

LATE SCOPING CONSULTATION RESPONSES

Consultation bodies have 28 days to respond with any comments, stating either the information that they consider should be included in the ES or that they do not have any comments.

Any responses received after the deadline will not be considered within the scoping opinion but are forwarded to the applicant for consideration in accordance with the policy set out in the Commission's Advice Note 7: Environmental Impact Assessment, Screening and Scoping.

The following EIA scoping consultation responses were received after the consultation deadline specified under legislation and therefore did not form part of the Commission's scoping opinion.

IPC

28 OCT 2010

REF: _____



North Lincolnshire

Health Place
Wrawby Road
Brigg
North Lincolnshire
DN20 8GS
Tel: 01652 251000
Fax: 01652 251180
Minicom: 01652 251149
www.northlincolnshire.nhs.uk

26 October 2010

Mark Wilson
Infrastructure Planning Commission
Temple Quay House
Temple Quay
Bristol
BS1 6PN

Dear Mr Wilson

Your Ref. Number: 100917_EN010030_252740

Thank you for your request for consultation on the IPC scoping opinion of the proposed Killingholme Port and Biomass Plant by Able UK Limited. NHS North Lincolnshire has no comments on the scoping opinion as we understand that the Health Protection Agency and the Environment Agency have also been asked for comments.

Yours sincerely

Frances Cunning
Director of Public Health

From: [Sharp, Simon](#)
To: [IPC Scoping Opinion;](#)
Subject: FW: FAO Mark Wilson - Re: Proposed Killingholme Port and Biomass Plant
Date: 20 October 2010 10:18:37

Dear Mr Wilson,

Re: Proposed Killingholme Port and Biomass Plant
Your ref: 100917_EN10030_252740

Thank you for your letter dated 17th September 2010 regarding the aforementioned matter. I realise that this response is after the deadline for comments of 15th October 2010. However, I understand that comments will still be forwarded to the applicant Able UK Ltd.

West Lindsey District Council consider that the following information should be contained within the Environmental Statement:-

1. Assessment of the means of transferring the power generated into the existing national grid infrastructure. This is listed in section 1.1.4 of the Scoping Report but we are unclear as to whether this includes a "local" connection within the Humber Bank area or whether it necessitates high voltages lines to be erected across the surrounding landscape (for example from the biomass station to the Trent Valley). This could impact on the visual amenity of the landscape in general, the setting of Scheduled Ancient Monuments, listed buildings and the character and appearance of designated conservation areas?
2. The routing of traffic (employees/servicing/deliveries) to and from the site (vehicles travelling from the south are likely to pass through the district). Mention is made in the Scoping report that railways will be used in addition to shipping. The Barnetby-Gainsborough Central railway line was recently upgraded but is still lightly trafficked. The implications on the usage of this line (noise and disturbance/possible employment generation/passenger use to connect sustainably to the site).
3. The direct visual impact of the works on the communities in Brocklesby, Great Limber, Keelby and Riby parishes. These parishes contain grade I listed buildings such as the buildings

within the Brocklesby Estate.

4. The implications for employment (potential for additional employment in the northeast of the district but relatively poor highway/public transport connectivity to the Humber Bank especially given the “missing link” of the A1173 between Riby and the A180.
5. Implications of airborne emissions in north-easterly winds.

These issues are considered to be those that would directly affect the district.

Yours sincerely

Simon Sharp

Development Management Team Leader

Tel: 01427 676651

West Lindsey District Council, The Guildhall, Marshall's Yard,
Gainsborough, Lincs. DN21 2NA

www.west-lindsey.gov.uk

This e-mail message has been scanned for Viruses and Content.

*** DISCLAIMER **** The information transmitted is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use, or taking of any action in reliance upon this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you received this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer. Any correspondence with the sender will be subject to automatic monitoring.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Cable&Wireless Worldwide in partnership with MessageLabs. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

Correspondents should note that all communications to Department for Communities and Local Government may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for lawful purposes.

The Infrastructure Planning Commission
Temple Quay House
Temple Quay
Bristol
BS1 6PN

Our ref: AN/2010/110109/01-L02

Your Ref: 100917_EN010030_252740

Date: 20 October 2010

FAO Laura Allen/Mark Wilson

Dear Sir/Madam

**Marine Energy Park (proposed new quay and biomass plant)
Able Humber Port, Killingholme, South Humber Bank**

Thank you for drawing my attention to the fact that we have not provided any comments in response to the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Scoping Report for the above development. Please accept my apologies for this oversight.

I have provided you with a copy of our comments sent to Able UK which identifies the areas which we consider the EIA will need to address. All of these areas are touched upon in the Scoping Report, and so I am confident that the proposed scope of the EIA is appropriate.

I have made some observations below regarding specific parts of the report which the applicants may wish to consider in developing the EIA further.

Flood Risk

The report states (paragraph 6.6.7) that the development will be 'Water Compatible'. We expect the vast majority of development within the site to fall into this category, however some development may be 'Less Vulnerable' (i.e. associated office uses not requiring a port location) and the proposed power station will be Essential Infrastructure, as will any parts of the development requiring Hazardous Substance Consent.

We wish to note that, whilst we are the principle organisation maintaining and managing flood defences around the Humber, we are not the only one. Other organisations also own and maintain flood management assets in the area.

Foul Drainage

The EIA may need to take into account the impacts of increased foul water flows. If the development is to be connected to mains drainage, as we currently understand to be the intention, then the applicants should confirm with Anglian Water Services Ltd that there will be adequate capacity available. If non-mains drainage is proposed the applicants will need to discuss this with us at the earliest opportunity.

Should you require any additional information, or wish to discuss these matters further, please do not hesitate to contact me on the number below.

Yours sincerely

Jake Newby
Principal Planning Liaison Officer

Direct dial 01522 785864

Direct fax 01522 512927

Direct e-mail Jacob.newby@environment-agency.gov.uk