

August 2023

# London Luton Airport Expansion

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR020001

Volume 8 Additional Submissions (Examination)

## **8.26 Errata Report**

Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010

Application Document Ref: TR020001/APP/8.26

**The Planning Act 2008**

**The Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Regulations 2010**

**London Luton Airport Expansion Development Consent  
Order 202x**

---

**8.26 Errata Report**

---

<b>Deadline:</b>	Deadline 1
<b>Planning Inspectorate Scheme Reference:</b>	TR020001
<b>Document Reference:</b>	TR020001/APP/8.26
<b>Author:</b>	Luton Rising

<b>Version</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Status of Version</b>
Issue 1	August 2023	Additional Submission – Deadline 1

# Contents

---

	Page
<b>1      Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1    Purpose of this document	1
1.2    Structure of this document	1

**Tables**

Table 1.1: Errata Identified in the DCO application

# **1 INTRODUCTION**

## **1.1 Purpose of this document**

- 1.1.1 This document sets out the Errata that have been identified to date within the Development Consent Order (DCO) application for the London Luton Airport Expansion ('the Proposed Development').
- 1.1.2 The DCO application was submitted by Luton Rising (a trading name of London Luton Airport Limited) ('the Applicant') on 27 February 2023 and was accepted for examination on 27 March 2023.
- 1.1.3 Errata are defined as minor errors within the DCO application documents which do not impact on the assessment or conclusions of any of the documents submitted to date. This Errata Report captures matters that have arisen from the Relevant Representations and additional Errata identified by Luton Rising at the time of Deadline 1 on 22 August 2023.

## **1.2 Structure of this document**

- 1.2.1 Table 1-1 lists the Errata that have been identified at Deadline 1. A strikethrough has been used to show text which should be replaced, and the amended text is shown in red.
- 1.2.2 As the Errata identified are minor, the application documents they relate to have not been reissued however, they should be referenced against the changes contained within this Errata document.
- 1.2.3 If an updated application document is required to be submitted in due course for reasons other than minor Errata, any Errata will be incorporated in the revised document. A note will be made of this in Table 1-1 and the row shaded blue.
- 1.2.4 Should further Errata be identified during the Examination, this document will be updated, and a revised version submitted.

Table 1.1: Errata identified in the DCO Application

Application Document Reference	Section and Paragraph Reference	Errata	Amendment / Replacement Text
APP-219 7.08 Green Controlled Growth Framework Appendix A.	A4.13 Document Management Paragraph 13.2, page 19.	A typological error in the reference number.	Paragraph A4.13.2 should refer to clauses A4.13.3 to A54.13.7 (not 23.3 to 23.7), see below:  Level 2 and Mitigation Plan shall be publicly available on request unless clauses <del>23.3 to 23.7</del> <b>13.3 to 13.7</b> apply.
AS-082 5.02 Environmental Statement Appendix 4.1 Construction Method Statement and Programme Report.	2.5 Assessment Phase 2b (2.5.1, f. Landslide, vi.), page 8.	A typological error.	In section 2.5 Assessment Phase 2b, f. Landslide – a “Waste and Recycling Centre” is listed. Section to be removed as the separate recycling centre has been removed from scheme.  <del>vi. Waste &amp; recycling centre.</del>
AS-045 5.03 Environmental Statement Chapter 20 Water Resources	Figure 20.1, page 3	Figure error	Study area missing from Figure 20.1. Included in legend however missing from actual Figure.
AS-078 5.02 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 Health and Community	Table 13.6, page 27.	A typological error (deletion of row in table).	Table 13.6 Deletion of row below the heading ‘Wider Area’ (see below):  <del>‘Areas within which there are likely to be environmental impacts (e.g noise and visual impacts of the airport; construction and surface access traffic routes)’.</del>  Environmental impacts from the construction and operation of the airport are not relevant for the wider study area.
AS-078 5.02 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 Health and Community	Paragraph 13.9.3, page 64.	A typological error in paragraph.	There is a significant health effect <del>during all assessment phases</del> relating to the health determinant of ‘Perception and uncertainty’.
AS-045	Figure 20.1	Figure error	The 1km study area listed in legend as ‘Main Application Site 1k Buffer’ is not shown on map. The title of Figure 20.1 is Risk of Flooding from River and Sea

