendix 1.

The survey was undertaken using four Bartington Grad601 sensors mounted at 1m intervals (1m traverse interval) onto a rigid carrying frame. The system was programmed to take readings at a frequency of 10Hz (allowing for a 10-15cm sample interval) on roaming traverses (swaths) 4m apart. These readings were stored on an external weatherproof laptop and later downloaded for processing and interpretation. The system was linked to a Trimble R8s Real Time Kinetic (RTK) differential Global Positioning System (dGPS) outputting in NMEA mode to ensure a high positional accuracy for each data point.

MLGrad601 and MultiGrad601 (Geomar Software Inc.) software was used to collect and export the data. Terrasurveyor V3.0.36.0 (DWConsulting) software was used to process and present the data.

3.2. REPORTING

A set of general site location plans with greyscale data and interpretations are shown in Illus 3–6 at a scale of 1:10,000. Fully processed (greyscale) data,

minimally processed data (XY trace plot) and an interpretative plot are presented at a scale of 1:2,500, and numbered by sector, Illus 7 to Illus 36 inclusive. A summary of the findings detected by the geophysical survey are presented in Table 2, below.

Technical information on the equipment used, data processing and magnetic survey methodology is given in Appendix 1. Appendix 2 details the survey location information and Appendix 3 describes the composition and location of the site archive. Data processing details are presented in Appendix 4. A copy of the OASIS entry (Online Access to the Index of Archaeological Investigations) is reproduced in Appendix 5.

The survey methodology, report and any recommendations comply with the Written Scheme of Investigation (Jacobs 2019), guidelines outlined by Europae Archaeologia Consilium (EAC 2016) and by the Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (CIfA 2014). All illustrations from Ordnance Survey (OS) mapping are reproduced with the permission of the controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office (© Crown copyright).

The illustrations in this report have been produced following analysis of the data in 'raw' and processed formats and over a range of different display levels. All illustrations are presented to display and interpret the data to best effect. The interpretations are based on the experience and knowledge of management and reporting staff.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Ground conditions were very good throughout the PS contributing to a high standard of data collection. A few areas were either not surveyed or were partly surveyed for a variety of reasons. These areas are

displayed in the illustrations and discussed in the summary (Table 2). The survey has detected a moderate level of background magnetic variation which is characterised by frequent, evenly dispersed, discrete low magnitude anomalies. This is likely due to the depth and composition of the topsoil and the superficial deposits from which they derive. Against this background a number of anomalies have been identified. A general classification of anomalies based on their response type is possible with the most common responses outlined below. These are discussed further as part of an area by area list below, Table 2.

4.1. FERROUS AND MODERN ANOMALIES

Ferrous anomalies, characterised as individual 'spikes', are typically caused by ferrous (magnetic) material, either on the ground surface or in the plough-soil. Little importance is normally given to such anomalies, unless there is any supporting evidence for an archaeological interpretation, as modern ferrous debris is common on most sites, often being introduced into the topsoil as a result of manuring or tipping/infilling. There is no obvious clustering to these ferrous anomalies in any of the survey areas which might indicate an archaeological origin. Far more probable is that the 'spike' responses are likely caused by the random distribution of ferrous debris in the upper soil horizons. Magnetic disturbance around the field edges is widespread across the PS and is due to ferrous material within, or adjacent to, the boundaries and is of no archaeological interest.

4.2. AGRICULTURAL ANOMALIES

Low magnitude parallel linear trend anomalies, aligned parallel with the surrounding field boundaries, are typical of modern ploughing. Several higher magnitude linear anomalies throughout the

PS form the characteristic patterns of modern land drains and have been interpreted accordingly with clear examples in parts of GP/13, GP/03 and GP/14c (Illus 13-15, 19-27 and 34-36).

4.3. GEOLOGICAL ANOMALIES

Low magnitude irregular anomalies, sub-linear and curvilinear, occur in many areas of the PS. Some of the broad, weakly magnetic anomalies are probably associated with palaeochannels and other fluvial events with examples in areas GP/13c (Illus 13-15), GP/13f (Illus 16-18) and GP/13m-n (Illus 25-27). Periglacial effects can also be seen across large parts of the eastern end of the PS most prominently in Sectors 9 and 10 (Illus 31-36) but also Sector 7 (Illus 25-27).

4.4. POSSIBLE ARCHAEOLOGICAL ANOMALIES

Eight high magnitude anomalies or clusters of anomalies (relative to the magnetic background) have been identified throughout the PS as having possible archaeological potential. Of high archaeological potential are a series of enclosures containing a ring ditch immediately south of the old Roman Road at area GP/13f (Illus 16-18), a discrete curvilinear enclosure of possible archaeological origin in GP/13a (Illus 13-15), small rectilinear enclosures on the edge of the PS in GP/13h and GP/13k (Illus 16-21) and curvilinear and possible pit-like anomalies in GP/22e, GP/03h and GP/03g (Illus 31-36). An isolated weakly magnetic linear anomaly in GP/22c may be of archaeological origin, though the small survey area provides little wider context to aid interpretation. A possible archaeological interpretation has been provided based on the nature of response and recorded cropmark in this location (Illus 7-9).

