

Comments on Applicants' Response to Examining Panel's First Written Questions:

Q1.2.11 Community Fund: If this fund is designed to mitigate some of the detrimental impact of the development on the immediate area the figure of £200K does not seem enough, particularly for Long Whatton and Diseworth. We know that Breedon on the Hill have already put their case for substantial traffic calming measures to be funded by the scheme and it is likely that Diseworth and Long Whatton will require something similar. However, the effect of the proposed development on the community of Diseworth will be substantially greater than neighbouring settlements and applications for a share of the fund will no doubt be greater.

It is believed that EMAGIC1 provided a larger fund split between Kegworth, Castle Donington as well as Hemington/Lockington. The effect of EMAGIC2 on Diseworth in particular will be far greater than any of these four settlements.

Q1.4.2, Q1.4.3 & Q1.4.4 Community Park: We look forward to the promised further detail; however, we repeat the LWDPC view that the industrial development is too close to the conservation village of Diseworth. The Community Park is the ideal opportunity to achieve suitable separation as well as going some way to restoring some of the amenity lost to the villagers of Diseworth. Whilst LWDPC have been actively involved with the applicant in the planning and amenity provided by the Community Park it has been consistent in its view that a larger 'buffer zone' should be provided by this community area. The width of the Park (the gap between the EMAGIC2 and Diseworth) should be at least the same distance between the village of Lockington and EMAGIC 1.

The drainage mitigation included within the proposed Community Park is vitally important to Diseworth to avoid serious flooding issues. Therefore the build of the park, with its drainage mitigation system, should also be a priority, with the construction of it not left until the main site is occupied.