Application Document Reference	Section and Paragraph Reference	Errata	Amendment / Replacement Text
5.03 Environmental Statement Figures 20.1-20.7			(EA dataset), which is shown in the figure. Therefore, the 'Main Application Site 1k Buffer' in the legend can be ignored, or the reader can see the study area on Figure 20.2 if required. No amendment is proposed.
AS-077 5.01 Environmental Statement Chapter 10	Table 10.6, page 20	Typological error	Consultation meeting events with Historic England to align with the SoCG should read:  'Response to 2022 Statutory Consultation dated <del>04 April</del> 02 April 2022'
AS-077 5.01 Environmental Statement Chapter 10	Table 10.6	Event missing from the table	Consultation meeting events with Historic England described in Table 10.6 should include a further meeting that took place on 06/12/22 to align with the SoCG.
AS-125 7.04 Need Case	Section 6.6 Parameters for environmental assessment, surface access planning and capacity assessment, Paragraph 6.6.13, page 127	Typological error within paragraph	Paragraph 6.6.13 refers in error to Figure 6.8, it should read Figure 5.8.  'In addition to this upward shift in aircraft capacity, the main airlines operating at the airport have also been focusing on increasing the proportion of seats sold on each flight (the load factor) in recent years. These carriers historically targeted selling around 80-85% of all available seats, but recently have actively sought to increase this over 90%. This is illustrated in <del>Figure 6.8</del> Figure 5.8, illustrating the upward trend'.
APP-012 3.03 Funding Statement	Section 4.3 Proposed delivery approach for assessment Phases 2a and 2b, Paragraph 4.3.3, page 8	Typological error within paragraph	Paragraph 4.3.3 refers in error to paragraph 5.3.1, it should read 4.3.1.  'Some elements of assessment Phase 2, such as the fuel farm and EV charging infrastructure, are likely to be funded by third party providers. However, for the purposes of this funding statement, it is assumed for now that all costs are funded as set out in <del>paragraph 5.3.1</del> paragraph 4.3.1 and these costs are included in the Estimated Total Project Cost.
APP-136 5.02 Environmental Statement - Appendix 20.3 Hydrogeological	Figure 5 Groundwater Monitoring Locations, page 41	Typological error in legend	Legend states, in error, Groundwater Locations, should read Groundwater Monitoring Locations  'Groundwater <del>Monitoring</del> Locations'

Application Document Reference	Section and Paragraph Reference	Errata	Amendment / Replacement Text
Characterisation Report			NB: An updated version of this report is submitted at Deadline 1, however does not include this change to Figure 5.
APP-137 5.02 Environmental Statement – Appendix 20.4 Drainage Design Statement	Section 3.1 Potable Water Scarcity, Paragraph 3.1.2, page 8	Typological error within paragraph	Paragraph 3.1.2 refers in error to 2019/2020. The total airport water consumption is total for the calendar year 2019.  'LLAOL advised that the total potable water consumption for the entire airport during <del>2019</del> 2019/2020 (illustrated in <b>Appendix E</b> ), was 236,756m <sup>3</sup> . An average AW supply was calculated accordingly at 7.5l/s
AS-080 5.01 Environmental Statement – Chapter 16 Noise and Vibration Revision 1	Table 16.26 and 16.27	Typological error in the tables	Tables 16.26 and 16.27 contain larger Do-minimum contour areas from a superseded model iteration. The tables have been updated in a revision of Noise and Vibration chapter to reflect correct numbers used for the future baseline assessment and have been submitted in Deadline 1.
AS-080 5.01 Environmental Statement – Chapter 16 Noise and Vibration Revision 1	Section 16.9 Assessment, Paragraphs 16.9.236 and 16.9.248	Typological error within paragraphs	Paragraphs 16.9.236 and 16.9.248 refer in error to Hotel Ibis London. The hotel referred to is a Holiday Inn.  Paragraph 16.9.236 'Three hotels, the Courtyard by Marriott Luton Airport, the ibis budget Luton Airport and the <del>Hotel Ibis London Luton Airport</del> <b>Holiday Inn London Luton Airport</b> , adjacent to the A505 Airport Way and close to the southern end of the proposed Airport Access Road are expected to experience an increase in road traffic noise of over 3dB.....'.  Paragraph 16.9.248 'The same three hotels (the Courtyard by Marriott Luton Airport, the ibis budget Luton Airport and the <del>Hotel Ibis London Luton Airport</del> <b>Holiday Inn London Luton Airport</b> ) identified in <b>paragraph 16.9.236</b> with respect to assessment Phase 2a, are also expected to meet the criteria given in <b>Table 16.19</b> in assessment Phase 2b'.

Application Document Reference	Section and Paragraph Reference	Errata	Amendment / Replacement Text
AS-085 5.02 Environmental Statement – Appendix 14.3 Arboricultural Impact Assessment	Executive Summary (paragraph 3) and Section 3 Arboricultural Impact of the Proposals Table 2 paragraph 3.1.2	Typological error within paragraphs	Within the Arboricultural Impact Assessment ( <b>Appendix 14.3 of the Environmental Statement [APP-090]</b> ) it states that the Category A tree (T343) which is a re-grown ancient and veteran coppice, will be re-coppiced and translocated to another area of the site (see Executive Summary and Table 2 at paragraph 3.1.2). Appendix A - Tree Schedule of Arboricultural Impact Assessment states “ <i>Fell</i> ” in error.