Table 2: Summary of findings by Geophysical Survey Area

Geophysical Survey Area and Sector No.	Summary of findings
GP/22a-c, 29, 38, 47, 48 Sector 1 Illus 7-9	<p>Strong Areas suitable for survey in GP/48a and GP/29, 47b were significantly reduced due to pig sheds and overgrown areas respectively and the areas which were surveyable have only detected modern ferrous interference, likely as a result of their proximity to the A12. Ferrous disturbances are also seen in GP22a/b and GP/38.</p> <p>An isolated linear anomaly (D1) in the southern part of GP/22c may relate to a cropmark of a rectangular enclosure. A magnetically weaker and broader trend anomaly in the north of GP/22c is likely of geological origin.</p>
GP/13a, 31a-b, 22d-e Sector 2 Illus 10-12	<p>Significant parts of GP/31 were overgrown and tree covered and unsuitable for survey. A very faint linear anomaly traversing GP/31b is a historic field boundary visible on the 1875 and 1881 OS Maps which is not recorded from 1897 onwards.</p> <p>Parts of GP/22d-e are covered by areas of ferrous disturbance adjacent to the A12 and other field boundaries. A modern service pipe crosses the northern part of GP/22d.</p> <p>A cluster of small, increased magnitude anomalies (P1) in the eastern part of GP/22e are distinct from the magnetic background and other isolated anomalies interpreted as geological in origin. An archaeological origin remains possible with cropmarks detailing a double-ditch trackway, ring ditch with internal pit feature located immediately to the north in the same field.</p>
GP/13a-e Sector 3 Illus 13-15	<p>In the south-east corner of GP/13a a weakly magnetic curvilinear anomaly containing a cluster of pit-like anomalies (D2) may be of archaeological origin. No previous archaeological remains are recorded for this area.</p> <p>A group of connected high magnitude linear anomalies close to the centre of GP/13a are interpreted as land drains. Further clear examples of land drains are present in GP/13c and e.</p> <p>Two broad, weakly magnetic anomalies in the northern part of GP/13b may well represent flooding incursions or palaeochannels. Further similar geological effects are more prominent in fields GP/13c and GP/13e with the addition of an area random high magnitude responses (HD1) likely corresponding to a geological Head deposit known in this area (NERC 2018).</p> <p>Field GP/13d was largely bird cover and unsuitable for survey but a short section of a historic field boundary visible on the 1888 OS Map has been detected.</p> <p>A weakly magnetic linear anomaly running approximately east-west across GP/13a is likely a trackway linking field entrances at the corners of the field.</p> <p>Ferrous disturbance is recorded along the length of the western boundary of GP/13a-b likely due to a buried service and alongside the A12 in fields GP/13c and GP/13e.</p>

<p>GP/13e-f Sector 4 Illus 16–18</p>	<p>A ring ditch (RD1) approximately 22.5m in diameter and likely associated enclosure ditches (E1 and E2) is present in the central part of GP/13f. This is possibly one of the two ring ditches recorded in the HER for this field. The more ephemeral weakly magnetic linear anomalies close by may also have an archaeological origin though linear anomaly (FB1) which bisects the ring ditch is known from early OS Maps.</p> <p>A concentrated cluster of high magnitude and some ferrous anomalies (IP1) are evident in the eastern part of GP/13f. These anomalies can be identified as a pond recorded on successive early OS Maps. The HER records a second ring ditch in this location as being marked by a pond on OS maps (Jacobs 2018), however there is no suggestion in the geophysics this anomaly has an archaeological origin and is an infilled pond fed by a short section of land drain visible as a strongly magnetic linear anomaly terminating at a former field boundary.</p> <p>In the south-east corner of GP/13f weakly magnetic linear anomalies forming a right angle (D3) may be of archaeological origin associated with a former building recorded on the 1st Edition OS Map in this location off Gypsy Lane. The structure is no longer recorded on OS Maps after 1975. Broad, weakly magnetic anomalies in the western and eastern parts of GP/13f (PC1) are of geological origin and may well represent flooding incursions or palaeochannels.</p> <p>Ferrous interference is largely confined to the field boundaries adjacent the A12 and pylon bases on the boundary of the PS.</p>
<p>GP/13h-k Sector 5 Illus 19–21</p>	<p>Ferrous and non-ferrous magnetic disturbance in GP/13i (DB1) marks the location of former building recorded on the 1st Edition OS Map off Gypsy Lane. Historic OS Mapping shows a water filled area adjacent this structure and the magnetic responses are likely from the infilling of ponds marked on the historic mapping.</p> <p>In GP/13i linear high magnitude anomalies are former field boundaries with lower magnitude anomalies likely the result of modern cultivation.</p> <p>Short linear anomalies surrounding isolated pit-like anomalies in the south-east corner of GP/13k (E3) likely mark a small enclosure possibly associated with a structure 'New Barn' first recorded on the 1888 OS Map.</p> <p>GP/13k contains a complex network of field drains some of which respect no longer extant field boundaries suggesting multiple phases of drainage.</p> <p>No suitable areas were available in GP/13h or GP/13g due to thick hedges and a quinoa crop was present in GP/13j.</p>
<p>GP/13k-l, 14a-b Sector 6 Illus 22–24</p>	<p>Findings are limited to high magnitude linear anomalies indicative of land drains and former field boundaries in GP/14a-b. More land drains are evident in GP/14c but also the boundary of 'Domsey Grove' (FB2) from the 1888 OS Map shows as a lower magnitude anomaly in the north-east corner of the PS.</p> <p>Ferrous disturbance is spread across the southern boundary of GP/13l likely reflecting tipping/infilling for a modern track and at field boundaries adjacent the A12.</p>
<p>GP/13l-n, 14c Sector 7 Illus 25–27</p>	<p>Isolated areas of ferrous disturbance in GP/13l likely reflect the fields current use as a dirt racing track with the anomalies representing areas of tipping/infilling. A modern service (SP1) extends into this field in the north-east corner.</p> <p>Findings in GP/13m are limited to a former field boundary, broad curvilinear anomalies geological in nature and ferrous interference alongside the A12.</p> <p>Area GP/13n contains a significant number of faint linear anomalies that form irregular patterns indicative of periglacial effects (PG1). Further geological anomalies in the form of broad, curvilinear responses (PC2) representing flooding events or palaeochannels are also present, possibly reflecting their location next to Domsey Brook.</p>

<p>GP/03a-b, 12a, 13p-o Sector 8 Illus 28–30</p>	<p>Findings in GP/12a are limited to a strongly magnetic land drain or service pipe.</p> <p>Linear anomalies running north-south in GP/03a (FB3 and FB4) represent former field boundaries and broader linear and curvilinear anomalies are likely geological in origin.</p> <p>A strongly magnetic service (SP2) is present in GP/03a and b and likely belongs to the same pipe. Ferrous interference is present in GP/03a on the western boundary and GP/03b adjacent to the A12 and farm buildings in the north-east corner.</p> <p>Parallel faint linear trends indicative of cultivation effects are recorded in GP/13p.</p>
<p>GP/03b-g,m Sector 9 Illus 31–33</p>	<p>A strongly magnetic and possibly discontinuous curving land drain is recorded in the eastern part of GP/03b.</p> <p>A variety of responses are detected in GP/03e. Curvilinear anomaly (TR1) and an associated isolated area of ferrous response (IP2) can be tied to a trackway and infilled pond from the 1st Edition OS Map. Linear and curvilinear anomalies with a high magnitude response associated with the infilled pond are likely land drains. Close to the infilled pond and adjacent to the field boundary is a short curvilinear anomaly (D4) which may be of geological/natural or archaeological origin. Parallel weakly magnetic trends orientated parallel to the current field boundaries are interpreted as cultivation effects.</p> <p>In the western part of GP/03h a small cluster of anomalies with elevated magnetic readings against the background (P2) could be described as pit-like and may be of archaeological potential with one stronger anomaly suggestive of burning (B1). With little context and the lack of more distinctive anomalies it is possible these anomalies may be natural/geological in origin. The nearest recorded heritage assets are a series of Roman and Iron Age archaeological findspots c 250m west adjacent the A12 deemed of negligible value.</p> <p>In the south-west corner of GP/03g a cluster of slightly enhanced magnetic anomalies (P3) against the background maybe be of archaeological or natural/geological origin. With no previously known recorded assets or other anomalies within the data to provide context interpretation of these anomalies remains difficult.</p> <p>Ferrous interference from a large pylon affects much of the data from GP/03f. Slightly enhanced curvilinear anomalies may be geological in origin.</p>
<p>GP/03g-l Sector 10 Illus 34–36</p>	<p>The two adjacent large fields, GP/03g and GP/03h both have faint polygonal anomalies typical of periglacial effects. Amongst these anomalies in GP/03h are a cluster of curvilinear anomalies of increased magnitude (E4) with possible associated pit-like anomalies. Difficult to differentiate from the surrounding periglacial effects these anomalies may be of archaeological origin. These anomalies provide similar responses to others seen in this part of GP/03h (Illus 31-33) and may all be associated. A further cluster of enhanced anomalies (E5) is evident to the north-west of E4, these anomalies are thought to be archaeological in origin, possibly locating a small enclosure of a field system</p> <p>Regular linear anomalies in GP/03h can be traced to historic field boundaries visible on the 1887 OS Map which would have once divided the field into four irregular shaped parcels.</p> <p>Multiple isolated and interconnected linear anomalies typical of land drains are present in in fields GP/03g-l. Other faint parallel linear anomalies characteristic of modern agricultural ploughing are visible in GP/03h.</p> <p>Ferrous disturbances are concentrated along the side of the A12 and around the northern boundaries with a strongly magnetic modern service bisecting field GP/03k. No anomalies which could be associated with the Grade II* Listed medieval barn south of GP/03k have been detected.</p>

5. CONCLUSION

The survey has successfully evaluated the geophysical survey areas within the Proposed Scheme except for small areas where ground conditions were unsuitable for survey. Eight anomalies or clusters of anomalies with either likely or possible archaeological potential have been identified. Of high archaeological potential are a series of enclosures containing a ring ditch immediately south of the old Roman Road at area GP/13f, a discrete curvilinear enclosure of possible archaeological origin in GP/13a, small rectilinear enclosures on the edge of the Proposed Scheme in GP/13k and GP/13h and curvilinear and possible pit-like anomalies in GP/22e, GP/03h and GP/03g. Other groups of linear and discrete anomalies, which might have an archaeological origin, have been identified in other areas as indicated in the results.





Several dipolar anomalies have been detected which are consistent with modern activity such as buried service pipes, land drains, trackways, backfilled pits and fences. Linear anomalies consistent with typical responses from former field boundaries, and in many cases corresponding to boundaries marked on old OS maps, have also been detected particularly in larger fields in the eastern half of the scheme. Irregularly shaped linear and sub-linear low magnitude anomalies are visible in the eastern half of the PS and represent periglacial effects. Similarly weak but broader curvilinear anomalies present across the PS denote palaeochannels and other fluvial events. Generally the geological background has proved receptive to magnetic prospection with the detection of a broad range of anomalies both natural and anthropogenic in origin.

The results of the geophysical survey support the findings detailed in the Cultural Desk Based Assessment (Jacobs 2018) for this phase of the PS. This highlighted a high potential for the presence of unknown buried archaeological remains despite few heritage assets being recorded within the boundaries of the PS. The majority of the scheme contains no anomalies of any archaeological potential and therefore, on the basis of the geophysical survey, the survey area is assessed as of low to moderate archaeological potential, and locally high in the vicinity of the clearly defined areas of archaeological activity.

6. REFERENCES

- Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (CIfA) 2014 Standard and guidance for archaeological geophysical survey (Reading) https://www.archaeologists.net/sites/default/files/CIfAS%26GGeophysics_3.pdf accessed 5 November 2020
- Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) 2018 British Geological Survey <http://www.bgs.ac.uk/> accessed 5 November 2020
- Europae Archaeologia Consilium (EAC) 2016 EAC Guidelines for the Use of Geophysics in Archaeology: Question to Ask and Points to Consider (Namur, Belgium) <https://www.europae-archaeologiae-consilium.org/eac-guidelines> accessed 5 November 2020
- Gaffney, C & Gater, J 2003 *Revealing the Buried Past: Geophysics for Archaeologists* Stroud
- Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government MHCLG) 2019 National Planning Policy Framework https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/81017/NPPF_Feb_2019_revised.pdf accessed 5 November 2020
- Jacobs, 2018 A12 Chelmsford to A120 Scheme Cultural Heritage Desk Based Assessment
- Jacobs, 2019 A12 Chelmsford to A120 Invitation to tender volume 2: Archaeological geophysical survey written scheme of investigation
- Jacobs, 2020 A12 Chelmsford to A120 Archaeological Geophysical Survey Specification Phase 2 Early Works Order


A12 Chelmsford to A120
Junctions 23 to 25
Essex



geophysical survey area


**HEADLAND
ARCHAEOLOGY**

Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North
Units 23–25 & 15 | Acorn Business Centre | Balme Road | Cleckheaton BD19 4EZ
t 0113 387 6430
e yorkshireandnorth@headlandarchaeology.com
w www.headlandarchaeology.com



ILLUS 2 Overview of greyscale magnetometer data


geophysical survey area




PROJECT ATCE20
A12 Chelmsford to A120
Junctions 23 to 25
Essex
CLIENT Costain

HEADLAND
ARCHAEOLOGY

Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North
Units 23-25 | Acorn Business Centre | Balme Road
Cleckheaton | BD19 4EZ
t0113 387 6430
w www.headlandarchaeology.com





geophysical survey area

TYPE OF ANOMALY	INTERPRETATION
dipolar isolated	ferrous material
magnetic disturbance	ferrous material
dipolar linear	service pipe
magnetic disturbance	former building
linear trend	agricultural
linear trend	field drain
linear	former field boundary
linear	former field boundary?
linear trend	geological variation
magnetic enhancement	geology
magnetic enhancement	archaeology?
magnetic enhancement	archaeology

0 200m
1:10,000 @ A3


PROJECT ATCE20
A12 Chelmsford to A120
Junctions 23 to 25
Essex

CLIENT Costain


HEADLAND
ARCHAEOLOGY

Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North
Units 23-25 | Acorn Business Centre | Balme Road
Cleckheaton | BD19 4EZ
t0113 387 6430
w www.headlandarchaeology.com

ILLUS 4 Overall interpretation of magnetometer data; West



geophysical survey area




PROJECT ATCE20
A12 Chelmsford to A120
Junctions 23 to 25
Essex
CLIENT Costain


**HEADLAND
ARCHAEOLOGY**

Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North
Units 23-25 | Acorn Business Centre | Balme Road
Cleckheaton | BD19 4EZ
t0113 387 6430
w www.headlandarchaeology.com

ILLUS 5 Overall greyscale plot of magnetometer data; East



ILLUS 6 Overall interpretation plot of magnetometer data; East





PROJECT ATCE20
A12 Chelmsford to A120
Junctions 23 to 25
Essex
Costain

CLIENT

**HEADLAND
ARCHAEOLOGY**

Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North
Units 23-25 | Acorn Business Centre | Balme Road
Cleckheaton | BD19 4EZ
t 0113 387 6430
w www.headlandarchaeology.com




PROJECT ATCE20
A12 Chelmsford to A120
Junctions 23 to 25
Essex
Costain

CLIENT

**HEADLAND
ARCHAEOLOGY**

Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North
Units 23-25 | Acorn Business Centre | Balme Road
Cleckheaton | BD19 4EZ
t 0113 387 6430
w www.headlandarchaeology.com

ILLUS 8 XY trace plot of minimally processed magnetometer data; Sector 1





TYPE OF ANOMALY	INTERPRETATION	TYPE OF ANOMALY	INTERPRETATION	ABBREVIATIONS	PROJECT	CLIENT
• dipolar isolated	ferrous material	— linear trend	geological variation	D ditch	ATCE20	
• magnetic disturbance	ferrous material	⊗ magnetic enhancement	archaeology?		A12 Chelmsford to A120	
— dipolar linear	service pipe				Junctions 23 to 25	
— linear trend	ridge and furrow				Essex	
— linear trend	agricultural				Costain	
— linear	former field boundary?					

0 50m
1:2,500 @ A3

HEADLAND
ARCHAEOLOGY

Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North
Units 23-25 | Acorn Business Centre | Balme Road
Cleckheaton | BD19 4EZ
t 0113 387 6430
w www.headlandarchaeology.com

ILLUS 9 Interpretation of magnetometer data; Sector 1





PROJECT ATCE20
A12 Chelmsford to A120
Junctions 23 to 25
Essex
Costain

CLIENT

HEADLAND
ARCHAEOLOGY

Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North
Units 23-25 | Acorn Business Centre | Balme Road
Cleckheaton | BD19 4EZ
t 0113 387 6430
w www.headlandarchaeology.com




PROJECT ATCE20
A12 Chelmsford to A120
Junctions 23 to 25
Essex
Costain

CLIENT

**HEADLAND
ARCHAEOLOGY**

Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North
Units 23-25 | Acorn Business Centre | Balme Road
Cleckheaton | BD19 4EZ
t 0113 387 6430
w www.headlandarchaeology.com





TYPE OF ANOMALY ● dipolar isolated ● magnetic disturbance — dipolar linear — linear trend — linear trend — linear	INTERPRETATION ferrous material ferrous material service pipe agricultural field drain former field boundary?	TYPE OF ANOMALY ● magnetic enhancement ● magnetic enhancement	INTERPRETATION geology archaeology?	ABBREVIATIONS P pit	PROJECT ATCE20 A12 Chelmsford to A120 Junctions 23 to 25 Essex Costain	CLIENT ATCE20 A12 Chelmsford to A120 Junctions 23 to 25 Essex Costain
--	--	--	--	-------------------------------	--	---

0 50m
1:2,500 @ A3

HEADLAND ARCHAEOLOGY
Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North
Units 23-25 | Acorn Business Centre | Balme Road
Cleckheaton | BD19 4EZ
t 0113 387 6430
w www.headlandarchaeology.com

ILLUS 12 Interpretation of magnetometer data; Sector 2





PROJECT ATCE20
A12 Chelmsford to A120
Junctions 23 to 25
Essex
Costain

CLIENT

**HEADLAND
ARCHAEOLOGY**

Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North
Units 23-25 | Acorn Business Centre | Balme Road
Cleckheaton | BD19 4EZ
t 0113 387 6430
w www.headlandarchaeology.com




PROJECT ATCE20
A12 Chelmsford to A120
Junctions 23 to 25
Essex
Costain

CLIENT

**HEADLAND
ARCHAEOLOGY**

Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North
Units 23-25 | Acorn Business Centre | Balme Road
Cleckheaton | BD19 4EZ
t 0113 387 6430
w www.headlandarchaeology.com

ILLUS 14 XY trace plot of minimally processed magnetometer data; Sector 3



TYPE OF ANOMALY	INTERPRETATION
● dipolar isolated	ferrous material
● magnetic disturbance	ferrous material
----- linear trend	field drain
----- linear	former field boundary
----- linear	former field boundary?
----- linear trend	geological variation

TYPE OF ANOMALY	INTERPRETATION
● magnetic enhancement	geology
● magnetic enhancement	archaeology?

ABBREVIATIONS	
D	ditch
HD	head deposit

PROJECT ATCE20
A12 Chelmsford to A120
Junctions 23 to 25
Essex
Costain




CLIENT

0 50m
1:2,500 @ A3

HEADLAND
ARCHAEOLOGY

Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North
Units 23-25 | Acorn Business Centre | Balme Road
Cleckheaton | BD19 4EZ
t 0113 387 6430
w www.headlandarchaeology.com

ILLUS 15 Interpretation of magnetometer data; Sector 3



PROJECT ATCE20
A12 Chelmsford to A120
Junctions 23 to 25
Essex
CLIENT Costain





Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North
Units 23-25 | Acorn Business Centre | Balme Road
Cleckheaton | BD19 4EZ
t0113 387 6430
w www.headlandarchaeology.com

221000

588250

588750



PROJECT ATCE20
A12 Chelmsford to A120
Junctions 23 to 25
Essex
CLIENT Costain




Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North
Units 23-25 | Acorn Business Centre | Balme Road
Cleckheaton | BD19 4EZ
t0113 387 6430
w www.headlandarchaeology.com

221000

588250

588750

ILLUS 17 XY trace plot of minimally processed magnetometer data; Sector 4



TYPE OF ANOMALY		INTERPRETATION
●	dipolar isolated	ferrous material
●	magnetic disturbance	ferrous material
—	dipolar linear	service pipe
—	linear trend	agricultural
—	linear trend	field drain
—	linear	former field boundary
—	linear trend	geological variation
—	magnetic enhancement	geology
—	magnetic enhancement	archaeology?
—	magnetic enhancement	archaeology

ABBREVIATIONS	
D	ditch
E	enclosure
FB	former boundary
IP	infilled pond
PC	palaeochannel
RD	ring-ditch

0 1:2,500 @ A3 50m

PROJECT ATCE20
A12 Chelmsford to A120
Junctions 23 to 25
Essex

CLIENT Costain

HEADLAND
ARCHAEOLOGY

Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North
Units 23-25 | Acorn Business Centre | Balme Road
Cleckheaton | BD19 4EZ
t0113 387 6430
w www.headlandarchaeology.com

ILLUS 18 Interpretation of magnetometer data; Sector 4




-1.0 0 1.0 2.0
nT

0 50m
1:2,500 @ A3

PROJECT ATCE20
A12 Chelmsford to A120
Junctions 23 to 25
Essex
CLIENT Costain

HEADLAND
ARCHAEOLOGY


Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North
Units 23-25 | Acorn Business Centre | Balme Road
Cleckheaton | BD19 4EZ
t0113 387 6430
w www.headlandarchaeology.com



PROJECT ATCE20
A12 Chelmsford to A120
Junctions 23 to 25
Essex
CLIENT Costain


HEADLAND
ARCHAEOLOGY

Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North
Units 23-25 | Acorn Business Centre | Balme Road
Cleckheaton | BD19 4EZ
t0113 387 6430
w www.headlandarchaeology.com



TYPE OF ANOMALY		INTERPRETATION
●	dipolar isolated	ferrous material
●	magnetic disturbance	ferrous material
■	magnetic disturbance	former building
---	linear trend	field drain
---	linear	former field boundary
■	magnetic enhancement	geology
■	magnetic enhancement	archaeology?

ABBREVIATIONS	
DB	demolished building
E	enclosure





PROJECT ATCE20
A12 Chelmsford to A120
Junctions 23 to 25
Essex

CLIENT Costain

HEADLAND
ARCHAEOLOGY

Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North
Units 23-25 | Acorn Business Centre | Balme Road
Cleckheaton | BD19 4EZ
t0113 387 6430
w www.headlandarchaeology.com




PROJECT ATCE20
A12 Chelmsford to A120
Junctions 23 to 25
Essex
Costain


CLIENT

HEADLAND
ARCHAEOLOGY

Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North
Units 23-25 | Acorn Business Centre | Balme Road
Cleckheaton | BD19 4EZ
t 0113 387 6430
w www.headlandarchaeology.com



589000




PROJECT ATCE20
A12 Chelmsford to A120
Junctions 23 to 25
Essex
Costain

CLIENT

HEADLAND
ARCHAEOLOGY

Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North
Units 23-25 | Acorn Business Centre | Balme Road
Cleckheaton | BD19 4EZ
t 0113 387 6430
w www.headlandarchaeology.com





TYPE OF ANOMALY	INTERPRETATION	ABBREVIATIONS	PROJECT	HEADLAND ARCHAEOLOGY Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North Units 23-25 Acorn Business Centre Balme Road Cleckheaton BD19 4EZ t 0113 387 6430 w www.headlandarchaeology.com
● dipolar isolated	ferrous material	FB field boundary	ATCE20	
■ magnetic disturbance	ferrous material		A12 Chelmsford to A120	
--- linear trend	field drain		Junctions 23 to 25	
— linear	former field boundary		Essex	
			Costain	

0 50m

1:2,500 @ A3



ILLUS 24 Interpretation of magnetometer data; Sector 6



PROJECT ATCE20
A12 Chelmsford to A120
Junctions 23 to 25
Essex
CLIENT Costain




Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North
Units 23-25 | Acorn Business Centre | Balme Road
Cleckheaton | BD19 4EZ
t0113 387 6430
w www.headlandarchaeology.com



PROJECT ATCE20
A12 Chelmsford to A120
Junctions 23 to 25
Essex
CLIENT Costain


HEADLAND
ARCHAEOLOGY
Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North
Units 23-25 | Acorn Business Centre | Balme Road
Cleckheaton | BD19 4EZ
t0113 387 6430
w www.headlandarchaeology.com

ILLUS 26 XY trace plot of minimally processed magnetometer data; Sector 7



TYPE OF ANOMALY	INTERPRETATION
● dipolar isolated	ferrous material
● magnetic disturbance	ferrous material
— dipolar linear	service pipe
- - - linear trend	field drain
— linear	former field boundary
- - - linear	former field boundary?
- - - linear trend	geological variation
⊕ magnetic enhancement	geology

ABBREVIATIONS	
PC	palaeochannel
PG	periglacial
SP	service pipe





PROJECT ATCE20
A12 Chelmsford to A120
Junctions 23 to 25
Essex

CLIENT Costain



Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North
Units 23-25 | Acorn Business Centre | Balme Road
Cleckheaton | BD19 4EZ
t0113 387 6430
w www.headlandarchaeology.com



ILLUS 27 Interpretation of magnetometer data; Sector 7



PROJECT ATCE20
A12 Chelmsford to A120
Junctions 23 to 25
Essex
CLIENT Costain



Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North
Units 23-25 | Acorn Business Centre | Balme Road
Cleckheaton | BD19 4EZ
t0113 387 6430
w www.headlandarchaeology.com




PROJECT ATCE20
A12 Chelmsford to A120
Junctions 23 to 25
Essex
CLIENT Costain




Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North
Units 23-25 | Acorn Business Centre | Balme Road
Cleckheaton | BD19 4EZ
t0113 387 6430
w www.headlandarchaeology.com

ILLUS 29 XY trace plot of minimally processed magnetometer data; Sector 8





ILLUS 31 Processed greyscale magnetometer data; Sector 9



PROJECT ATCE20
A12 Chelmsford to A120
Junctions 23 to 25
Essex
CLIENT Costain

HEADLAND
ARCHAEOLOGY

Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North
Units 23-25 | Acorn Business Centre | Balme Road
Cleckheaton | BD19 4EZ
t0113 387 6430
w www.headlandarchaeology.com




PROJECT ATCE20
A12 Chelmsford to A120
Junctions 23 to 25
Essex
CLIENT Costain




Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North
Units 23-25 | Acorn Business Centre | Balme Road
Cleckheaton | BD19 4EZ
t0113 387 6430
w www.headlandarchaeology.com

ILLUS 32 XY trace plot of minimally processed magnetometer data; Sector 9



TYPE OF ANOMALY		INTERPRETATION
●	dipolar isolated	ferrous material
●	magnetic disturbance	ferrous material
—	dipolar linear	service pipe
—	null value	overhead cables
—	magnetic xxxxxxxx	quarrying
—	magnetic disturbance	former building
—	magnetic enhancement	LIRM
—	linear trend	ridge and furrow
—	linear trend	agricultural
—	linear trend	field drain
—	linear	former field boundary
—	linear	former field boundary?
—	linear trend	geological variation
—	magnetic enhancement	geology
—	linear trend	archaeology?
—	magnetic enhancement	archaeology?
—	magnetic enhancement	kiln/burning
—	magnetic enhancement	archaeology


ABBREVIATIONS	
B	burning
E	enclosure
IP	infilled pond
P	pit
TR	track



PROJECT ATCE20
A12 Chelmsford to A120
Junctions 23 to 25
Essex
CLIENT Costain



Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North
Units 23-25 | Acorn Business Centre | Balme Road
Cleckheaton | BD19 4EZ
t0113 387 6430
w www.headlandarchaeology.com



PROJECT ATCE20
A12 Chelmsford to A120
Junctions 23 to 25
Essex
Costain

CLIENT

**HEADLAND
ARCHAEOLOGY**


Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North
Units 23-25 | Acorn Business Centre | Balme Road
Cleckheaton | BD19 4EZ
t 0113 387 6430
w www.headlandarchaeology.com



223500

223000


591500



PROJECT ATCE20
A12 Chelmsford to A120
Junctions 23 to 25
Essex
CLIENT Costain



Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North
Units 23-25 | Acorn Business Centre | Balme Road
Cleckheaton | BD19 4EZ
t 0113 387 6430
w www.headlandarchaeology.com



TYPE OF ANOMALY	INTERPRETATION	TYPE OF ANOMALY	INTERPRETATION
● dipolar isolated	ferrous material	— linear trend	geological variation
● magnetic disturbance	ferrous material	— magnetic enhancement	geology
— dipolar linear	service pipe	— magnetic enhancement	archaeology?
— linear trend	agricultural		
— linear trend	field drain		
— linear	former field boundary		

ABBREVIATIONS
E enclosure

PROJECT ATCE20
A12 Chelmsford to A120
Junctions 23 to 25
Essex
Costain

CLIENT

0 50m
1:2,500 @ A3

HEADLAND
ARCHAEOLOGY

Headland Archaeology Yorkshire & North
Units 23-25 | Acorn Business Centre | Balme Road
Cleckheaton | BD19 4EZ
t 0113 387 6430
w www.headlandarchaeology.com

ILLUS 36 Interpretation of magnetometer data; Sector 10

7. APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1 MAGNETOMETER SURVEY

Magnetic susceptibility and soil magnetism

Iron makes up about 6% of the earth's crust and is mostly present in soils and rocks as minerals such as maghaemite and haematite. These minerals have a weak, measurable magnetic property termed magnetic susceptibility. Human activities can redistribute these minerals and change (enhance) others into more magnetic forms so that by measuring the magnetic susceptibility of the topsoil, areas where human occupation or settlement has occurred can be identified by virtue of the attendant increase (enhancement) in magnetic susceptibility. If the enhanced material subsequently comes to fill features, such as ditches or pits, localised isolated and linear magnetic anomalies can result whose presence can be detected by a magnetometer (fluxgate gradiometer).

In general, it is the contrast between the magnetic susceptibility of deposits filling cut features, such as ditches or pits, and the magnetic susceptibility of topsoils, subsoils and rocks into which these features have been cut, which causes the most recognisable responses. This is primarily because there is a tendency for magnetic ferrous compounds to become concentrated in the topsoil, thereby making it more magnetic than the subsoil or the bedrock. Linear features cut into the subsoil or geology, such as ditches, that have been silted up or have been backfilled with topsoil will therefore usually produce a positive magnetic response relative to the background soil levels. Discrete feature, such as pits, can also be detected.

The magnetic susceptibility of a soil can also be enhanced by the application of heat. This effect can lead to the detection of features such as hearths, kilns or areas of burning.

Types of magnetic anomaly

In the majority of instances anomalies are termed 'positive'. This means that they have a positive magnetic value relative to the magnetic background on any given site. However some features can manifest themselves as 'negative' anomalies that, conversely, means that the response is negative relative to the mean magnetic background.

Where it is not possible to give a probable cause of an observed anomaly a '?' is appended.

It should be noted that anomalies interpreted as modern in origin might be caused by features that are present in the topsoil or upper layers of the subsoil. Removal of soil to an archaeological or natural layer can therefore remove the feature causing the anomaly.

The types of response mentioned above can be divided into five main categories that are used in the graphical interpretation of the magnetic data:

Isolated dipolar anomalies (iron spikes)

These responses are typically caused by ferrous material either on the surface or in the topsoil. They cause a rapid variation in the magnetic response giving a characteristic 'spiky' trace. Although ferrous archaeological artefacts could produce this type of response, unless there is supporting evidence for an archaeological interpretation, little emphasis is normally given to such anomalies, as modern ferrous objects are common on rural sites, often being present as a consequence of manuring.

Areas of magnetic disturbance

These responses can have several causes often being associated with burnt material, such as slag waste or brick rubble or other strongly magnetised/fired material. Ferrous structures such as pylons, mesh or barbed wire fencing and buried pipes can also cause the same disturbed response. A modern origin is usually assumed unless there is other supporting information.

Lightning-induced remnant magnetisation (LIRM)

LIRM anomalies are thought to be caused in the near surface soil horizons by the flow of an electrical current associated with lightning strikes. These observed anomalies have a strong bipolar signal which decreases with distance from the spike point and often appear as linear or radial in shape.

Linear trend

This is usually a weak or broad linear anomaly of unknown cause or date. These anomalies are often caused by agricultural activity, either ploughing or land drains being a common cause.

Areas of magnetic enhancement/positive isolated anomalies

Areas of enhanced response are characterised by a general increase in the magnetic background over a localised area whilst discrete anomalies are manifest

by an increased response (sometimes only visible on an XY trace plot) on two or three successive traverses. In neither instance is there the intense dipolar response characteristic exhibited by an area of magnetic disturbance or of an 'iron spike' anomaly (see above). These anomalies can be caused by infilled discrete archaeological features such as pits or post-holes or by kilns. They can also be caused by pedological variations or by natural infilled features on certain geologies. Ferrous material in the subsoil can also give a similar response. It can often therefore be very difficult to establish an anthropogenic origin without intrusive investigation or other supporting information.

Linear and curvilinear anomalies

Such anomalies have a variety of origins. They may be caused by agricultural practice (recent ploughing trends, earlier ridge and furrow regimes or land drains), natural geomorphological features such as palaeochannels or by infilled archaeological ditches.

APPENDIX 2 SURVEY LOCATION INFORMATION

An initial survey base station was established using a Trimble VRS differential Global Positioning System (dGPS). The magnetometer data was georeferenced using a Trimble RTK differential Global Positioning System (Trimble R8s model).

Temporary sight markers were laid out using a Trimble VRS differential Global Positioning System (Trimble R8s model) to guide the operator and ensure full coverage. The accuracy of this dGPS equipment is better than 0.01m.

The survey data were then super-imposed onto a base map provided by the client to produce the displayed block locations. However, it should be noted that Ordnance Survey positional accuracy for digital map data has an error of 0.5m for urban and floodplain areas, 1.0m for rural areas and 2.5m for mountain and moorland areas. This potential error must be considered if coordinates are measured off hard copies of the mapping rather than using the digital coordinates.

Headland Archaeology cannot accept responsibility for errors of fact or opinion resulting from data supplied by a third party.

APPENDIX 3 GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY ARCHIVE

The geophysical archive comprises an archive disk containing the raw data in XYZ format, a raster image of each greyscale plot with associated world file, and a PDF of the report.

The project will be archived in-house in accordance with recent good practice guidelines (http://guides.archaeologydataservice.ac.uk/g2gp/Geophysics_3). The data will be stored in an indexed archive and migrated to new formats when necessary.

APPENDIX 4 DATA PROCESSING

The gradiometer data has been presented in this report in processed greyscale and minimally processed XY trace plot format.

Data collected using RTK GPS-based methods cannot be produced without minimal processing of the data. The minimally processed data has been interpolated to project the data onto a regular grid and de-striped to correct for slight variations in instrument calibration drift and any other artificial data.

A high pass filter has been applied to the greyscale plots to remove low frequency anomalies (relating to survey tracks and modern agricultural features) in order to maximise the clarity and interpretability of the archaeological anomalies.

The data has also been clipped to remove extreme values and to improve data contrast.

APPENDIX 5 OASIS